International Journal of Mathematical Archive-4(2), 2013, 311-313

STRONG COMMUTATIVITY - PRESERVING DERIVATIONS ON NEAR-RINGS

¹Prof. K. Suvarna & ²T. Madhavi*

¹Research Supervisor, Department of Mathematics, S. K. University, Anantapur (A.P.), India ²Asst. Professor, Ananthalakshmi Institute of Technology & Sciences, Anantapur (A.P.), India

(Received on: 30-12-12; Revised & Accepted on: 01-02-13)

ABSTRACT

Bell and Mason [1] studies derivations in Near-rings and Near-fields. In this paper we present some properties of Strong Commutativity- Preserving derivations in left near-rings. We prove that if N is left near-ring with U as a right ideal which contains no zero devisors of N and d is a nonzero commuting scp - derivation on U, then N is commutative.

Key words: Prime near-ring, derivation, ideal, strong commutativity-preserving derivation.

I. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper N denotes a zero- symmetric left near-ring with a derivation d satisfying d(xy) = xd(y) + d(x)y for all x, y in N and Z denotes the center of N. A left near-ring is a set N with two operations + and . such that (N,+) is a group and (N, .) is a semigroup satisfying the left distributive law x(y+z) = xy+yz for all x, y, z in N. According the Bell and Mason [2] a near-ring N is said to be prime if $xNy = \{0\}$ for x, y in N implies x=0 or y=0. A derivation d is said to be strong commutativity-preserving derivation on N if [x, y] = [d(x), d(y)] for all x, y in N. An element c ε N for which d(c) = 0 is called a constant and a derivation d is said to be commuting on N if [x, d(x)=0 for all x ε N.

II. Main Theorems

To prove the main theorem we require the following Lemmas.

Lemma 3.2.1: If d is a scp-derivation on N, then constants are in Z. If N also has 1, then (N, +) is abelian.

Proof: For *c* constant, we have [c, y] = [d(c), d(y)] = [0, d(y)] = 0, for all $y \in N$.

In particular, if *N* has 1, then $1+1 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Hence [1+1, x + y] = 0, for all x, $y \in N$, from which we have that (N, +) is abelian.

Lemma 3.2.2: Let *d* be be a derivation on *N*, and suppose $u \in N$ is not a left zero divisor. If [u, d(u)]=0, then (x, u) = x+u-x-u is a constant for every $x \in N$.

Proof: From $u(u+x) = u^2 + ux$, we obtain

ud(u+x)+d(u)(u+x) = ud(u) + d(u)u + ud(x)+d(u)x.

This implies that ud(x)+d(u)u = d(u)u + ud(x).

Since d(u)u = ud(u), we obtain u(d(x)+d(u)-d(x)-d(u)) = 0 = ud((x, u)).

Thus d((x, u)) = 0.

Theorem 3.2.1: If N has no zero divisiors and admits a nonzero commuting scp-derivation, then N is a commutative ring with no idempotents except 0 or 1.

Proof: For all $x, y \in N$, we have [x, y] = [d(x), d(y)].

We replace *y* by *xy* in the above equation. Then we get [x, xy] = [d(x), d(xy)]. This implies that x[x, y] = [d(x), xd(y) + d(x)y] for all *x*, *y* \in *N*.

¹Prof. K. Suvarna & ²T. Madhavi*/Strong Commutativity – Preserving Derivations on Near-Rings /IJMA- 4(2), Feb.-2013.

So, $x[x, y] = d(x)xd(y) + d(x)^2y - d(x)yd(x) - xd(y) d(x).$

Since d is commuting and hence (N, +) is abelian. Therefore we have

$$x[x, y] = x[d(x), d(y)] + d(x) [d(x), y] = x[x, y] + d(x) [d(x), y].$$

Hence d(x) [d(x), y] = 0, for all x, $y \in N$; and since N has no zero divisors, we get [d(x), y] = 0, for all x, $y \in N$.

In particular, [d(x), d(y)] = 0; and therefore [x, y] = 0, for all $x, y \in N$.

Thus *N* is a commutative ring.

We know that if N admits a commuting scp-derivation, then all idempotents e are central.

Therefore, if $e^2 = e \neq 0$, then e is central.

Since e(ex - x) = 0 for all $x \in N$, *e* is a left identity element.

Since $e \in Z$, it follows that e = 1.

Now we also have the following.

Corollary 3.2.1: A near-field with a scp-derivation is a field.

Corollary 3.2.2: A near-domain admitting a nonzero scp-derivation is a commutative ring (and hence an ordinary integral domain).

Corollary 3.2.3: If N has no nonzero nilpotent elements and admits a commuting scp-derivation, then N is a commutative ring.

Proof: By Lemma 4 of [11], there exists a family of completely prime ideals $\{P_{\alpha} / \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ such that N is a subdirect product of the near-rings N/P_{α} , and such that for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$, the definition $\tilde{d}_{\alpha}(x+P_{\alpha}) = d(x) + P_{\alpha}$ yields a derivation \tilde{d}_{α} on N/P_{α} . Let \tilde{N} denote a typical N/ P_{α} ; and \tilde{N} has no zero divisors of zero.

If \tilde{d}_{α} is nonzero, then \tilde{N} is a commutative ring by Theorem 3.2.1.

If \tilde{d}_{α} is trivial, then from the definition of scp-derivation we have that \tilde{N} is commutative, hence distributive.

But then $(\widetilde{N^2}, +)$ is abelian, so that

 $\tilde{x}^2 + \tilde{x}\tilde{y} - \tilde{x}^2 - \tilde{x}\tilde{y} = 0$, for all $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in \tilde{N}$; and cancelling \tilde{x} we obtain that $(\tilde{N}, +)$ is abelian.

Theorem 3.2.2: Let *U* be a nonzero ideal of *N* which contains no zero divisors of *N*. If *N* admits a nonzero derivation *d* such that [x, d(x)] = 0, for all $x \in U$ and [x, y] = [d(x), d(y)], for all $x, y \in U$, then *N* is a commutative ring.

Proof: By Lemma 3.2.2, we have the additive group commutator

(x, a) = x + a - x - a is constant for all $a \in U$ and $x \in N$.

Since *U* is an ideal, we have (x, a)y = (xy, ay) is also constant for arbitrary $y \in N$.

Hence $(x, a) d(N) = \{0\}.$

Since U has no zero divisors and $(x, a) \in U$, we obtain (x, a) = 0; and therefore (U, +) is abelian.

Now for any arbitrary $a \in U/\{0\}$ and $x, y \in N$, we have (ax, ay) = a(x, y) = 0; and hence (N, +) is abelian.

Now by the proof of theorem 3.2.1, we have

d(x) [d(x), y] = 0, for all $x, y \in U$.

Since $[d(x), y] \in U$, we conclude that [d(x), y] = 0 or d(x) = 0.

© 2013, IJMA. All Rights Reserved.

Thus [d(x), y] = 0, for all $x, y \in U$.

In particular, for all $x, y \in U$ we have [d(x), yd(y)] = 0 = y[d(x), d(y)].

Therefore, 0 = [d(x), d(y)] = [x, y], for all $x, y \in U$.

Using this, if $a \in A/\{0\}$ and $x, y \in N$, then we have

 $axay - ayax = 0 = a^{2}(xy - yx) = a^{2}[x, y];$ so [x, y] = 0.

Therefore, N is a commutative ring.

REFERENCES

- 1. H.E.BELL and G.MASON: On derivations in near-rings, Near-Rings and Near-Fields, G. Betsch (Ed), North-Holland, Amsterdam (1987), 31-35.
- 2. H.E.BELL and G.MASON: On derivations in near-rings and rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 34 (1992), 135-144.
- 3. M.BRESAR: Commuting traces of biadditive mappings, commutativity-preserving mappings and Lie mappings, Trans. Amer. Math. Socs. 335 (1993), 525-546.
- 4. M.N.DAIF and H.E.BELL: Remarks on derivations on semiprime rings, Internet. J. Math & Math. Sci. 15(1992), 205-206.
- 5. M.HONGAN: On near-rings with derivations, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 32 (1990), 89-92.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared