# International Journal of Mathematical Archive-3(4), 2012, Page: 1597-1605 MA Available online through <u>www.ijma.info</u> ISSN 2229 - 5046

## RELATED FIXED POINT THERREM FOR TWO PAIRS OF SETVALUED MAPPINGS ON TWO UNIFORM SPACE

Y. Rohen Singh\*, L. Bishwakumar

National Institute of Technology Manipur, Takyelpat, Pin-795004, Manipur, India E-mail: ymnehor2008@yahoo.com

> & B. Fisher

Department of Mathematics, Leicester University, Leicester, LE1 7RH, England E-mail: fbr@le.ac.uk

(Received on: 24-02-12; Accepted on: 17-03-12)

## ABSTRACT

**A** related fixed point theorem for two pairs of set-valued mappings on two complete uniform spaces is obtained. A generalization for two compact uniform spaces is also obtained.

**Keywords:** Fixed point, set-valued mappings, complete metric space, compact metric space, complete uniform space, compact uniform space.

2000 Mathematics subject classification: 54H25, 47H10.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The following theorems were proved by Ranjit and Rohen [12].

**Theorem 1.1:** Let (X,d) and  $(Y,\rho)$  be two complete metric spaces. Let A, B be mappings of X into Y and let S, T be mappings of Y into X satisfying the inequalities

 $\begin{aligned} d(Sy,Ty')d(SAx,TBx') &\leq c \max\{d(Sy,Ty')\rho(Ax,Bx'), d(x',Sy)\rho(y',Ax), \\ d(x,x')d(Sy,Ty'), d(Sy,SAx)d(Ty',TBx')\} \end{aligned}$ 

 $\rho(Ax, Bx')\rho(BSy, ATy') \le c \max\{d(Sy, Ty')\rho(Ax, Bx'), d(x', Sy)\rho(y', Ax), \\ \rho(y, y')\rho(Ax, Bx'), \rho(Ax, BSy)\rho(Bx', ATy')\}$ 

for all x, x' in X and y, y' in Y, where  $0 \le c < 1$ . If one of the mappings A, B, S and T is continuous, then SA and TB have a unique common fixed point z in X and BS and AT have a unique common fixed point w in Y.

Further Az = Bz = w and Sw = Tw = z.

The following theorem was proved by Rohen [16].

**Theorem 1.2:** Let  $(X, d_1)$  and  $(Y, d_2)$  be two complete metric spaces. Let A, B be mappings of X into B(Y) and let S, T be mappings of Y into B(X) satisfying the inequalities

 $\delta_1(Sy,Ty')\delta_1(SAx,TBx') \le c \max\{\delta_1(Sy,Ty')\delta_1(Ax,Bx'),\delta_1(x',Sy)\delta_2(y',Ax), d_1(x,x')\delta_1(Sy,Ty'),\delta_1(Sy,SAx)\delta_1(Ty',TBx')\}$ 

$$\begin{split} \delta_2(Ax, By')\delta_2(BSy, ATx') &\leq c \max\{\delta_1(Sy, Ty')\delta_2(Ax, Bx'), \delta_1(x', Sy)\delta_2(y', Ax), \\ d_2(y, y')\delta_2(Ax, Bx'), \delta_2(Ax, BSy)\delta_2(Bx', ATy')\} \end{split}$$

for all x, x' in X and y, y' in Y, where  $0 \le c < 1$ . If one of the mappings A, B, S and T is continuous, then SA and TB have a unique common fixed point z in X and BS and AT have a unique common fixed point w in Y. Further Az = Bz = w and Sw = Tw = z.

Before coming to our main results we recall the following definitions from Fisher and Turkoglu [15].

Let  $(X, U_1)$  and  $(Y, U_2)$  be uniform spaces. Families {  $d_1^i : i \in I$ , being indexing set}, {  $d_2^i : i \in I$  } of pseudometrics on X and Y respectively, are called associated families for uniformities  $U_1, U_2$  respectively, if families

$$\beta_1 = \{ V_1(i,r) : i \in I, r > 0 \}, \beta_2 = \{ V_2(i,r) : i \in I, r > 0 \},$$

where

 $V_1(i,r) = \{(x,x') : x, x' \in X, d_1^i(x,x') < r\},\$ 

 $V_2(i, r) = \{(y, y') : y, y' \in Y, d_2^i(y, y') < r\}$ 

are sub bases for the uniformities  $U_1$ ,  $U_2$  respectively. We may assume that  $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$  themselves are bases by adjoining finite intersection of members of  $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$  if necessary. The corresponding families of pseudo metrics are called an augmented associated families for  $U_1$ ,  $U_2$ . An associated family for  $U_1$ ,  $U_2$  will be denoted by  $D_1$ ,  $D_2$  respectively.

Let A, B be a non empty subset of a uniform space X, Y respectively. Define

$$P_1^*(A) = \sup \{ d_1^i(x, x') : x, x' \in A, i \in I \}$$
  
$$P_2^*(B) = \sup \{ d_2^i(y, y') : y, y' \in B, i \in I \}$$

where

$$\{d_1^i(x,x'): x, x' \in A, i \in I\} = P_1^*, \{d_2^i(y,y'): y, y' \in B, i \in I\} = P_2^*.$$

Then  $P_1^*(A), P_2^*(B)$  are called an augmented diameter of A, B. Further A, B are said to be  $P_1^*(A) < \infty, P_2^*(B) < \infty$ .

Let  $2^X = \{A: A \text{ is a non empty } P_1^* \text{ - bounded subset of } X\}$  $2^Y = \{B: B \text{ is a non empty } P_2^* \text{ - bounded subset of } Y\}.$ 

For each  $i \in I$  and  $A_1, A_2 \in 2^X, B_1, B_2 \in 2^Y$ , define

$$\delta_1^i(A_1, A_2) = \sup\{d_1^i : x \in A_1, x' \in A_2\}$$
  
$$\delta_2^i(B_1, B_2) = \sup\{d_2^i : y \in B_1, y' \in B_2\}$$

Let  $(X, U_1)$  and  $(X, U_2)$  be uniform spaces and let  $U_1 \in U_1$  and  $U_2 \in U_2$  be arbitrary entourages.

For each  $A \in 2^X$ ,  $B \in 2^Y$ , define

$$U_{1}[A] = \{ x' \in X : (x, x') \in U_{1} \text{ for some } x \in A \}$$
$$U_{2}[B] = \{ y' \in Y : (y, y') \in U_{2} \text{ for some } y \in B \}$$

The uniformities  $2^{U_1}$  on  $2^X$  and  $2^{U_2}$  on  $2^Y$  are defined by bases

 $2^{\beta_1} = \{ \tilde{U}_1 : U_1 \in U_1 \}, \ 2^{\beta_2} = \{ \tilde{U}_2 : U_2 \in U_2 \}$ 

where

$$\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_1 = \{ (A_1, A_2) \in 2^X \times 2^Y : A_1 \times A_2 \in \mathbf{U}_1 \} \bigcup \Delta,$$

$$\mathbf{U}_2 = \{ (B_1, B_2) \in 2^T \times 2^T : B_1 \times B_2 \in \mathbf{U}_2 \} \bigcup \Delta$$

where  $\Delta$  denotes the diagonal on  $X \times X$  and  $Y \times Y$ . The augmented families  $P_1^*, P_2^*$  also induce uniformities  $U_1^*$  on  $2^X, U_2^*$  on  $2^Y$  defined by bases

$$\begin{split} \beta_1^* &= \{V_1^*(i,r) : i \in I, r > 0\}, \\ \beta_2^* &= \{V_2^*(i,r) : i \in I, r > 0\}. \end{split}$$

where

$$V_1^*(i,r) = \{(A_1, A_2) : A_1, A_2 \in 2^X : \delta_1^i(A_1, A_2) < r\} \bigcup \Delta$$
$$V_2^*(i,r) = \{(B_1, B_2) : B_1, B_2 \in 2^Y : \delta_2^i(B_1, B_2) < r\} \bigcup \Delta$$

Uniformities  $2^{U_1}$  and  $U_1^*$  on  $2^X$  are uniformly isomorphic and uniformities  $2^{U_2}$  and  $U_2^*$  on  $2^Y$  are uniformly isomorphic. The spaces  $(2^X, U_1^*)$  is thus a uniform space called the hyperspace of  $(X, U_1)$ . The  $(2^Y, U_2^*)$  is also a uniform space called the hyperspace of  $(Y, U_2)$ .

Now let  $\{A_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  be a sequence of non empty subsets of uniform space (X, U). We say that sequence  $\{A_n\}$  converge to subset A of X if

(i) each point a in A is the limit of a convergent sequence  $\{a_n\}$ , where  $a_n$  is in  $A_n$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ 

(ii) for arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist an integer N such that  $A_n \subseteq A_{\varepsilon}$  for n > N,

where  $A_{\varepsilon} = \bigcup_{x \in A} U(x) = \{ y \in X : d_i(x, y) < \varepsilon \text{ for some x in } A, i \in I \}$ *A* is then said to be a limit of the sequence  $\{A_n\}$ . It follows easily from the definition that if *A* is the limit of a sequence  $\{A_n\}$ , then *A* is closed.

The following lemma was proved by Fisher and Turkoglu [15].

**Lemma 1.3:** If  $\{A_n\}$  and  $\{B_n\}$  are sequences of bounded non empty subsets of a complete uniform space (X,U) which converge to the bounded subsets A and B respectively, then sequence  $\{\delta_i(A_n, B_n)\}$  converges to  $\delta_i(A, B)$ .

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

We prove the following theorems.

**Theorem 2.1:** Let  $(X, U_1)$  and  $(X, U_2)$  be two complete Hausdorff uniform spaces defined by  $\{d_1^i, i \in I\} = P_1^*, \{d_2^i, i \in I\} = P_2^*$ , and  $(2^X, U_1^*), (2^Y, U_2^*)$  hyperspaces, let  $Q, R : X \to 2^Y$  and  $S, T : Y \to 2^X$  satisfying the inequalities

$$\delta_{1}^{i}(Sy,Ty')\delta_{1}^{i}(SQx,TRx') \leq c_{i} \max\{\delta_{1}^{i}(Sy,Ty')\delta_{2}^{i}(Qx,Rx'),\delta_{1}^{i}(x',Sy)\delta_{2}^{i}(y',Qx), \\ d_{1}^{i}(x,x')\delta_{1}^{i}(Sy,Ty'),\delta_{1}^{i}(Sy,SQx)\delta_{1}^{i}(Ty',TRx')\}$$
(1)

$$\delta_{2}^{i}(Qx, Rx')\delta_{2}^{i}(RSy, QTy') \leq c_{i} \max\{\delta_{1}^{i}(Sy, Ty')\delta_{2}^{i}(Qx, Rx'), \delta_{1}^{i}(x', Sy)\delta_{2}^{i}(y', Qx), \\ d_{2}^{i}(y, y')\delta_{2}^{i}(Qx, Rx'), \delta_{2}^{i}(Qx, RSy)\delta_{2}^{i}(Rx', QTy')\}$$
(2)

for all  $i \in I$  and x, x' in X and y, y' in Y, where  $0 \le c_i < 1$ . If one of the mappings Q, R, S, T is continuous, then SQ and TR have a unique common fixed point z in X and RS and QT have a unique fixed point w in Y. Further, Qz = Rz = w and Sw = Tw = z.

**Proof:** Let  $x_0$  be an arbitrary point in X and define sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in X and Y respectively as follows. Choose a point  $y_1$  in  $Qx_0$ , a point  $x_1$  in  $Sy_1$ , a point  $y_2$  in  $Rx_1$  and a point  $x_2$  in  $Ty_2$ . In general, having chosen  $x_{2n-2}$  in X, choose a point  $y_{2n+1}$  in  $Qx_{2n}$ , a point  $x_{2n-1}$  in  $Sy_{2n-1}$ , a point  $y_{2n}$  in  $Rx_{2n-1}$  and a point  $x_{2n}$  in  $Ty_{2n}$  for n = 1, 2...

Let  $U_1 \in U_1$  be an arbitrary entourage. Since  $\beta_1$  is a base for  $U_1$ , there exists  $V_1(i, r) \in \beta_1$  such that  $V_1(i, r) \subseteq U_1$ .

Using inequality (1), we get

$$d_{1}^{i}(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})d_{1}^{i}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) = \delta_{1}^{i}(Sy_{2n-1}, Ty_{2n})\delta_{1}^{i}(SQx_{2n}, TRx_{2n-1})$$

$$\leq c_{i} \max\{\delta_{1}^{i}(Sy_{2n-1}Ty_{2n})\delta_{2}^{i}(Qx_{2n}, Rx_{2n-1}), \delta_{1}^{i}(x_{2n-1}, Sy_{2n-1})\delta_{2}^{i}(y_{2n}, Qx_{2n}), d_{1}^{i}(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1})\delta_{1}^{i}(Sy_{2n-1}, Ty_{2n}), \delta_{1}^{i}(Sy_{2n-1}, SQx_{2n})\delta_{1}^{i}(Ty_{2n}, TRx_{2n+1})\}$$

$$= c_i \max\{d_1^i(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})d_2^i(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}), [d_1^i(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})]^2\}$$

from which it follows that

$$d_1^i(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) \le c_i \max\{d_2^i(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}), d_1^i(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})\}$$
(3)

Let  $U_2 \in U_2$  be an arbitrary entourage. Since  $\beta_2$  is a base for  $U_2$ , there exists  $V_2(i, r) \in \beta_2$  such that  $V_2(i, r) \subseteq U_2$ .

Similarly, applying inequality (2), we get

$$[d_{2}^{i}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})]^{2} = \delta_{2}^{i}(Qx_{2n-1}, x_{2n})\delta_{2}^{i}(RSy_{2n-1}, QTy_{2n})$$
  
$$\leq c_{i}\max\{d_{1}^{i}(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})d_{2}^{i}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}), d_{2}^{i}(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})d_{2}^{i}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}), d_{2}^{i}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}), d_{2}^{i}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}), d_{2}^{i}(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n+1})\}$$

It follows that

$$d_2^i(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \le c_i \max\{d_1^i(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}), d_2^i(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})\}$$
(4)

We can write as

 $d_1^i(x_{n+1}, x_n) \le c_i \max\{d_2^i(y_n, y_{n+1}), d_1^i(x_{n-1}, x_n)\}$ and  $d_2^i(y_n, y_{n+1}) \le c_i \max\{d_1^i(x_{n-1}, x_n), d_2^i(y_{n-1}, y_n)\}$ 

It now follows easily by induction that

$$d_1^i(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le c_i^n \max\{d_1^i(x, x_1), d_2^i(y_1, y_2)\}$$
  
$$d_2^i(y_n, y_{n+1}) \le c_i^{n-1} \max\{d_1^i(x, x_1), d_2^i(y_1, y_2)\}$$

for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  Since  $c_i < 1$ , it follows that there exists p such that  $d_1^i(x_n, x_m) < r$  and hence  $(x_n, x_m) \in U_1$  for all  $n, m \ge p$ . Therefore, sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $d_1^i$ - uniformity on X. Similarly, it follows that the sequence  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $d_2^i$ - uniformity on Y.

Let  $F_p = \{x_n : n \ge p\}$  for all positive integers p and let  $B_1$  be the filter basis  $\{F_p : p = 1, 2...\}$ . Then, since  $\{x_n\}$  is a  $d_1^i$ - Cauchy sequence for each  $i \in I$ , it is easy to see that the filter basis  $B_1$  is a Cauchy filter in the uniform space  $(X, U_1)$ . Since  $(X, U_1)$  is a complete Hausdorff space, the Cauchy filter  $B_1 = \{F_p\}$  converges to a unique point z in X.

Similarly, the Cauchy filter B  $_2 = \{ F_k \}$  converges to a unique point w in Y.

Applying inequality (1), we have

$$\delta_1^i(Sw, x_{2n})\delta_1^i(SQz, x_{2n+1}) = \delta_1^i(Sw, Ty_{2n})\delta_1^i(SQz, TRx_{2n})$$

 $\leq c_i \max\{\delta_1^i(Sw, x_{2n})\delta_2^i(Qz, y_{2n+1}), \delta_1^i(x_{2n}, Sw)\delta_2^i(y_{2n}, Qz), d_1^i(z, x_{2n})\delta_1^i(Sw, x_{2n}),$ 

#### © 2012, IJMA. All Rights Reserved

 $\delta_1^i(Sw, SQz)d_1^i(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})\}$ 

Letting n tends to infinity, we have

$$\delta_{1}^{i}(Sw, z)\delta_{1}^{i}(SQz, z) \leq c_{i} \max \ \delta_{1}^{i}(Sw, z)\delta_{2}^{i}(Qz, w), \\ \delta_{1}^{i}(z, Sw)\delta_{2}^{i}(w, Qz), \\ d_{1}^{i}(z, z)\delta_{1}^{i}(Sw, z), \\ \delta_{2}^{i}(Sw, SQz)d_{1}^{i}(z, z)\}$$

$$\leq c_i \delta_1^i (Sw, z) \delta_2^i (Qz, w)$$

and so either

$$Sw = z \tag{5}$$

$$\delta_1^i(SQz, z) \le c_i \delta_2^i(Qz, w) \tag{6}$$

Again, applying inequality (1), we have

$$\delta_{1}^{i}(x_{2n}, Tw)\delta_{1}^{i}(x_{2n+1}, TRz) \leq c_{i} \max\{\delta_{1}^{i}(x_{2n}, Tw)\delta_{2}^{i}(y_{2n+1}, Rz), \delta_{1}^{i}(z, x_{2n+1})\delta_{2}^{i}(w, Qx_{2n}), d_{1}^{i}(x_{2n}, z)\delta_{1}^{i}(x_{2n}, Tw), d_{1}^{i}(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})\delta_{1}^{i}(Tw, TRz)\}$$

Letting n tends to infinity, we have

$$\delta_{1}^{i}(z,Tw)\delta_{1}^{i}(z,TRz) \leq c_{i} \max\{\delta_{1}^{i}(z,Tw)\delta_{2}^{i}(w,Rz), d_{1}^{i}(z,z)\delta_{2}^{i}(w,Qz), d_{1}^{i}(z,z)\delta_{1}^{i}(z,Tw), d_{1}^{i}(z,z)\delta_{1}^{i}(Tw,TRz)\}$$

and so either

or

 $Tz = w \tag{7}$ 

$$\delta_1^i(z, TRz) \le c_i \delta_2^i(w, Rz) \tag{8}$$

Applying inequality (2), we have

Letting n tends to infinity, we have

$$\delta_2^i(Qz,w)\delta_2^i(RSw,w) \le c_i\{\delta_1^i(Sw,z)\delta_2^i(Qz,w)\}$$

and so either

or

$$Qz = w \tag{9}$$

$$\delta_2^i(RSw, w) \le c_i \delta_1^i(Sw, z) \tag{10}$$

Again applying inequality (2) and letting n tend to infinity, we have

$$\delta_2^i(w, Rz)\delta_2^i(w, QTw) \le c_i \{\delta_1^i(z, Tw)\delta_2^i(w, Rz)\}$$

and so either

$$Rz = w \tag{11}$$

Or 
$$\delta_2^i(w, QTw) \le c_i \delta_1^i(z, Tw)$$
 (12)

#### © 2012, IJMA. All Rights Reserved

If Q is continuous, then

$$w = \lim_{n \to \infty} y_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} Q x_{2n} = Q z$$
$$\therefore Sw = SQz$$

If inequality (6) holds, then

$$z = Sw = SQz$$

and so equation (5) will necessarily hold.

If *R* is continuous, then

$$w = \lim_{n \to \infty} y_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} Rx_{2n} = Rz$$
  
$$\therefore Tw = TRz$$

If inequality (8) holds, then

$$z = Tw = TRz$$

and so equation (7) will necessarily hold.

If *S* is continuous, then

 $z = \lim_{n \to \infty} x_{2n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} Sy_{2n} = Sw$ 

$$\therefore Rz = RSw$$

If inequality (10) holds, then

$$w = Rz = RSw$$

and so equation (9) will necessarily hold .

If T is continuous, then

$$z = \lim_{n \to \infty} x_{2n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} Ty_{2n} = Tw$$
$$\therefore Qz = QTw$$

If inequality (12) holds, then

$$w = Qz = QTw$$

and so equation (11) will necessarily hold.

To prove uniqueness, suppose that SQ and TR have a second common fixed point z' in X and RS and QT have a second fixed point w' in Y.

Applying inequality (1), we have

$$[d_1^i(z,z')]^2 = [\delta_1^i(SQz,TRz')]^2$$
  
$$\leq c_i \max\{d_1^i(z,z')\delta_2^i(Qz,Rz'), d_1^i(z',z)\delta_2^i(Rz',Qz), [d_1^i(z,z')]^2\}$$

$$= c_i \max\{d_1^i(z, z')\delta_2^i(Qz, Rz'), [d_1^i(z, z')]^2\}$$

$$\therefore d_1^i(z,z') \le c_i \delta_2^i(Qz,Rz')$$

Again applying inequality (2), we have

$$\begin{split} \left[\delta_{2}^{i}(Qz,Rz')\right]^{2} &= \delta_{2}^{i}(Qz,Rz')\delta_{2}^{i}(RSQz',QTRz) \\ &\leq c_{i}\max\{d_{1}^{i}(z,z')\delta_{2}^{i}(Qz,Rz'),\delta_{2}^{i}(Qz',Rz)\delta_{2}^{i}(Qz,Rz'),\delta_{2}^{i}(Qz,Rz')\delta_{2}^{i}(Rz',Qz)\} \end{split}$$

$$\therefore \delta_2^i(Qz, Rz') \le c_i d_1^i(z, z') \tag{14}$$

From (13) and (14), it follows that

 $d_1^i(z, z') \le c_i \delta_2^i(Qz, Rz') \le c_i d_1^i(z, z')$  and so z = z'

since  $c_i < 1$ , proving the uniqueness of the fixed point z of SQ and TR. It follows similarly that w is the unique common fixed point of RS and QT.

If we let Q and R be single valued mappings of X into Y and let S and T be single valued mappings of Y into X, we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 2.1:** Let  $(X, U_1)$  and  $(X, U_2)$  be two complete Hausdorff uniform spaces. If Q, R be mappings of X into Y and S, T be mappings of Y into X satisfying the inequalities

 $d_{1}^{i}(Sy,Ty')d_{1}^{i}(SQx,TRx') \leq c_{i} \max\{d_{1}^{i}(Sy,Ty')d_{2}^{i}(Qx,Rx'), d_{1}^{i}(x',Sy)d_{2}^{i}(y',Qx), d_{1}^{i}(x,x')d_{1}^{i}(Sy,Ty'), d_{1}^{i}(Sy,SQx)d_{1}^{i}(Ty',TRx')\}$ 

 $d_{2}^{i}(Qx, Rx')d_{2}^{i}(RSy, QTy') \leq c_{i} \max\{d_{1}^{i}(Sy, Ty')d_{2}^{i}(Qx, Rx'), d_{1}^{i}(x', Sy)d_{2}^{i}(y', Qx), d_{2}^{i}(y, y')d_{2}^{i}(Qx, Rx'), d_{2}^{i}(Qx, RSy)d_{2}^{i}(Rx', QTy')\}$ 

for all  $i \in I$  and x, x' in X and y, y' in Y, where  $0 \le c_i < 1$ . If one of the mappings Q, R, S, T is continuous, then SQ and TR have a unique common fixed point z in X and RS and QT have a unique fixed point w in Y. Further, Qz = Rz = w and Sw = Tw = z.

**Theorem2 .2:** Let  $(X, U_1)$  and  $(X, U_2)$  be two compact Hausdorff uniform spaces defined by  $\{d_1^i, i \in I\} = P_1^*, \{d_2^i, i \in I\} = P_2^*$ , and  $(2^X, U_1^*), (2^Y, U_2^*)$  hyperspaces, let  $Q, R : X \to 2^Y$  be continuous mappings and  $S, T : Y \to 2^X$  be continuous mappings satisfying the inequalities

$$\delta_{1}^{i}(Sy,Ty')\delta_{1}^{i}(SQx,TRx') < \max\{\delta_{1}^{i}(Sy,Ty')\delta_{2}^{i}(Qx,Rx'),\delta_{1}^{i}(x',Sy)\delta_{2}^{i}(y',Qx), \\ d_{1}^{i}(x,x')\delta_{1}^{i}(Sy,Ty'),\delta_{1}^{i}(Sy,SQx)\delta_{1}^{i}(Ty',TRx')\}$$
(15)

$$\delta_{2}^{i}(Qx, Rx')\delta_{2}^{i}(RSy, QTy') < \max\{\delta_{1}^{i}(Sy, Ty')\delta_{2}^{i}(Qx, Rx'), \delta_{1}^{i}(x', Sy)\delta_{2}^{i}(y', Qx), \\ d_{2}^{i}(y, y')\delta_{2}^{i}(Qx, Rx'), \delta_{2}^{i}(Qx, RSy)\delta_{2}^{i}(Rx', QTy')\}$$
(16)

for all  $i \in I$  and x, x' in X and y, y' in Y. Then SQ and TR have a unique common fixed point z in X and RS and QT have a unique fixed point w in Y. Further, Qz = Rz = w and Sw = Tw = z.

Proof: Suppose first of all that the right hand side of inequalities (15) and (16) are never zero. Then the functions

$$f(x,x') = \frac{\delta_{1}^{i}(Sy,Ty')\delta_{1}^{i}(SQx,TRx')}{\max\{\delta_{1}^{i}(Sy,Ty')\delta_{2}^{i}(Qx,Rx'),\delta_{1}^{i}(x',Sy)\delta_{2}^{i}(y',Qx),d_{1}^{i}(x,x')\delta_{1}^{i}(Sy,Ty'),\delta_{1}^{i}(Sy,SQx)\delta_{1}^{i}(Ty',TRx')\}}$$
  
and  
$$g(x,x') = \frac{\delta_{2}^{i}(Qx,Rx')\delta_{2}^{i}(RSy,QTy')}{\max\{\delta_{1}^{i}(Sy,Ty')\delta_{2}^{i}(Qx,Rx'),\delta_{1}^{i}(x',Sy)\delta_{2}^{i}(y',Qx),d_{2}^{i}(y,y')\delta_{2}^{i}(Qx,Rx'),\delta_{2}^{i}(Qx,RSy)\delta_{2}^{i}(Rx',QTy')\}}$$

© 2012, IJMA. All Rights Reserved

(13)

are continuous and so attain their maximum values *a*, *b* respectively. It follows from inequalities (15) and (16) that a, b < 1. Then, with  $c = \max \{a, b\}$  we see that the condition of theorem 2.1 are satisfied and so that theorem is proved in this case.

Now suppose that the right hand side of inequality (15) takes the value zero for points x = z and then

$$SQz = TRz = z$$

Putting Qz = Rz = w, we have

$$Sw = Tw = z$$

To prove the uniqueness, suppose that z' is a second distinct common fixed point of SQ and TR and RS and QT have a second distinct common fixed point in Y.

Then using inequalities (15) and (16), we have

$$[d_1^i(z,z')]^2 = [\delta_1^i(SQz,TRz')]^2$$
  
$$\therefore d_1^i(z,z') \le c\delta_2^i(Qz,Rz')$$
(17)

and  $[\delta_1^i(Qz, Rz')]^2 = \delta_2^i(Qz, Rz')\delta_2^i(RSAz', QTRz)$ 

$$\therefore \delta_2^i(Qz, Rz') \le cd_1^i(z, z') \tag{18}$$

From (17) and (18), we have

 $d_1^i(z, z') \le c \delta_1^i(Qz, Rz') \le c^2 d_1^i(z, z')$  and so z = z' since c < 1, proving the uniqueness of the fixed point of SQ and TR. The uniqueness of w can be proved

Similarly, This completes the proof of the theorem.

If we let Q and R be single valued mappings of X into Y and let S and T be single valued mappings of Y into X, we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 2.2:** Let  $(X, U_1)$  and  $(X, U_2)$  be two compact Hausdorff uniform spaces. Let Q, R be continuous mappings of X into Y and S, T be continuous mappings of Y into X satisfying the inequalities

 $d_{1}^{i}(Sy,Ty')d_{1}^{i}(SQx,TRx') < \max\{d_{1}^{i}(Sy,Ty')d_{2}^{i}(Qx,Rx'), d_{1}^{i}(x',Sy)d_{2}^{i}(y',Qx), \\ d_{1}^{i}(x,x')d_{1}^{i}(Sy,Ty'), d_{1}^{i}(Sy,SQx)d_{1}^{i}(Ty',TRx')\}$ 

 $\begin{aligned} d_{2}^{i}(Qx, Rx')d_{2}^{i}(RSy, QTy') &< \max\{d_{1}^{i}(Sy, Ty')d_{2}^{i}(Qx, Rx'), d_{1}^{i}(x', Sy)d_{2}^{i}(y', Qx), \\ d_{2}^{i}(y, y')d_{2}^{i}(Qx, Rx'), d_{2}^{i}(Qx, RSy)d_{2}^{i}(Rx', QTy') \} \end{aligned}$ 

for all  $i \in I$  and x, x' in X and y, y' in Y for which the right-hand sides of the inequalities are positive, then SQ and TR have a unique common fixed point z in X and RS and QT have a unique fixed point w in Y. Further, Qz = Rz = w and Sw = Tw = z.

Remark: Results of [12] and [16] can be obtained by replacing metric spaces in place of uniform spaces of our results.

## **REFERENCES:**

[1] W.J. Thorn, Topological structures, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1966.

[2]S.P. Acharya, Some results on fixed points in uniform spaces, Yokohama Math. J. 22(1974), 105 – 116.

[3] E. Tarafdar, An approach to fixed – point theorems on uniform spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 191(1974), 209 – 225.

[4]D.V. Pai and P. Veeramani, *Fixed point theorems for multimappings*, Yokohama Math. J. 28(1980), no.1 – 2, 7 – 14.

[5] B.Fisher, *Common fixed points of mappings and set-valued mappings*, Rostock. Math. Kolloq. (1981), no. 18, 69 – 77.

[6] Fisher, B., Set-valued mappings on metric spaces, Fund. Math., 112 (1981), 141 – 145.

[7] S.N.Mishra and S.L. Singh, *Fixed point of multivalued mapping in uniform spaces*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 77 (1985), no. 6, 323 – 329.

[8] A. Ganguly, *Fixed point theorems for three maps in uniform spaces*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 17(1986), no. 4, 476-480.

[9] S.N. Mishra, *Fixed points of contractive type multivalued mappings*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 18 (1987), no. 4, 283–289.

[10] K. Qureshi and S. Upadhya, *Fixed point theorems in uniform spaces*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 84 (1992), no. 1, 5 – 10.

[11] R.K. Namdeo, N.K. Tiwari, B. Fisher and Kenan Tas, *Related fixed point theorems in two complete and compact metric spaces*, Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci., vol. 21, No. 3 (1998), 559 – 564.

[12] Ranjit, M. and Rohen, Y., *Related fixed point theorems for two pairs of mappings on two complete and compact metric spaces*, Proceedings of national seminar on analysis, Ujjain (1999), 64 – 72.

[13] D. Turkoglu, O. Ozer, and B. Fisher, *Some fixed point theorems for set-valued mappings in uniform spaces*, Demonstration Math. 32 (1999), no. 2, 395 – 400.

[14] Fisher, B. and Turkoglu, D., *Related fixed points for set – valued mappings on two metric spaces*, Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci., vol. 23, No. 3 (2000), 205 – 210.

[15] B. Fisher and D Turkoglu, *Related fixed points for set – valued mappings on two uniform spaces*, Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci., vol. 23, No. 69 (2004), 3783 – 3791.

[16] Rohen, Y., *Related fixed point theorems for two pairs of set-valued mappings on two complete and compact metric spaces*, International J. of Math. Sci. and Engg. Appls. Vol. 3, no. II (2009), 137 – 145.

\*\*\*\*\*