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#### Abstract

In this paper we prove some fixed point theorems for generalized contraction mappings in two complete metric spaces. Here we extend some results due to B. Fisher.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION.

Some authors proved many kinds of fixed point theorems for contractive type mappings and non-expansive mappings ([1]-[4]). In [5] and [6], B. Fisher proved some theorems in two complete metric spaces as follows:

Theorem 1.1: [5] Let ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{d}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{e}$ ) be complete metric spaces. If T is a mapping from X into Y and S is a mapping from Y into X , satisfying the following conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{e}(T x, \text { TSy }) \leq \mathrm{c} \cdot \max \{\mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{x}, \text { Sy), e(y, Tx }), \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{TSy})\} \\
& \mathrm{d}(\text { Sy, STx }) \leq \mathrm{c} \cdot \max \{\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{y}, \text { Tx }), \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \text { Sy) }, \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \text { STx })\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all x in X and y in Y . where $0 \leq \mathrm{c}<1$, then ST have a unique fixed point z in X and TS has a unique fixed point w in Y . Further, $\mathrm{Tz}=\mathrm{w}$ and $\mathrm{Sw}=\mathrm{z}$.

In this paper we prove some fixed point theorems in two complete metric spaces. Our aim is to extend the results of B. Fisher [4] and [5]. The following definitions are necessary for the present study.

Definition1.2: A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in a metric space ( $X, d$ ) is said to be convergent to a point $x \in X$ if given $\in>0$ there exists a positive integer $\mathrm{n}_{0}$ such that $\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}\right)<\epsilon$ for all $\mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{n}_{0}$.

Definition1.3: A sequence $\left\{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ in a metric space ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{d}$ ) is said to be a Cauchy sequence in X if given $\in>0$ there exists a positive integer $\mathrm{n}_{0}$ such that $\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)<\epsilon$ for all $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{n}_{0}$.

Definition1.4: A metric space ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{d}$ ) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in X converges to a point in X .
Definition1.5: Let X be a non-empty set and $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ be a map. An element X in X is called a fixed point of X $f(x)=x$.

Definition1.6: Let X be a non-empty set and $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ be two maps. An element x in X is called a common fixed point of $f$ and $g$ if $f(x)=g(x)=x$.
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## 2 MAIN RESULTS:

Theorem2.1: Let ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{d}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{e}$ ) be complete metric spaces. If T is a mapping from X into Y and S is a mapping from Y into X satisfying the following conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{Tx}, \mathrm{TSy}) \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max \{\mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{x}, \text { Sy }), \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Tx})+\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{y}, \text { TSy })\}  \tag{1}\\
& \mathrm{d}(\text { Sy, STx }) \leq \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \max \{\mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{x}, \text { Sy })+\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \text { STx }), \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{y}, \text { Tx })\} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

for all x in X and y in Y where $0 \leq \mathrm{c}_{1}<1$ and $0 \leq \mathrm{c}_{2}<1$, then ST has a unique fixed point z in X and TS has a unique fixed point $w$ in $Y$. Further $T z=w$ and $S w=z$.

Proof: Let $x_{0}$ be an arbitrary point in $X$. Define a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ and a sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ in $Y$, as follows:

$$
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}=(\mathrm{ST})^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right) \text { for } \mathrm{n}=1,2, \ldots
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right) & \left.=\mathrm{d}\left((\mathrm{ST})^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{x}_{0},(\mathrm{ST})^{\mathrm{n}+1} \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{ST})^{\mathrm{n}-1} \mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{ST}(\mathrm{ST})^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)\right. \\
& =\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{ST}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right), \mathrm{STx} x_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sy}_{\mathrm{n}}, \operatorname{STx_{\mathrm {n}}}\right) \\
& \left.\leq \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \operatorname{Sy} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \operatorname{STx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right\} \quad \text { (since by }(2)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right\} \\
& =\mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right\} \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right) & =\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \operatorname{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{e}\left(\operatorname{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \operatorname{TSy_{\mathrm {n}}}\right) \\
& \left.\leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, S y_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \operatorname{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)+\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, T S y_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right\} \quad \text { (since by (1) }\right) \\
& =\mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right\} \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) & \leq c_{1} c_{2} \cdot d\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) \\
& \vdots \\
& \left.\leq\left(c_{1} c_{2}\right)^{n} d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { (since } 0 \leq c_{1} c_{2}<1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in ( $X, d$ ). Since ( $X, d$ ) is complete, it converges to a point $z$ in $X$. Similarly, we can prove that the sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ is also a Cauchy sequence in (Y,e). Since $(Y, e)$ is complete, it converges to a point $w$ in Y.

Now we prove $\mathrm{Tz}=\mathrm{w}$
Suppose Tz $\neq \mathrm{w}$.
We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{w}) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{TS} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \operatorname{Sy} y_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Tz}\right)+\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{TS} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right\} \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Tz}\right)+\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right\} \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{w}) \\
& \left.<\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{w}) \text { (since } 0 \leq \mathrm{c}_{1}<1\right), \text { which is a contradiction. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\mathrm{Tz}=\mathrm{w}$.
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Suppose Sw $\neq \mathrm{z}$.
We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Sw}, \mathrm{z}) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{Sw}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{Sw}, \mathrm{STx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Sw}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{STx}\right.\right. \\
& \left.=, \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right\} \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Sw}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right\} \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{Sw}, \mathrm{z}) \\
& \left.<\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Sw}, \mathrm{z}) \text { (since } 0 \leq \mathrm{c}_{2}<1\right), \text { which is a contradiction. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\mathrm{Sw}=\mathrm{z}$.
We have $\mathrm{STz}=\mathrm{Sw}=\mathrm{z}$ and $\mathrm{TSW}=\mathrm{Tz}=\mathrm{w}$. Thus the point z is a fixed point of ST in X and the point w is a fixed point of TS in Y.

Uniqueness: Let $\mathrm{z}^{\prime} \neq \mathrm{z}$ be another fixed point of ST in X .
We have

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{z}^{\prime}\right) & =\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{STz}, \mathrm{STz} \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{z}^{\prime}, \mathrm{STz}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}^{\prime}, \mathrm{STz}\right.\right.
\end{array}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{Tz}^{\prime}\right)\right\}
$$

Also we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{Tz} z^{\prime}\right) & =\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{TSTz}) \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{STz}^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz}^{\prime}, \mathrm{Tz}\right)+\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz}^{\prime}, \mathrm{TSTz}^{\prime}\right)\right\} \\
& =\mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{z}^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz}^{\prime}, \mathrm{Tz}\right)\right\} \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{z}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\left.\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{z}^{\prime}\right) \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{z}^{\prime}\right)<\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{z}^{\prime}\right) \text { (since } \mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{2}<1\right) \text {, which is a contradiction. }
$$

Thus $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{z}^{\prime}$.
So the point z is a unique fixed point of ST. Similarly, we prove the point w is also a unique fixed point of TS. This completes the proof

Remark 2.2: If ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{d}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{e}$ ) are the same metric spaces, then by the above theorem 2.1, we get the following theorem, as corollary.

Corollary2.3: Let ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{d}$ ) be a complete metric space. If S and T are mappings from X into itself satisfying the following conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Tx}, \text { TSy }) \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max \{\mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{x}, \text { Sy }), \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Tx})+\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{y}, \text { TSy })\} \\
& \mathrm{d}(\text { Sy, STx }) \leq \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \max \{\mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{x}, \text { Sy })+\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \text { STx }), \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{y}, \text { Tx })\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ in X where $0 \leq \mathrm{c}_{1}, \mathrm{c}_{2}<1$, then ST has a unique fixed point z in X and TS has a unique fixed point w in X . Further, $\mathrm{Tz}=\mathrm{w}$ and $\mathrm{Sw}=\mathrm{z}$ and if $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{w}$, then z is the unique common fixed point of S and T .

Theorem2.4: Let ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{d}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{e}$ ) be two complete metric spaces. If T is a mapping from X into Y and S is a mapping from Y into X satisfying the following conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{Tx}, \mathrm{TSy}) \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max \{\mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{x}, \text { Sy), e(y, Tx) }, \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Tx})+\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{TSy})\}  \tag{1}\\
& \mathrm{d}(\text { Sy, STx }) \leq \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \max \{\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Tx}), \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \text { Sy), } \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \text { Sy) }+\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \text { STx })\} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

for all x in X and y in Y where $0 \leq \mathrm{c}_{1}<1$ and $0 \leq \mathrm{c}_{2}<1$, then ST has a unique fixed point z in X and TS has a unique fixed point $w$ in Y . Further $\mathrm{Tz}=\mathrm{w}$ and $\mathrm{Sw}=\mathrm{z}$.
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$$
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}=(\mathrm{ST})^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right) \text { for } \mathrm{n}=1,2, \ldots
$$

Now we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)=\mathrm{d}\left((\mathrm{ST})^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{x}_{0},(\mathrm{ST})^{\mathrm{n}+1} \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{ST})^{\mathrm{n}-1} \mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{ST}(\mathrm{ST})^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)\right. \\
& =\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{ST}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right), \mathrm{STx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sy}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{STx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& \leq c_{2} \cdot \max \left\{e\left(y_{n}, T x_{n}\right), d\left(x_{n}, S y_{n}\right), d\left(x_{n}, S y_{n}\right)+d\left(x_{n}, S T x_{n}\right)\right\} \\
& =\mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right\} \\
& =c_{2} \cdot \max \left\{e\left(y_{n}, y_{n+1}\right), 0, d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)\right\} \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{2} . \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right) & =\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{TSy}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, S y_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)+\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, S y_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right\} \\
& =c_{1} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, 1, x_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right\} \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right) & \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& \vdots \\
& \leq\left(\mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{2}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{x}_{1}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } \mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty \quad\left(\text { since } 0 \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{2}<1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in ( $X, d$ ). Since ( $X, d$ ) is complete, it converges to a point $z$ in $X$. Similarly, we can prove that the sequence $\left\{\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ is also a Cauchy sequence in (Y, e). Since (Y,e) is complete, it converges to a point w in Y.

Now we prove $\mathrm{Tz}=\mathrm{w}$.
Suppose Tz $\neq \mathrm{w}$.
We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{w}) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{TS} y_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \operatorname{Sy} y_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Tz}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Tz}\right)+\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{TS} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right\} \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Tz}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Tz}\right)+\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right\} \\
& =\mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max \{\mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{z}), \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{Tz}), \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{Tz})+\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{w})\} \\
& =\mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max \{0, \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{Tz}), \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{Tz})\} \\
& <\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{Tz})\left(\text { since } 0 \leq \mathrm{c}_{1}<1\right), \text { which is a contradiction. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\mathrm{Tz}=\mathrm{w}$.
Now we prove $\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{w}}=\mathrm{z}$.
Suppose Sw $\neq \mathrm{z}$.
We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Sw}, \mathrm{z}) & =\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sw}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{w}}, \mathrm{STx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{w}, \operatorname{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{w}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Sw}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{STx} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right\} \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Sw}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Sw}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right\} \\
& \left.<\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Sw}, \mathrm{z}) \text { (since } 0 \leq \mathrm{c}_{2}<1\right), \text { which is a contradiction. }
\end{aligned}
$$
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Thus Sw = z.
We have $\mathrm{STz}=\mathrm{Sw}=\mathrm{z}$ and $\mathrm{TSw}=\mathrm{Tz}=\mathrm{w}$. Thus z is a fixed point of ST in X and the point w is a fixed point of TS in Y.

Uniqueness: Let $\mathrm{z}^{\prime} \neq \mathrm{z}$ be another fixed point of ST in X .
We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}^{\prime}, \mathrm{z}\right) & =\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{STz}^{\prime}, \mathrm{STz}\right) \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz} z^{\prime}, \mathrm{Tz}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{STz}^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{STz})+\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{z} . \mathrm{STz})\right\} \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz} z^{\prime}, \mathrm{Tz}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{z}^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{z}^{\prime}\right)\right\} \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz} z^{\prime}, \mathrm{Tz}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz}^{\prime}, \mathrm{Tz}\right) & =\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz}^{\prime}, \mathrm{TSTz}\right) \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{z}^{\prime}, \mathrm{STz}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{Tz} z^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{Tz} z^{\prime}\right)+\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{TSTz})\right\} \\
& =\mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{z}^{\prime}, \mathrm{z}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{Tz} z^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{Tz}^{\prime}\right)\right\} \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{z}^{\prime}, \mathrm{z}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\left.\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}^{\prime}, \mathrm{z}\right) \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{z}^{\prime}, \mathrm{z}\right)<\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}^{\prime}, \mathrm{z}\right) \text { (since } \mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{2}<1\right) \text {, which is a contradiction. }
$$

Thus $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{z}^{\prime}$.
So the point z is a unique fixed point of ST. Similarly, we prove the point w is also a unique point of TS. This completes the proof

Remark2.5: If ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{d}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{e}$ ) are the same metric spaces, then by the above theorem 2.4, we get the following theorem as corollary.

Corollary2.6: Let ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{d}$ ) be a complete metric space. If S and T are mappings from X into itself satisfying the following conditions:

```
d(Tx, TSy) \leq c. . max{d(x, Sy), d(y, Tx) ,d(y, Tx) + d(y, TSy)}
d(Sy,STx) \leq c c2.max {d(y, Tx), d(x, Sy), d(x, Sy) + d(x, STx )}
```

for all x , y in X where $0 \leq \mathrm{c}_{1}, \mathrm{c}_{2}<1$, then ST has a unique fixed point z in X and TS has a unique fixed point w in X . Further, $\mathrm{Tz}=\mathrm{w}$ and $\mathrm{Sw}=\mathrm{z}$ and if $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{w}$, then z is the unique common fixed point of S and T .

Theorem2.7: Let ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{d}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{e}$ ) be complete metric spaces. If T is a mapping from X into Y and S is a mapping from $Y$ into $X$ satisfying the following conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{e}(T x, \text { TSy }) \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max \{\mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{x}, \text { Sy }), \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{y}, \text { Tx }), \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{y}, \text { TSy }), \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \text { STx })\}  \tag{1}\\
& \mathrm{d}(\text { Sy, STx }) \leq \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \max \{\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{y}, \text { Tx }), \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \text { Sy) } \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \text { STx }), \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{Tx}, \text { TSy })\} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

for all x in X and y in Y where $0 \leq \mathrm{c}_{1}<1$ and $0 \leq \mathrm{c}_{2}<1$, then ST has a unique fixed point z in X and TS has a unique fixed point $w$ in $Y$. Further $T z=w$ and $S w=z$.

Proof: Let $x_{0}$ be an arbitrary point in $X$. Define a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ and a sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ in $Y$, as follows:

$$
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}=(\mathrm{ST})^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right) \text { for } \mathrm{n}=1,2, \ldots
$$

We have

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right) & \left.=\mathrm{d}\left((\mathrm{ST})^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{x}_{0},(\mathrm{ST})^{\mathrm{n}+1} \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{ST})^{\mathrm{n-1}} \mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{ST}(\mathrm{ST})^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)\right. & \\
& =\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{ST}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right), \operatorname{STx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) & \\
& =\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sy}_{\mathrm{n}}, \operatorname{STx_{n}}\right) & \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Sy}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \operatorname{STx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{TSy}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right\} & \\
& =\mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right\} & \leq \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)
\end{array}
$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right) & =\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{e}\left(\operatorname{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \operatorname{TSy}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max ^{2}\left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \operatorname{Sy}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \operatorname{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \operatorname{TSy}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \operatorname{STx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)\right\} \\
& =\mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right\} \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right) & \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& \vdots \\
& \left.\leq\left(\mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{2}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{x}_{1}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } \mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { (since } 0 \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{2}<1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in ( $X, d$ ). Since ( $X, d$ ) is complete, it converges to a point $z$ in $X$. Similarly, we can prove that the sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ is also a Cauchy sequence in $(Y, e)$. Since $(Y, e)$ is complete, it converges to a point $w$ in Y.

Now we prove $\mathrm{Tz}=\mathrm{w}$.
Suppose $\mathrm{Tz} \neq \mathrm{w}$.
We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{w}) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{TS} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{Sy}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Tz}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{TS} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{STz})\right\} \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Tz}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right), \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{STz})\right\} \\
& =\mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max ^{2}\{\mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{z}), \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{Tz}), \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{w}), \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{STz})\} \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{STz})
\end{aligned}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{STz}) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{STz}\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{Sy}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{STz}\right) \\
& \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Tz}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{Sy} y_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{STz}), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{TS} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right\} \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Tz}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{STz}), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right\} \\
& =\mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \max \{\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{Tz}), \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{z}), \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{STz}), \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{w})\} \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{w})
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence
$\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{w}) \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{2} . \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{w})<\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{w})$ (since $\left.\mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{2}<1\right)$ which is a contradiction.
Thus $\mathrm{Tz}=\mathrm{w}$.
Now we prove $\mathrm{Sw}=\mathrm{z}$.
Suppose Sw $\neq \mathrm{z}$.
Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Sw}, \mathrm{z}) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{Sw}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{Sw}, \operatorname{STx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, S \mathrm{Sw}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, S T x_{n}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{TSw}\right)\right\} \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \operatorname{Sw}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}, \operatorname{TSw}\right)\right\}\right. \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{TSw})
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{TSw}) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}, \mathrm{TSw}\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{TSw}\right) \\
& \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Sw}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{TSw}), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{STx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right\} \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{Sw}, \mathrm{z})
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence
$\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Sw}, \mathrm{z}) \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} . \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Sw}, \mathrm{z})<\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Sw}, \mathrm{z})\left(\because \mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2}<1\right)$, which is a contradiction.
Thus Sw = z.
We have $\mathrm{STz}=\mathrm{Sw}=\mathrm{z}$ and $\mathrm{TS} \mathrm{w}=\mathrm{Tz}=\mathrm{w}$. Thus the point z is a fixed point of ST in X and the point w is a fixed point of TS in Y.

Uniqueness: Let $\mathrm{z}^{\prime} \neq \mathrm{z}$ in X be another fixed point of ST in X .
We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{z}^{\prime}\right) & =\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Sw}, \mathrm{STz}) \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{Tz} z^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}^{\prime}, \mathrm{Sw}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}^{\prime}, \mathrm{STz} \mathrm{~S}^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz}^{\prime}, \mathrm{w}\right)\right\} \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz}^{\prime}, \mathrm{w}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz}^{\prime}, \mathrm{w}\right) & =\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz}^{\prime}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz}^{\prime}, \mathrm{TS} y_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max ^{\prime}\left\{\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}^{\prime}, S y_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, T z^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, T S y_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}^{\prime}, S T z^{\prime}\right)\right\} \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{z}^{\prime}, \mathrm{z}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\left.\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{z}^{\prime}\right) \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{z}^{\prime}\right)<\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{z}^{\prime}\right) \text { (since } \mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{2}<1\right) \text {, which is a contradiction. }
$$

So the point z is a unique fixed point of ST. Similarly, we prove the point w is also a unique point of TS. This completes the proof

Remark 2.8: If ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{d}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{e}$ ) are the same metric spaces, then by the above theorem 2.7 , we get the following theorem as corollary.

Corollary2.9: Let ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{d}$ ) be a complete metric space. If S and T are mappings from X into itself satisfying the following conditions:

```
d(Tx, TSy) \leqcc.max{d(x, Sy), d(y, Tx), d(y, TSy), d(x, STx)}
d(Sy,STx) \leqccemax{d(y, Tx), d(x, Sy), d(x, STx), d(Tx, TSy)}
```

for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ in X where $0 \leq \mathrm{c}_{1}, \mathrm{c}_{2}<1$, then ST has a unique fixed point z in X and TS has a unique fixed point w in X . Further, $\mathrm{Tz}=\mathrm{w}$ and $\mathrm{Sw}=\mathrm{z}$ and if $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{w}$, then z is the unique common fixed point of S and T .

Theorem 2.10: Let ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{d}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{e}$ ) be complete metric spaces. If T is a mapping from X into Y and S is a mapping from Y into X satisfying the following conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{Tx}, \mathrm{TSy}) \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max \{\mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{x}, \text { Sy) }, \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Tx}), \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{TSy}), \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \text { STx }), \mathrm{d}(\text { Sy }, \text { STx })\}  \tag{1}\\
& \mathrm{d}(\text { Sy, STx }) \leq \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \max \{\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Tx}), \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \text { Sy) }), \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \text { STx }), \mathrm{e}(T x, T S y), \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{TSy})\} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

for all x in X and y in Y where $0 \leq \mathrm{c}_{1}<1$ and $0 \leq \mathrm{c}_{2}<1$, then ST has a unique fixed point z in X and TS has a unique fixed point $w$ in $Y$. Further $T z=w$ and $S w=z$.

Proof: Let $x_{0}$ be an arbitrary point in $X$. Define a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ and a sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ in $Y$, as follows:

$$
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}=(\mathrm{ST})^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right) \text { for } \mathrm{n}=1,2, \ldots
$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)=d\left((S T)^{n} x_{0},(S T)^{n+1} x_{0}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{ST})^{\mathrm{n}-1} \mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{ST}(\mathrm{ST})^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)\right. \\
& =\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{ST}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right), \mathrm{STx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sy}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{STx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, S \mathrm{Sy}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, S \mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{TS} y_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{TSy}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right\} \\
& =c_{2} \cdot \max \left\{e\left(y_{n}, y_{n+1}\right), d\left(x_{n}, x_{n}\right), d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right), e\left(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}\right), e\left(y_{n}, y_{n+1}\right)\right\} \\
& =c_{2} \cdot \max \left\{e\left(y_{n}, y_{n+1}\right), 0, d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right), 0, e\left(y_{n}, y_{n+1}\right)\right\} \\
& \leq c_{2} . e\left(y_{n}, y_{n+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right) & =\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \operatorname{TSy}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \operatorname{Sy} y_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \operatorname{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \operatorname{TSy}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \operatorname{STx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sy}_{\mathrm{n}}, \operatorname{STx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)\right\} \\
& =\mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~m}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}\right\} \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right) & \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& \vdots \\
& \left.\leq\left(\mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{2}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{x}_{1}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } \mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { (since } 0 \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{2}<1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\left\{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X,d). Since (X, d) is complete, it converges to a point z in X . Similarly, we can prove that the sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ is also a Cauchy sequence in (Y, e). Since (Y,e) is complete, it converges to a point w in Y.

Now we prove $\mathrm{Tz}=\mathrm{w}$.
Suppose $\mathrm{Tz} \neq \mathrm{w}$
We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{w}) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{TS} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{Sy} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Tz}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{TS} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{STz}), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sy}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{STz}\right)\right\} \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Tz}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right), \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{STz}), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{STz}\right)\right\} \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{STz})
\end{aligned}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{STz}) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{STz}\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{Sy}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{STz}\right) \\
\leq & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Tz}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{Sy}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{STz}), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{TS} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{TS} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right\} \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Tz}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{STz}), \mathrm{e}\left(\operatorname{Tz}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right\} \\
\leq & \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{w})
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence
$e(T z, w) \leq c_{1} c_{2} . e(T z, w)<e(T z, w)$ (since $\left.c_{1} c_{2}<1\right)$, which is a contradiction.
Thus $\mathrm{Tz}=\mathrm{w}$.
To prove that $\mathrm{Sw}=\mathrm{z}$.
Suppose that $\mathrm{Sw} \neq \mathrm{z}$.

$$
\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Sw}, \mathrm{z})=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{Sw}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)
$$
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$=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{Sw}, \mathrm{STx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$
$\leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Sw}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{STx} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right.\right.$, TSw), $\left.\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{TS}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right\}$
$=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{2} \cdot \max \left\{e\left(w, y_{n+1}\right), d\left(x_{n}, S w\right), d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right), e\left(y_{n+1}, T S w\right), e\left(y_{n}, y_{n+1}\right)\right\}$
$\leq \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{TS} \mathrm{w})$
Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{TSw}) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}, \mathrm{TSw}\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{TSw}\right) \\
& \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Sw}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{TSw}), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{TSw}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{TS}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right\} \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Sw}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right), \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{TSw}), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{SW}_{\mathrm{w}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right\} \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{dw}, \mathrm{z})
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\left.\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Sw}, \mathrm{z}) \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{2} . \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Sw}, \mathrm{z})<\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Sw}, \mathrm{z}) \text { (since } \mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{2}<1\right) \text {, which is a contradiction. }
$$

Thus $\mathrm{Sw}=\mathrm{z}$.
We have $S T z=S w=z$ and $T S w=T z=w$. Thus the point $z$ is a fixed point of $S T$ in $X$ and the point $w$ is a fixed point of TS in Y .

Uniqueness: Let $\mathrm{z}^{\prime} \neq \mathrm{z}$ be the another fixed point of ST in X .
We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{z}^{\prime}\right) & =\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Sw}, \mathrm{STz}) \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{Tz}^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}^{\prime}, \mathrm{Sw}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}^{\prime}, \mathrm{STz}{ }^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz}^{\prime}, \mathrm{TSw}\right), \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{TSw})\right\} \\
& =\mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{Tz}^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}^{\prime}, \mathrm{z}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}^{\prime}, \mathrm{z}^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz}^{\prime}, \mathrm{w}\right), \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{w})\right\} \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz}^{\prime}, \mathrm{w}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz}^{\prime}, \mathrm{w}\right) & =\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz}^{\prime}, \mathrm{TSw}\right) \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{z}^{\prime}, \mathrm{Sw}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{Tz}^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{z}^{\prime}, \mathrm{TS} z^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{TSw}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{TS} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right\} \\
& =\mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{z}^{\prime}, \mathrm{z}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{Tz}^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{z}^{\prime}, \mathrm{TS} z^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}^{\prime}, \mathrm{z}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{STz}^{\prime}\right)\right. \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{z}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence
$\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{z}^{\prime}\right) \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{z}^{\prime}\right)<\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{z}^{\prime}\right)$ (since $\left.\mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{2}<1\right)$, which is a contradiction.
Thus $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{z}^{\prime}$.
So the point z is a unique fixed point z of ST. Similarly, we prove the point w is also a unique point of TS. This completes the proof.

Remark 2.11: If ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{d}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{e}$ ) are the same metric spaces, then by the above theorem 2.10., we get the following theorem as corollary.

Corollary2.12: Let ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{d}$ ) be a complete metric space. If S and T are mappings from X into itself satisfying the following conditions:

```
d(Tx, TSy) <c c.max {d(x, Sy), d(y, Tx), d(y, TSy), d(x, STx)}
d(Sy, STx) \leqccmax max (y, Tx), d(x, Sy),d(x, STx), d(Tx, TSy)}
```

for all x , y in X where $0 \leq \mathrm{c}_{1}, \mathrm{c}_{2}<1$, then ST has a unique fixed point z in X and TS has a unique fixed point w in X . Further, $\mathrm{Tz}=\mathrm{w}$ and $\mathrm{Sw}=\mathrm{z}$ and if $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{w}$, then z is the unique common fixed point of S and T .

Theorem2.13: Let ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{d}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{e}$ ) be complete metric spaces. If T is a mapping from X into Y and S is a mapping from Y into X satisfying the following conditions

```
e(Tx, TSy) \leq c. max{d(x, Sy), d(Sy, STx), e(y, Tx) +e(y, TSy), d(x, STx)} (1)
d(Sy, STx) \leqc2.max{d(x, Sy) + d(x, STx), e(y, TSy), e(y, Tx), e(Tx,TSy)} (2)
```
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Proof: Let $x_{0}$ be an arbitrary point in $X$. Define a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ and a sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ in $Y$ as follows:

$$
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}=(\mathrm{ST})^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right) \text { for } \mathrm{n}=1,2, \ldots
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)=\mathrm{d}\left((\mathrm{ST})^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{x}_{0},(\mathrm{ST})^{\mathrm{n}+1} \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{ST})^{\mathrm{n}-1} \mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{ST}(\mathrm{ST})^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)\right. \\
& =\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{ST}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right), \mathrm{STx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{d}\left(\left(\mathrm{Sy}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{STx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right. \\
& \leq c_{2} \cdot \max \left\{d\left(x_{n}, S y_{n}\right)+d\left(x_{n}, S T x_{n}\right), e\left(y_{n}, T S y_{n}\right), e\left(y_{n}, T x_{n}\right), e\left(T x_{n}, T S y_{n}\right)\right\} \\
& =c_{2} \cdot \max \left\{d\left(x_{n}, x_{n}\right)+d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right), e\left(y_{n}, y_{n+1}\right), e\left(y_{n}, y_{n+1}\right), e\left(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}\right)\right\} \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{2} . \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right) & =\mathrm{e}\left(\operatorname{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \operatorname{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \operatorname{TS} y_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max _{\mathrm{n}}\left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \operatorname{Sy} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\operatorname{Sy}_{\mathrm{n}}, \operatorname{STx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \operatorname{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)+\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \operatorname{TSy}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \operatorname{STx}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)\right\} \\
& =\mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right\} \\
& =\mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}-1, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), 0, \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right\} \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right) & \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& \vdots \\
& \left.\leq\left(\mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{2}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{x}_{1}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } \mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { (since } 0 \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{2}<1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\left\{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X,d). Since (X,d) is complete, it converges to a point z in X . Similarly, we can prove that the sequence $\left\{\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ is also a Cauchy sequence in $(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{e})$. Since $(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{e})$ is complete, it converges to a point w in Y.

Now we prove $\mathrm{Tz}=\mathrm{w}$.
Suppose $\mathrm{Tz} \neq \mathrm{w}$.
We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{w}) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{TS} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{Sy}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sy}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{STz}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Tz}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, T S y_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{STz})\right\} \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \operatorname{STz}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Tz}\right)+\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right), \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{STz})\right\} \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{STz})
\end{aligned}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{STz}) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{STz}\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{Sy}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{STz}\right) \\
& \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \operatorname{Sy} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{STz}), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n} .,}, \mathrm{TS} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Tz}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{TS} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right\} \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{STz}), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \operatorname{Tz}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right\} \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{w})
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{w}) \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{2} . \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{w})<\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{Tz}, \mathrm{w})$ (since $\left.\mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{2}<1\right)$ which is a contradiction.

Thus $\mathrm{Tz}=\mathrm{w}$.
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Now we prove $\mathrm{Sw}=\mathrm{z}$.
Suppose Sw $\neq$ z.
We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Sw}, \mathrm{z}) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{~d}\left(\operatorname{Sw}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{Sw}, \operatorname{STx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Sw}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, S T x_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{TSw}), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{w}, \operatorname{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{TSw}\right)\right\} \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \operatorname{Sw}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right), \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{w}), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}, \mathrm{w}\right)\right\} \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{w}, \operatorname{TSw})
\end{aligned}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{TSw}) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}, \mathrm{TSw}\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{TSw}\right) \\
& \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, S \mathrm{Sw}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sw}, \operatorname{STx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{TSw}), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right\} \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, S \mathrm{Sw}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sw}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)+\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{TSw}), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right\} \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{Sw})
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence
$\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Sw}, \mathrm{z}) \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{2} . \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{Sw})<\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Sw}, \mathrm{z})$ (since $\left.\mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{2}<1\right)$ which is a contradiction.
Thus Sw = z.
We have $\mathrm{STz}=\mathrm{Sw}=\mathrm{z}$ and $\mathrm{TS} \mathrm{w}=\mathrm{Tz}=\mathrm{w}$. Thus the point z is a fixed point of ST in X and the point w is a fixed point of TS in Y.

Uniqueness: Let $\mathrm{z}^{\prime} \neq \mathrm{z}$ be the another fixed point of ST in X .
We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{z}^{\prime}\right) & =\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{Sw}, \mathrm{STz}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{z}^{\prime}, \mathrm{Sw}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}^{\prime}, \mathrm{STz}\right), \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{TSw}), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{Tz}^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz} z^{\prime}, \mathrm{TSw}\right)\right\} \\
& =\mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{z}^{\prime}, \mathrm{z}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}^{\prime}, \mathrm{z}^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{w}), \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{Tz}), \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{Tz}\right. \\
& \leq \mathrm{w})\} \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{Tz}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz}^{\prime}, \mathrm{w}\right) & =\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{Tz} z^{\prime}, \mathrm{TSw}\right) \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{z}^{\prime}, \mathrm{Sw}\right), \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Sw}, \mathrm{STz}), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{Tz} z^{\prime}\right)+\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{TSw}) \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}^{\prime}, \mathrm{STz}^{\prime}\right)\right\} \\
& =\mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max \left\{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{z}^{\prime}, \mathrm{z}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{z}^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{w}, T z^{\prime}\right)+\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{w}), \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}^{\prime}, \mathrm{z}^{\prime}\right)\right\} \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{z}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence
$\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{z}^{\prime}\right) \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{2} . \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{z}^{\prime}\right)<\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{z}^{\prime}\right)$ which is a contradiction.

Thus $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{z}^{\prime}$.
So the point z is a unique fixed point of ST. Similarly, we prove the point w is also a unique point of TS. This completes the proof.

Remark 2.14: If ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{d}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{e}$ ) are the same metric spaces, then by the above theorem 2.13, we get the following theorem, as corollary.
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Corollary2.15: Let ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{d}$ ) be a complete metric space. If S and T are mappings from X into itself satisfying the following conditions:

$$
\mathrm{d}(\text { Tx, TSy }) \leq \mathrm{c}_{1} \cdot \max \{\mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{x}, \text { Sy) }, \mathrm{d}(\text { Sy, STx }), \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{y}, \text { Tx })+\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{y}, \text { TSy }), \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \text { STx })\}
$$

$d(S y, S T x) \leq c_{2} \cdot \max \{d(x, S y)+d(x, S T x), d(y, T S y), d(y, T x), d(T x, T S y)\}$
for all x , y in X where $0 \leq \mathrm{c}_{1}, \mathrm{c}_{2}<1$, then ST has a unique fixed point z in X and TS has a unique fixed point w in X . Further, $\mathrm{Tz}=\mathrm{w}$ and $\mathrm{Sw}=\mathrm{z}$ and if $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{w}$, then z is the unique common fixed point of S and T .
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