# International Journal of Mathematical Archive-4(11), 2013, 41-44 MA Available online through www.ijma.info ISSN 2229 - 5046

## MAGNETOHYDRODYNAMIC FLOW OF CONDUCTING NON-NEWTONIAN [OLDROYD (1958) MODEL] FLUID WITH TRANSIENT PRESSURE GRADIENT THROUGH POROUS MEADIUM IN A RECTANGULAR CHANNEL

Anil Tripathi\*, A. K. Sharma\* and K. K. Singh\*\*

\*Department of Mathematics, K.K. (P.G.) College, Etawah - (U.P.), India.

\*\*Department of Mathematics, Agra College, Agra - (U.P.), India.

(Received on: 05-10-13; Revised & Accepted on: 13-11-13)

## ABSTRACT

T he aim of the present paper is to study the unsteady flow of conducting non-Newtonian[Oldroyed(1958)model] fluid of second order with transient pressure gradient through porous medium in a long rectangular channel under the influence of an uniform of magnetic field applied perpendicularly to the flow of fluid. The expression for velocity of fluid is obtained in elegant form. The various deductions have also been discussed in detail.

## INTRODUCTION

The study of physics of flow of fluid through porous medium has become the basis of many scientific and engineering applications. This type of flow is of great importance in the petroleum engineering concerned with the movement of oil, gas and water through the reservoir of an oil or gas field to the hydrologist in the study of the migration of underground water and to the chemical engineer in the filtration process.

Many research workers have paid their attention towards the application of non-Newtonian fluid flow through porous medium in various types of channel with or without application of uniform transverse magnetic field, such as Kumar and Singh (1989), Gupta and Sharma (1990), Kapur, Bhatt and Sachetti (1992), Singh , Shankar and Singh (1995), Gupta and Gupta (1996), Singh and Kumar (1998), Hayat, Asghar and Siddiqui (2000), Sharma and Pareek (2001), Kundu and Sengupta (2001), Hassianien (2002), Sengupta and Basak (2002), Pundhir and Pundhir (2003), Rehman and Alam Sarkar (2004), Agarwal and Agarwal (2006), Sharma and Pareek (2006), Singh Kumar and Sharma (2008), Kumar Sharma and Singh (2008), Kumar and Singh (2009), Kumar, Mishra, and Singh (2011), and Kumar, Mishra and Sharma (2013) etc.

In the present paper, the unsteady laminar flow of conducting non-Newtonian [Oldroyed(1958)model] fluid of second order with transient pressure gradient through porous medium in a long rectangular channel is studied when an uniform magnetic field be applied perpendicularly to the flow of fluid. The various deductions have also been discussed in detail.

#### BASIC THEORY AND EQUATIONS OF MOTIONS

For slow motion, the Rheological equations for second order non-Newtonian [Oldroyed(1958)model] fluid are:

$$\tau_{ij} = -p\delta_{ij} + \tau'_{ij} \tag{1}$$

$$\left(1 + \lambda_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \lambda_2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\right) \tau'_{ij} = 2\mu \left(1 + \mu_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mu_2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\right) e_{ij}$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

$$e_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left( v_{i,j} + v_{j,i} \right)$$
(3)

where  $\tau_{ij}$  is the stress tensor,  $\tau'_{ij}$  the deviatoric stress tensor,  $e_{ij}$  the rate of strain tensor, p the pressure,  $\lambda_1$  the stress relaxation time parameter,  $\mu_1$  the strain rate retardation time parameter,  $\lambda_2$  the additional material constant,  $\mu_2$  the additional material constant,  $\delta_{ij}$  the metric tensor,  $\mu$  the coefficient of viscosity and  $v_i$  is the velocity components.

#### Corresponding author: Anil Tripathi\*

International Journal of Mathematical Archive- 4(11), Nov. - 2013

#### Anil Tripathi<sup>\*</sup>, A. K. Sharma<sup>\*</sup> and K. K. Singh<sup>\*\*</sup>/ Magnetohydrodynamic Flow Of Conducting Non-Newtonian [Oldroyd (1958) Model] Fluid With .../ IJMA- 4(11), Nov.-2013.

#### FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

Let us consider the walls of rectangular channel to be the  $x = \pm a$  and  $y = \pm b$ . Z-axis is taken towards the direction of the flow of fluid. Again let 0, 0, w(x, y, t) be the velocity component along x, y, z-directions respectively at any point in the channel. A transient pressure gradient -Pe<sup> $-\omega t$ </sup> varying with time is applied to the non-Newtonian fluid in the direction of flow.

Following the stress-strain relations (1)-(3), the equation for unsteady motion through porous medium under the influence of transverse uniform magnetic field is given by

$$\left(1 + \lambda_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \lambda_2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\right) \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \left(1 + \lambda_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \lambda_2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\right) \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} + \upsilon \left(1 + \mu_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mu_2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\right) \nabla^2 w - \left(\frac{\sigma B_0^2}{\rho} + \frac{\upsilon}{K}\right) \left(1 + \lambda_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \lambda_2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\right) w$$

$$(4)$$

where K is coefficient of permeability,  $\sigma$  the conductivity of fluid and B<sub>0</sub> is the electromagnetic induction.

For the present problem, the boundary conditions are:

$$w = 0, \qquad \text{when } x = \pm a, \qquad -b \le y \le b \\ w = 0, \qquad \text{when } y = \pm b, \qquad -a \le x \le a \end{cases}$$
(5)

Introducing the following non-dimensional quantities:

$$w^* = \frac{a}{\nu}w, \quad (x^*, y^*, z^*) = \frac{1}{a}(x, y, z), \quad p^* = \frac{a^2}{\rho\nu^2}p, \quad t^* = \frac{\nu}{a^2}t,$$
  
$$\lambda_1^* = \frac{\nu}{a^2}\lambda_1, \quad \mu_1^* = \frac{\nu}{a^2}\mu_1, \quad \lambda_2^* = \frac{\nu^2}{a^4}\lambda_2, \quad \mu_2^* = \frac{\nu^2}{a^4}\mu_2, \quad K^* = \frac{1}{a^2}K$$

In equation (4), we get (after dropping the stars)

$$(1 + \lambda_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \lambda_2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}) \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} = -(1 + \lambda_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \lambda_2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}) \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} + (1 + \mu_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mu_2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}) \nabla^2 w$$
$$- \left(H + \frac{1}{K}\right) (1 + \lambda_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \lambda_2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}) w$$
(6)

where

$$H = aB_0 \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{\mu}} \quad (Hartmann \ number)$$

The boundary conditions (5) reduces to

$$w = 0, \qquad \text{when } x = \pm 1, \qquad -c \le y \le c \tag{7}$$

$$w = 0, \qquad \text{when } y = \pm c, \qquad -1 \le x \le 1$$

$$where \qquad c = \frac{b}{a}$$
(8)

where

#### SOLUTION OF THE PROBLEM

Now we have to consider those types of situations of flow of fluid which is transient in nature with respect to time and periodic in nature with respect to y. Subject to the nature of the boundary conditions (7) and (8) we choose the solution of (6) as

$$w = W(x)\cos my. e^{-\omega t}$$
<sup>(9)</sup>

The boundary conditions (7) and (8) corresponding to (9) are W = 0, when  $x = \pm 1$ ,  $-c \le y \le c$ 

W = 0, when  $y = \pm c$ ,  $-1 \le x \le 1$ (11)

The boundary condition (11) will be satisfied if

 $\cos mc = 0$ 

(10)

Anil Tripathi<sup>\*</sup>, A. K. Sharma<sup>\*</sup> and K. K. Singh<sup>\*\*</sup>/ Magnetohydrodynamic Flow Of Conducting Non-Newtonian [Oldroyd (1958) Model] Fluid With .../ IJMA- 4(11), Nov.-2013.

or 
$$mc = (2n+1)\frac{\pi}{2}$$
  
or  $m = (2n+1)\frac{\pi}{2c}$ ;  $n = 0,1,2,3....$  (12)

We may construct the solution as the sum of all possible solutions for each value of n of the form  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ 

$$w = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} W(x) \cos my. e^{-\omega t}$$
(13)

After putting the value of  $\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} = -Pe^{-\omega t}$ ,  $\omega > 0$  in (6) we get  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{d^2 W(x)}{dx^2} \cos my - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m^2 W(x) \cos my + \frac{P(1 - \lambda_1 \omega + \lambda_2 \omega^2)}{(1 - \mu_1 \omega + \mu_2 \omega^2)} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - \lambda_1 \omega + \lambda_2 \omega^2) \left\{\omega - H - \frac{1}{K}\right\}}{(1 - \mu_1 \omega + \mu_2 \omega^2)} W(x) \cos my = 0$ 

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{d^2 W(x)}{dx^2} \cos my + \frac{4P}{\pi} \frac{(1 - \lambda_1 \omega + \lambda_2 \omega^2)}{(1 - \mu_1 \omega + \mu_2 \omega^2)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)} \cos my \\ - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ m^2 - \frac{(1 - \lambda_1 \omega + \lambda_2 \omega^2) \left\{ \omega - H - \frac{1}{K} \right\}}{(1 - \mu_1 \omega + \mu_2 \omega^2)} \right] W(x) \cos my = 0$$
(14)

$$:: \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)} \cos my = \frac{\pi}{4} (by \ Fourier \ cosine \ series) in \ interval - c \le y \le c$$

Equating the coefficient of  $\cos my$  equal to zero, the value of W(x) can be determined from

$$\frac{d^2 W(x)}{dx^2} - \frac{Q^2}{a^2} W(x) + A_n = 0$$
(15)

where

$$Q^{2} = a^{2} \left\{ m^{2} - \frac{(1 - \lambda_{1}\omega + \lambda_{2}\omega^{2}) \left\{ \omega - H - \frac{1}{K} \right\}}{(1 - \mu_{1}\omega + \mu_{2}\omega^{2})} \right\}$$
and  $A = \frac{4P(-1)^{n}(1 - \lambda_{1}\omega + \lambda_{2}\omega^{2})}{(1 - \mu_{1}\omega + \mu_{2}\omega^{2})}$ 

and 
$$A_n = \frac{\pi (-1) (1 - \mu_1 \omega + \mu_2 \omega)}{(2n+1)\pi (1 - \mu_1 \omega + \mu_2 \omega^2)}$$

The general solution of (15) is  $W(x) = C_1 \cosh \frac{Q}{a} x + C_2 \sinh \frac{Q}{a} x + \frac{a^2 A_n}{Q^2}$ (16)

Using boundary conditions (10), we get

$$W(x) = \frac{(-1)^n 4P(1 - \lambda_1 \omega + \lambda_2 \omega^2) a^2}{(2n+1)\pi (1 - \mu_1 \omega + \mu_2 \omega^2) Q^2} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\cosh \frac{Q}{a} x}{\cosh \frac{Q}{a}} \right\}$$
(17)

Hence the velocity of Oldroyd fluid is given by

$$W(x, y, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{(-1)^n 4P(1 - \lambda_1 \omega + \lambda_2 \omega^2) a^2}{(2n+1)\pi (1 - \mu_1 \omega + \mu_2 \omega^2) Q^2} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\cosh \frac{Q}{a} x}{\cosh \frac{Q}{a}} \right\} \right] .\cos(2n+1) \frac{\pi}{2c} y. e^{-\omega t}$$
(18)

#### Anil Tripathi<sup>\*</sup>, A. K. Sharma<sup>\*</sup> and K. K. Singh<sup>\*\*</sup>/ Magnetohydrodynamic Flow Of Conducting Non-Newtonian [Oldroyd (1958) Model] Fluid With .../ IJMA- 4(11), Nov.-2013.

### **DEDUCTIONS**

- **I.** If magnetic field is withdrawn i.e. H=0 in equation (18), we obtain all expressions of Kumar, Mishra and Sharma (2013) with slight change of notations.
- **II.** If porous medium is withdrawn i.e.  $K \rightarrow \infty$  in equation (18), we obtain all expressions of Kumar and Singh (2009) with slight change of notations.
- **III.** If we put  $\lambda_2 = 0$ ,  $\mu_2 = 0$  in the equations (18), we obtain the velocity expression for first order Oldroyd visco-elastic fluid through porous medium under the influence of uniform magnetic field.
- **IV.** If we put  $\lambda_2 = 0$ ,  $\mu_2 = 0$  and magnetic field is withdrawn i.e. H=0 in equation (18), we obtain all expressions for first order Oldroyd fluid of Singh, Kumar and Sharma (2008).
- **V.** If we put  $\lambda_2 = 0$  and  $\mu_1 = 0$ ,  $\mu_2 = 0$  in the equation (18), we get velocity expression for Maxwell viscoelastic fluid through porous medium under the influence of uniform magnetic field.
- **VI.** If we take  $\lambda_1 = 0$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 0$ ,  $\mu_1 = 0$ ,  $\mu_2 = 0$  in the equations (18), we get velocity expression for purely viscous fluid through porous medium under the influence of uniform magnetic field.
- **VII.** If porous medium and magnetic field are withdrawn i.e.  $K \rightarrow \infty$  and H=0 in equation (18), we obtain all expressions of Kundu and Sengupta (2001).

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Agarwal, M. and Agarwal, N. (2006): Proc.Nat. Acad. Sci. India, Vol. 76(a), No. 1, p. 55.
- 2. Gupta, M. and Sharma, S. (1990): Acta Ciencia Indic, Vol. XVI, No. 4, P.437.
- 3. Gupta, R.K. and Gupta, K. (1996): Ind. Jour. Pure Appl. Maths, Vol. 27, No. 4, p. 423.
- 4. Hassanien, I. A. (2002): ZAMM, Vol. 82, No. 6, p. 409.
- 5. Hyat, T., Asghar, S. and Siddique, A. M. (2000): Int. Jour. Engg. Sci., Vol.38, No. 3, p. 357.
- 6. Kapur, J. N., Bhatt, B. S. and Sachetti, N. C. (1992): Non-Newtonian Fluid Flows, Pragti Prakashan, Meerut, India.
- 7. Kumar, R., Mishra, G. and Sharma, A. K. (2013): Research Journal of Mathematical and Statical Sciences, Vol. 1, No. 1, p. 19.
- 8. Kumar, R., Mishra, G. and Singh, K. K. (2011): Int. Jour. Math. Arch., Vol. 2, No. 4, p. 584.
- 9. Kumar, R., Sharma, A. K. and Singh, K. K. (2008): Ultra Science, Vol. 20, No. 2M, p. 545.
- 10. Kundu, S. K. and Sengupta, P. R. (2001): Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India, Vol. 71(a), No. 3, p. 253.
- 11. Oldroyd, J. G. (1958): Proc. Roy. Soc. (London), A-245, p. 278.
- 12. Pundhir, S. K. and Pundhir, R. (2003): Acta Ciencia Indica, Vol. XXIX M, No. 6, p. 478.
- 13. Rehman, M. M. and Alam Sarkar, M. S. (2004): Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. Vol. 96, No. 6, p. 463.
- 14. Sengupta P. R. and Basak, P. (2002): Ind. Jour. Theo. Phy., Vol. 50, No. 3, p. 203.
- 15. Sharma P. R. and Pareek, D. (2001): Bull. Pure Appl. Sci. Vol. 20, No. 1, p. 103.
- 16. Singh, K. K. and Kumar, R. (1998): Acta Ciencia Indica, Vol. XXIV M, No. 4, p. 321.
- 17. Singh, K. K., Kumar. R., and Sharma, A. K. (2008): Acta Ciencia Indica, Vol. XXXIV M, No. 1, p. 351.
- 18. Singh, K. K., Shankar, U. and Singh, C. (1995): Pure Appl. Math. Sci., Vol. XII, No. 1-2, p. 95.

#### Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared