HOMOMORPHISM IN INTUITIONISTIC L-FUZZY SUBHEMIRINGS OF A HEMIRING

¹N. SELVAK KUMARAEN & ²K. ARJUNAN*

¹Professor & Head, Department of Mathematics, Latha Mathavan Engineering College, Kidaripatti-625301, Madurai, (TN), India

²Department of Mathematics, H. H. The Rajahs College, Pudukkottai – 622001, (TN), India

(Received on: 24-07-12; Revised & Accepted on: 18-09-12)

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we made an attempt to study the algebraic nature of an intuitionistic L-fuzzy subhemiring of a hemiring under homomorphism and anti-homomorphism.

2000 *AMS Subject classification:* 03F55, 06D72, 08A72.

Keywords: L-fuzzy set, L-fuzzy subhemiring, anti L-fuzzy subhemiring, intuitionistic L-fuzzy subset, intuitionistic L-fuzzy subhemiring.

INTRODUCTION

There are many concepts of universal algebras generalizing an associative ring (R; +; .) Some of them in particular, nearrings and several kinds of semirings have been proven very useful. An algebra (R; +; .) is said to be a semiring if (R; +) and (R; .) are semigroups satisfying a. (R) be a beautiful and beautiful and be additively commutative if R is said to be additively commutative if R if R is a semiring R may have an identity 1, defined by 1. R and a zero 0, defined by R and a R and a R are an analysis and a semiring if it is an additively commutative with zero. After the introduction of fuzzy sets by L.A.Zadeh[17], several researchers explored on the generalization of the notion of fuzzy set. The concept of intuitionistic L-fuzzy subset was introduced by K.T.Atanassov[6,7], as a generalization of the notion of fuzzy set. The notion of homomorphism and anti-homomorphism of fuzzy and anti-fuzzy ideal of a ring was introduced by N.Palaniappan & K.Arjunan[11]. Some properties of intuitionistic fuzzy subgroups was introduced by Palaniappan. N & K.Arjunan[13]. In this paper, we introduce the some Theorems in intuitionistic L-fuzzy subhemiring of a hemiring under homomorphism and anti-homomorphism.

1. PRELIMINARIES:

- **1.1 Definition:** Let X be a non-empty set and $L = (L, \leq)$ be a lattice with least element 0 and greatest element 1. A **L-fuzzy subset** A of X is a function A: $X \to L$.
- **1.2 Definition:** Let (R, +, .) be a hemiring. A L-fuzzy subset A of R is said to be a L-fuzzy subhemiring (LFSHR) of R if it satisfies the following conditions:
- $(i) \quad \mu_A(x+y) \geq \mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_A(y),$
- (ii) $\mu_A(xy) \ge \mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_A(y)$, for all x and y in R.
- **1.3 Definition:** Let (R, +, .) be a hemiring. A L-fuzzy subset A of R is said to be an anti L-fuzzy subhemiring (ALFSHR) of R if it satisfies the following conditions:
- $(i) \ \mu_A(x+y) \leq \mu_A(x) \vee \mu_A(y),$
- (ii) $\mu_A(xy) \le \mu_A(x) \lor \mu_A(y)$, for all x and y in R.
- **1.4 Definition:** Let (L, \leq) be a complete lattice with an involutive order reversing operation $N: L \to L$. A **intuitionistic** L-fuzzy subset (ILFS) A in X is defined as an object of the form $A = \{ < x, \, \mu_A(x), \, \nu_A(x) > / \, x \text{ in } X \, \}$, where $\mu_A: X \to L$ and $\nu_A: X \to L$ define the degree of membership and the degree of non-membership of the element $x \in X$ respectively and for every $x \in X$ satisfying $\mu_A(x) \leq N(\nu_A(x))$.

¹N. SELVAK KUMARAEN & ²K. ARJUNAN*/ HOMOMORPHISM IN INTUITIONISTIC L-FUZZY SUBHEMIRINGS OF A HEMIRING/IJMA- 3(9), Sept.-2012.

- **1.5 Definition:** Let (R, +, .) be a hemiring. An intuitionistic L-fuzzy subset A of R is said to be an intuitionistic L-fuzzy subhemiring (ILFSHR) of R if it satisfies the following conditions:
- $(i) \quad \mu_A(x+y) \geq \mu_A(x) \wedge \ \mu_A(y),$
- (ii) $\mu_A(xy) \ge \mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_A(y)$,
- (iii) $v_A(x + y) \le v_A(x) \lor v_A(y)$,
- (iv) $v_A(xy) \le v_A(x) \lor v_A(y)$, for all x and y in R.

2. PROPERTIES OF INTUITIONISTIC L-FUZZY SUBHEMIRINGS

2.1 Theorem: Let (R, +, .) and $(R^1, +, .)$ be any two hemirings. The homomorphic image of an intuitionistic L-fuzzy subhemiring of R^1 .

Proof: Let (R, +, ...) and $(R^l, +, ...)$ be any two hemirings. Let $f: R \to R^l$ be a homomorphism. Then, f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y) and f(xy) = f(x) f(y), for all x and y in R. Let V = f(A), where A is an intuitionistic L-fuzzy subhemiring of R. We have to prove that V is an intuitionistic L-fuzzy subhemiring of R^l . Now, for f(x), f(y) in R^l , $\mu_v(f(x) + f(y)) = \mu_v(f(x+y)) \ge \mu_A(x+y) \ge \mu_A(x) \land \mu_A(y)$, which implies that $\mu_v(f(x) + f(y)) \ge \mu_v(f(x)) \land \mu_v(f(y))$. Again, $\mu_v(f(x)) \ne \mu_v(f(x)) \ge \mu_v(f(x)) \land \mu_v(f(x)$

Hence V is an intuitionistic L-fuzzy subhemiring of R¹.

2.2 Theorem: Let (R, +, ...) and $(R^1, +, ...)$ be any two hemirings. The homomorphic preimage of an intuitionistic L-fuzzy subhemiring of R^1 is a intuitionistic L-fuzzy subhemiring of R.

Proof: Let (R, +, ...) and $(R^1, +, ...)$ be any two hemirings. Let $f: R \to R^1$ be a homomorphism. Then, f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y) and f(xy) = f(x) f(y), for all x and y in R. Let V = f(A), where V is an intuitionistic L-fuzzy subhemiring of R^1 . We have to prove that A is an intuitionistic L-fuzzy subhemiring of R. Let R and R in R. Then, R is an intuitionistic L-fuzzy subhemiring of R. Let R and R in R in R. Then, R is an intuitionistic L-fuzzy subhemiring of R. Let R and R in R in

Let x and y in R. Then, $v_A(x+y) = v_v(f(x+y)) = v_v(f(x)+f(y)) \le v_v(f(x)) \lor v_v(f(y)) = v_A(x) \lor v_A(y)$, which implies that $v_A(x+y) \le v_A(x) \lor v_A(y)$. Again, $v_A(xy) = v_v(f(xy)) = v_v(f(x)) \lor v_v(f(x)) \lor v_v(f(x)) = v_v(f(x)) \lor v_v(f(x)) \lor v_v(f(x)) = v_v(f(x)) \lor v_v(f(x)$

2.3 Theorem: Let (R, +, .) and $(R^1, +, .)$ be any two hemirings. The anti-homomorphic image of an intuitionistic L-fuzzy subhemiring of R^1 .

Proof: Let (R, +, .) and $(R^1, +, .)$ be any two hemirings. Let $f: R \to R^1$ be an anti-homomorphism. Then, f(x+y) = f(y) + f(x) and f(xy) = f(y) f(x), for all x and y in R. Let V = f(A), where A is an intuitionistic L-fuzzy subhemiring of R. We have to prove that V is an intuitionistic L-fuzzy subhemiring of R^1 . Now, for f(x), f(y) in R^1 , $\mu_v(f(x) + f(y)) = \mu_v(f(y+x)) \ge \mu_A(y+x) \ge \mu_A(y) \land \mu_A(x) = \mu_A(x) \land \mu_A(y)$, which implies that $\mu_v(f(x) + f(y)) \ge \mu_v(f(x)) \land \mu_v(f(y))$. Again, $\mu_v(f(x)f(y)) = \mu_v(f(yx)) \ge \mu_A(yx) \ge \mu_A(y) \land \mu_A(x) = \mu_A(x) \land \mu_A(y)$, which implies that $\mu_v(f(x)f(y)) \ge \mu_v(f(x)) \land \mu_v(f(y))$. Now, for f(x), f(y) in R^1 , $v_v(f(x) + f(y)) = v_v(f(y+x)) \ge v_A(y+x) \le v_A(y) \lor v_A(x) = v_A(x) \lor v_A(y)$, which implies that $v_v(f(x) + f(y)) \le v_v(f(x)) \lor v_v(f(y))$. Again, $v_v(f(x) + f(y)) \ge v_v(f(x)) \lor v_v(f(x))$. Hence V is an intuitionistic L-fuzzy subhemiring of R^1 .

2.4 Theorem: Let (R, +, ...) and $(R^1, +, ...)$ be any two hemirings. The anti-homomorphic preimage of an intuitionistic L-fuzzy subhemiring of R^1 is an intuitionistic L-fuzzy subhemiring of R.

Proof: Let (R, +, .) and $(R^1, +, .)$ be any two hemirings. Let $f: R \to R^1$ be an anti-homomorphism. Then, f(x+y) = f(y) + f(x) and f(xy) = f(y) f(x), for all x and y in R. Let V = f(A), where V is an intuitionistic L-fuzzy subhemiring of R^1 . We have to prove that A is an intuitionistic L-fuzzy subhemiring of R. Let X and Y in R. Then, Y is Y in Y in

¹N. SELVAK KUMARAEN & ²K. ARJUNAN*/ HOMOMORPHISM IN INTUITIONISTIC L-FUZZY SUBHEMIRINGS OF A HEMIRING/IJMA- 3(9), Sept.-2012.

Again, $v_A(xy) = v_v(f(xy)) = v_v(f(y)f(x)) \le v_v(f(y)) \lor v_v(f(x)) = v_v(f(x)) \lor v_v(f(x)) = v_A(x) \lor v_A(y)$, which implies that $v_A(xy) \le v_A(x) \lor v_A(y)$. Hence A is an intuitionistic L-fuzzy subhemiring of R.

2.5 Theorem: Let A be an intuitionistic L-fuzzy subhemiring of a hemiring H and f is an isomorphism from a hemiring R onto H. Then A°f is an intuitionistic L-fuzzy subhemiring of R.

Proof: Let x and y in R and A be an intuitionistic L-fuzzy subhemiring of a hemiring H. Then we have, $(\mu_A \circ f)(x+y) = \mu_A(f(x+y)) = \mu_A(f(x)+f(y)) \ge \mu_A(f(x)) \land \mu_A(f(y)) = (\mu_A \circ f)(x) \land (\mu_A \circ f)(y)$, which implies that $(\mu_A \circ f)(x+y) \ge (\mu_A \circ f)(x) \land (\mu_A \circ f)(x) \land (\mu_A \circ f)(x) \land (\mu_A \circ f)(x) \land (\mu_A \circ f)(x) = \mu_A(f(x)f(y)) \ge \mu_A(f(x)f(y)) \ge \mu_A(f(x)) \land (\mu_A \circ f)(x) \land (\mu_A \circ f)(y)$, which implies that $(\mu_A \circ f)(xy) \ge (\mu_A \circ f)(x) \land (\mu_A \circ f)(y)$. Then we have, $(\nu_A \circ f)(x+y) = \nu_A(f(x+y)) = \nu_A(f(x+y)) = \nu_A(f(x+y)) = (\nu_A \circ f)(x) \lor (\nu_A \circ f)(x)$

2.6 Theorem: Let A be an intuitionistic L-fuzzy subhemiring of a hemiring H and f is an anti-isomorphism from a hemiring R onto H. Then Aof is an intuitionistic L-fuzzy subhemiring of R.

Proof: Let x and y in R and A be an intuitionistic L-fuzzy subhemiring of a hemiring H. Then we have, $(\mu_A \circ f)(x+y) = \mu_A(f(x+y)) = \mu_A(f(y)+f(x)) \ge \mu_A(f(x)) \wedge \mu_A(f(y)) = (\mu_A \circ f)(x) \wedge (\mu_A \circ f)(y)$, which implies that $(\mu_A \circ f)(x+y) \ge (\mu_A \circ f)(x) \wedge (\mu_A \circ f)(y)$. And, $(\mu_A \circ f)(xy) = \mu_A(f(xy)) = \mu_A(f(y)f(x)) \ge \mu_A(f(x)) \wedge \mu_A(f(y)) = (\mu_A \circ f)(x) \wedge (\mu_A \circ f)(y)$, which implies that $(\mu_A \circ f)(xy) \ge (\mu_A \circ f)(x) \wedge (\mu_A \circ f)(y)$. Then we have, $(\nu_A \circ f)(x+y) = \nu_A(f(x+y)) = \nu_A(f(y)+f(x)) \le \nu_A(f(x)) \vee \nu_A(f(y)) = (\nu_A \circ f)(x) \vee (\nu_A \circ f)(y)$, which implies that $(\nu_A \circ f)(x+y) \le (\nu_A \circ f)(x) \vee (\nu_A \circ f)(y)$. And, $(\nu_A \circ f)(x+y) \ge (\nu_A \circ f)(x) \vee (\nu_A \circ f)(x) \vee (\nu_A \circ f)(x) = (\nu_A \circ f)(x) \vee (\nu_A \circ f)(x) \vee (\nu_A \circ f)(x) = (\nu_A \circ f)(x) \vee (\nu_A \circ f)(y)$.

Therefore Aof is an intuitionistic L-fuzzy subhemiring of the hemiring R.

REFERENCES

- 1. Akram. M and K.H.Dar, On Anti Fuzzy Left h- ideals in Hemirings, International Mathematical Forum, 2(46); 2295 2304, 2007.
- 2. Akram.M and Dar.K.H, On fuzzy d-algebras, Punjab university journal of mathematics, 37(2005), 61-76.
- 3. Anitha. N and Arjunan. K, Homomorphism in Intuitionistic fuzzy subhemirings of a hemiring, International J.of.Math. Sci.& Engg. Appls.(IJMSEA), Vol.4 (V); 165 172, 2010.
- 4. Anthony.J.M. and H Sherwood, Fuzzy groups Redefined, Journal of mathematical analysis and applications, 69: 124-130, 1979.
- 5. Asok Kumer Ray, On product of fuzzy subgroups, fuzzy sets and sysrems, 105; 181-183, 1999.
- 6. Atanassov.K., Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, fuzzy sets and systems, 20(1), 87-96 (1986).
- 7. Atanassov.K., Stoeva.S., Intuitionistic L-fuzzy sets, Cybernetics and systems research 2 (Elsevier Sci. Publ., Amsterdam, 1984), 539-540.
- 8. Biswas, R, Fuzzy subgroups and Anti-fuzzy subgroups, Fuzzy sets and systems, 35; 121-124, 1990.
- 9. Davvaz.B and Wieslaw.A.Dudek, Fuzzy n-ary groups as a generalization of rosenfeld fuzzy groups, ARXIV-0710.3884VI(MATH.RA)20 OCT 2007,1-16.
- 10. Naganathan. S, Arjunan.K and Palaniappan. N, Level subsets of intuitionistic L-fuzzy subgroups of a group, International journal of computational and applied mathematics, Volume 4, 177–184, (2009).
- 11. Palaniappan. N & K. Arjunan, The homomorphism, anti homomorphism of a fuzzy and an anti-fuzzy ideals of a ring, Varahmihir Journal of Mathematical Sciences, 6(1); 181-006, 2008.
- 12. Palaniappan. N & K. Arjunan, Operation on fuzzy and anti fuzzy ideals, Antartica J. Math., 4(1); 59-64, 2007.
- 13. Palaniappan. N & K.Arjunan, Some properties of intuitionistic fuzzy subgroups, Acta Ciencia Indica, Vol.XXXIII (2); 321-328, 2007.
- 14. Rajesh Kumar, Fuzzy Algebra, University of Delhi Publication Division, Volume 1, 1993.
- 15. Selvak kumaraen.N & Arjunan.K, A study on anti L-fuzzy subhemirings of a hemiring, IOSR Journal of Engg., Vol. 2, Iss. 6, 1420-1423, 2012.
- 16. Vasantha kandasamy. W.B, Smarandache fuzzy algebra, American research press, Rehoboth, 2003.
- 17. Xueling MA . Jianming ZHAN, On Fuzzy h Ideals of hemirings, Journal of Systems science & Complexity, 20: 470 478, 2007.
- 18. Zadeh . L . A, Fuzzy sets, Information and control, 8; 338-353, 1965.