International Journal of Mathematical Archive-3(11), 2012, 3880-3884
IJMA Available online through www.ijma.info ISSN 2229 – 5046

COMMUTANT OF COMPOSITE INTEGRAL OPERATORS

Anupama Gupta*

Govt. College for Women, Parade. Jammu, J&K, India

(Received on: 29-09-12; Revised & Accepted on: 10-11-12)

ABSTRACT

In this paper we study the composite integral operators on L^p-spaces. The conditions for composite integral operators to be bounded are investigated. The commutants of composite integral operators and Volterra composition operators are computed.

Keywords: *Randon-Nikodym derivative, conditional expectation operator, Commutant, Contraction, Fixed point*

AMS Subject Classification (2005): *Primary 47B38, Secondary 47B399.*

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let (X, S,μ) be a σ-finite measure space and let ϕ: X→X be a non-singular measurable transformation ($\mu(E) = 0 \Rightarrow \mu\phi^{-1}(E) = 0$). Then a composition transformation $C_\phi : L^p(\mu) \to L^p(\mu)$ is defined by the equation

$$C_\phi f = fo\phi \text{ for every } f \in L^p(\mu) .$$

In case C_ϕ is continuous, we call it a composition operator induced by ϕ.

For each $f \in L^p(\mu)$, $1\le p<\infty$, there exists a unique $\phi^{-1}(S)$ measurable function E(f) such that

$$\int gfd\mu = \int gE(f)\, d\mu$$

for every $\phi^{-1}(S)$ measurable function g for which the left integral exits. The function E(f) is called conditional expectation of f with respect to the sub σ- algebra $\phi^{-1}(S)$. E has the property that for $f \in L^p(\mu)$, $E(f) = go\phi$ for exactly one S-measurable function g. We shall write $g = E(f)o\phi^{-1}$, which is well-defined measurable function. For more details about expectation operator, we refer to Parathasarthy [8].

Let K: X ×X → C be a measurable function. Then a linear transformation I: $L^p(\mu) \to L^p(\mu)$ defined by

$$(I\, f)(x) = \int K(x, y)\, f(y)\, d\mu(y) \qquad \text{for all } f \in L^p(\mu)$$

is known as integral operator. The composite integral operator I_ϕ is a bounded linear operator $I_\phi : L^p(\mu) \to L^p(\mu)$ defined by

$$(I_\phi f)(x) = \int K(x,y)\, f(\phi(y))\, d\mu(y) \qquad (1)$$

The equation (1) can also be written as

$$(I_\phi f)(x) = \int E(K_x)o\phi^{-1}(y)\, f_o(y)\, d\mu(y),$$

where $K_x(y) = K(x,y)$ and $f_o = \dfrac{d\mu\phi^{-1}}{d\mu}$, the Randon-Nikodym derivative of the measure $\mu\phi^{-1}$ with respect to the measure μ.

Corresponding author: *Anupama Gupta*, Govt. College for Women, Parade. Jammu, J&K, India*

The Volterra composition operator is a composition of Volterra integral operator V and a composition operator C_ϕ defined as

$$(V_\phi f)(x) = (V f) \circ \phi(x) = \int_0^x f(\phi(t))dt \qquad \text{for every } f \in L^p[0,1],$$

where $\phi : [0,1] \to [0,1]$ is a measurable function.

An intensive study of composition operators is made over the past several decades. To worth mention, few of them are Singh ([10], [11]), Singh and Kumar [12], Singh and Komal [13], Singh and Manhas [14], Ridge [9] and Campbell [2]. The integral operators and composite integral operators in particular Volterra integral operator on $L^p(\mu)$ have received considerable attention in recent years. The theory of integral operators is the source of all modern functional analysis and operator theory. Mathematicians like Halmos and Sunder [6], Setpanov ([15], [16]), Bloom and Kermen [1] have done great deal of work on integral operators. Gupta and Komal ([3], [4], [5]) also studied composite integral operators. Whitley [17] established the Lyubic's conjecture [7] and generalized it to Volterra composition operators on $L^p[0,1]$.

In this paper we have make an effort to explore a commutant of composite integral operators.

2. BOUNDED COMPOSITE INTEGRAL OPERATORS

In this section we study bounded composite integral operators.

Theorem 2.1: Suppose $1 \leq p, q < \infty$. Suppose $I_\phi : L^p(\mu) \to L^q(\mu)$ is a linear transformation. Then I_ϕ is continuous.

Proof: Let $f_n \to f$ in $L^p(\mu)$ and $I_\phi f_n \to g$ in $L^q(\mu)$. Then there exists a dominated subsequence $\{ f'_n \}$ of $\{f_n\}$ such that

$$f'_n(x) \to f(x) \qquad \text{a.e.} \tag{i}$$

Again since $I_\phi f_n \to g$ in $L^q(\mu)$, we can select a dominated subsequence $\{ f''_n \}$ of $\{ f'_n \}$ such that

$$(I_\phi f''_n)(x) \to g(x) \qquad \text{a.e.}$$

or that

$$\int K_\phi(x, y)\, f''_n(y)\, d\mu(y) \to g(x) \qquad \text{a.e.}$$

Also $| f''_n | \leq h$ for some $h \in L^p(\mu)$.

It follows from (i) that

$$K_\phi(x, y)\, f''_n(y) \to K_\phi(x, y) f(y) \qquad \text{a.e.} \tag{ii}$$

and

$$| K_\phi(x,y)\, f''_n(y) | \leq | K_\phi(x, y) h(y)| \qquad \text{for almost every y.}$$

But the dominated subsequence $\{K_\phi f''_n\}$ converges to $\{K_\phi f\}$ almost everywhere. By the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem,

$$\int K_\phi(x, y)\, f''_n(y)\, d\mu(y) \to \int K_\phi(x, y) f(y)\, d\mu(y) \tag{iii}$$

From (ii) and (iii), we conclude that

$$(I_\phi f)(x) = \int K_\phi(x, y) f(y)\, d\mu(y) = g(x)$$

which proves that the graph of I_ϕ is closed. Hence, by the closed graph theorem, I_ϕ is continuous.

In the following theorem, we take r such that and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{q}$ and

$S(x) = \|= K_\phi(x, .)\|_{r/q}$

Theorem 2.2: For $1 \le p, q < \infty$, le $S \in L^{r/q}(\mu)$. Then $I_\phi: L^p(\mu) \to L^q(\mu)$ is a bounded composite integral operator.

Proof: For $f \in L^p(\mu)$, consider

$$\begin{aligned} \| I_\phi f \|^q &= \int_X | I_\phi f |^q \, dx \\ &= \int_X \left| \int_X K_\phi(x, y) f(y) \, dy \right|^q dx \\ &\le \int_X \left\{ \left(\int | K_\phi(x, y)|^{r/q} dy\right)^{q/r} \left(\int |f(y)|^{p/q} dy\right)^{q/p} \right\} dx \\ &= \| S(x) \|^q_{r/q} \, . \, \| f \|^p \end{aligned}$$

This proves that I_ϕ is bounded composite integral operator.

In the next result we make an attempt to use composite integral operators to solve the integral equations.

Theorem 2.3: If $K_\phi \in L^2 (\mu \times \mu)$ and $g \in L^2 [0, 1]$, then the integral equation

$$f(x) = g(x) + \lambda \int K_\phi(x, y) f(y) d\mu(y) \qquad (1)$$

has unique solution for sufficiently small values of scalar λ .

Proof: Define $I_\phi : L^2 [0, 1] \to L^2[0,1]$ as $I_\phi f = h$

where $h(x) = g(x) + \lambda \int_0^1 K_\phi(x, y) f(y)d\mu(y)$.

We first show that

$$\psi(x) = \int K_\phi(x, y) f(y) \, d\mu(y) \quad \text{for every } f \in L^2[0,1] .$$

Consider

$$\left|\int_0^1 K_\phi(x, y) f(y) \, d\mu(y) \right| \le \left(\int_0^1 | K_\phi (x, y) |^2 d\mu(y)\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^1 |(f(y) |^2 d\mu(y)\right)^{1/2} \text{ (by using Holder's inequality)}$$

Therefore,

$$\int_0^1 | \psi(x) |^2 dx \le \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 | K_\phi (x, y) |^2 d\mu(y) \right) d\mu(x) \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 |(f(y) |^2 d\mu(y) \, d\mu(x)\right.$$

$$< \infty.$$

Now

$$\| I_\phi f - I_\phi f_1 \| = \| \lambda \{ \int_0^1 K_\phi(x, y)[f(y) - f_1(y)] \, d\mu(y) \| \}$$

$$\le | \lambda | \left(\int_0^1 \int_0^1 | K_\phi (x, y) |^2 d\mu(x) \, d\mu(y)\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^1 |f(y) - f_1(y)|^2 d\mu(y)\right)^{1/2}$$

$$\le M \| f - f_1 \|,$$

where $M = | \lambda | \left(\int_0^1 \int_0^1 | K_\phi (x, y) |^2 d\mu(x)d\mu(y)\right) ^{1/2}$.

This proves that I_ϕ is a contraction and hence it has a unique fixed point, say f *. Thus f * is a unique solution of eq. (1).

3. COMMUTANT OF COMPOSITE INTEGRAL OPERATOR

In this section we have made an attempt to compute the commutant of composite integral operator.

Theorem 3.1: Let $I_\phi \in B(L^p(\mu))$. Then M_θ commutes with I_ϕ if and only if $\theta = \theta o \phi$ a.e.

Proof: For $f \in L^p(\mu)$,

$$(I_\phi M_\theta f)(x) = \int K(x, y)(M_\theta f)o\phi(y)\, d\mu(y)$$

$$= \int E(K_x o\phi^{-1})(y) f_o(y)\theta(y)f(y)\, d\mu(y) \qquad \text{(i)}$$

and

$$(M_\theta I_\phi f)(x) = \theta(x)(I_\phi f)(x)$$

$$= \theta(x) \int E(K_x o\phi^{-1})(y) f_o(y)f(y)d\mu(y) \qquad \text{(ii)}$$

In view of (i) and (ii)

$$(M_\theta I_\phi f)(x) - (I_\phi M_\theta f)(x) = \int f_o(y)E(K_x o\phi^{-1})(y)[\theta(y) - \theta(x)]\, f(y)d\mu(y).$$

Hence, the result.

In the next theorem we characterize multiplication operators which commute with Volterra composite operators.

Theorem 3.2: Let $M_\theta \in B(L^2(\mu))$. Suppose ϕ is an injective map. Then M_θ commutes with V_ϕ if and only if $\theta = \theta o\phi$ a.e.

Proof: For $f \in L^2(\mu)$, we have

$$(M_\theta V_\phi f)(x) = (\theta.V(fo\phi))(x)$$

$$= \theta(x)\int_0^x f(\phi(t))dt$$

$$= \theta(x)\int_0^x \chi_{[0,x]}(t) f(\phi(t))\, dt$$

$$= \theta(x), \qquad \text{for } f = \chi_{[0,x]}$$

Also we have

$$(V_\phi M_\theta f)(x) = V(M_\theta f)o\phi(x)$$

$$= \int_0^x \theta o\phi\, .fo\phi\, (t)dt$$

$$= \int_0^1 \chi_{[0,x]}(t)\, \theta o\phi(t)\, f(\phi(t))\, dt$$

$$(M_\theta V_\phi f)(x) - (V_\phi M_\theta f)(x) = \int_0^1 \chi_{[0,x]}(t)\, [\theta(x) - \theta o\phi(t)]\, f(\phi(t))\, dt$$

as ϕ is injective, C_ϕ has dense range

$$\chi_{[0,x]}(t)\, [\theta(x) - \theta o\phi(t)] = 0.$$

Hence the result follows using the given condition.

Corollary: There is a composition operator $C_\phi \in L^2(\mu)$ such that $V C_\phi = C_\phi V$

Proof: For $f \in L^2(\mu)$,we have

$$(VC_\phi f)(x) = \int_0^x C_\phi f(t)\, dt = \int_0^x fo\phi(t)$$

$$(C_\phi Vf)(x) = (Vf)o\phi(x) = \int_0^{\phi(x)} f(t)dt = \int_0^x fo\phi(t)$$

Hence, the result follows.

REFERENCES

[1] Bloom, S. and Kerman, R., Weighted norm inequalities for operators of Hardy type, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 113 (1991), 135-141.

[2] Campbell, J. and Jamison, J., On some classes of weighted composition operators, Glasgow Math. J. 32 (1990), 87-94.

[3] Gupta, A. and Komal, B.S., Composite integral operators on L^2 (μ), Pitman Lecture Notes in Mathematics series 377 (1997), 92-99.

[4] Gupta, A. and, Komal, B.S., Weighted Composite Integral Operators, Int. Journal of Math Analysis, Vol.3, 26 (2009), 1283-1293.

[5] Gupta, A. and Komal, B.S., Volterra composition operators, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences, vol.6, no.7 (2011), 345-351.

[6] Halmos, P. R. and Sunder, V.S., Bounded integral operators on L^2-spaces, Springer-Verlag, New York, (1978).

[7] Lyubic, Yu I., Composition of integration and substitution, Linear and Complex Analysis, Problem Book, Springer Lect. Notes in Math., 1043, Berlin, (1984), 249-250.

[8] Parthasarthy, K.R., Introduction to probability and measure, MacMillan Limited, (1977).

[9] Ridge, W.C., Spectrum of composition operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 37 (1973), 121-127.

[10] Singh, R.K., Compact and quasinormal composition operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 45(1974), 80-82

[11] Singh, R.K., Normal and Hermitian composition operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 47 (1975), 348-350

[12] Singh, R.K. and, Komal, B.S., Composition operators, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 18 (1978), 439-446.

[13] Singh, R.K., and Kumar, A., Multiplication operators and composition operators with closed ranges, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 16 (1977), 247-252.

[14] Singh, R.K., and Manhas, J.S., Composition operators on function spaces, North Holland Mathematics studies 179, Elsevier Science Publishers Amsterdam, (1993).

[15] Stepanov, V. D., Weighted inequalities for a class of Volterra convolution operators, J. London Math, (1992), 232-242.

[16] Stepanov, V. D., Weighted norm inequalities of Hardy type for a class of integral operators, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 50 (1994), 105-120.

[17] Whitley, R., The spectrum of a Volterra composition operator, Integral equation and operator theory, Vol. 10 (1987), 146-149.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared

