

SOME FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR EXPANSION MAPPINGS TAKING SELF MAPPINGS

Piyush Bhatnagar*, **Abha Tenguriya***, **B. R. Wadkar**** and **R. N. Yadava*****

**Department of Mathematics, Govt. MLB Girls College Bhopal (M.P.)*

***Dept. of Mathematics "Sharadchandra Pawar College of Engg." Otur (Pune), (M.S.)*

****Director, Patel Institute of Technology, Bhopal (M.P.)*

E-mail: piyushbhatnagar22@gmail.com, dryadava@gmail.com

(Received on: 05-01-12; Accepted on: 26-01-12)

ABSTRACT

In the present paper we shall establish some fixed point theorems for expansion mappings in complete metric spaces and complete 2-metric spaces taking self mappings. Our results are generalization of some well known results.

Keywords: Fixed Point, Complete Metric spaces. Expansion mappings.

2. INTRODUCTION & PRELIMINARY:

This paper is divided into two parts

Section I: Some fixed point theorems for expansion mappings in complete metric spaces

Section II: Some fixed point theorems for expansion mappings in complete 2- Metric spaces Before starting main result we write some definitions.

Definition 2.1: (Metric Space) A metric space is an ordered pair (X, d) where X is a set and d a function on $X \times X$ with the properties of a metric, namely:

1. $d(x, y) \geq 0$. (*non-negative*) ,
2. $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ (*symmetry*),
3. $d(x, y) = 0$ if &only if $x = y$. (*identity of indiscernible*)
3. The triangle inequality holds:

$d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$, for all x, y, z in X & $x < y < z$.

Example 2.1: Let E_n (or R^n) = $\{x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n), x_i \in R, R$ the set of real numbers $\}$ and let d be defined as follows:

If $y = (y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_n)$ then $d(x, y) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = d_p(x, y)$ where p is a fixed number in $[0, \infty)$.

The fact that d is metric follows from the well-known Minkowski inequality. Also another metric on S considered above can be defined as follows

$$d(x, y) = \sup_i \{|x_i - y_i|\} = d_\infty(x, y)$$

**Corresponding author: Piyush Bhatnagar*, *E-mail: piyushbhatnagar22@gmail.com*

Example 2.2: Let S be the set of all sequences of real numbers $x = (x_i)_1^\infty$ such that for some fixed

$p \in [0, \infty)$, $\sum_1^\infty |x_i|^p < \infty$. In this case if $y = y_i$ is another point in S , we define

$$d(x, y) = \left(\sum |x_i - y_i|^p \right)^{1/p} = d_p(x, y), \text{ and from Minkowski inequality it follows that this is a metric on } S.$$

Example 2.3: For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, define $d(x, y) = |x - y|$. Then (\mathbb{R}, d) is a metric space. In general, for $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, define

$$d(x, y) = \sqrt{(x_1 - y_1)^2 + (x_2 - y_2)^2 + \dots + (x_n - y_n)^2}$$

Then (\mathbb{R}^n, d) is a metric space. As this d is usually used, we called it the usual metric.

Definition 2.2: (convergent sequence in Metric space)

A sequence in metric space (X, d) is convergent to $x \in X$, if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$

Definition 2.3: (Cauchy sequence in Metric space) Let $M = (X, d)$ be a metric space, let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in M , then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence if and only if

$$\forall \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}: \epsilon > 0: \exists N: \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}: m, n \geq N: d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$$

Definition 2.4: (Complete Metric space)

A metric space (X, d) is complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

Definition (2.5): A 2-metric space is a space X in which for each triple of points x, y, z , there exists a real function d (x, y, z) such that [M₁] to each pair of distinct points x, y, z , $d(x, y, z) \neq 0$

[M₂] $d(x, y, z) = 0$ when at least two of x, y, z are equal

[M₃] $d(x, y, z) = d(y, z, x) = d(x, z, y)$

[M₄] $d(x, y, z) \leq d(x, y, v) + d(x, v, z) + d(v, y, z)$ for all x, y, z, v in X .

Definition (2.6): A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a 2-metric space (X, d) is said to be convergent at x if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x, z) = 0 \text{ for all } z \text{ in } X.$$

Definition (2.7): A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a 2-metric space, (X, d) is said to be Cauchy sequence if limit

$$\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x, z) = 0 \text{ for all } z \text{ in } X.$$

Definition (2.7): A 2-metric space (X, d) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent.

Basic Theorems

In 1975, Fisher [4], proved the following results:

Theorem (A): Let T be a self mapping of a metric spaces X such that,

$$d(Tx, Ty) \geq \frac{1}{2}[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)] \quad \forall x, y \in X, \text{ Then } T \text{ is an identity mappings.}$$

Theorem (B): Let X be a compact metric space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ satisfies (4.A a)

And $x \neq y$ and $x, y \in X$. Then T^r has a fixed point for some positive integer r , and T is invertible.

In 1984 the first known result for expansion mapping was proved by Wang, Li, Gao and Iseki [13].

Theorem (C): ‘Let T be a self map of complete metric space X into itself and if there is a constant $\alpha > 1$ such that, $d(Tx, Ty) \geq \alpha d(x, y)$ For all $x, y \in X$.

Then T has a unique fixed point in X .

Theorem (D): If there exist non negative real numbers α, β, γ with $\alpha + \beta + \gamma > 1$ and $\alpha < 1$ such that $d(Tx, Ty) \geq \alpha d(x, Tx) + \beta d(y, Ty) + \gamma d(x, y)$, For each x, y in X with $x \neq y$ and T is onto then T has a fixed point in X .

Theorem (E): If there exist non negative real numbers $\alpha > 1$ such that $d(Tx, Ty) \geq \alpha \min\{d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, y)\} \forall x, y \in X$,

T is continuous on X onto itself, then T has a fixed point.

Theorem (F): If there exist non negative real numbers $\alpha > 1$ such that $d(Tx, T^2x) \geq \alpha d(x, Tx) \forall x \in X$, T is onto and continuous then T has a fixed point

In 1988, Park and Rhoades [8] shows that the above theorems are all consequence of a theorem of park [7]. In 1991, Rhoades [10] generalized the result of Iseki and others for pair of mappings:

Theorem (G): If there exist non negative real numbers $\alpha > 1$ and T, S be surjective self –map on a complete metric space (X, d) such that;

$d(Tx, Sy) \geq \alpha d(x, y) \forall x \in X$, Then T and S have a unique common fixed point.

In 1989 Taniguchi [12] extended some results of Iseki .Later, the results of expansion mappings were extended to 2-metric spaces, introduced by Sharma, Sharma and Iseki [11] for contractive mappings. Many other mathematicians worked on this way.

Rhoades [10] summarized contractive mapping of different types and discussed on their fixed-point theorems. He considered many types of mappings and analyzed the relationship amongst them, where $d(Tx, Ty)$ is governed by, $d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx), d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)$

Many other mathematicians like Wang, Gao, Isekey [13], Popa [9], Jain and Jain [5], Jain and Yadav [6] worked on expansion mappings. Recently, Agrawal and Chouhan [1, 2], Bhardwaj, Rajput and Yadava [1] worked for common fixed point for expansion mapping.

Our object in this paper is, to obtain some result on fixed-point theorems of expansion type’s maps on complete metric space, which are motivated by Rhoades [1], Wang, Gao, Iskey [2]. Also we are finding some results in 2- metric spaces for expansion mappings.

Now in section I, we will find some fixed point theorems for expansion mappings in complete metric spaces.

3 MAIN RESULTS:

Theorem 3.1: Let X denotes the complete metric space with metric d and f is a mapping of X into itself. If there exist non negative real’s, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta, \delta > 1$ with $\alpha + 2\beta + \delta > 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} d(fx, fy) &\geq \alpha \frac{d(x, fx).d(y, fy).d(x, fy) + d(x, y).d(y, fx).d(x, fx)}{d(y, fx).d(y, fy)} \\ &\quad + \beta[d(x, fx) + d(y, fy)] \\ &\quad + \gamma[d(x, fy) + d(y, fx)] \\ &\quad + \delta[d(x, y)] \end{aligned}$$

For each x, y in X with $x \neq y$ and f is onto then f has a fixed point.

Proof: Let $x_0 \in X$. since f is onto, there is an element x_1 satisfying $x_1 = f^1(x_0)$. Similarly we can write

$$x_n = f^{n-1}(x_{n-1}), \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

From the hypothesis

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_{n-1}, x_n) &= d(f x_n, f x_{n+1}) \\
 &\geq \alpha \cdot \frac{d(x_n, f x_n) d(x_{n+1}, f x_{n+1}) d(x_n, f x_{n+1}) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}) d(x_n, f x_n) d(x_n, f x_{n+1})}{d(x_{n+1}, f x_n) d(x_n+1, f x_{n+1})} \\
 &\quad + \beta [d(x_n, f x_n) + d(x_{n+1}, f x_{n+1})] \\
 &\quad + \gamma [d(x_n, f x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, f x_n)] \\
 &\quad + \delta [d(x_n, x_{n+1})] \\
 &\geq \alpha \cdot \frac{d(x_n, x_{n-1}) d(x_{n+1}, x_n) d(x_n, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}) d(x_{n+1}, x_{n-1}) d(x_n, x_{n-1})}{d(x_{n+1}, x_{n-1}) d(x_n+1, x_n)} \\
 &\quad + \beta [d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_n)] + \gamma [d(x_n, x_n) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n-1})] \\
 &\quad + \delta [d(x_n, x_{n+1})] \\
 &\geq \alpha \cdot \frac{d(x_n, x_{n+1}) d(x_{n+1}, x_{n-1}) d(x_n, x_{n-1})}{d(x_{n+1}, x_{n-1}) d(x_n+1, x_n)} \\
 &\quad + \beta [d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_n)] \\
 &\quad + \gamma [d(x_{n+1}, x_{n-1})] \\
 &\quad + \delta [d(x_n, x_{n+1})] \\
 &\geq \alpha \cdot d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + \beta [d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_n)] \\
 &\quad + \gamma [d(x_{n+1}, x_n) - d(x_n, x_{n-1})] \\
 &\quad + \delta [d(x_n, x_{n+1})] \\
 \Rightarrow (1-\alpha-\beta+\gamma)d(x_n, x_{n-1}) &\geq (\beta+\gamma+\delta)d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \\
 \Rightarrow d(x_{n+1}, x_n) &\leq \frac{(1-\alpha-\beta+\gamma)}{\beta+\gamma+\delta} \cdot d(x_n, x_{n-1})
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\{X_n\}$ converges to x in X . Let $y \in f^1(x)$, for infinitely many n , $x_n \neq x$ for such n ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_n, x) &= d(f x_{n+1}, fy) \\
 &\geq \alpha \cdot \frac{d(x_{n+1}, f x_{n+1}) d(y, fy) d(x_{n+1}, fy) + d(x_n, y) d(y, f x_{n+1}) d(x_{n+1}, f x_{n+1})}{d(y, f x_{n+1}) d(y, fy)} \\
 &\quad + \beta [d(x_{n+1}, f x_{n+1}) + d(y, fy)] \\
 &\quad + \gamma [d(x_{n+1}, fy) + d(y, f x_{n+1})] \\
 &\quad + \delta \cdot d(x_{n+1}, y) \\
 &\geq \alpha \cdot \frac{d(x_{n+1}, x_n) d(y, x) d(x_{n+1}, x) + d(x_n, y) d(y, x_n) d(x_{n+1}, x_n)}{d(y, x_n) d(y, x)} \\
 &\quad + \beta [d(x_{n+1}, x_n) + d(y, x)] \\
 &\quad + \gamma [d(x_{n+1}, x) + d(y, x_n)] \\
 &\quad + \delta \cdot d(x_{n+1}, y)
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_n) = d(x_{n+1}, x) = 0$$

Therefore $d(x, y) = 0 \Rightarrow x = y$

i.e. $y = f(x) = x$

This completes the proof of the theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.2: Let X denotes the complete metric space with metric d and f is a mapping of X into itself.

If there exist non negative real's, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta, \delta > 1$ with $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2\eta - \delta > 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} d(fx, fy) &\geq \alpha \frac{d(x, fx).d(y, fy).d(x, fy) + d(x, y).d(y, fx).d(x, fx)}{d(y, fx).d(y, fy)} \\ &\quad + \beta \frac{d(x, fy).d(x, y) + d(y, fx).d(x, fx)}{d(y, fx)} \\ &\quad + \gamma \left[\frac{d(x, fx).d(y, fy)}{d(x, y)} \right] \\ &\quad + \eta \frac{d(x, y).d(fx, fy) + d(x, fx).d(y, fy)}{d(y, fy)} \\ &\quad + \delta.d(y, fy) \end{aligned}$$

For each x, y in X with $x \neq y$, & $d(y, fx).d(y, fy) \neq 0$ and f is onto then f has a fixed point.

Proof: Let $x_0 \in X$. since f is onto, there is an element x_1 satisfying $x_1 = f^{-1}(x_0)$. Similarly we can write

$$x_n = f^{n-1}(x_0), \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

From the hypothesis

$$d(x_{n-1}, x_n) = d(f x_n, f x_{n+1})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \alpha \frac{d(x_n, f x_n)d(x_{n+1}, f x_{n+1})d(x_n, f x_{n+1}) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})d(x_{n+1}, f x_n)d(x_n, f x_n)}{d(x_{n+1}, f x_n)d(x_{n+1}, f x_{n+1})} \\ &\quad + \beta \frac{d(x_n, f x_{n+1})d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, f x_n)d(x_n, f x_n)}{d(x_{n+1}, f x_n)} \\ &\quad + \gamma \left[\frac{d(x_n, f x_n)d(x_{n+1}, f x_{n+1})}{d(x_n, x_{n+1})} \right] \\ &\quad + \eta \frac{d(x_n, x_{n+1})d(f x_n, f x_{n+1}) + d(x_n, f x_n)d(x_{n+1}, f x_{n+1})}{d(x_{n+1}, f x_{n+1})} \\ &\quad + \delta.d(x_{n+1}, f x_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \geq \alpha \cdot \frac{d(x_n, x_{n-1})d(x_{n+1}, x_n)d(x_n, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})d(x_{n+1}, x_{n-1})d(x_n, x_{n-1})}{d(x_{n+1}, x_{n-1})d(x_{n+1}, x_n)} \\
 & + \beta \cdot \frac{d(x_n, x_n)d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n-1})d(x_n, x_{n-1})}{d(x_{n+1}, x_{n-1})} \\
 & + \gamma \left[\frac{d(x_n, x_{n-1})d(x_{n+1}, x_n)}{d(x_n, x_{n+1})} \right] \\
 & + \eta \frac{d(x_n, x_{n+1})d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n-1})d(x_{n+1}, x_n)}{d(x_n, x_{n+1})} \\
 & + \delta \cdot d(\mathcal{X}_{n+1}, \mathcal{X}_n) \\
 & \geq \alpha \cdot \frac{d(x_n, x_{n+1})d(x_{n+1}, x_{n-1})d(x_n, x_{n-1})}{d(x_{n+1}, x_{n-1})d(x_{n+1}, x_n)} \\
 & + \beta \cdot \frac{d(x_{n+1}, x_{n-1})d(x_n, x_{n-1})}{d(x_{n+1}, x_{n-1})} \\
 & + \gamma [d(x_n, x_{n-1})] \\
 & + 2\eta \cdot d(\mathcal{X}_{n-1}, \mathcal{X}_n) + \delta \cdot d(\mathcal{X}_{n+1}, \mathcal{X}_n) \\
 & \geq \alpha \cdot d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + \beta \cdot d(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{X}_{n-1}) \\
 & + \gamma [d(x_n, x_{n-1})] + 2\eta \cdot d(\mathcal{X}_{n-1}, \mathcal{X}_n) \\
 & + \delta \cdot d(\mathcal{X}_{n+1}, \mathcal{X}_n) \\
 & \geq (\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2\eta) \cdot d(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{X}_{n-1}) + \delta \cdot d(\mathcal{X}_{n+1}, \mathcal{X}_n) (1 - \alpha - \beta - \gamma - 2\eta) \cdot d(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{X}_{n-1}) \geq \delta \cdot d(\mathcal{X}_{n+1}, \mathcal{X}_n)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow d(\mathcal{X}_{n+1}, \mathcal{X}_n) \leq \frac{1 - \alpha - \beta - \gamma - 2\eta}{\delta} \cdot d(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{X}_{n-1})$$

$$\text{Since } \frac{1 - \alpha - \beta - \gamma - 2\eta}{\delta} < 1$$

Therefore $\{\mathcal{X}_n\}$ converges to x in X . Let $y \in f^1(x)$, for infinitely many n , $x_n \neq x$ for such n ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_n, x) &= d(f x_{n+1}, fy) \\
 &\geq \alpha \cdot \frac{d(x_{n+1}, f x_{n+1})d(y, fy)d(x_{n+1}, fy) + d(x_{n+1}, y)d(y, f x_{n+1})d(x_{n+1}, f x_{n+1})}{d(y, f x_{n+1})d(y, fy)} \\
 &+ \beta \left[\frac{d(x_{n+1}, fy) + d(x_{n+1}, y) + d(y, f x_{n+1})d(x_{n+1}, f x_{n+1})}{d(y, f x_{n+1})} \right] \\
 &+ \gamma \left[\frac{d(x_{n+1}, f x_{n+1})d(y, fy)}{d(x_{n+1}, y)} \right] \\
 &+ \eta \frac{d(x_{n+1}, y)d(x_{n+1}, fy) + d(x_{n+1}, f x_{n+1})d(y, fy)}{d(y, fy)} \\
 &+ \delta \cdot d(y, fy)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \alpha \cdot \frac{d(x_{n+1}, x_n) d(y, x) d(x_{n+1}, x) + d(x_{n+1}, y) d(y, x_n) d(x_{n+1}, x_n)}{d(y, x_n) d(y, x)} \\ &+ \beta \left[\frac{d(x_{n+1}, x) + d(x_{n+1}, y) + d(y, x_n) d(x_{n+1}, x_n)}{d(y, x_n)} \right] \\ &+ \gamma \left[\frac{d(x_{n+1}, x_n) d(y, x)}{d(x_{n+1}, y)} \right] \\ &+ \eta \frac{d(x_{n+1}, y) d(x_{n+1}, x) + d(x_{n+1}, x_n) d(y, x)}{d(y, x)} \\ &+ \delta \cdot d(y, x) \end{aligned}$$

Since $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$d(x_{n+1}, x) = d(x_{n+1}, x_n) = 0$$

$$\text{Therefore } d(x, y) = 0 \Rightarrow x = y$$

$$\text{i.e. } y = f(x) = x$$

This completes the proof of the theorem 3.2

Now in section II we will find some fixed point theorems in 2-metric spaces for expansion mappings.

Section II: Some fixed point theorems in 2-Metric spaces for expansion mappings

Theorem 3.3: Let X denotes the complete 2- metric space with metric d and f is a mapping of X into itself. If there exist non negative real's, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta, \delta$, a (real) > 1 with $\alpha + 2\beta + \delta > 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} d(fx, fy, a) &\geq \alpha \frac{d(x, fx, a) d(y, fy, a) d(x, fy, a) + d(x, y, a) d(y, fx, a) d(x, fx, a)}{d(y, fx, a) d(y, fy, a)} \\ &+ \beta [d(x, fx, a) + d(y, fy, a)] \\ &+ \gamma [d(x, fy, a) + d(y, fx, a)] \\ &+ \delta [d(x, y, a)] \end{aligned}$$

For each x, y in X with $x \neq y$ and f is onto then f has a fixed point.

Proof: Let $x_0 \in X$. since f is onto, there is an element x_1 satisfying $x_1 = f^1(x_0)$. Similarly we can write

$$x_n = f^n(x_{n-1}), \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

From the hypothesis

$$\begin{aligned} &d(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) = d(fx_n, fx_{n+1}, a) \\ &\geq \alpha \cdot \frac{d(x_n, fx_n, a) d(x_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}, a) d(x_n, fx_{n+1}, a) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) d(x_n, fx_n, a) d(x_n, fx_{n+1}, a)}{d(x_{n+1}, fx_n, a) d(x_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}, a)} \\ &+ \beta [d(x_n, fx_n, a) + d(x_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}, a)] \\ &+ \gamma [d(x_n, fx_{n+1}, a) + d(x_{n+1}, fx_n, a)] \\ &+ \delta [d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \geq \alpha \cdot \frac{d(x_n, x_{n-1}, a) d(x_{n+1}, x_n, a) d(x_n, x_n, a) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) d(x_{n+1}, x_{n-1}, a) d(x_n, x_{n-1}, a)}{d(x_{n+1}, x_{n-1}, a) d(x_{n+1}, x_n, a)} \\
 & \quad + \beta [d(x_n, x_{n-1}, a) + d(x_{n+1}, x_n, a)] + \gamma [d(x_n, x_n, a) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n-1}, a)] \\
 & \quad + \delta [d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a)] \\
 & \geq \alpha \cdot \frac{d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) d(x_{n+1}, x_{n-1}, a) d(x_n, x_{n-1}, a)}{d(x_{n+1}, x_{n-1}, a) d(x_{n+1}, x_n, a)} \\
 & \quad + \beta [d(x_n, x_{n-1}, a) + d(x_{n+1}, x_n, a)] \\
 & \quad + \gamma [d(x_{n+1}, x_{n-1}, a)] \\
 & \quad + \delta [d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a)] \\
 & \geq \alpha \cdot d(x_n, x_{n-1}, a) + \beta [d(x_n, x_{n-1}, a) + d(x_{n+1}, x_n, a)] \\
 & \quad + \gamma [d(x_{n+1}, x_n, a) - d(x_n, x_{n-1}, a)] \\
 & \quad + \delta [d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a)] \\
 \Rightarrow & (1 - \alpha - \beta + \gamma) d(x_n, x_{n-1}, a) \geq (\beta + \gamma + \delta) d(x_{n+1}, x_n, a) \\
 \Rightarrow & d(x_{n+1}, x_n, a) \leq \frac{(1 - \alpha - \beta + \gamma)}{\beta + \gamma + \delta} \cdot d(x_n, x_{n-1}, a)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\{X_n\}$ converges to x in X . Let $y \in f^1(x)$, for infinitely many n , $x_n \neq x$ for such n ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_n, x, a) &= d(fx_{n+1}, fy, a) \\
 &\geq \alpha \cdot \frac{d(x_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}, a) d(y, fy, a) d(x_{n+1}, fy, a) + d(x_n, y, a) d(y, fx_{n+1}, a) d(x_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}, a)}{d(y, fx_{n+1}, a) d(y, fy, a)} \\
 &\quad + \beta [d(x_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}, a) + d(y, fy, a)] + \gamma [d(x_{n+1}, fy, a) + d(y, fx_{n+1}, a)] \\
 &\quad + \delta \cdot d(x_{n+1}, y, a) \\
 &\geq \alpha \cdot \frac{d(x_{n+1}, x_n, a) d(y, x, a) d(x_{n+1}, x, a) + d(x_n, y, a) d(y, x_n, a) d(x_{n+1}, x_n, a)}{d(y, x_n, a) d(y, x, a)} \\
 &\quad + \beta [d(x_{n+1}, x_n, a) + d(y, x, a)] \\
 &\quad + \gamma [d(x_{n+1}, x, a) + d(y, x_n, a)] \\
 &\quad + \delta \cdot d(x_{n+1}, y, a)
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $d(x_n, x, a) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_n, a) = d(x_{n+1}, x, a) = 0$$

Therefore $d(x, y, a) = 0 \Rightarrow x = y$

i.e. $y = f(x) = x$

This completes the proof of the theorem 3.3.

Theorem 3.4: Let X denotes the complete 2-metric space with metric d and f is a mapping of X into itself.

If there exist non negative real's, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta, \delta, a$ (real) > 1 with $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2\eta - \delta > 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} d(fx, fy, a) &\geq \alpha \frac{d(x, fx, a).d(y, fy, a).d(x, fy, a) + d(x, y, a).d(y, fx, a).d(x, fx, a)}{d(y, fx, a).d(y, fy, a)} \\ &\quad + \beta \frac{d(x, fy, a).d(x, y, a) + d(y, fx, a).d(x, fx, a)}{d(y, fx, a)} + \gamma \left[\frac{d(x, fx, a).d(y, fy, a)}{d(x, y, a)} \right] \\ &\quad + \eta \cdot \frac{d(x, y, a).d(fx, fy, a) + d(x, fx, a).d(y, fy, a)}{d(y, fy, a)} \\ &\quad + \delta \cdot d(y, fy, a) \end{aligned}$$

For each x, y in X with $x \neq y$, & $d(y, fx, a).d(y, fy, a) \neq 0$ and f is onto then f has a fixed point.

Proof: Let $x_0 \in X$. since f is onto, there is an element x_1 satisfying $x_1 = f^{-1}(x_0)$. Similarly we can write

$$x_n = f^{-1}(x_{n-1}), \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

From the hypothesis

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) &= d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n, a) \\ &\geq \alpha \cdot \frac{d(x_n, fx_n, a)d(x_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}, a)d(x_n, fx_{n+1}, a) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a)d(x_{n+1}, fx_n, a)d(x_n, fx_n, a)}{d(x_{n+1}, fx_n, a)d(x_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}, a)} \\ &\quad + \beta \cdot \frac{d(x_n, fx_n, a)d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) + d(x_{n+1}, fx_n, a)d(x_n, fx_n, a)}{d(x_{n+1}, fx_n, a)} \\ &\quad + \gamma \left[\frac{d(x_n, fx_n, a)d(x_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}, a)}{d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a)} \right] \\ &\quad + \eta \cdot \frac{d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a)d(fx_n, fx_{n+1}, a) + d(x_n, fx_n, a)d(x_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}, a)}{d(x_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}, a)} \\ &\quad + \delta \cdot d(x_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}, a) \\ &\geq \alpha \cdot \frac{d(x_n, x_{n-1}, a)d(x_{n+1}, x_n, a)d(x_n, x_n, a) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a)d(x_{n+1}, x_{n-1}, a)d(x_n, x_{n-1}, a)}{d(x_{n+1}, x_{n-1}, a)d(x_{n+1}, x_n, a)} \\ &\quad + \beta \cdot \frac{d(x_n, x_n, a)d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n-1}, a)d(x_n, x_{n-1}, a)}{d(x_{n+1}, x_{n-1}, a)} \\ &\quad + \gamma \left[\frac{d(x_n, x_{n-1}, a)d(x_{n+1}, x_n, a)}{d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a)} \right] \\ &\quad + \eta \cdot \frac{d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a)d(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) + d(x_n, x_{n-1}, a)d(x_{n+1}, x_n, a)}{d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a)} \\ &\quad + \delta \cdot d(x_{n+1}, x_n, a) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \geq \alpha \cdot \frac{d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) d(x_{n+1}, x_{n-1}, a) d(x_n, x_{n-1}, a)}{d(x_{n+1}, x_{n-1}, a) d(x_{n+1}, x_n, a)} \\
 & + \beta \cdot \frac{d(x_{n+1}, x_{n-1}, a) d(x_n, x_{n-1}, a)}{d(x_{n+1}, x_{n-1}, a)} \\
 & + \gamma [d(x_n, x_{n-1}, a)] + 2\eta \cdot d(\mathcal{X}_{n-1}, \mathcal{X}_n, a) + \delta \cdot d(\mathcal{X}_{n+1}, \mathcal{X}_n, a) \\
 & \geq \alpha \cdot d(x_n, x_{n-1}, a) + \beta \cdot d(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{X}_{n-1}, a) \\
 & + \gamma [d(x_n, x_{n-1}, a)] + 2\eta \cdot d(\mathcal{X}_{n-1}, \mathcal{X}_n, a) \\
 & + \delta \cdot d(\mathcal{X}_{n+1}, \mathcal{X}_n, a) \\
 & \geq (\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2\eta) \cdot d(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{X}_{n-1}, a) + \delta \cdot d(\mathcal{X}_{n+1}, \mathcal{X}_n, a) (1 - \alpha - \beta - \gamma - 2\eta) \cdot d(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{X}_{n-1}, a) \geq \delta \cdot d(\mathcal{X}_{n+1}, \mathcal{X}_n, a) \\
 \Rightarrow d(\mathcal{X}_{n+1}, \mathcal{X}_n, a) & \leq \frac{1 - \alpha - \beta - \gamma - 2\eta}{\delta} \cdot d(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{X}_{n-1}, a) \\
 \text{Since } \frac{1 - \alpha - \beta - \gamma - 2\eta}{\delta} & < 1
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\{X_n\}$ converges to x in X . Let $y \in f^1(x)$, for infinitely many n , $x_n \neq x$ for such n ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_n, x, a) &= d(fx_{n+1}, fy, a) \\
 &\geq \alpha \cdot \frac{d(x_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}, a) d(y, fy, a) d(x_{n+1}, fy, a) + d(x_{n+1}, y, a) d(y, fx_{n+1}, a) d(x_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}, a)}{d(y, fx_{n+1}, a) d(y, fy, a)} \\
 &+ \beta \left[\frac{d(x_{n+1}, fy, a) + d(x_{n+1}, y, a) + d(y, fx_{n+1}, a) d(x_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}, a)}{d(y, fx_{n+1}, a)} \right] \\
 &+ \gamma \left[\frac{d(x_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}, a) d(y, fy, a)}{d(x_{n+1}, y, a)} \right] \\
 &+ \eta \frac{d(x_{n+1}, y, a) d(x_{n+1}, fy, a) + d(x_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}, a) d(y, fy, a)}{d(y, fy, a)} \\
 &+ \delta \cdot d(y, fy, a) \\
 &\geq \alpha \cdot \frac{d(x_{n+1}, x_n, a) d(y, x, a) d(x_{n+1}, x, a) + d(x_{n+1}, y, a) d(y, x_n, a) d(x_{n+1}, x_n, a)}{d(y, x_n, a) d(y, x, a)} \\
 &+ \beta \left[\frac{d(x_{n+1}, x, a) + d(x_{n+1}, y, a) + d(y, x_n, a) d(x_{n+1}, x_n, a)}{d(y, x_n, a)} \right] \\
 &+ \gamma \left[\frac{d(x_{n+1}, x_n, a) d(y, x, a)}{d(x_{n+1}, y, a)} \right] \\
 &+ \eta \frac{d(x_{n+1}, y, a) d(x_{n+1}, x, a) + d(x_{n+1}, x_n, a) d(y, x, a)}{d(y, x, a)} \\
 &+ \delta \cdot d(y, x, a)
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $d(x_n, x, a) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$d(x_{n+1}, x, a) = d(x_{n+1}, x_n, a) = 0$$

$$\text{Therefore } d(x, y, a) = 0 \Rightarrow x = y$$

$$\text{i.e. } y = f(x) = x$$

This completes the proof of the theorem 3.4

REFERENCES:

1. Agrawal, A. K. and Chouhan, P. "Some fixed point theorems for expansion mappings" Jnanabha 35 (2005) 197-199.
2. Agrawal, A. K. and Chouhan, P. "Some fixed point theorems for expansion mappings" Jnanabha 36 (2006) 197-199.
3. Bhardwaj, R.K. Rajput, S.S. and Yadava, R.N. "Some fixed point theorems in complete Metric spaces" International J. of Math. Sci. & Engg. Appl. 2 (2007) 193-198.
4. Fisher, B. "Mapping on a metric space" Bull. V.M.I. (4), 12(1975) 147-151.
5. Jain, R.K. and Jain, R. "Some common fixed point theorems on expansion mappings" Acta Ciencia Indica 20(1994) 217-220.
6. Jain, R. and Yadav, V. "A common fixed point theorem for compatible mappings in metric spaces" The Mathematics Education (1994) 183-188.
7. Park, S. "On extensions of the Caristi-Kirk fixed point theorem" J. Korean Math. Soc. 19(1983) 223-228.
8. Park, S. and Rhoades, B.E. "Some fixed point theorems for expansion mappings" Math. Japonica 33(1) (1988) 129-133.
9. Popa, V. "Fixed point theorem for expansion mappings" Babes Bolyai University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics Research Seminar 3 (1987) 25-30.
10. Rhoades B.E. "A comparison of various definitions of contractive mappings" Trans. Amer Math. Soc. 226 (1976) 257-290.
11. Sharma, P.L., Sharma, B.K. and Iseki, K. "Contractive type mappings on 2-metric spaces" Math. Japonica 21 (1976) 67-70.
12. Taniguchi, T. "Common fixed point theorems on expansion type mappings on complete metric spaces" Math. Japonica 34(1989) 139-142.
13. Wang, S.Z. Gao, Z.M. and Iseki, K. "Fixed point theorems on expansion mappings" Math. Japonica. 29 (1984) 631-636.
