



Minimal pg -open sets and Maximal pg -closed sets

S. Balasubramanian^{1*}, K. A. Venkatesh² and C. Sandhya³

¹Department of Mathematics, Govt. Arts College (A), Karur – 639 005, Tamilnad, India

²Alliance University, Bangalore –560 068, Karnataka, India

³Department of Mathematics, C.S.R. Sarma College, Ongole 523 001, Andhrapradesh, India

E-mail: mani55682@rediffmail.com¹, ka.venkatesh@alliance.edu.in², sandhya_karavadi@yahoo.co.uk³

(Received on: 16-02-12; Accepted on: 13-03-12)

ABSTRACT

The object of the present paper is to study the notions of minimal pg -closed set, maximal pg -open set, minimal pg -open set and maximal pg -closed set and their basic properties are studied.

Keywords: pg -closed set and minimal pg -closed set, maximal pg -open set, minimal pg -open set and maximal pg -closed set

1. Introduction:

Norman Levine introduced the concept of generalized closed sets in topological spaces. After him many authors concentrated in this direction and defined more than 25 types of generalized closed sets. Nakaoka and Oda have introduced minimal open sets and maximal open sets, which are subclasses of open sets. A. Vadivel and K. Vairamanickam introduced minimal $rg\alpha$ -open sets and maximal $rg\alpha$ -open sets in topological spaces. S. Balasubramanian and P.A.S. Vyjayanthi introduced minimal v -open sets and maximal v -open sets; minimal v -closed sets and maximal v -closed sets in topological spaces. Recently S. Balasubramanian introduced minimal vg -open sets and maximal vg -open sets; minimal vg -closed sets and maximal vg -closed sets in topological spaces. Inspired with these developments we further study a new type of closed and open sets namely minimal pg -closed sets, maximal pg -open sets, minimal pg -open sets and maximal pg -closed sets. Throughout the paper a space X means a topological space (X, τ) . The class of pg -closed sets is denoted by $PGC(X)$. For any subset A of X its complement, interior, closure, pg -interior, pg -closure are denoted respectively by the symbols A^c , A° , A^- , $pg(A)^\circ$ and $pg(A)^-$.

2. Preliminaries:

Definition 2.1: $A \subset X$ is called

- (i) closed if its complement is open.
- (ii) $r\alpha$ -open[v -open] if $\exists U \in \alpha O(X)[RO(X)]$ such that $U \subset A \subset \alpha cl(U)[U \subset A \subset cl(U)]$.
- (iii) semi- θ -open if it is the union of semi-regular sets and its complement is semi- θ -closed.
- (iv) r -closed[α -closed; pre-closed; β -closed] if $A = cl(A^\circ)[cl(A^\circ)^\circ \subseteq A; cl(A^\circ) \subseteq A; cl((cl(A)^\circ)^\circ) \subseteq A]$.
- (v) Semi closed[v -closed] if its complement is semi open[v -open].
- (vi) g -closed[rg -closed] if $cl A \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is open[r -open] in X .
- (vii) pg -closed[gp -closed] if $pcl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is pre-open[open] in X .

Definition 2.02: Let $A \subset X$.

- (i) A point $x \in A$ is the pg -interior point of A iff $\exists G \in PGO(X, \tau)$ such that $x \in G \subset A$.
- (ii) A point $x \in X$ is said to be a pg -limit point of A iff for each $U \in PGO(X)$, $U \cap (A \setminus \{x\}) \neq \emptyset$.
- (iii) A point $x \in A$ is said to be pg -isolated point of A if $\exists U \in PGO(X)$ such that $U \cap A = \{x\}$.

Definition 2.03: Let $A \subset X$.

- (i) Then A is said to be pg -discrete if each point of A is pg -isolated point of A . The set of all pg -isolated points of A is denoted by $I_{pg}(A)$.

Corresponding author: S. Balasubramanian^{1}, *E-mail: mani55682@rediffmail.com

- (ii) For any $A \subset X$, the intersection of all pg -closed sets containing A is called the pg -closure of A and is denoted by $pg(A)^-$.
- (iii) For any $A \subset X$, $A \sim pg(A)^0$ is said to be pg -border or pg -boundary of A and is denoted by $B_{pg}(A)$.
- (iv) For any $A \subset X$, $pg [pg(X \sim A)]^0$ is said to be the pg -exterior $A \subset X$ and is denoted by $pg(A)^e$.

Definition 2.04: The set of all pg -interior points A is said to be pg -interior of A and is denoted by $pg(A)^0$.

Theorem 2.01: (i) Let $A \subseteq Y \subseteq X$ and Y is regularly open subspace of X then $A \in PGO(Y, \tau_Y)$ iff Y is pg -open in X
(ii) Let $Y \subseteq X$ and A is a pg -neighborhood of x in Y . Then A is pg -neighborhood of x in Y iff Y is pg -open in X .

Theorem 2.02: Arbitrary intersection of pg -closed sets is pg -closed. More Precisely, Let $\{A_i; i \in I\}$ be a collection of pg -closed sets, then $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$ is again pg -closed.

Note 2: Finite union and finite intersection of pg -closed sets is not pg -closed in general.

Theorem 2.03: Let $X = X_1 \times X_2$. Let $A_1 \in PGC(X_1)$ and $A_2 \in PGC(X_2)$, then $A_1 \times A_2 \in PGC(X_1 \times X_2)$.

3. Minimal pg -open Sets and Maximal pg -closed Sets:

We now introduce minimal pg -open sets and maximal pg -closed sets in topological spaces as follows.

Definition 3.1: A proper nonempty pg -open subset U of X is said to be a **minimal pg -open set** if any pg -open set contained in U is ϕ or U .

Example 1: Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$; $\tau = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$. $\{a\}$ and $\{b\}$ are both Minimal open set and Minimal pg -open set.

Remark 1: Minimal open set and minimal pg -open set are independent to each other:

Example 2: Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$; $\tau = \{\phi, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}, X\}$. $\{a, b\}$ is Minimal open but not Minimal pg -open and $\{a\}, \{b\}$ are Minimal pg -open but not Minimal open.

Remark 2: From the above example and known results we have the following implications

Theorem 3.1:

- (i) Let U be a minimal pg -open set and W be a pg -open set. Then $U \cap W = \phi$ or $U \subset W$.
- (ii) Let U and V be minimal pg -open sets. Then $U \cap V = \phi$ or $U = V$.

Proof:

(i) Let U be a minimal pg -open set and W be a pg -open set. If $U \cap W = \phi$, then there is nothing to prove. If $U \cap W \neq \phi$. Then $U \cap W \subset U$. Since U is a minimal pg -open set, we have $U \cap W = U$. Therefore $U \subset W$.

(ii) Let U and V be minimal pg -open sets. If $U \cap V \neq \phi$, then $U \subset V$ and $V \subset U$ by (i). Therefore $U = V$.

Theorem 3.2: Let U be a minimal pg -open set. If $x \in U$, then $U \subset W$ for any regular open neighborhood W of x .

Proof: Let U be a minimal pg -open set and x be an element of U . Suppose \exists a regular open neighborhood W of x such that $U \not\subset W$. Then $U \cap W$ is a pg -open set such that $U \cap W \subset U$ and $U \cap W \neq \phi$. Since U is a minimal pg -open set, we have $U \cap W = U$. That is $U \subset W$, which is a contradiction for $U \not\subset W$. Therefore $U \subset W$ for any regular open neighborhood W of x .

Theorem 3.3: Let U be a minimal pg -open set. If $x \in U$, then $U \subset W$ for some pg -open set W containing x .

Theorem 3.4: Let U be a minimal pg -open set. Then $U = \bigcap \{W: W \in PGO(X, x)\}$ for any element x of U .

Proof: By theorem [3.3] and U is pg -open set containing x , we have $U \subset \bigcap \{W: W \in PGO(X, x)\} \subset U$.

Theorem 3.5: Let U be a nonempty pg -open set. Then the following three conditions are equivalent.

- (i) U is a minimal pg -open set
- (ii) $U \subset pg(S)^-$ for any nonempty subset S of U
- (iii) $pg(U)^- = pg(S)^-$ for any nonempty subset S of U .

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let $x \in U$; U be minimal pg -open set and $S(\neq \emptyset) \subset U$. By theorem [3.3], for any pg -open set W containing x , $S \subset U \subset W \Rightarrow S \subset W$. Now $S = S \cap U \subset S \cap W$. Since $S \neq \emptyset$, $S \cap W \neq \emptyset$. Since W is any pg -open set containing x , by theorem[5.03], $x \in pg(S)^-$. That is $x \in U \Rightarrow x \in pg(S)^- \Rightarrow U \subset pg(S)^-$ for any nonempty subset S of U .

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Let S be a nonempty subset of U . That is $S \subset U \Rightarrow pg(S)^- \subset pg(U)^- \rightarrow (1)$. Again from (ii) $U \subset pg(S)^-$ for any $S(\neq \emptyset) \subset U \Rightarrow pg(U)^- \subset pg(pg(S)^-)^- = pg(S)^-$. That is $pg(U)^- \subset pg(S)^- \rightarrow (2)$.

From (1) and (2), we have $pg(U)^- = pg(S)^-$ for any nonempty subset S of U .

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) From (3) we have $pg(U)^- = pg(S)^-$ for any nonempty subset S of U . Suppose U is not a minimal pg -open set.

Then \exists a nonempty pg -open set V such that $V \subset U$ and $V \neq U$. Now \exists an element a in U such that $a \notin V \Rightarrow a \in V^c$. That is $pg(\{a\})^- \subset pg(V^c)^- = V^c$, as V^c is pg -closed set in X . It follows that $pg(\{a\})^- \neq pg(U)^-$. This is a contradiction for $pg(\{a\})^- = pg(U)^-$ for any $\{a\}(\neq \emptyset) \subset U$. Therefore U is a minimal pg -open set.

Theorem 3.6: Let V be a nonempty finite pg -open set. Then \exists at least one (finite) minimal pg -open set U such that $U \subset V$.

Proof: Let V be a nonempty finite pg -open set. If V is a minimal pg -open set, we may set $U = V$. If V is not a minimal pg -open set, then \exists (finite) pg -open set V_1 such that $\emptyset \neq V_1 \subset V$. If V_1 is a minimal pg -open set, we may set $U = V_1$. If V_1 is not a minimal pg -open set, then \exists (finite) pg -open set V_2 such that $\emptyset \neq V_2 \subset V_1$. Continuing this process, we have a sequence of pg -open sets $V \supset V_1 \supset V_2 \supset V_3 \supset \dots \supset V_k \supset \dots$. Since V is a finite set, this process repeats only finitely. Then finally we get a minimal pg -open set $U = V_n$ for some positive integer n .

[A topological space X is said to be locally finite space if each of its elements is contained in a finite open set.]

Corollary 3.1: Let X be a locally finite space and V be a nonempty pg -open set. Then \exists at least one (finite) minimal pg -open set U such that $U \subset V$.

Proof: Let X be a locally finite space and V be a nonempty pg -open set. Let x in V . Since X is locally finite space, we have a finite open set V_x such that x in V_x . Then $V \cap V_x$ is a finite pg -open set. By Theorem 3.6 \exists at least one (finite) minimal pg -open set U such that $U \subset V \cap V_x$. That is $U \subset V \cap V_x \subset V$. Hence \exists at least one (finite) minimal pg -open set U such that $U \subset V$.

Corollary 3.2: Let V be a finite minimal open set. Then \exists at least one (finite) minimal pg -open set U such that $U \subset V$.

Proof: Let V be a finite minimal open set. Then V is a nonempty finite pg -open set. By Theorem 3.6, \exists at least one (finite) minimal pg -open set U such that $U \subset V$.

Theorem 3.7: Let U ; U_λ be minimal pg -open sets for any element $\lambda \in \Gamma$. If $U \subset \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} U_\lambda$, then \exists an element $\lambda \in \Gamma$ such that $U = U_\lambda$.

Proof: Let $U \subset \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} U_\lambda$. Then $U \cap (\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} U_\lambda) = U$. That is $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} (U \cap U_\lambda) = U$. Also by theorem [3.1] (ii), $U \cap U_\lambda = \emptyset$ or $U = U_\lambda$ for any $\lambda \in \Gamma$. It follows that \exists an element $\lambda \in \Gamma$ such that $U = U_\lambda$.

Theorem 3.8: Let U ; U_λ be minimal pg -open sets for any $\lambda \in \Gamma$. If $U = U_\lambda$ for any $\lambda \in \Gamma$, then $(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} U_\lambda) \cap U = \emptyset$.

Proof: Suppose that $(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} U_\lambda) \cap U \neq \emptyset$. That is $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} (U_\lambda \cap U) \neq \emptyset$. Then \exists an element $\lambda \in \Gamma$ such that $U \cap U_\lambda \neq \emptyset$. By theorem 3.1(ii), we have $U = U_\lambda$, which contradicts the fact that $U \neq U_\lambda$ for any $\lambda \in \Gamma$. Hence $(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} U_\lambda) \cap U = \emptyset$.

We now introduce maximal pg -closed sets in topological spaces as follows.

Definition 3.2: A proper nonempty pg -closed $F \subset X$ is said to be **maximal pg -closed set** if any pg -closed set containing F is either X or F .

Example 3: In Example 1, $\{b, c, d\}$ is Maximal closed and Maximal pg -closed.

Remark 3: Maximal closed set and maximal pg -closed set are independent to each other:

Example 4: In Example 2, $\{c, d\}$ is Maximal closed but not Maximal pg -closed and $\{a, c, d\}$, $\{b, c, d\}$ are Maximal pg -closed but not Maximal closed.

Remark 4: From the known results and by the above example we have the following implications:

Theorem 3.9: A proper nonempty subset F of X is maximal pg -closed set iff $X-F$ is a minimal pg -open set.

Proof: Let F be a maximal pg -closed set. Suppose $X-F$ is not a minimal pg -open set. Then \exists pg -open set $U \neq X-F$ such that $\emptyset \neq U \subset X-F$. That is $F \subset X-U$ and $X-U$ is a pg -closed set which is a contradiction for F is a maximal pg -closed set.

Conversely let $X-F$ be a minimal pg -open set. Suppose F is not a maximal pg -closed set. Then \exists pg -closed set $E \neq F$ such that $F \subset E \neq X$. That is $\emptyset \neq X-E \subset X-F$ and $X-E$ is a pg -open set which is a contradiction for $X-F$ is a minimal pg -open set. Therefore F is a maximal pg -closed set.

Theorem 3.10:

- (i) Let F be a maximal pg -closed set and W be a pg -closed set. Then $F \cup W = X$ or $W \subset F$.
- (ii) Let F and S be maximal pg -closed sets. Then $F \cup S = X$ or $F = S$.

Proof: (i) Let F be a maximal pg -closed set and W be a pg -closed set. If $F \cup W = X$, then there is nothing to prove. Suppose $F \cup W \neq X$. Then $F \subset F \cup W$. Therefore $F \cup W = F \Rightarrow W \subset F$.

(ii) Let F and S be maximal pg -closed sets. If $F \cup S \neq X$, then we have $F \subset S$ and $S \subset F$ by (i). Therefore $F = S$.

Theorem 3.11: Let F be a maximal pg -closed set. If x is an element of F , then for any pg -closed set S containing x , $F \cup S = X$ or $S \subset F$.

Proof: Let F be a maximal pg -closed set and x is an element of F . Suppose \exists pg -closed set S containing x such that $F \cup S \neq X$. Then $F \subset F \cup S$ and $F \cup S$ is a pg -closed set, as the finite union of pg -closed sets is a pg -closed set. Since F is a maximal pg -closed set, we have $F \cup S = F$. Therefore $S \subset F$.

Theorem 3.12: Let $F_\alpha, F_\beta, F_\delta$ be maximal pg -closed sets such that $F_\alpha \neq F_\beta$. If $F_\alpha \cap F_\beta \subset F_\delta$, then either $F_\alpha = F_\delta$ or $F_\beta = F_\delta$.

Proof: Given that $F_\alpha \cap F_\beta \subset F_\delta$. If $F_\alpha = F_\delta$ then there is nothing to prove.

If $F_\alpha \neq F_\delta$ then we have to prove $F_\beta = F_\delta$. Now $F_\beta \cap F_\delta = F_\beta \cap (F_\delta \cap X) = F_\beta \cap (F_\delta \cap (F_\alpha \cup F_\beta))$ (by theorem 3.10 (ii))
 $F_\beta \cap ((F_\delta \cap F_\alpha) \cup (F_\delta \cap F_\beta)) = (F_\beta \cap F_\delta \cap F_\alpha) \cup (F_\beta \cap F_\delta \cap F_\beta) = (F_\alpha \cap F_\beta) \cup (F_\delta \cap F_\beta)$ (by $F_\alpha \cap F_\beta \subset F_\delta$)
 $= (F_\alpha \cup F_\delta) \cap F_\beta = X \cap F_\beta$ (Since F_α and F_δ are maximal pg -closed sets by theorem [3.10](ii), $F_\alpha \cup F_\delta = X$)
 $= F_\beta$.

That is $F_\beta \cap F_\delta = F_\beta \Rightarrow F_\beta \subset F_\delta$. Since F_β and F_δ are maximal pg -closed sets, we have $F_\beta = F_\delta$. Therefore $F_\beta = F_\delta$.

Theorem 3.13: Let F_α, F_β and F_δ be different maximal pg -closed sets to each other. Then $(F_\alpha \cap F_\beta) \not\subset (F_\alpha \cap F_\delta)$.

Proof: Let $(F_\alpha \cap F_\beta) \subset (F_\alpha \cap F_\delta) \Rightarrow (F_\alpha \cap F_\beta) \cup (F_\delta \cap F_\beta) \subset (F_\alpha \cap F_\delta) \cup (F_\delta \cap F_\beta) \Rightarrow (F_\alpha \cup F_\delta) \cap F_\beta \subset F_\delta \cap (F_\alpha \cup F_\beta)$. Since by theorem 3.10(ii), $F_\alpha \cup F_\delta = X$ and $F_\alpha \cup F_\beta = X \Rightarrow X \cap F_\beta \subset F_\delta \cap X \Rightarrow F_\beta \subset F_\delta$. From the definition of maximal pg -closed set it follows that $F_\beta = F_\delta$, which is a contradiction to the fact that F_α, F_β and F_δ are different to each other. Therefore $(F_\alpha \cap F_\beta) \not\subset (F_\alpha \cap F_\delta)$.

Theorem 3.14: Let F be a maximal pg -closed set and x be an element of F . Then $F = \bigcup \{ S : S \text{ is a } pg\text{-closed set containing } x \text{ such that } F \cup S \neq X \}$.

Proof: By theorem 3.12 and fact that F is a pg -closed set containing x , we have $F \subset \bigcup \{ S : S \text{ is a } pg\text{-closed set containing } x \text{ such that } F \cup S \neq X \} - F$. Therefore we have the result.

Theorem 3.15: Let F be a proper nonempty cofinite pg -closed set. Then \exists (cofinite) maximal pg -closed set E such that $F \subset E$.

Proof: If F is maximal pg -closed set, we may set $E = F$. If F is not a maximal pg -closed set, then \exists (cofinite) pg -closed set F_1 such that $F \subset F_1 \neq X$. If F_1 is a maximal pg -closed set, we may set $E = F_1$. If F_1 is not a maximal pg -closed set, then \exists a (cofinite) pg -closed set F_2 such that $F \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \neq X$. Continuing this process, we have a sequence of pg -closed, $F \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \dots \subset F_k \subset \dots$. Since F is a cofinite set, this process repeats only finitely. Then, finally we get a maximal pg -closed set $E = E_n$ for some positive integer n .

Theorem 3.16: Let F be a maximal pg -closed set. If x is an element of $X-F$. Then $X-F \subset E$ for any pg -closed set E containing x .

Proof: Let F be a maximal pg -closed set and $x \in X-F$. $E \not\subset F$ for any pg -closed set E containing x . Then $E \cup F = X$ by theorem 3.10(ii). Therefore $X-F \subset E$.

4. Minimal pg -Closed set and Maximal pg -open set:

We now introduce minimal pg -closed sets and maximal pg -open sets in topological spaces as follows.

Definition 4.1: A proper nonempty pg -closed subset F of X is said to be a **minimal pg -closed set** if any pg -closed set contained in F is ϕ or F .

Example 5: In Example 2, $\{d\}$ is both Minimal closed set and Minimal pg -closed set, $\{a\}$, $\{b\}$, $\{c\}$ are Minimal pg -closed set but not Minimal closed set.

Remark 5: Minimal closed and minimal pg -closed set are independent to each other:

Example 6: In Example 1, $\{c, d\}$ is Minimal closed but not Minimal pg -closed set and $\{c\}$ and $\{d\}$ are Minimal pg -closed but not Minimal closed.

Definition 4.2: A proper nonempty pg -open $U \subset X$ is said to be a **maximal pg -open set** if any pg -open set containing U is either X or U .

Example 7: In Example 2, $\{a, b, c\}$ is Maximal open set and maximal pg -open set but $\{a, b, d\}$, $\{a, c, d\}$ and $\{b, c, d\}$ are Maximal pg -open but not maximal open.

Remark 6: Maximal open set and maximal pg -open set are independent to each other.

Example 8: In Example 1, $\{a, b\}$ is Maximal open set but not maximal pg -open set and $\{a, b, c\}$, $\{a, b, d\}$ are Maximal pg -open but not maximal open.

Theorem 4.1: A proper nonempty subset U of X is maximal pg -open set iff $X-U$ is a minimal pg -closed set.

Proof: Let U be a maximal pg -open set. Suppose $X-U$ is not a minimal pg -closed set. Then \exists pg -closed set $V \neq X-U$ such that $\phi \neq V \subset X-U$. That is $U \subset X-V$ and $X-V$ is a pg -open set which is a contradiction for U is a maximal pg -open set. Conversely let $X-U$ be a minimal pg -closed set. Suppose U is not a maximal pg -open set. Then \exists pg -open set $E \neq U$ such that $U \subset E \neq X$. That is $\phi \neq X-E \subset X-U$ and $X-E$ is a pg -closed set which is a contradiction for $X-U$ is a minimal pg -closed set. Therefore U is a maximal pg -open set.

Lemma 4.1:

- (i) Let U be a minimal pg -closed set and W be a pg -closed set. Then $U \cap W = \phi$ or $U \subset W$.
- (ii) Let U and V be minimal pg -closed sets. Then $U \cap V = \phi$ or $U = V$.

Proof:

- (i) Let U be a minimal pg -closed set and W be a pg -closed set. If $U \cap W = \phi$, then there is nothing to prove.

If $U \cap W \neq \phi$. Then $U \cap W \subset U$. Since U is a minimal pg -closed set, we have $U \cap W = U$. Therefore $U \subset W$.

- (ii) Let U and V be minimal pg -closed sets. If $U \cap V \neq \phi$, then $U \subset V$ and $V \subset U$ by (i). Therefore $U = V$.

Theorem 4.2: Let U be a minimal pg -closed set. If $x \in U$, then $U \subset W$ for any regular open neighborhood W of x .

Proof: Let U be a minimal pg -closed set and x be an element of U . Suppose \exists an regular open neighborhood W of x such that $U \not\subset W$. Then $U \cap W$ is a pg -closed set such that $U \cap W \subset U$ and $U \cap W \neq \phi$. Since U is a minimal pg -closed set, we have $U \cap W = U$. That is $U \subset W$, which is a contradiction for $U \not\subset W$. Therefore $U \subset W$ for any regular open neighborhood W of x .

Theorem 4.3: Let U be a minimal pg -closed set. If $x \in U$, then $U \subset W$ for some pg -closed set W containing x .

Theorem 4.4: Let U be a minimal pg -closed set. Then $U = \bigcap \{W : W \in PGO(X, x)\}$ for any element x of U .

Proof: By theorem [4.3] and U is pg -closed set containing x , we have $U \subset \bigcap \{W : W \in PGO(X, x)\} \subset U$.

Theorem 4.5: Let U be a nonempty pg -closed set. Then the following three conditions are equivalent.

- (i) U is a minimal pg -closed set
- (ii) $U \subset pg(S)^-$ for any nonempty subset S of U
- (iii) $pg(U)^- = pg(S)^-$ for any nonempty subset S of U .

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let $x \in U$; U be minimal pg -closed set and $S (\neq \phi) \subset U$. By theorem[4.3], for any pg -closed set W containing x , $S \subset U \subset W \Rightarrow S \subset W$. Now $S = S \cap U \subset S \cap W$. Since $S \neq \phi$, $S \cap W \neq \phi$. Since W is any pg -closed set containing x , by theorem[4.3], $x \in pg(S)^-$. That is $x \in U \Rightarrow x \in pg(S)^- \Rightarrow U \subset pg(S)^-$ for any nonempty subset S of U .

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Let S be a nonempty subset of U . That is $S \subset U \Rightarrow pg(S)^- \subset pg(U)^- \rightarrow (1)$. Again from (ii) $U \subset pg(S)^-$ for any $S (\neq \phi) \subset U \Rightarrow pg(U)^- \subset pg(pg(S)^-)^- = pg(S)^-$. That is $pg(U)^- \subset pg(S)^- \rightarrow (2)$.

From (1) and (2), we have $pg(U)^- = pg(S)^-$ for any nonempty subset S of U .

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) From (3) we have $pg(U)^- = pg(S)^-$ for any nonempty subset S of U . Suppose U is not a minimal pg -closed set. Then \exists a nonempty pg -closed set V such that $V \subset U$ and $V \neq U$. Now \exists an element a in U such that $a \notin V \Rightarrow a \in V^c$. That is $pg(\{a\})^- \subset pg(V^c)^- = V^c$, as V^c is pg -closed set in X . It follows that $pg(\{a\})^- \neq pg(U)^-$. This is a contradiction for $pg(\{a\})^- = pg(U)^-$ for any $\{a\} (\neq \phi) \subset U$. Therefore U is a minimal pg -closed set.

Theorem 4.6: Let V be a nonempty finite pg -closed set. Then \exists at least one (finite) minimal pg -closed set U such that $U \subset V$.

Proof: Let V be a nonempty finite pg -closed set. If V is a minimal pg -closed set, we may set $U = V$. If V is not a minimal pg -closed set, then \exists (finite) pg -closed set V_1 such that $\phi \neq V_1 \subset V$. If V_1 is a minimal pg -closed set, we may set $U = V_1$. If V_1 is not a minimal pg -closed set, then \exists (finite) pg -closed set V_2 such that $\phi \neq V_2 \subset V_1$. Continuing this process, we have a sequence of pg -closed sets $V \supset V_1 \supset V_2 \supset V_3 \supset \dots \supset V_k \supset \dots$. Since V is a finite set, this process repeats only finitely. Then finally we get a minimal pg -closed set $U = V_n$ for some positive integer n .

Corollary 4.1: Let X be a locally finite space and V be a nonempty pg -closed set. Then \exists at least one (finite) minimal pg -closed set U such that $U \subset V$.

Proof: Let X be a locally finite space and V be a nonempty pg -closed set. Let x in V . Since X is locally finite space, we have a finite open set V_x such that x in V_x . Then $V \cap V_x$ is a finite pg -closed set. By Theorem 4.6 \exists at least one (finite) minimal pg -closed set U such that $U \subset V \cap V_x$. That is $U \subset V \cap V_x \subset V$. Hence \exists at least one (finite) minimal pg -closed set U such that $U \subset V$.

Corollary 4.2: Let V be a finite minimal open set. Then \exists at least one (finite) minimal pg -closed set U such that $U \subset V$.

Proof: Let V be a finite minimal open set. Then V is a nonempty finite pg -closed set. By Theorem 4.6, \exists at least one (finite) minimal pg -closed set U such that $U \subset V$.

Theorem 4.7: Let U ; U_λ be minimal pg -closed sets for any element $\lambda \in \Gamma$. If $U \subset \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} U_\lambda$, then \exists an element $\lambda \in \Gamma$ such that $U = U_\lambda$.

Proof: Let $U \subset \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} U_\lambda$. Then $U \cap (\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} U_\lambda) = U$. That is $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} (U \cap U_\lambda) = U$. Also by lemma[4.1] (ii), $U \cap U_\lambda = \phi$ or $U = U_\lambda$ for any $\lambda \in \Gamma$. It follows that \exists an element $\lambda \in \Gamma$ such that $U = U_\lambda$.

Theorem 4.8: Let U ; U_λ be minimal pg -closed sets for any $\lambda \in \Gamma$. If $U = U_\lambda$ for any $\lambda \in \Gamma$, then $(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} U_\lambda) \cap U = \phi$.

Proof: Suppose that $(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} U_\lambda) \cap U \neq \phi$. That is $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} (U_\lambda \cap U) \neq \phi$. Then \exists an element $\lambda \in \Gamma$ such that $U \cap U_\lambda \neq \phi$. By lemma[4.1](ii), we have $U = U_\lambda$, which contradicts the fact that $U \neq U_\lambda$ for any $\lambda \in \Gamma$. Hence $(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} U_\lambda) \cap U = \phi$.

Theorem 4.9: A proper nonempty subset F of X is maximal pg -open set iff $X-F$ is a minimal pg -closed set.

Proof: Let F be a maximal pg -open set. Suppose $X-F$ is not a minimal pg -open set. Then \exists pg -open set $U \neq X-F$ such that $\phi \neq U \subset X-F$. That is $F \subset X-U$ and $X-U$ is a pg -open set which is a contradiction for F is a maximal pg -closed set.

Conversely let $X-F$ be a minimal pg -open set. Suppose F is not a maximal pg -open set. Then \exists pg -open set $E \neq F$ such that $F \subset E \neq X$. That is $\phi \neq X-E \subset X-F$ and $X-E$ is a pg -open set which is a contradiction for $X-F$ is a minimal pg -closed set. Therefore F is a maximal pg -open set.

Theorem 4.10:

- (i) Let F be a maximal pg -open set and W be a pg -open set. Then $F \cup W = X$ or $W \subset F$.
 (ii) Let F and S be maximal pg -open sets. Then $F \cup S = X$ or $F = S$.

Proof: (i) Let F be a maximal pg -open set and W be a pg -open set. If $F \cup W = X$, then there is nothing to prove. Suppose $F \cup W \neq X$. Then $F \subset F \cup W$. Therefore $F \cup W = F \Rightarrow W \subset F$.

(ii) Let F and S be maximal pg -open sets. If $F \cup S \neq X$, then we have $F \subset S$ and $S \subset F$ by (i). Therefore $F = S$.

Theorem 4.11: Let F be a maximal pg -open set. If x is an element of F , then for any pg -open set S containing x , $F \cup S = X$ or $S \subset F$.

Proof: Let F be a maximal pg -open set and x is an element of F . Suppose \exists pg -open set S containing x such that $F \cup S \neq X$. Then $F \subset F \cup S$ and $F \cup S$ is a pg -open set, as the finite union of pg -open sets is a pg -open set. Since F is a pg -open set, we have $F \cup S = F$. Therefore $S \subset F$.

Theorem 4.12: Let $F_\alpha, F_\beta, F_\delta$ be maximal pg -open sets such that $F_\alpha \neq F_\beta$. If $F_\alpha \cap F_\beta \subset F_\delta$, then either $F_\alpha = F_\delta$ or $F_\beta = F_\delta$.

Proof: Given that $F_\alpha \cap F_\beta \subset F_\delta$. If $F_\alpha = F_\delta$ then there is nothing to prove.

If $F_\alpha \neq F_\delta$ then we have to prove $F_\beta = F_\delta$. Now $F_\beta \cap F_\delta = F_\beta \cap (F_\delta \cap X) = F_\beta \cap (F_\delta \cap (F_\alpha \cup F_\beta))$ (by thm. 4.10 (ii)) $= F_\beta \cap ((F_\delta \cap F_\alpha) \cup (F_\delta \cap F_\beta)) = (F_\beta \cap F_\delta \cap F_\alpha) \cup (F_\beta \cap F_\delta \cap F_\beta) = (F_\alpha \cap F_\beta) \cup (F_\delta \cap F_\beta)$ (by $F_\alpha \cap F_\beta \subset F_\delta$) $= (F_\alpha \cup F_\delta) \cap F_\beta = X \cap F_\beta$ (Since F_α and F_δ are maximal pg -open sets by theorem[4.10](ii), $F_\alpha \cup F_\delta = X$) $= F_\beta$. That is $F_\beta \cap F_\delta = F_\beta \Rightarrow F_\beta \subset F_\delta$. Since F_β and F_δ are maximal pg -open sets, we have $F_\beta = F_\delta$. Therefore $F_\beta = F_\delta$.

Theorem 4.13: Let F_α, F_β and F_δ be different maximal pg -open sets to each other. Then $(F_\alpha \cap F_\beta) \not\subset (F_\alpha \cap F_\delta)$.

Proof: Let $(F_\alpha \cap F_\beta) \subset (F_\alpha \cap F_\delta) \Rightarrow (F_\alpha \cap F_\beta) \cup (F_\delta \cap F_\beta) \subset (F_\alpha \cap F_\delta) \cup (F_\delta \cap F_\beta) \Rightarrow (F_\alpha \cup F_\delta) \cap F_\beta \subset F_\delta \cap (F_\alpha \cup F_\beta)$. Since by theorem 4.10(ii), $F_\alpha \cup F_\delta = X$ and $F_\alpha \cup F_\beta = X \Rightarrow X \cap F_\beta \subset F_\delta \cap X \Rightarrow F_\beta \subset F_\delta$. From the definition of maximal pg -open set it follows that $F_\beta = F_\delta$, which is a contradiction to the fact that F_α, F_β and F_δ are different to each other. Therefore $(F_\alpha \cap F_\beta) \not\subset (F_\alpha \cap F_\delta)$.

Theorem 4.14: Let F be a maximal pg -open set and x be an element of F . Then $F = \cup \{S : S \text{ is a } pg\text{-open set containing } x \text{ such that } F \cup S \neq X\}$.

Proof: By theorem 4.12 and fact that F is a pg -open set containing x , we have $F \subset \cup \{S : S \text{ is a } pg\text{-open set containing } x \text{ such that } F \cup S \neq X\} - F$. Therefore we have the result.

Theorem 4.15: Let F be a proper nonempty cofinite pg -open set. Then \exists (cofinite) maximal pg -open set E such that $F \subset E$.

Proof: If F is maximal pg -open set, we may set $E = F$. If F is not a maximal pg -open set, then \exists (cofinite) pg -open set F_1 such that $F \subset F_1 \neq X$. If F_1 is a maximal pg -open set, we may set $E = F_1$. If F_1 is not a maximal pg -open set, then \exists a (cofinite) pg -open set F_2 such that $F \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \neq X$. Continuing this process, we have a sequence of pg -open, $F \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \dots \subset F_k \subset \dots$. Since F is a cofinite set, this process repeats only finitely. Then, finally we get a maximal pg -open set $E = E_n$ for some positive integer n .

Theorem 4.16: Let F be a maximal pg -open set. If x is an element of $X-F$. Then $X-F \subset E$ for any pg -open set E containing x .

Proof: Let F be a maximal pg -open set and x in $X-F$. $E \not\subset F$ for any pg -open set E containing x . Then $E \cup F = X$ by theorem 4.10(ii). Therefore $X-F \subset E$.

Conclusion:

The Author is thankful to the referees for their critical comments and suggestions for the development of the paper.

References:

- [1] S. Balasubramanian, C. Sandhya and P. A. S. Vyjayanthi, On v -closed sets (In press) Acta Ciencia Indica

- [2] S. Balasubramanian, On vg -closed sets, Inter. J. Math. Archive. Vol. 2, No. 10 (2011), 1909 – 1915.
- [3] F. Nakaoka and N. Oda, Some Properties of Maximal Open Sets, Int. J. Math. Sci. 21, (2003)1331-1340.
- [4] F. Nakaoka and N. Oda, Some Applications of Minimal Open Sets, Int. J. Math. Sci. 27-8, (2001), 471-476.
- [5] F. Nakaoka and N. Oda, On Minimal Closed Sets, Proceeding of Topological spaces Theory and its Applications, 5(2003), 19-21.
- [6] A. Vadivel and K. Vairamanickam, Minimal $rg\alpha$ -open Sets and Maximal $rg\alpha$ -closed Sets, Submitted to Journal of Tripura Mathematical Society.
