

# A COINCIDENCE POINT THEOREM FOR FOUR SELF MAPPINGS IN DISLOCATED QUASI METRIC SPACES

K. P. R. Sastry<sup>1</sup>, S. Kalesha Vali<sup>2</sup>, Ch. Srinivasa Rao<sup>3</sup> and M. A. Rahamatulla<sup>4\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>8-28-8/1, Tamil Street, Chinna Waltair, Visakhapatnam-530 017, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, GITAM University, Visakhapatnam- 530 045, India

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Mrs. A.V.N. College, Visakhapatnam -530 001, India

<sup>4</sup>Department of Mathematics, Al-Aman College of Engineering, Visakhapatnam – 531 173, India

(Received on: 23-07-12; Revised & Accepted on: 18-08-12)

## ABSTRACT

In this paper we prove a coincidence point theorem in dislocated metric spaces, provide a supporting example and extend the theorem to dislocated quasi metric spaces. We observe that the supporting example of a result of K.P.R. Rao and P. Ranga Swamy ([7]), on the existence of a coincidence point for four self maps on a dislocated metric space is not valid. We also make a modification of their result.

**Mathematical Subject Classification:** 47 H 10, 54 H 25.

**Key Words:** Dislocated quasi metric, dq– limit, dq – convergent, dq – Cauchy sequence.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In 2005, F.M. Zayed, G.H. Hassan and M.A. Ahmed [10] defined dislocated quasi metric spaces and dislocated metric spaces. C.T. Aage and J.N. Salunke [1] and A. Isufati [4] proved fixed point theorems for a single self map and a pair of self mappings in dislocated metric spaces, K.P.R. Rao and P. Ranga Swamy ([7]) proved a common coincidence point theorem for four self maps in a dislocated metric space. In this paper we prove a coincidence point theorem for four self maps on a dislocated quasi metric space and observe that (Theorem 2.1, [7]) is a special case of our result.

First we recall some Definitions from [10]

**Definition 1.1:** Let  $X$  be a non empty set and let  $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function. The following conditions on  $d$  are referred subsequently

$$d(x, x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in X. \quad (1.1.1)$$

$$d(x, y) = d(y, x) = 0 \Rightarrow x = y \quad \forall x, y \in X. \quad (1.1.2)$$

$$d(x, y) = d(y, x) \quad \forall x, y \in X. \quad (1.1.3)$$

$$d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y) \quad \forall x, y, z \in X. \quad (1.1.4)$$

$$d(x, y) \leq \max\{d(x, z), d(z, y)\} \quad \forall x, y, z \in X. \quad (1.1.5)$$

(i) If  $d$  satisfies (1.1.2) and (1.1.4) then  $d$  is called a dislocated quasi metric (or) dq - metric and  $(X, d)$  is called a dq - metric space.

(ii) If  $d$  satisfies (1.1.2), (1.1.3) and (1.1.4) then  $d$  is called a dislocated metric and  $(X, d)$  is called a dislocated metric space.

(iii) If  $d$  satisfies (1.1.1), (1.1.2) and (1.1.4) then  $d$  is called a quasi metric and  $(X, d)$  is called a quasi metric space.

(iv) If  $d$  satisfies (1.1.1), (1.1.2), (1.1.3) and (1.1.4) then  $d$  is called a metric and  $(X, d)$  is called a metric space.

(v) If  $d$  satisfies (1.1.1), (1.1.2), (1.1.3) and (1.1.5) then  $d$  is called an Ultra metric and  $(X, d)$  is called an Ultra metric space.

**Corresponding author: M. A. Rahamatulla<sup>4\*</sup>**

<sup>4</sup>Department of Mathematics, Al-Aman College of Engineering, Visakhapatnam – 531 173, India

We observe that every ultra metric is a metric.

**Definition 1.2 ([10]):** A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a dq – metric space  $(X, d)$  is called a Cauchy sequence if for any given  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $m, n \geq n_0$ ,  $d(x_m, x_n) < \epsilon$ .

**Definition 1.3 ([10]):** A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a dq – metric space is said to be dislocated quasi convergent to  $x$ . if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x, x_n) = 0$ .

In this case  $x$  is called a dq – limit of  $\{x_n\}$  and we write  $x_n \rightarrow x$ .

**Lemma 1.4 ([10]):** Dq – limit in a dq – metric space is unique.

**Definition 1.5 ([10]):** A dq – metric space  $(X, d)$  is called complete if every Cauchy sequence in it is dq – convergent.

**Definition 1.6 ([10]):** Let  $(X, d_1)$  and  $(Y, d_2)$  be dq – metric spaces and let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a function. Then  $f$  is said to be continuous at  $x_0 \in X$ , if the sequence  $f\{x_n\}$  is  $d_2$ q – convergent to  $f(x_0) \in Y$  whenever the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  is  $d_1$ q – convergent to  $x_0$ .

**Definition 1.7 ([10]):** Let  $(X, d)$  be a dq – metric space. A map  $T: X \rightarrow X$  is called a contraction if there exists  $0 \leq \lambda < 1$  such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda d(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

**Note:** In a metric space, a contraction map is continuous. However, in a dq – metric space a contraction map need not be continuous (Rutten [9], Example [3.6]). **Zayed et.al [10] proved the dq - metric version of Banach contraction principle.**

**Theorem 1.8 ([10]):** Let  $(X, d)$  be a dq – metric space and let  $T: X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous contraction mapping. Then  $T$  has unique fixed point.

**K. P. R. Rao and P. Ranga Swamy [7] proved the following coincidence theorem for four self maps on a dislocated metric space.**

**Theorem 1.9: ([7], Theorem 2.1)** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete dislocated metric space and let  $F, G, S$  and  $T: X \rightarrow X$  be continuous mappings satisfying

$$S(X) \subseteq G(X) \text{ and } T(X) \subseteq F(X) \quad (1.9.1)$$

$$SF = FS \text{ and } TG = GT \text{ and} \quad (1.9.2)$$

$$d(Sx, Ty) \leq \varphi(\max\{d(Fx, Gy), d(Fx, Sx), d(Gy, Ty), \frac{d(Fx, Sx)d(Gy, Ty)}{d(Fx, Gy)}\}) \quad (1.9.3)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is monotonically non – decreasing and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi^n(t) < \infty$  for all  $t > 0$ .

Then (i)  $F$  and  $S$  (or)  $G$  and  $T$  have coincidence point (or) (ii) the pairs  $(F, S)$  and  $(G, T)$  have a common coincidence point.

**The following Example is given in [7] in support of Theorem 1.9 ([7], Example 2.2)**

**Example 1.10:** Let  $X = [0, 1]$  and  $d(x, y) = \max\{x, y\}$ . Then  $(X, d)$  is a dislocated metric space. Define  $Sx = 0$ ,  $Tx = \frac{x}{6}$ ,  $Fx = x$ ,  $Gx = \frac{x}{3}$ . Clearly  $S, T, F$  and  $G$  are continuous and (1.9.1) and (1.9.2) are satisfied.

Also  $d(Sx, Ty) \leq \varphi(d(Fx, Gy))$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\varphi(t) = \frac{t}{2}$ , clearly 0 is a common coincidence point of  $(F, S)$  and  $(G, T)$ . However the above example does not support Theorem 1.9, since at  $x = y = 0$  (1.9.3) is not satisfied (In fact it has no meaning). Consequently condition (1.9.3) should be assumed to hold whenever  $d(Fx, Gy) \neq 0$ . Example 1.10 cannot be considered as an Example in support of Theorem 1.9,

since  $d(Fx, Gy) = 0$  for  $x = y = 0$ .

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we prove a coincidence point theorem for four self maps on a dislocated metric space and provide a supporting example. Extend this to dislocated quasi metric spaces. We also obtain the result of [7], with modification, as a corollary.

**Theorem 2.1:** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete dislocated metric space and let  $F, G, S$  and  $T: X \rightarrow X$  be continuous mappings satisfying

$$S(X) \subseteq G(X) \text{ and } T(X) \subseteq F(X) \quad (2.1.1)$$

$$SF = FS \text{ and } TG = GT \text{ and} \quad (2.1.2)$$

$$d(Sx, Ty) \leq \varphi \max\{d(Fx, Gy), d(Fx, Sx), d(Gy, Ty)\} \quad (2.1.3)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\varphi: R^+ \rightarrow R^+$  is monotonically non-decreasing and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi^n(t) < \infty$  for all  $t > 0$ . Then the pairs  $(F, S)$  and  $(G, T)$  have a common coincidence point.

**Proof:** It is clear that  $\varphi^n(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\varphi(t) < t \forall t > 0$ . Suppose  $x_0 \in X$ . Define the sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  such that

$$y_{2n} = Sx_{2n} = Gx_{2n+1}$$

$$y_{2n+1} = Tx_{2n+1} = Fx_{2n+2}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) &= d(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) \quad (\text{by (2.1.3)}) \\ &\leq \varphi(\max\{d(Fx_{2n}, Gx_{2n+1}), d(Fx_{2n}, Sx_{2n}), d(Gx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1})\}) \\ &= \varphi(\max\{d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}), d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}), d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})\}) \\ &= \varphi(\max\{d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}), d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})\}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.1.4)$$

Now from (2.1.4)

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) &\leq d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \\ \Rightarrow d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) &\leq \varphi(d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})) \\ \Rightarrow d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) &\leq 0 \leq \varphi(d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})) \end{aligned} \quad (2.1.5)$$

Now suppose

$$d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) > d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}). \text{ Then from (2.1.4)}$$

$$\text{follows that } d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \leq \varphi(d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})) \quad (2.1.6)$$

From (2.1.5) and (2.1.6) follows that

$$d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \leq \varphi(d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})) \text{ for } n = 1, 2, 3 \dots$$

Similarly we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) &= d(Tx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n+2}) \quad (\text{by (1.1.3)}) \\ &= d(Sx_{2n+2}, Tx_{2n+1}) \\ &\leq \varphi(\max\{d(Fx_{2n+2}, Gx_{2n+1}), d(Fx_{2n+2}, Sx_{2n+2}), d(Gx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1})\}) \\ &= \varphi(\max\{d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}), d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}), d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})\}) \\ &= \varphi(\max\{d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}), d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})\}) \\ &\leq \varphi(d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})) \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq \varphi(d(y_{n-1}, y_n))$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore d(y_n, y_{n+1}) &\leq \varphi(d(y_{n-1}, y_n)) \\ &\leq \varphi^2(d(y_{n-2}, y_{n-1})) \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq \varphi^n(d(y_0, y_1)) \\ \therefore d(y_n, y_{n+1}) &\leq \varphi^n(d(y_0, y_1)) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varphi^n(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we may suppose with out loss of generality that  $d(y_0, y_1) < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore d(y_n, y_{n+k}) &\leq d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + \dots + d(y_{n+k-1}, y_{n+k}) \\ &= \varphi^n(d(y_0, y_1)) + \dots + \varphi^{n+k-1}(d(y_0, y_1)) \\ &= \frac{\varphi^n(d(y_0, y_1))}{1 - \varphi(d(y_0, y_1))} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Hence there exists  $u \in X$  such that

$\{y_n\}$  converges to  $u$ . Since  $FS = SF$  and  $S$  and  $F$  are continuous,

we have  $Su = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SFx_{2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} FSx_{2n} = Fu$ ,

since  $TG = GT$  and  $T$  and  $G$  are continuous,

we have  $Tu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} TGx_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} GTx_{2n+1} = Gu$

Thus  $u$  is a common coincidence point of the pairs  $(F, S)$  and  $(G, T)$ ,

consequently  $u$  is a common coincidence point of  $F, S, G$  and  $T$ .

**The following Example supports our theorem**

**Example 2.2:** Let  $X = [0, 1]$ ,  $d(x, y) = \max(x, y)$ . Then  $(X, d)$  is a complete dislocated metric space. Define  $Sx = 0$ ,  $Tx = \frac{x}{2}$ ,  $Fx = Gx = x$ . Take  $\varphi(t) = \frac{t}{2}$ . Then clearly (2.1.1), (2.1.2) and (2.1.3) are satisfied and 0 is a common coincidence point of  $S, F, G$  and  $T$ .

**The following is a modified version of Theorem 1.9**

**Theorem 2.3:** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete dislocated metric space and let  $F, G, S$  and  $T: X \rightarrow X$  be continuous mappings satisfying

$$S(X) \subseteq G(X) \text{ and } T(X) \subseteq F(X) \quad (2.3.1)$$

$$SF = FS \text{ and } TG = GT \text{ and} \quad (2.3.2)$$

$$d(Tx, Sy) \leq \varphi(\max\{d(Gx, Fy), d(Fy, Sy), d(Gx, Tx), \frac{d(Fy, Sy)d(Gx, Tx)}{d(Gx, Fy)}\}) \quad (2.3.3)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , whenever  $d(Gx, Fy) \neq 0$ , where  $\varphi: R^+ \rightarrow R^+$  is monotonically non-decreasing and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi^n(t) < \infty$  for all  $t > 0$ .

Then  $F, S, G$  and  $T$  have a common coincidence point.

**Proof:** It is clear that  $\varphi^n(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\varphi(t) < t \forall t > 0$ . Suppose  $x_0 \in X$ .

Define the sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} y_{2n} &= Sx_{2n} = Gx_{2n+1} \\ y_{2n+1} &= Tx_{2n+1} = Fx_{2n+2}, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

If  $y_{2n} = y_{2n+1}$  for some  $n$  then  $Gx_{2n+1} = Tx_{2n+1}$ . Hence  $x_{2n+1}$  is a coincidence point of  $G$  and  $T$ .

If  $y_{2n+1} = y_{2n+2}$  for some  $n$  then  $Fx_{2n+2} = Sx_{2n+2}$ . Hence  $x_{2n+2}$  is a coincidence point of  $F$  and  $S$ . Assume that  $y_n \neq y_{n+1}$  for all  $n$ . Then as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we can show that  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

Hence there exists  $u \in X$  such that  $\{y_n\}$  converges to  $u$ . Since  $FS = SF$  and  $S$  and  $F$  are continuous,

we have  $Su = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SFx_{2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} FSx_{2n} = Fu$ ,

since  $TG = GT$  and  $T$  and  $G$  are continuous, we have  $Tu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} TGx_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} GTx_{2n+1} = Gu$ .

Thus  $u$  is a common coincidence point of the pairs  $(F, S)$  and  $(G, T)$ , consequently  $u$  is a common coincidence point of  $F, S, G$  and  $T$ .

**The following Example shows that Theorem 2.3 may not hold, even in metric spaces, if (2.3.2) is dropped.**

**Example 2.4:** Let  $X = [0,1]$  with the usual metric  $d$ . Define  $Sx = \frac{x}{3}$ ,  $Tx = 0$ ,  $Fx = x$ ,  $Gx = 1 - x \quad \forall x \in X$ . Then  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space, (2.3.1) is clearly satisfied (2.3.3) is satisfied with  $\varphi(t) = \frac{t}{2}$ . But (2.3.2) is not satisfied since  $TG \neq GT$ . Here the pair  $(F, S)$  has a coincidence point, namely 0. The pair  $(G, T)$  has a coincidence point namely 1. But  $S, T, F$  and  $G$  do not have a common coincidence point.

**Now we extend Theorem 2.1 to dislocated quasi metric spaces as follows**

**Theorem 2.5:** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete dislocated quasi metric space, let  $F, G, S$  and  $T: X \rightarrow X$  be continuous mappings satisfying

$$S(X) \subseteq G(X) \text{ and } T(X) \subseteq F(X) \quad (2.5.1)$$

$$SF = FS \text{ and } TG = GT \text{ and} \quad (2.5.2)$$

$$d(Sx, Ty) \leq \varphi(\max\{d(Fx, Gy), d(Fx, Sx), d(Gy, Ty)\}) \quad (2.5.3)$$

$$d(Tx, Sy) \leq \varphi(\max\{d(Gx, Fy), d(Fy, Sy), d(Gx, Tx)\}) \quad (2.5.4)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\varphi: R^+ \rightarrow R^+$  is monotonically non-decreasing and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi^n(t) < \infty$  for all  $t > 0$ .

Then the pairs  $(F, S)$  and  $(G, T)$  have a common coincidence point which is also a common coincidence point of  $F, G, S$  and  $T$ .

**Proof:** It is clear that  $\varphi^n(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\varphi(t) < t \quad \forall t > 0$ . Suppose  $x_0 \in X$ .

Define the sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  such that

$$y_{2n} = Sx_{2n} = Gx_{2n+1}$$

$$y_{2n+1} = Tx_{2n+1} = Fx_{2n+2}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) &= d(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) \quad (\text{by (2.5.3)}) \\ &\leq \varphi(\max\{d(Fx_{2n}, Gx_{2n+1}), d(Fx_{2n}, Sx_{2n}), d(Gx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1})\}) \\ &= \varphi(\max\{d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}), d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}), d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})\}) \\ &= \varphi(\max\{d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}), d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})\}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.5.5)$$

Now from (2.5.5)

$$d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) \leq d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})$$

$$\Rightarrow d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \leq \varphi(d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}))$$

$$\Rightarrow d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \leq 0 \leq \varphi(d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})) \quad (2.5.6)$$

Now suppose

$$d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) > d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}). \text{ Then from (2.5.5)}$$

follows that

$$d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \leq \varphi(d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})) \quad (2.5.7)$$

From (2.5.6) and (2.5.7) shows that

$$d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \leq \varphi(d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})) \text{ for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Similarly using (2.5.4), we can show that

$$d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) \leq \varphi(d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}))$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) &= d(Tx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n}) \text{ (by (2.5.4))} \\ &\leq \varphi(\max\{d(Gx_{2n+1}, Fx_{2n}), d(Fx_{2n}, Sx_{2n}), d(Gx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1})\}) \\ &= \varphi(\max\{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}), d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}), d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})\}) \\ &= \varphi(\max\{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}), d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})\}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \text{ If } d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \leq d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) < d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})$$

since  $d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) > 0$ , which is contradiction

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) &\leq d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) \\ \therefore d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) &\leq \varphi(d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})) \text{ this is true for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\ \therefore d(y_n, y_{n+1}) &\leq \varphi(d(y_{n-1}, y_n)) \\ &\leq \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq \varphi^n(d(y_0, y_1)) \end{aligned}$$

Here again, we may suppose without loss of generality that  $\varphi(d(y_0, y_1)) < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore d(y_n, y_{n+k}) &\leq d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + \dots + d(y_{n+k-1}, y_{n+k}) \\ &= \varphi^n(d(y_0, y_1)) + \dots + \varphi^{n+k-1}(d(y_0, y_1)) \\ &= \frac{\varphi^n(d(y_0, y_1))}{1 - \varphi(d(y_0, y_1))} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence .

Since  $X$  is a complete dislocated quasi metric space there exists  $u \in X$  such that  $\{y_n\}$  converges to  $u$  . Since  $FS = SF$  and  $S$  and  $F$  are continuous,

$$\text{we have } Su = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SFx_{2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} FSx_{2n} = Fu,$$

since  $TG = GT$  and  $T$  and  $G$  are continuous ,

$$\text{we have } Tu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} TGx_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} GTx_{2n+1} = Gu$$

Thus the pairs  $(F, S)$  and  $(G, T)$  have common coincidence point which is also a common coincidence point of  $F, S, G$  and  $T$  .

The following is a common fixed point theorem, with the control function containing rational terms.

**Theorem 2.6:** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete dislocated quasi metric space.

Let  $F, G, S$  and  $T: X \rightarrow X$  be continuous mappings satisfying

$$S(X) \subseteq G(X) \text{ and } T(X) \subseteq F(X) \quad (2.6.1)$$

$$SF = FS \text{ and } TG = GT \text{ and } (2.6.2)$$

$$d(Sx, Ty) \leq \varphi(\max\{d(Fx, Gy), d(Fx, Sx), d(Gy, Ty), \frac{d(Fx, Sx)d(Gy, Ty)}{d(Fx, Gy)}\}) \text{ for all } x, y \in X \text{ and } d(Fx, Gy) \neq 0 \text{ and} \quad (2.6.3)$$

$$d(Tx, Sy) \leq \varphi(\max\{d(Gx, Fy), d(Fy, Sy), d(Gx, Tx), \frac{d(Fy, Sy)d(Gx, Tx)}{d(Gx, Fy)}\}) \quad (2.6.4)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $d(Gx, Fy) \neq 0$ , where  $\varphi: R^+ \rightarrow R^+$  is monotonically non – decreasing and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi^n(t) < \infty \text{ for all } t > 0.$$

Then the pairs  $(F, S)$  and  $(G, T)$  have a common coincidence point.

**Proof:** It is clear that

$$\varphi^n(t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ and } \varphi(t) < t \forall t > 0. \text{ Suppose } x_0 \in X.$$

Define the sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} y_{2n} &= Sx_{2n} = Gx_{2n+1} \\ y_{2n+1} &= Tx_{2n+1} = Fx_{2n+2}, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) &= d(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) \quad (\text{by (2.6.3)}) \\ &\leq \varphi(\max\{d(Fx_{2n}, Gx_{2n+1}), d(Fx_{2n}, Sx_{2n}), d(Gx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}), \frac{d(Fx_{2n}, Sx_{2n})d(Gx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1})}{d(Fx_{2n}, Gx_{2n+1})}\}) \\ &= \varphi(\max\{d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}), d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}), d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}), \frac{d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})}{d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})}\}) \\ &= \varphi(\max\{d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}), d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})\}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.6.5)$$

Now from (2.6.5)

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) &\leq d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \\ \Rightarrow d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) &\leq \varphi(d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})) \\ \Rightarrow d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) &\leq 0 \leq \varphi(d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})) \end{aligned} \quad (2.6.6)$$

Now suppose

$$d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) > d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}). \text{ Then from (2.6.5)}$$

follows that

$$d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \leq \varphi(d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})) \quad (2.6.7)$$

From (2.6.6) and (2.6.7) follows that

$$d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \leq \varphi(d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})) \text{ for } n = 1, 2, 3 \dots$$

Similarly we can show that

$$d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) \leq \varphi(d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})) \text{ for } n = 1, 2, 3 \dots$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) &= d(Tx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n}) \quad (\text{by (2.6.4)}) \\ &\leq \varphi(\max\{d(Gx_{2n+1}, Fx_{2n}), d(Fx_{2n}, Sx_{2n}), d(Gx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}), \frac{d(Fx_{2n}, Sx_{2n})d(Gx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1})}{d(Gx_{2n+1}, Fx_{2n})}\}) \\ &= \varphi(\max\{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}), d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}), d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}), \frac{d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})}{d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})}\}) \\ &= \varphi(\max\{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}), d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})\}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \text{ If } d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \leq d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) < d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})$$

since  $d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) > 0$ , which is contradiction

$$\therefore d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \leq d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})$$

$$\therefore d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \leq \varphi(d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})) \text{ this is true for } n = 1, 2, 3 \dots$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore d(y_n, y_{n+1}) &\leq \varphi(d(y_{n-1}, y_n)) \\ &\leq \vdots \\ &\leq \varphi^n(d(y_0, y_1)) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varphi^n(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we may suppose with out loss of generality that  $d(y_0, y_1) < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore d(y_n, y_{n+k}) &\leq d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + \dots + d(y_{n+k-1}, y_{n+k}) \\ &= \varphi^n(d(y_0, y_1)) + \dots + \varphi^{n+k-1}(d(y_0, y_1)) \\ &= \frac{\varphi^n(d(y_0, y_1))}{1 - \varphi(d(y_0, y_1))} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \{y_n\} \text{ is a Cauchy sequence.}$$

Since  $X$  is a complete dislocated quasi metric space there exists  $u \in X$  such that  $\{y_n\}$  converges to  $u$ .

Since  $FS = SF$  and  $S$  and  $F$  are continuous, we have  $Su = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SFx_{2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} FSx_{2n} = Fu$ ,

since  $TG = GT$  and  $T$  and  $G$  are continuous,

we have  $Tu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} TGx_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} GTx_{2n+1} = Gu$

Thus the pairs  $(F, S)$  and  $(G, T)$  have common coincidence point which is also a common coincidence point of  $F, S, G$  and  $T$ .

**Note:** In theorem 2.6, if we assume the space to be a complete dislocated metric space, (2.6.4) can be dropped and consequently we get a modified version of theorem 1.9 as a corollary.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The fourth author (M.A. Rahamatulla) is grateful to the authorities of Al-Aman College of Engineering and I.H. Faruqi Sir for granting permission to carry on this research.



## REFERENCES

- [1] C. T. Aage, J. N. Salunke, the results on fixed point in dislocated and dislocated quasi- metric space. Appl. Math. Sci., Vol.2. 2008, No.59, 2941-2948.
- [2] P. Hitzler and A. K. Seda, Dislocated Topologies, J.Electr.Engin.51 (12/5), 2000, pp. 3-7.
- [3] P. Hitzler, Generalized matrices and Topology in logic programming semantics, Ph. D Thesis .National University of Ireland (University College Cork), 2001.
- [4] A. Isufati, Fixed point theorems in Dislocated quasi – metric space, Appl. Math. Sci., Vol.4. 2010, No.5, 217-223.
- [5] R. Kannan , Some results on fixed points Bull. Cal. Math. Soc 60, pp 71-76 (1968).
- [6] S. G. Matthews, Matric Domains for Completeness, Ph.D Thesis (Research Report 76), Dept .Com. Sci., University of Warwick, U.K.1986.
- [7] K.P.R. Rao and P. Ranga Swamy, A coincidence point theorem for four mappings in Dislocated metric spaces , Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sci, Vol.No.6, 2011, No: 34, pp 1675-1680.
- [8] B.E. Rhodes, A Comparison of various definitions of Contractive mappings, Trans. Amer. Soc. 226(1977), 257-290.
- [9] J.J.M.M. Rutten, Elements of Generalized Ultra metric domain theory, Theoretic. Com. Sci, 1970 (1996), pp 349-381.
- [10] F.M. Zayed, G.H. Hassan and M.A. Ahmed, A Generalization of fixed point theorem due to Hitzler and Seda in dislocated quasi metric spaces, The Arabian Jour for Sci and Engg., Vol. 31 , Number iA (2005).

**Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared**