

## Anti Fuzzy Ideals of CI- algebras and its lower level cuts

<sup>1</sup>T. Priya\* & <sup>2</sup>T. Ramachandran<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, PSNA College of Engineering and Technology, Dindigul-624 622, TN, India<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Govt. Arts College, Karur, Tamilnadu, India

(Received on: 22-06-12; Accepted on: 10-07-12)

## ABSTRACT

In this paper, we introduce the concept of Anti fuzzy ideals of CI-algebras, lower level cuts of a fuzzy set and prove some results. We show that a fuzzy set of a CI-algebra is a fuzzy ideal if and only if the complement of this fuzzy set is an anti fuzzy ideal. We discussed few results of antifuzzy ideal of CI-algebra under transitive and self-distributive. Also we discussed few results of antifuzzy ideal with lower level cuts.

**Keywords:** CI-algebra, Transitive, Self-Distributive, fuzzy ideal, Anti fuzzy ideal, lower level cuts.

**AMS Subject Classification (2000):** 20N25, 03E72, 03F05, 06F35, 03G25.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Y. Imai and K. Iseki introduced two classes of abstract algebras: BCK-algebras and BCI –algebras [6,7]. It is known that the class of BCK-algebras is a proper subclass of the class of BCI-algebras. In [4,5] Q.P. Hu and X. Li introduced a wide class of abstract BCH-algebras. They have shown that the class of BCI-algebras. J. Neggers, S.S. Ahn and H.S. Kim introduced Q-algebras which is generalization of BCK / BCI algebras and obtained several results. In [9] K. Megalai and A. Tamilarasi introduced a class of abstract algebras: TM-algebras, which is a generalisation of Q / BCK / BCI / BCH algebras. In [10] B.L. Meng introduced the notion of a CI-algebra as a generation of a BE-algebra. The concept of fuzzification of ideals in CI-algebra have introduced by Samy.M. Mostafa [13]. R. Biswas introduced the concept of Anti fuzzy subgroups of groups [2]. Modifying his idea, in this paper we apply the idea of CI-algebras. We introduce the notion of Anti fuzzy ideals of CI-algebras and investigate some of its properties.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we site the fundamental definitions that will be used in the sequel.

**Definition 2.1:** [10] An algebraic system  $(X, *, 1)$  of type  $(2, 0)$  is called a CI -algebra if it satisfies the following axioms.

$$1. x * x = 1 \quad (2.1)$$

$$2. 1 * x = x, \quad (2.2)$$

$$3. x * (y * z) = y * (x * z), \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X \quad (2.3)$$

$$\text{In } X \text{ we can define a binary operation } \leq \text{ by } x \leq y \text{ if and only if } x * y = 1 \text{ for all } x, y \in X. \quad (2.4)$$

**Example 2.1:** Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  be a set with a binary operation  $*$  defined by the following table

*	1	2	3	4
1	1	2	3	4
2	1	1	2	4
3	1	1	1	4
4	1	2	3	1

Then  $(X, *, 1)$  is a CI-algebra.

**Corresponding author:** <sup>1</sup>T. Priya\*

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, PSNA College of Engineering and Technology, Dindigul-624 622, TN, India

**Definition 2.2:[8]** A CI - algebra  $(X, *, 1)$  is said to be transitive if it satisfies:

$$(y * z) * ((x * y) * (x * z)) = 1 \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in X \quad (2.5)$$

**Definition 2.3: [8]** A CI - algebra  $(X, *, 1)$  is said to be self- distributive if it satisfies:

$$x * (y * z) = (x * y) * (x * z) \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in X \quad (2.6)$$

Note that every self-distributive is transitive.

In an CI- algebra, the following identities are true:

$$4. y * ((y * x) * x) = 1. \quad (2.7)$$

$$5. (x * 1) * (y * 1) = (x * y) * 1. \quad (2.8)$$

**Definition 2.4: [14]** Let  $(X, *, 1)$  be a CI -algebra. A non empty subset  $I$  of  $X$  is called an ideal of  $X$  if it satisfies the following conditions

(i) If  $x \in X$  and  $a \in I$ , then  $x * a \in I$ , (i.e)  $X * I \subseteq I$ , (2.9)

(ii)

(iii) If  $x \in X$  and  $a, b \in I$ , then  $(a * (b * x)) * x \in I$ . (2.10)

Let  $X$  be a CI –algebra. Then (i) Every ideal of  $X$  contains 1.(ii) If  $I$  is an ideal of  $X$ , then  $(a * x) * x \in I$  for all  $a \in I$  and  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 2.5:** Let  $X$  be a non-empty set. A fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of the set  $X$  is a mapping  $\mu : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ .

**Definition 2.6: [14]**Let  $X$  be a CI -algebra. A fuzzy set  $\mu$  in  $X$  is called a fuzzy ideal of  $X$  if

(i)  $\mu(x * y) \geq \mu(y)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ . (2.11)

(ii)  $\mu((x * (y * z)) * z) \geq \min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}$ , for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . (2.12)

**Definition 2.7:** A fuzzy set  $\mu$  of a CI-algebra  $X$  is called an anti fuzzy ideal of  $X$ , if

(i)  $\mu(x * y) \leq \mu(y)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ . (2.13)

(ii)  $\mu((x * (y * z)) * z) \leq \max\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}$ , for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . (2.14)

**Theorem 2.1:** Every anti fuzzy ideal  $\mu$  of a CI-algebra  $X$  satisfies the inequality  $\mu(1) \leq \mu(x)$ , for any  $x \in X$ . (2.15)

**Proof:** Using (2.1) and (2.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(1) &= \mu(x * x) \\ &\leq \mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2.2:** If  $\mu$  is an anti fuzzy ideal of a CI – algebra  $X$ , then for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $\mu((x * y) * y) \leq \mu(x)$  (2.16)

**Proof:** Taking  $y = 1$  and  $z = y$  in (2.14) and Using (2.2) and (2.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu((x * y) * y) &= \mu((x * (1 * y)) * y) \quad [\text{by (2.2)}] \\ &\leq \max\{\mu(x), \mu(1)\} \quad [\text{by (2.14)}] \\ &= \mu(x) \quad [\text{by (2.15)}] \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2.3:** Every Anti fuzzy ideal  $\mu$  of a CI- algebra  $X$  is order reversing. That is , If  $x \leq y$ , then  $\mu(x) \geq \mu(y)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ . (2.17)

**Proof:** Let  $\mu$  be an anti fuzzy ideal of a CI- algebra  $X$  and let  $x, y \in X$  be such that  $x \leq y$ , then  $x * y = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(y) &= \mu(1 * y) \quad [\text{by (2.2)}] \\ &= \mu((x * y) * y) \\ &\leq \mu(x) \quad [\text{by (2.16)}] \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mu(x) \geq \mu(y)$ .

**Theorem 2.4:** Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set of a CI- algebra  $X$  which satisfies

$$\mu(1) \leq \mu(x) \text{ and } \mu(x * z) \leq \text{Max} \{ \mu(x * (y * z)), \mu(y) \}, \quad (2.18)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Then  $\mu$  is order reversing.

**Proof:** Let  $x, y \in X$  be such that  $x \leq y$ , then  $x * y = 1$ .

Using (2.2), (2.18) and (2.15), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \mu(y) &= \mu(1 * y) \\ &\leq \text{Max} \{ \mu(1 * (x * y)), \mu(x) \} \\ &= \text{Max} \{ \mu(1 * 1), \mu(x) \} \\ &= \text{Max} \{ \mu(1), \mu(x) \} \\ &= \mu(x) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\mu(x) \geq \mu(y)$ .

Hence  $\mu$  is order reversing.

**Theorem 2.5:** Let  $X$  be a transitive CI- algebra. If a fuzzy set  $\mu$  in  $X$  is an antifuzzy ideal of  $X$  then it satisfies condition (2.15) and (2.18).

**Proof :** Let  $\mu$  be an antifuzzy ideal of  $X$ . By theorem 2.2  $\mu(1) \leq \mu(x)$ . Since  $X$  is transitive, We have

$$((y * z) * z) * ((x * (y * z)) * (x * z)) = 1 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X \quad (2.19)$$

It follows from (2.2), (2.19), (2.14) and (2.16) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(x * z) &= \mu(1 * (x * z)) \\ &= \mu(\{(y * z) * z\} * ((x * (y * z)) * (x * z))) * (x * z)) \\ &\leq \text{Max} \{ \mu((y * z) * z), \mu(x * (y * z)) \} \\ &\leq \text{Max} \{ \mu(y), \mu(x * (y * z)) \} \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mu$  satisfies (2.18).

**Theorem 2.6:** Let  $X$  be a self-distributive CI- algebra. If a fuzzy set  $\mu$  in  $X$  is an antifuzzy ideal of  $X$  then it satisfies condition (2.15) and (2.18).

**Proof:** Straightforward.

**Theorem 2.7:**  $\mu$  is a fuzzy ideal of a CI-algebra  $X$  if and only if  $\mu^c$  is an anti fuzzy ideal of  $X$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy ideal of  $X$ . Let  $x, y, z \in X$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then (i) } \mu^c(x * y) &= 1 - \mu(x * y) \\ &\leq 1 - \mu(y) \quad [\text{by (2.11)}] \\ &= \mu^c(y) \end{aligned}$$

$$(i.e) \mu^c(x * y) \leq \mu^c(y)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii) } \mu^c((x * (y * z)) * z) &= 1 - \mu((x * (y * z)) * z) \\ &\leq 1 - \min \{ \mu(x), \mu(y) \} \quad [\text{by (2.12)}] \\ &= 1 - \min \{ 1 - \mu^c(x), 1 - \mu^c(y) \} \\ &= \max \{ \mu^c(x), \mu^c(y) \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{That is, } \mu^c((x * (y * z)) * z) \leq \max \{ \mu^c(x), \mu^c(y) \}$$

Thus,  $\mu^c$  is an anti fuzzy ideal of  $X$ . The converse also can be proved similarly.

**Definition 2.8:** [13] Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set of  $X$ . For a fixed  $t \in [0, 1]$ , the set  $\mu^t = \{x \in X \mid \mu(x) \leq t\}$  is called the lower level subset of  $\mu$ .

Clearly  $\mu^t \cup \mu_t = X$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$  if  $t_1 < t_2$ , then  $\mu^{t_1} \subseteq \mu^{t_2}$ .

**Theorem 2.8:** If  $\mu$  is an antifuzzy ideal of CI-algebra  $X$ , then  $\mu^t$  is an ideal of  $X$  for every  $t \in [0, 1]$

**Proof:** Let  $\mu$  be an antifuzzy ideal of CI-algebra  $X$ .

(i) Let  $x \in X$  and  $y \in \mu^t \Rightarrow \mu(y) \leq t$ .  
 $\mu(x * y) \leq \mu(y) \leq t$ .  
 $\Rightarrow x * y \in \mu^t$ .

(ii) Let  $x \in X$  and  $a, b \in \mu^t$ .  
 $\Rightarrow \mu(a) \leq t$  and  $\mu(b) \leq t$ .  
 $\mu((a * (b * x)) * x) \leq \text{Max}\{\mu(a), \mu(b)\} \leq \text{Max}\{t, t\} = t$ .  
 $\Rightarrow (a * (b * x)) * x \in \mu^t$ .

Hence  $\mu^t$  is an ideal of  $X$ .

**Theorem 2.9:** Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set of CI- algebra  $X$ . If for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ , the lower level cut  $\mu^t$  is an ideal of  $X$ , then  $\mu$  is an antifuzzy ideal of  $X$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\mu^t$  be an ideal of  $X$ .

If  $\mu(x * y) > \mu(y)$  for some  $x, y \in X$ . Then

$\mu(x * y) > t_0 > \mu(y)$  by taking  $t_0 = \frac{1}{2} \{\mu(x * y) + \mu(y)\}$

Hence  $x * y \notin \mu^{t_0}$  and  $y \in \mu^{t_0}$ , which is a contradiction.

Therefore,  $\mu(x * y) \leq \mu(y)$ .

Let  $x, y, z \in X$  be such that  $\mu((x * (y * z)) * z) > \text{Max}\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}$

Taking  $t_1 = \frac{1}{2} \{\mu((x * (y * z)) * z) + \text{Max}\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}\}$  and  $\mu((x * (y * z)) * z) > t_1 > \text{Max}\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}$ .

It follows that  $x, y \in \mu^{t_1}$  and  $(x * (y * z)) * z \notin \mu^{t_1}$ . This is a contradiction.

Hence  $\mu((x * (y * z)) * z) \leq \text{Max}\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}$

Therefore  $\mu$  is an antifuzzy ideal of  $X$ .

**Theorem 2.10 [14]:** A nonempty subset  $I$  of a CI – algebra  $X$  is an ideal of  $X$  if it satisfies

(i)  $1 \in I$  (2.20)

(ii)  $x * (y * z) \in I \Rightarrow x * z \in I$ , for all  $x, z \in X$  and  $y \in I$  (2.21)

**Definition 2.9[8]:** Let  $X$  be an CI- algebra and  $a, b \in X$ . We can define an upper set  $A(a, b)$  by  $A(a, b) = \{x \in X \mid a * (b * x) = 1\}$ . It is easy to see that  $1, a, b \in A(a, b)$  for all  $a, b \in X$ .

**Theorem 2.11:** Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set in CI-algebra  $X$ . Then  $\mu$  is an antifuzzy ideal of  $X$  iff  $\mu$  satisfies the following condition.

$(\forall a, b \in X), (\forall t \in [0, 1]) (a, b) \in \mu^t \Rightarrow A(a, b) \subseteq \mu^t$  (2.22)

**Proof:** Assume that  $\mu$  is an antifuzzy ideal of  $X$ .

Let  $a, b \in \mu^t$ . Then  $\mu(a) \leq t$  and  $\mu(b) \leq t$ .

Let  $x \in A(a, b)$ . Then  $a * (b * x) = 1$ .

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(x) &= \mu(1 * x) \\ &= \mu((a * (b * x)) * x) \\ &\leq \max\{\mu(a), \mu(b)\} \\ &\leq \max\{t, t\} \\ &= t \\ \Rightarrow \mu(x) &\leq t. \\ \Rightarrow x &\in \mu^t.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $A(a,b) \subseteq \mu^t$ .

Conversely suppose that  $A(a,b) \subseteq \mu^t$ .

Obviously  $1 \in A(a,b) \subseteq \mu^t$  for all  $a,b \in X$ .

Let  $x,y,z \in X$  be such that  $x * (y * z) \in \mu^t$  and  $y \in \mu^t$ .

Since  $(x * (y * z)) * (y * (x * z)) = (x * (y * z)) * (x * (y * z)) = 1$ . [ By (2.1) and (2.3) ], We have  $x * z \in A(x * (y * z), y) \subseteq \mu^t$ . It follows from theorem 2.10 that  $\mu^t$  is an ideal of  $X$ .

Hence, by theorem 2.9,  $\mu$  is an antifuzzy ideal of  $X$ .

**Theorem 2.12:** Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set in CI-algebra  $X$ . If  $\mu$  is an antifuzzy ideal of  $X$  then

$$(\forall t \in [0,1]) \mu^t \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \mu^t = \bigcup_{a,b \in \mu^t} A(a,b). \quad (2.23)$$

**Proof :** Let  $t \in [0,1]$  be such that  $\mu^t \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $1 \in \mu^t$ , we have  $\mu^t \subseteq \bigcup_{a \in \mu^t} A(a,1) \subseteq \bigcup_{a,b \in \mu^t} A(a,b)$ .

Now, let  $x \in \bigcup_{a,b \in \mu^t} A(a,b)$ .

Then there exists  $u,v \in \mu^t$  such that  $x \in A(u,v) \subseteq \mu^t$  by theorem 2.11. Thus  $\bigcup_{a,b \in \mu^t} A(a,b) \subseteq \mu^t$ .

This completes the proof.

## CONCLUSION

In this article we have discussed anti fuzzy ideal of CI-algebras and its lower level cuts in detail. It has been observed that the CI-algebra as a generation of BE-algebras. These concepts can further be generalized.

## REFERENCES :

- [1] Ahn S.S, Kim Y.H and Keum Sook So, Fuzzy BE-algebras, Journal of applied mathematics and informatics, 29(2011), 1049-1057.
- [2] Biswas R. , Fuzzy subgroups and Anti Fuzzy subgroups , Fuzzy sets and systems , 35 (1990), 121-124.
- [3] Dudek W.A and Y.B. Jun, Fuzzification of ideals in BCC- algebras , Glasnik Matematicki, 36 ,(2001) , 127-138.
- [4] Hu Q.P. and X.Li , On BCH-algebras, Mathematics Seminar notes 11 (1983) , 313-320.
- [5] Hu Q.P. and X.Li , On Proper BCH-algebras, Math Japonica 30(1985), 659 – 661.
- [6] Iseki K. and S.Tanaka , An introduction to the theory of BCK – algebras , Math Japonica 23 (1978), 1- 20 .
- [7] Iseki K., On BCI-algebras , Math.Seminar Notes 8 (1980), 125-130.
- [8] Kyung Ho Kim, A Note on CI- algebras, International Mathematical Forum, 6 (1), 2011, 1-5.
- [9] Megalai . K and A.Tamilarasi , Fuzzy Subalgebras and Fuzzy T-ideals in TM-algebra , Journal of Mathematics and Statistics 7(2), 2011, 107-111.
- [10] Meng B.L., CI-algebras, Sci. Math. Japo. Online, e-2009, 695-701.

- [11] Muthuraj .R , P.M. Sitharselvam, M.S. Muthuraman, Anti Q – fuzzy group and its lower level subgroups, IJCA, 3 (2010) , 16-20.
- [12] Muthuraj .R, M.Sridharan, P.M.Sitharselvam, M.S.Muthuraman, Anti Q- Fuzzy BG-ideals in BG-algebra, IJCA, 4 (2010), 27-31.
- [13] Ramachandran T., Priya T., Parimala M., Anti fuzzy T ideals of TM-algebras and its lower level cuts, International Journal of Computer Applications , volume 43(22),(2012),17-22.
- [14] Samy M.Mostafa, Mokthar A.Abdel Naby and Osama R.Elghendy, Fuzzy ideals in CI- algebras, Journal of American Science, 7(8), (2011), 485-488.
- [15] Zadeh.L.A. , Fuzzy sets, Inform.control,8 (1965) , 338 -353.

**Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared**