

## FIXED POINT THEOREM IN FUZZY METRIC SPACE USING WEAKLY COMPATIBLE

Rakesh Verma\*, R. S. Chandel and Sushil Sharma

*Department of Mathematics, Sagar Institute of Research & Technology-Excellence, Bhopal**Department of Mathematics, Govt. Geetanjali Girls College, Bhopal**Department of Mathematics, Govt. Madhav Science College, Ujjain**E-mail: [rakeshvrm54@gmail.com](mailto:rakeshvrm54@gmail.com), [rs\\_chandel2009@yahoo.co.in](mailto:rs_chandel2009@yahoo.co.in)**[sksharma2005@yahoo.com](mailto:sksharma2005@yahoo.com)*

(Received on: 01-02-11; Accepted on: 23-02-11)

**ABSTRACT**

In this paper we prove a common fixed point theorem for three mappings in Fuzzy metric space using the notion of weakly compatible.

**Keyword:** Fuzzy metric space, Common fixed point, Compatible maps, weakly compatible maps, Continuous t-norm.

**1. INTRODUCTION:**

The study of common fixed points of mappings in a fuzzy metric space satisfying certain contractive conditions has been at the center of vigorous research activity. In 1965, the concept of fuzzy sets was introduced by Zadeh [36]. With the concept of fuzzy sets, the fuzzy metric space was introduced by O. Kramosil and J. Michalek [25] in 1975. Helpert [19] in 1981 first proved a fixed point theorem for fuzzy mappings. Also M. Grabiec [17] in 1988 proved the contraction principle in the setting of the fuzzy metric space. Moreover, A. George and P. Veeramani [16] in 1994 modified the notion of fuzzy metric spaces with the help of t-norm by generalizing the concept of probabilistic metric space to fuzzy situation. Consequently in due course of time some metric fixed point results were generalized to fuzzy metric spaces by various authors.

Gahler in a series of papers [13, 14, and 15] investigated 2-metric space. Sharma, Sharma and Iseki [30] studied for the first time contraction type mappings in 2-metric space.

We know that 2-metric space is a real valued function of a point triples on a set X, which abstract properties were suggested by the area function in Euclidean spaces. Now it is natural to expect 3-Metric space, which is suggested by the volume function.

In this paper we establish a common fixed theorem for three mappings for weakly compatible in fuzzy metric space. First we recall some definitions and known results in Fuzzy metric space.

**2. PRELIMINARIES:**

**Definition: 2.1.** A binary operation  $*:[0,1]^4 \rightarrow [0,1]$  is called a continuous t-norm if  $([0,1],*)$  is an abelian topological monoid with unit 1 such that

$$a_1 * b_1 * c_1 * d_1 \geq a_2 * b_2 * c_2 * d_2 \text{ Whenever } a_1 \geq a_2, b_1 \geq b_2, c_1 \geq c_2 \text{ and } d_1 \geq d_2 \text{ for all}$$

**\*Corresponding author: Rakesh Verma\*, \*E-mail: rakeshvrm54@gmail.com**

$a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2$  and  $d_1, d_2$  are in  $[0,1]$ .

**Definition: 2.2.** The 3-tuple  $(X, M, *)$  is called a fuzzy 3-metric space if  $X$  is an arbitrary set  $*$  is continuous t-norm monoidies and  $M$  is a fuzzy set in  $X^4 \times [0, \infty]$  is satisfying the following conditions:

$$(FM - 1): M(x, y, z, w, 0) = 0$$

$$(FM - 2): M(x, y, z, w, t) = 0, \forall t > 0,$$

Only when the three simplex  $(x, y, z, w)$  degenerate

$$(FM - 3): M(x, y, z, w, t) = M(x, w, z, y, t) = M(z, w, x, y, t) = \dots$$

$$(FM - 4): M(x, y, z, w, t_1 + t_2 + t_3) \geq M(x, y, z, u, t_1) * M(x, y, u, w, t_2) * M(x, u, z, w, t_3) * M(u, y, z, w, t_4)$$

$$(FM - 5): M(x, y, z, w) : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1] \text{ is left continuous, } \forall x, y, z, u, w \in X, t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 > 0.$$

**Definition: 2.3.** Let  $(X, M, *)$  be a fuzzy 3-metric space:

(1) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in fuzzy 3-metric space  $X$  is said to be convergent to a point  $x \in X$ , if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x, a, b, t) = 1, \text{ for all } a, b \in X, \text{ and } t > 0.$$

(2) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in fuzzy 3-metric space  $X$  is called a Cauchy sequence if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{n+p}, x_n, a, b, t) = 1, \text{ for all } a, b \in X, \text{ and } t, p > 0.$$

(3) A fuzzy 3-metric space in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent is said to be complete.

**Definition: 2.4.** A function  $M$  is continuous in fuzzy 3-metric space if

$$x_n \rightarrow x, y_n \rightarrow y \text{ then } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, y_n, a, b, t) = M(x, y, a, b, t), \text{ for all } a, b \in X, \text{ and } t > 0.$$

**Definition: 2.5.** Two mapping  $A$  and  $S$  on fuzzy 3-metric space  $X$  are weakly commuting iff

$$M(ASu, SAu, a, b, t) \geq M(Au, Su, a, b, t) \quad \forall a, b \in X, \text{ and } t > 0.$$

## MAIN RESULT:

In this section we prove common fixed point theorems for six three mappings satisfying some conditions.

**Theorem:** Let  $(X, \phi, *)$  be a fuzzy 3-metric space with condition  $(FM - 6)$  and let  $A, F$  and  $T$  be self mapping of  $X$  and the pair  $(A, F)$  and  $(A, T)$  are weakly compatible satisfying:

$$(1.1) \quad A(X) \subseteq F(X) \cap T(X)$$

$$(1.2) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X, k \in (0,1),$$

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(Ax, Ay, a, b, kt) &\geq r[\min\{\phi(Fx, Ty, a, b, t), \phi(Fx, Ax, a, b, t), \phi(Fx, Ay, a, b, t), \\&\quad \phi(Ty, Ay, a, b, t), \phi(Ax, Ty, a, b, t), \phi(Fx, Ax, a, b, t)\}]\end{aligned}$$

Where  $r : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  is continuous function such that  $r(t) > t$ ,  $t > 0$ .

(1.3) One of the  $A(X)$ ,  $F(X)$  or  $T(X)$  is complete subspace of  $X$ .

Then  $A, F$  and  $T$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

**Proof:** We define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $Fx_{2n+1} = Ax_{2n} = y_{2n}$  and  $Tx_{2n+2} = Ax_{2n+1} = y_{2n+1}$ ,

$n = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$ . We shall prove that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence for  $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$

$$G_n = \phi(Ax_n, Ax_{n+1}, t) < 1; \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$$

$$\begin{aligned}G_{2n} &= \phi(Ax_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n}, t) \\&\geq r[\min\{\phi(Fx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n}, a, b, t), \phi(Fx_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n+1}, a, b, t), \phi(Fx_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n}, a, b, t), \\&\quad \phi(Tx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, a, b, t), \phi(Ax_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n}, a, b, t), \phi(Fx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, a, b, t)\}] \\&= r[\min\{\phi(Ax_{2n}, Ax_{2n-1}, a, b, t), \phi(Ax_{2n}, Ax_{2n+1}, a, b, t), \phi(Ax_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, a, b, t), \\&\quad \phi(Ax_{2n-1}, Ax_{2n}, a, b, t), \phi(Ax_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n-1}, a, b, t), \phi(Ax_{2n-1}, Ax_{2n}, a, b, t)\}] \\&\geq r[\min\{\phi(Ax_{2n}, Ax_{2n-1}, a, b, t), \phi(Ax_{2n}, Ax_{2n+1}, a, b, t), \phi(Ax_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, a, b, t), \\&\quad \phi(Ax_{2n-1}, Ax_{2n}, a, b, t), \phi(Ax_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n}, a, b, t), \phi(Ax_{2n-1}, Ax_{2n}, a, b, t)\}] \\&= r[\min\{G_{2n-1}, G_{2n}, 1, G_{2n-1}, G_{2n}, G_{2n-1}, G_{2n-1}\}]\end{aligned}$$

If  $G_{2n-1} > G_{2n}$  then  $G_{2n} > r[G_{2n-1}] > G_{2n-1}$ , is a contradiction therefore  $G_{2n-1} \leq G_{2n}$ .

and now  $G_{2n} \geq r[G_{2n-1}] \geq G_{2n-1}$  thus  $\{G_{2n} : n \geq 0\}$  is increasing sequence of positive real numbers in  $[0,1]$

and therefore a limit  $L \leq 1$ . It is clear that  $L = 1$  because if  $L < 1$  then on taking  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$  and we get

$L \geq r(L) > 1$  is a contradiction. Hence  $L = 1$ .

Now for any integer  $m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(Ax_n, Ax_{n+m}, a, b, t) &\geq \phi(Ax_n, Ax_{n+1}, a, b, \frac{t}{m}) * \dots * \phi(Ax_{n+m-1}, Ax_{n+m}, a, b, \frac{t}{m}) \\&\geq \phi(Ax_n, Ax_{n+1}, a, b, \frac{t}{m}) * \dots * \phi(Ax_n, Ax_{n+1}, a, b, \frac{t}{m})\end{aligned}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(Ax_n, Ax_{n+1}, t) \geq 1 * 1 * \dots * 1 = 1.$$

because

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(Ax_n, Ax_{n+1}, t) = 1, \text{ for } t > 0.$$

Thus  $\{Ax_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ .

Now suppose that  $T(X)$  is complete subspace of  $X$ . Note that subsequence  $\{y_{2n+1}\}$  is contained in  $T(X)$

and has a limit in  $T(X)$ . Call it  $z$ . Let  $u \in T^{-1}(z)$ . Then  $Tu = z$ . Therefore by (1.2) and using

$y = u$  and  $x = x_{2n}$  then

$$\phi(Ax, Ay, a, b, kt) \geq r[\min\{\phi(Fx, Ty, a, b, t), \phi(Fx, Ax, a, b, t), \phi(Fx, Ay, a, b, t),$$

$$\phi(Ty, Ay, a, b, t), \phi(Ax, Ty, a, b, t), \phi(Fx, Ax, a, b, t)\}]$$

$$\phi(Ax_{2n}, Au, a, b, kt) \geq r[\min\{\phi(Fx_{2n}, Tu, a, b, t), \phi(Fx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, a, b, t), \phi(Fx_{2n}, Au, a, b, t),$$

$$\phi(Tu, Au, a, b, t), \phi(Ax_{2n}, Tu, a, b, t), \phi(Fx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, a, b, t)\}]$$

Taking  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$  then we have,

$$\phi(z, Au, a, b, kt) \geq r[\min\{\phi(z, z, a, b, t), \phi(z, z, a, b, t), \phi(z, Au, a, b, t),$$

$$\phi(z, Au, a, b, t), \phi(z, z, a, b, t), \phi(z, z, a, b, t)\}] \geq r[\min\{\phi(z, Au, a, b, t), \phi(z, Au, a, b, t)\}]$$

Thus,

$$\phi(z, Au, a, b, kt) \geq r \cdot \phi(z, Au, a, b, t)$$

Thus  $Au = z$ . Since  $Tu = z$  therefore  $Tu = Au = z$ .

i.e.  $u$  is co-incidence point of  $T$  and  $A$ .

Again now suppose that  $F(X)$  is complete subspace of  $X$ . Note that subsequence  $\{y_{2n+1}\}$  is contained in

$F(X)$  and has a limit in  $F(X)$ . Call it  $z$ . Let  $v \in F^{-1}(z)$ . Then  $Fv = z$ . Therefore by (1.2) and

using  $x = v$  and  $y = x_{2n+1}$  then

$$\phi(Ax, Ay, a, b, kt) \geq r[\min\{\phi(Fx, Ty, a, b, t), \phi(Fx, Ax, a, b, t), \phi(Fx, Ay, a, b, t),$$

$$\phi(Ty, Ay, a, b, t), \phi(Ax, Ty, a, b, t), \phi(Fx, Ax, a, b, t)\}]$$

$$\phi(Av, Ax_{2n+1}, a, b, kt) \geq r[\min\{\phi(Fv, Tx_{2n+1}, a, b, t), \phi(Fv, Av, a, b, t), \phi(Fv, Ax_{2n+1}, a, b, t),$$

$$\phi(Tx_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n+1}, a, b, t), \phi(Av, Tx_{2n+1}, a, b, t), \phi(Fv, Av, a, b, t)\}]$$

Taking  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$  then we have,

$$\phi(Av, z, a, b, kt) \geq r[\min\{\phi(z, z, a, b, t), \phi(z, Av, a, b, t), \phi(z, z, a, b, t),$$

$$\phi(z, z, a, b, t), \phi(Av, z, a, b, t), \phi(z, Av, a, b, t)\}]$$

$$\phi(Av, z, a, b, kt) \geq r[\min\{1, \phi(z, Av, a, b, t), 1, \phi(Av, z, a, b, t), \phi(z, Av, a, b, t)\}]$$

$$\phi(Av, z, a, b, kt) \geq r \cdot \phi(z, Av, a, b, t)$$

Thus  $Av = z$ . Since  $Fv = z$  therefore  $Av = Fv = z$ .  
i.e.  $v$  is co-incidence point of  $F$  and  $A$ .

Now we shall prove that  $Az = z$ . Now since the pair  $\{A, T\}$  is weakly compatible therefore for some  $u \in X$ ,

$$ATu = TAu \text{ whenever } Az = Tz. \text{ Therefore by (1.2) and using } y = u \text{ and } x = x_{2n} \text{ then}$$

$$\phi(Ax, Ay, a, b, kt) \geq r[\min\{\phi(Fx, Ty, a, b, t), \phi(Fx, Ax, a, b, t), \phi(Fx, Ay, a, b, t),$$

$$\phi(Ty, Ay, a, b, t), \phi(Ax, Ty, a, b, t), \phi(Fx, Ax, a, b, t)\}]$$

$$\phi(Ax_{2n}, Au, a, b, kt) \geq r[\min\{\phi(Fx_{2n}, Tu, a, b, t), \phi(Fx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, a, b, t), \phi(Fx_{2n}, Au, a, b, t),$$

$$\phi(Tu, Au, a, b, t), \phi(Ax_{2n}, Tu, a, b, t), \phi(Fx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, a, b, t)\}]$$

$$\phi(ATx_{2n}, Az, a, b, kt) \geq r[\min\{\phi(Fx_{2n}, Tz, a, b, t), \phi(Fx_{2n}, ATx_{2n}, a, b, t), \phi(Fx_{2n}, Az, a, b, t),$$

$$\phi(Tz, Az, a, b, t), \phi(ATx_{2n}, Tz, a, b, t), \phi(Fx_{2n}, ATx_{2n}, a, b, t)\}]$$

$$\phi(ATz, Az, a, b, kt) \geq r[\min\{\phi(Fz, Tz, a, b, t), \phi(Fz, ATz, a, b, t), \phi(Fz, Az, a, b, t),$$

$$\phi(z, Tz, a, b, kt) \geq r[\min\{\phi(z, Tz, a, b, t), \phi(z, z, a, b, t), \phi(z, Tz, a, b, t),$$

$$\phi(Tz, Tz, a, b, t), \phi(z, Tz, a, b, t), \phi(z, z, a, b, t)\}]$$

$$\phi(z, Tz, a, b, kt) \geq r[\min\{\phi(z, Tz, a, b, t), 1, \phi(z, Tz, a, b, t), 1, \phi(z, Tz, a, b, t), 1\}]$$

$$\phi(z, Tz, a, b, kt) \geq r \cdot \phi(z, Tz, a, b, t)$$

This is contradiction. So  $\phi(Tz, z, a, b, kt) = 1$ .

Hence  $z$  is fixed point of  $T$ .

i.e.  $z$  is common fixed point of  $T$  and  $A$ .

Again since the pair  $\{A, F\}$  is weakly compatible therefore for some  $v \in X$ ,  $AFv = FA v$  whenever

$Az = Fz$ . Therefore by (1.2) and using  $x = v$  and  $y = x_{2n+1}$  then

$$\phi(Ax, Ay, a, b, kt) \geq r[\min\{\phi(Fx, Ty, a, b, t), \phi(Fx, Ax, a, b, t), \phi(Fx, Ay, a, b, t),$$

$$\phi(Ty, Ay, a, b, t), \phi(Ax, Ty, a, b, t), \phi(Fx, Ax, a, b, t)\}]$$

$$\phi(Av, Ax_{2n+1}, a, b, kt) \geq r[\min\{\phi(Fv, Tx_{2n+1}, a, b, t), \phi(Fv, Av, a, b, t), \phi(Fv, Ax_{2n+1}, a, b, t),$$

$$\phi(Tx_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n+1}, a, b, t), \phi(Av, Tx_{2n+1}, a, b, t), \phi(Fv, Av, a, b, t)\}]$$

$$\phi(Az, AFx_{2n+1}, a, b, kt) \geq r[\min\{\phi(Fz, Tx_{2n+1}, a, b, t), \phi(Fz, Az, a, b, t), \phi(Fz, AFx_{2n+1}, a, b, t),$$

$$\phi(Tx_{2n+1}, AFx_{2n+1}, a, b, t), \phi(Az, Tx_{2n+1}, a, b, t), \phi(Fz, Az, a, b, t)\}]$$

$$\phi(Az, AFz, a, b, kt) \geq r[\min\{\phi(Fz, Tz, a, b, t), \phi(Fz, Az, a, b, t), \phi(Fz, AFz, a, b, t),$$

$$\phi(Tz, AFz, a, b, t), \phi(Az, Tz, a, b, t), \phi(Fz, Az, a, b, t)\}]$$

$$\phi(Fz, z, a, b, kt) \geq r[\min\{\phi(Fz, z, a, b, t), \phi(Fz, Fz, a, b, t), \phi(Fz, z, a, b, t),$$

$$\phi(z, z, a, b, t), \phi(Fz, z, a, b, t), \phi(Fz, Fz, a, b, t)\}]$$

$$\phi(Fz, z, a, b, kt) \geq r[\min\{\phi(Fz, z, a, b, t), 1, \phi(Fz, z, a, b, t), 1, \phi(Fz, z, a, b, t), 1\}]$$

$$\phi(Fz, z, a, b, kt) \geq r \cdot \phi(Fz, z, a, b, t)$$

This is contradiction. So  $\phi(Fz, z, a, b, kt) = 1$ .

Hence  $z$  is fixed point of  $F$ .

i.e.  $z$  is common fixed point of  $F$  and  $A$ .

#### UNIQUENESS:

Suppose that there is another point  $v \neq u$  then

$$\phi(Ax, Ay, a, b, kt) \geq r[\min\{\phi(Fx, Ty, a, b, t), \phi(Fx, Ax, a, b, t), \phi(Fx, Ay, a, b, t),$$

$$\phi(Ty, Ay, a, b, t), \phi(Ax, Ty, a, b, t), \phi(Fx, Ax, a, b, t)\}]$$

$$\phi(Au, Av, a, b, kt) \geq r[\min\{\phi(Fu, Tv, a, b, t), \phi(Fu, Au, a, b, t), \phi(Fu, Av, a, b, t),$$

$$\phi(Tv, Av, a, b, t), \phi(Au, Tv, a, b, t), \phi(Fu, Au, a, b, t)\}]$$

$$\phi(u, v, a, b, kt) \geq r[\min\{\phi(u, v, a, b, t), \phi(u, u, a, b, t), \phi(u, v, a, b, t),$$

$$\phi(v, v, a, b, t), \phi(u, v, a, b, t), \phi(u, u, a, b, t)\}]$$

$$\phi(u, v, a, b, kt) \geq r[\min\{\phi(u, v, a, b, t), 1, \phi(u, v, a, b, t), 1, \phi(u, v, a, b, t), 1\}]$$

$$\phi(u, v, a, b, kt) \geq r \cdot \phi(u, v, a, b, t)$$

This is contradiction. So  $v = u$ .

Hence  $A, F$  and  $T$  have unique common fixed point.

**Corollary:** Let  $(X, \phi, *)$  be a fuzzy 3-metric space with condition  $(FM - 6)$  and let  $F$  and  $T$  be continuous mapping of  $X$  in  $X$ . Let  $A$  be a self mapping of  $X$  satisfying the pair  $(A, F)$  and  $(A, T)$  are R- weakly commuting and

$$(1.1) \quad A(X) \subseteq F(X) \cap T(X)$$

$$(1.2) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X,$$

$$M(Ax, Ay, a, b, t) \geq r[\min\{M(Fx, Ty, a, b, t), M(Fx, Ax, a, b, t), M(Fx, Ay, a, b, t),$$

$$M(Ty, Ay, a, b, t), M(Ax, Ty, a, b, t), M(Fx, Ax, a, b, t)\}]$$

Where  $r : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  is continuous function such that  $r(t) > t$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$  and  $r(t) = 1$  for  $t = 1$  and  $a, b \in X$ . Then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  such that

$$x_n \rightarrow x, \quad y_n \rightarrow y \Rightarrow M(x_n, y_n, a, b, t) \rightarrow M(x, y, a, b, t) \quad \text{Where } t > 0.$$

Then  $A, F$  and  $T$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

## REFERENCES:

- [1] Badard, R. "Fixed point theorems for fuzzy numbers" *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 13(1984), 291-302.
- [2] Bose, B. K. and Sahani, D. "Fuzzy mappings and fixed point theorems" *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 21(1984), 53-58.
- [3] Butnariu, D. "Fixed point for fuzzy mappings" *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 7(1982), 191-207.
- [4] Chang, S.S. "Fixed point theorems for fuzzy mappings" *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 17(1985), 181-187.
- [5] Chang, S.S., Cho, Y.J., Lee, B.S. and Lee, G.M. "Fixed degree and fixed point theorems for fuzzy mappings" *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 87(1997), 325-334.
- [6] Chang, S.S., Cho, Y.J., Lee, B.S., June, J.S. and Kang, S.M. "Coincidence point and minimization theorems in fuzzy metric spaces" *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 88(1) (1997), 119-128.
- [7] Cho, Y.J. "Fixed points and fuzzy metric spaces" *J. Fuzzy Math.* 5(1997), no. 4, 949-962.
- [8] Deng, Z. "Fuzzy pseudo-metric space" *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 86(1982), 74-95.
- [9] Ekeland, I. and Gahler, S. "Basic notions for fuzzy topology" *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 26(1988), 336-356.
- [10] Erceg, M.A. "Metric space in fuzzy set theory" *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 69(1979), 205-230.
- [11] Fang, J.X. "On fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces" *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 46(1979), 107-113.
- [12] Fisher, B. "Mappings with a common fixed point" *Math. Seminar notes Kobe university*, 7(1979), 81-84.
- [13] Gahler, S. "Linear 2-normierte Raume" *Math. Nachr.* 28(1964), 1-43.
- [14] Gahler, S. "Über 2-Banach Raume" *Math. Nachr.* 42(1969), 335-347.

- [15] Gahler, S. "2-metrische Raume und ihre topologische structure" Math. Nachr. 26(1983), 115-148.
  - [16] George, A. and Veeramani, P. "On some results in fuzzy metric spaces" Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 64(1994), 395-399.
  - [17] Grabiec, M. "Fixed points in fuzzy metric space" Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 27(1988), 385-389.
  - [18] Hu, C. "Fuzzy topological space" J. Math. Anal. Appl. 110(1985), 141-178.
  - [19] Heipern, S. "Fuzzy mappings and fixed point theorems" J. Math. Anal. Appl. 83(1981), 566-569.
  - [20] Hadzic, O. "Fixed point theorems, for multi-valued mappings in some classes of fuzzy metric space" Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 29(1989), 115-125.
  - [21] Jung, J.S., Cho, Y.J. and Kim, J.K. "Minimization theorems for fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces and applications" Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 61(1994), 199-297.
  - [22] Jung, J.S., Cho, Y.J., Chang, S.S. and Kang, S.M. "Coincidence theorems for set valued mappings and Ekland's variational principle in fuzzy metric spaces" Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 79(1996), 239-250.
  - [23] Kaleva, O. and Seikkala, S. "On fuzzy metric spaces" Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 12(1984), 215-229.
  - [24] Kaleva, O. "The completion of fuzzy metric spaces" J. Math. Anal. Appl. 109(1985), 194-198.
  - [25] Kramosil, I. and Michalek, J. "Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces" Kybernetika, 11(1975), 326-334.
  - [26] Lee, B.S., Cho, Y.J. and Jung, J.S. "Fixed point theorems for fuzzy mappings and applications" Comm. Korean Math. Sci. 11(1966), 89-108.
  - [27] Kutukcu, S., Sharma, S. and Tokgoz, H. "A Fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric spaces" Int. Journal of Math. Analysis, 1(2007), no. 18, 861-872.
  - [28] Mishra, S.N., Sharma, N. and Singh, S.L. "Common fixed points of maps on fuzzy metric spaces" Internet. J. Math. & Math. Sci. 17(1966), 89-108.
  - [29] Schweitzer, B. and Sklar, A. "Statistical metric spaces" Pacific Journal Math. 10(1960), 313-334.
  - [30] Sharma, P.L., Sharma, B.K. and Iseki, K. "Contractive type mappings on 2-metric spaces" Math. Japonica, 21(1976), 67-70.
  - [31] Sharma, S. "On fuzzy metric space" Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics, 26(2002), 133-145.
  - [32] Som, T. "Some results on common fixed point in fuzzy Metric spaces" Soochow Journal of Mathematics, 4(2007), 553-561.
  - [33] Turkoglu, D. and Rhodes, B.E. "A fixed fuzzy point for fuzzy mapping in complete metric spaces" Mathematical Communications, 10(2005), 115-121.
  - [34] Yadava, R.N., Rajput, S.S., Choudhary, S. and Bhardwaj, R.K. "Some fixed point theorems for rational inequality in 2-metric spaces" Acta Ciencia Indica, 33(2007), 709-714.
  - [35] Yadava, R.N., Rajput, S.S., Choudhary, S. and Bhardwaj, R.K. "Some fixed point theorems for non contraction type mapping on 2-Banach spaces" Acta Ciencia Indica, 33(2007), 737-744.
  - [36] Zadeh, L.A. "Fuzzy Sets" Information and control, 8(1965), 338-353.
-