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#### Abstract

The ring $R$ is said to be commutative- transitive if for each $a, b, c \in R \backslash Z(R), a b=b a$ and $b c=c b$ imply $a c=c a$. In this paper, we present other examples of commutative- transitive rings.

We show that a ring $R$ is commutative- transitive iff commutative graph $R$ is a union of complete graphs. So we show non-commutative rings of order $p^{4}$ are commutative- transitive.
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## INTRODUCTION

In reference [1], the structure of commutative- transitive finite rings has been described, it is shown in that paper that simple and commutative- transitive finite rings are fields or $2 \times 2$ matrices rings on fields or $\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{\left[L_{\mathbb{R})}\right.}=F_{1} \times F_{2}$ for two fields and . Also, the structure of irreducible commutative- transitive ring were specified.

## COMMUTATIVE - TRANSITIVE FINITE RINGS

Definition 1: Ring $R$ is said to be commutative-transitive if for each $a, b, c \in R, a b=b a$ and $b c=c b$, imply $a c=c a$ [1].
Theorem 1: The following conditions are equivalent for ring $R$ :
A) $R$ is commutative- transitive.
B) For each $x, y \in R Z(R)$, if $x y=y x$, then $c(x)=c(y)$.
C) The centralizers of all non central elements of $R$ are commutative.

Definition 2: Let $R$ is a ring.Commutative graph $\mu(R)$ as set of vertices $\mu(R)$ is all elements non central of $R$ and distinct vertices $a, b$ in $\mu(R)$ adjacent iff $a b=b a$.

Result 1: The ring $R$ is commutative-transitive iff commutative graph $\mu(R)$ is union of complete graphs. [ ] \{ \}|F Example 1: For an arbitrary field, the ring $R=\left\{\left.\left[\begin{array}{cc}a & b \\ 0 & c\end{array}\right] \right\rvert\, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{F}\right\}$ is commutative -transitive. Let $A=$ $\left[\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ 0 & c\end{array}\right]$ is a non-central elements of $R$. We determine the centralizer of $A$.

If $B=\left[\begin{array}{ll}x & y \\ 0 & z\end{array}\right] \in C(A)$, Then $A B=B A$. So $(a-c) y=(x-z) b$ we consider the following cases:
Case 1: if $a=c$, then $C(A)=\left\{\left[\begin{array}{ll}x & y \\ 0 & x\end{array}\right] \| \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{F}\right\}$.
Case 2: If $a \neq c$, then $C(A)=\left\{\left.\left[\begin{array}{cc}x & (x-z) b(a-c)^{-1} \\ 0 & z\end{array}\right] \right\rvert\, x, z \in \mathrm{~F}\right\}$
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In each case $C(A)$ is commutative. So $R$ is commutative- transitive. The commutative graph of $R$ is the union of $|F|+1$ complete graphs which an of them has $|F|^{2}-|F|$ vertices.

## GROUP RINGS

Definition 3: Let $G$ is a group and $R$ is a ring. Then $R G$ is defined as $R G=\left\{\sum_{g \in G} r_{g} g \mid r_{g} \in R\right\}$ in which $r_{g}=0$, except for some finite numbers. In $R G$, addition and multiplication are defined naturally and distributedly, respectively. $R G$ is called a group ring on $R$ [3].

Example 2: Let $Q_{8}=\{ \pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k\}$ is an eight-element quaternion group. We show that the group ring of $Z_{2} Q_{8}$ is commutative- transitive. For simplicity, we put $1^{\prime}=-1, i^{\prime}=-I, j^{\prime}=-j, k^{\prime}=-k$. It is shown that: $Z\left(Z_{2} Q_{8}\right.$ $)=\left\{a_{1} 1+a_{2} 1^{\prime}+a_{3}\left(i+i^{\prime}\right)+a_{4}\left(j+j^{\prime}\right)+a_{5}\left(k+k^{\prime}\right) \mid a_{1} \ldots a_{5} \in Z_{2}\right\}$. Regarding the symmetry of $\mathrm{Z}_{2} \mathrm{Q}_{8}$ elements. We must find $c(i), c(i+j)$ and $c(i+j+k)$ to prove that centralizer is commutative for each non-central element. we can get with a little calculation
$c(i)=\left\{a_{1} 1+a_{2} 1^{\prime}+a_{3} i+a_{4} i^{\prime}+a_{5}\left(j+j^{\prime}\right)+a_{6}\left(k+k^{\prime}\right) \mid a_{1 . . .} a_{6} \in z\right\}$
$c(i+j)=\left\{a_{1} 1+a_{2} 1^{\prime}+a_{3} i+a_{4} j+\left(a_{5}-a_{4}\right) i^{\prime}+\left(a_{5-} a_{3}\right) j^{\prime}+a_{6}\left(k+k^{\prime}\right) \mid a_{1 \ldots . .} a_{6} \in z_{2}\right\}$.
and $C(i+j+k)=\left\{a_{1} 1+a_{2} 1^{\prime}+a_{3} i+a_{4} i^{\prime}+a_{5}-a_{4}\right) j+\left(a_{5}-a_{3}\right)+\left(a_{6}-a_{4}\right) k+\left(a_{6}-a_{3}\right) k^{\prime} \mid a_{1} \ldots a_{6} \in z_{2}$.
As all above three centralizer are 6 - dimensional and each contains a 5 - dimensional subspace $Z\left(Z_{2} Q_{8}\right)$, they are commutative. Consequently group ring of $Z_{2} Q_{8}$ is commutative-transitive.

Theorem 2: If $R$ is a non-commutative ring with identity of order $p^{4}$, in which $p$ is prime number, then $R$ is commutative-transitive.

Proof: As $|R|=p^{4}$, char $R$ is a power of $P$. Therefore, elements of $0,1, \ldots, p-1$ are distinct. For each $a \in R$, we have $|c(a)|>p$. so for a $a \notin Z(R),|c(a)|=p^{2}$ or $p^{3 .}$ We show that $c(a)$ is commutative.

Case 1: If $|c(a)|=p^{2}$, we know each ring with identity of order $p^{2}$ is commutative.
Case 2: If $|c(a)|=p^{3}$, we put $S=C(a)$ and as element $a, 0, \ldots, p-1$ are all in the center of $S$, we get $|Z(s)|>p$ Therefore, $|Z(S)|=p^{2}$ or $p^{3}$. If $|Z(S)|=p^{3}$, then $Z(S)=S$. If $|Z(S)|=p^{2}$ for each $b \notin Z(S)$. We have $Z(S) \subsetneq C(b) \subsetneq S$ which is impossible, because $|S|=p^{3}$ and $|Z(S)|=p^{2}$. The proof is complete.

Example 3: Let the following rings have 16 elements.
$R=\left\{\left.\left[\begin{array}{cc}a & b \\ 0 & a^{2}\end{array}\right] \right\rvert\, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b} \in F_{4}\right\}, S=\left\{\left.\left[\begin{array}{ccc}a & b & c \\ 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d\end{array}\right] \right\rvert\, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d} \in F_{2}\right\}$.
Therefore, based on the above theorem , they are commutative-transitive and the commutative of $R$ is the union of one $K_{6}$ graph and four $K_{2}$ graphs and the commutative graph of $S$ is the union of three $K_{4}$ graphs.

Note 1: We have a single non commutative ring of p3 order with identity the following ring:
$R=\left\{\left.\left[\begin{array}{cc}a & b \\ 0 & c\end{array}\right] \right\rvert\, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c} \in Z_{p}\right\}$ That is also commutative-transitive [2].
That is also commutative-transitive [2].

## SKEW POLYNOMIAL RING

Definition 4: If $R$ is a ring and $\sigma: R \rightarrow R$ is a endomorphism, let $R[x ; \sigma]$ denote the ring of polynomials over $R$, that is, all formal polynomials in $x$ with coefficients from $R$ with multiplication defined by $x r=\sigma(r) x$ [4].

Theorem 3: Let $F$ is a field and $\sigma$ is an endomorphism of $F$. Then $R=\frac{F[X ; \sigma]}{\left\langle x^{2}\right\rangle}$ is commutative-transitive.
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Proof: Let $Z(R)=F i x(\sigma)=K$. Now show centralizer of each non-central elements is commutative. Let $a+b x$ is a non-central element of $R$ and $\alpha+\mu x \in c(a+b x)$

Case 1: If $\sigma(a) \neq a$ then $C(a+b x)=\left\{\left.\alpha+\frac{b(\sigma(\alpha)-\alpha)}{\sigma(\alpha)-\alpha} \mathrm{x} \right\rvert\, \alpha \in \mathrm{F}\right\}$ in this case centralizer is commutative.
Case 2: If $\sigma(a)=a$ in this case $\sigma(\alpha)=\alpha$ and $b \neq s$ so $C(a+b x)=\left\{\alpha+\mu_{X} \mid \mu \in F, \alpha \in k\right\}$.
In this case centralizer is commutative. Therefore ring $R$ is commutative-transitive. commutative graph of $R$ is the union of $|F|$ complete graphs which an of them has $|\mathrm{F} \vdash| \mathrm{k} \mid$ vertices and and one complete graph with $|\mathrm{k}| \Psi \mathrm{Fk} \mid$ vertices.In the following, we preset a simple and short proof of a Corollary ( 21) of [1].

Theorem 4: Let $R$ is a local ring and $R J(R) \cong F_{p}{ }^{r}$, in which $r$ is prime. If $J(R)$ is commutative, then $R$ is commutative-ransitive.

Proof: Let $a$ is a non-centeral element of $R$. show $C(a)$ is commutative. Since $J(C(a))=C(a) \cap J(R)$, so $Z_{\mathrm{p}} \subseteq \frac{C(a)}{C(a) \cap J(R)} \cong \frac{C(a) n /(R)}{J(R)} \subseteq \frac{R}{J(R)} \cong F_{p} r$.

Since $r$ is prime so there is not any field between $Z_{p}$ and $F_{p}{ }^{r}$. On the other hand $\frac{C(a)}{C(a) n /(R)}$ is a field since $C(a)$ is a local ring with maximal ideal of $C(a) \cap J(R)$

Therefore , $\frac{C(a)}{C(a) \cap J(R)} \cong Z_{\mathrm{p}}$ or $\frac{C(a)}{C(a) \cap J(R)} \cong F_{p}{ }^{r}$.
Case 1: If $\frac{C(a)}{C(a) \cap J(R)} \cong Z_{\mathbf{p}}$ then $C(a)=Z_{p}+(C(a) \cap J(R))$ so $C(a)$ is commutative.
Case 2: If $\frac{c(a)}{C(a) \cap J(R)} \cong F_{p^{r}}$, in this case $a \notin Z_{p}+J(R)$, since if $a \in Z_{p}+J(R)$ then Since $J(R)$ is commutative therefore $J(R) \subseteq C(a)$ Since $\frac{C(a)}{J(R)} \cong F_{p^{r}}$ and $\frac{R}{J(R)} \cong F_{p^{r}} \quad$ so $C(a)=R$ this meaning $a$ is centeral which is a contradiction. As $a \in Z(C(a))$ so $\frac{Z(C(a))}{Z(C(a)) \cap J(R)} \neq Z_{\mathrm{p}}$.

On the other hand $Z_{\mathrm{p}} \subseteq \frac{z(C(a))}{z(C(a)) n J(R)} \cong \frac{z(c(a))+J(R)}{J(R)} \subseteq \frac{R}{J(R)} \cong F_{p}{ }^{r}$.

Therefore $\frac{z(c(a))+J(R)}{J(R)} \cong F_{p^{r}}$, since $\frac{c(a)+J(R)}{J(R)} \cong F_{p^{r}}$, So $Z(C(a))+J(R)=C(a)+J(R)$ then $C(a)=Z(C(a))+(C(a) \cap J(R))$.

And as $J(a)$ is commutative so $C(a)$ is commutative, Therefore $R$ is commutative- transitive.
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