International Journal of Mathematical Archive-4(11), 2013, 45-57
IJMA Available online through www.ijma.info ISSN 2229 – 5046

Almost slightly pre-continuity, Slightly pre-open and Slightly pre-closed mappings

S. Balasubramanian*

Department of Mathematics, Govt. Arts College (A), Karur – 639 005, Tamilnadu, India.

(Received on: 18-10-13; Revised & Accepted on: 18-11-13)

ABSTRACT

In this paper we discuss new type of continuous functions called Almost slightly pre−continuous, slightly pre-open and slightly pre-closed functions; its properties and interrelation with other such functions are studied.

Keywords: *slightly continuous functions; slightly semi-continuous functions; slightly pre-continuous; slightly β−continuous functions and slightly ν−continuous functions.*

AMS-classification Numbers: *54C10; 54C08; 54C05.*

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1995 T. M. Nour introduced slightly semi-continuous functions. After him T. Noiri and G. I. Chae further studied slightly semi-continuous functions in 2000. T. Noiri individually studied about slightly β−continuous functions in 2001. C. W. Baker introduced slightly precontinuous functions in 2002. Arse Nagli Uresin and others studied slightly δ−continuous functions in 2007. Recently S. Balasubramanian and P.A.S.Vyjayanthi studied slightly ν−continuous functions in 2011. Mappings plays an important role in the study of modern mathematics, especially in Topology and Functional analysis. Closed mappings are one such mappings which are studied for different types of closed sets by various mathematicians for the past many years. N. Biswas, discussed about semiopen mappings in the year 1970, A.S. Mashhour, M.E. Abd El-Monsef and S.N. El-Deeb studied preopen mappings in the year 1982 and S.N.El-Deeb, and I.A.Hasanien defind and studied about preclosed mappings in the year 1983. Further Asit kumar sen and P. Bhattacharya discussed about pre-closed mappings in the year 1993. A.S.Mashhour, I.A.Hasanein and S.N.El-Deeb introduced α-open and α-closed mappings in the year in 1983, F.Cammaroto and T.Noiri discussed about semipre-open and semipre-clsoed mappings in the year 1989 and G.B.Navalagi further verified few results about semipreclosed mappings. M.E.Abd El-Monsef, S.N.El-Deeb and R.A.Mahmoud introduced β-open mappings in the year 1983 and Saeid Jafari and T.Noiri, studied about β-closed mappings in the year 2000. In the year 2010, S. Balasubramanian and P.A.S.Vyjayanthi introduced *v*-open mappings and in the year 2011, further defined almost *v*-open mappings and also they introduced *v*-closed and Almost *v*-closed mappings. C.W.Baker studied slightly-open and slightly-closed mappings in the year 2011. Inspired with these developments we introduce in this paper Almost slightly pre-continuous, slightly pre-open and slightly pre-closed functions and study its basic properties and interrelation with other type of such functions. Throughout the paper (X,τ) and (Y,σ) (or simply X and Y) represent topological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed unless otherwise mentioned.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1: $A\subset X$ is called g-closed [rg-closed] if cl $A\subseteq U$ whenever $A\subseteq U$ and U is open in X.

Definition 2.2: A function f**:** $X\to Y$ is said to be
(i) continuous [resp**:** nearly-continuous; rα-continuous; α-continuous; semi-continuous; β−continuous; pre-continuous] if inverse image of each open set is open[resp**:** regular-open; rα-open; α-open; semi-open; β -open; preopen].
(ii) almost continuous[resp**:** almost nearly-continuous; almost rα-continuous; almost α-continuous; almost semi-continuous; almost β-cont inuous; almost pre-continuous] if for each x in X and each open set $(V, f(x))$, ∃ an open[resp**:** regular-open; rα-open; α-open; semi-open; β-open; preopen] set (U, x) such that $f(U) \subset (cl(V))^o$.

Corresponding author: *S. Balasubramanian**
E-mail: mani55682@rediffmail.com

(iii) slightly continuous[resp**:** slightly semi-continuous; slightly pre-continuous; slightly β-continuous; slightly α-continuous; slightly r-continuous; slightly *v*-continuous] at x in X if for each clopen subset V in Y containing $f(x)$, $\exists$ U$\in\tau$(X)[$\exists$ U$\in$PO(X); $\exists$ U$\in$PO(X); $\exists$ U$\in\beta$O(X); $\exists$ U$\in\alpha$O(X); $\exists$ U$\in$RO(X); $\exists$ U$\in v$O(X)] containing x such that $f(U) \subseteq V$.
(iv) almost slightly continuous[resp**:** almost slightly semi-continuous; almost slightly α-continuous; almost slightly r-continuous; almost slightly *v*-continuous] at x in X if for each r-clopen subset V in Y containing $f(x)$, $\exists$ U$\in\tau$(X)[$\exists$ U$\in$SO(X); $\exists$ U$\in\alpha$O(X); $\exists$ U$\in$RO(X); $\exists$ U$\in v$O(X)] containing x such that $f(U) \subseteq V$.
(v) open [resp**:** nearly-open; rα-open; α-open; semi-open; β-open; pre-open] if the image of each open set is open[resp**:** regular-open; rα-open; α-open; semi-open; β -open; pre-open].
(vi) almost-open [resp**:** almost-nearly-open; almost-rα-open; almost-α-open; almost-semi-open; almost-β-open; almost-pre-open] if the image of each r-open set is open[resp**:** regular-open; rα-open; α-open; semi-open; β-open; pre-open].
(vii) slightly-open[resp**:** slightly-r-open; slightly-semi-open] if the image of each clopen set is open[resp**:** regular-open; semi-open].
(viii) almost slightly-open[resp**:** almost slightly-r-open; almost slightly-semi-open] if the image of each r-clopen set is open[resp**:** regular-open; semi-open].

Lemma 2.1:
(i) Let A and B be subsets of a space X, if $A\in\tau(X)$ and $B\in RO(X)$, then $A\cap B\in\tau(B)$.
(ii)Let $A\subset B\subset X$, if $A\in\tau(B)$ and $B\in RO(X)$, then $A\in\tau(X)$.

Note 1: RCO(Y, $f(x)$) means regular-clopen set in Y containing $f(x)$ and $\tau(X, x)$ means open set in X containing x.

3. ALMOST SLIGHTLY PRE-CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

Definition 3.1: A function f**:**$X\to Y$ is said to be almost slightly pre-continuous at x in X if for each $V\in RCO(Y, f(x))$, $\exists U\in PO(X, x)$ such that $f(U)\subseteq V$ and almost slightly pre-continuous if it is almost slightly pre-continuous at each x in X.

Note 2: Here after we call almost slightly pre-continuous function as al.sl.p.c function shortly.

Example 3.1: X = Y = {a, b, c}; τ = {ϕ, {a}, {b}, {a, b}, X} and σ = {ϕ, {a}, {b, c}, Y}. Let f be defined as $f(a) = b$; $f(b) = c$ and $f(c) = a$, then f is sl.p.c., and al.sl.p.c.

Example 3.2: X = Y = {a, b, c}; τ = {ϕ, {a}, {b, c}, X} and σ = {ϕ, {a}, {b}, {a, b}, Y}. Let f be defined as $f(a) = b$; $f(b) = c$ and $f(c) = a$, then f is not sl.p.c., and al.sl.p.c.

Example 3.3: X = Y = {a, b, c}; τ = {ϕ, {a, c}, X} and σ = {ϕ, {a}, {b, c}, Y}. Let f be defined as $f(a) = b$; $f(b) = c$ and $f(c) = a$, then f is sl.p.c., al.sl.p.c., but not sl.c., sl.s.c., al.sl.c., and al.sl.s.c.,

Example 3.4: In Example 3.1, f is sl.p.c., sl.s.c., sl.c., al.sl.p.c., al.sl.s.c., and al.sl.c.

Example 3.5: In Example 3.2, f is sl.p.c., sl.c., al.sl.p.c., and al.sl.c., but not sl.s.c., and al.sl.s.c.,

Example 3.6: In Example 3.3, f is sl.p.c., and al.sl.p.c., but not sl.c., sl.s.c., al.sl.c., and al.sl.s.c.,

Theorem 3.1: The following are equivalent:
(i) f is al.sl.p.c.
(ii) $f^{-1}(V)$ is pre-open for every r-clopen set V in Y.
(iii) $f^{-1}(V)$ is pre-closed for every r-clopen set V in Y.
(iv) $f(pcl(A)) \subseteq pcl(f(A))$.

Corollary 3.1: The following are equivalent.
(i) f is al.sl.p.c.
(ii) For each x in X and each $V\in RCO(Y, f(x))$ $\exists$ $U\in PO(X, x)$ such that $f(U) \subseteq V$.

Theorem 3.2: Let $\sum = \{U_i\textbf{:}i\in I\}$ be any cover of X by regular open sets in X. A function f is al.sl.p.c. iff $f_{/U_i}$**:** is al.sl.p.c., for each $i\in I$.

Proof: Let $i\in I$ be an arbitrarily fixed index and $U_i\in RO(X)$. Let $x\in U_i$ and $V\in RCO(Y, f_{U_i}(x))$ Since f is al.sl.p.c, $\exists$ $U\in PO(X, x)$ such that $f(U) \subset V$. Since $U_i\in RO(X)$, by Lemma 2.1 $x\in U\cap U_i\in PO(U_i)$ and $(f_{/U_i})U\cap U_i = f(U\cap U_i)\subset f(U) \subset V$. Hence $f_{/U_i}$ is al.sl.p.c.

Conversely Let x in X and $V \in RCO(Y, f(x))$, $\exists$ $i \in I$ such that $x \in U_i$. Since $f_{/Ui}$ is al.sl.p.c, $\exists$ $U \in PO(U_i, x)$ such that $f_{/Ui}(U) \subset V$. By Lemma 2.1, $U \in PO(X)$ and $f(U) \subset V$. Hence f is al.sl.p.c.

Theorem 3.3: If f is almost continuous and g is continuous [al.sl.p.c.,], then $g \bullet f$ is al.sl.p.c.

Theorem 3.4: If f is almost continuous, open and g be any function, then $g \bullet f$ is al.sl.p.c iff g is al.sl.p.c.

Proof: If part**:** Theorem 3.3. Only if part**:** Let $A \in RCO(Z)$. Then $(g \bullet f)^{-1}(A) \in \tau(X)$. Since f is open, $f(g \bullet f)^{-1}(A) = g^{-1}(A)$ is open in Y. Thus g is al.sl.p.c.

Corollary 3.2: If f is r-irresolute, open and bijective, g is a function. Then g is al.sl.p.c. iff $g \bullet f$ is al.sl.p.c.

Theorem 3.5: If $g: X \to X \times Y$, defined by $g(x) = (x, f(x))$ for all x in X be the graph function of $f: X \to Y$. Then g is al.sl.p.c iff f is al.sl.p.c.

Proof: Let $V \in RCO(Y)$, then $X \times V \in RCO(X \times Y)$. Since g is al.sl.p.c., $f^{-1}(V) = f^{-1}(X \times V) \in PO(X)$. Thus f is al.sl.p.c.

Conversely, let x in X and $F \in RCO(X \times Y, g(x))$. Then $F \cap (\{x\} \times Y) \in RCO(\{x\} \times Y, g(x))$.

Also $\{x\} \times Y$ is homeomorphic to Y. Hence $\{y \in Y : (x, y) \in F\} \in RCO(Y)$. Since f is al.sl.p.c. $\cup\{f^{-1}(y) : (x, y) \in F\}$ is open in X. Further $x \in \cup\{f^{-1}(y) : (x, y) \in F\} \subseteq g^{-1}(F)$. Hence $g^{-1}(F)$ is open. Thus g is al.sl.p.c.

Theorem 3.6:
(i) $f: \Pi X_\lambda \to \Pi Y_\lambda$ is al.sl.p.c, iff $f_\lambda: X_\lambda \to Y_\lambda$ is al.sl.p.c for each $\lambda \in \Gamma$.
(ii) If $f: X \to \Pi Y_\lambda$ is al.sl.p.c, then $P_\lambda \bullet f: X \to Y_\lambda$ is al.sl.p.c for each $\lambda \in \Gamma$, where $P_\lambda : \Pi Y_\lambda$ onto Y_λ.

Remark 1: Composition, Algebraic sum, product and the pointwise limit of al.sl.p.c functions is not in general al.sl.p.c. However we can prove the following**:**

Theorem 3.7: The uniform limit of a sequence of al.sl.p.c functions is al.sl.p.c.

Note 3: Pasting Lemma is not true for al.sl.p.c functions. However we have the following weaker versions.

Theorem 3.8: Let X and Y be topological spaces such that $X = A \cup B$ and let $f_{/A}$ and $g_{/B}$ are al.sl.r.c maps such that $f(x) = g(x)$ for all $x \in A \cap B$. If $A, B \in RO(X)$ and RO(X) is closed under finite unions, then the combination $\alpha: X \to Y$ is al.sl.p.c continuous.

Theorem 3.9: Pasting Lemma Let X and Y be spaces such that $X = A \cup B$ and let $f_{/A}$ and $g_{/B}$ are al.sl.p.c maps such that $f(x) = g(x)$ for all $x \in A \cap B$. $A, B \in RO(X)$ and PO(X) is closed under finite unions, then the combination $\alpha: X \to Y$ is al.sl.p.c.

Proof: Let $F \in RCO(Y)$, then $\alpha^{-1}(F) = f^{-1}(F) \cup g^{-1}(F)$, where $f^{-1}(F) \in PO(A)$ and $g^{-1}(F) \in PO(B) \Rightarrow f^{-1}(F); g^{-1}(F) \in PO(X)$ $\Rightarrow f^{-1}(F) \cup g^{-1}(F) = \alpha^{-1}(F) \in PO(X)$. Hence $\alpha: X \to Y$ is al.sl.p.c.

Definition 3.2: A function f is said to be almost somewhat pre-continuous if for $U \in RO(\sigma)$ and $f^{-1}(U) \neq \varphi$, there exists a non-empty pre-open set V in X such that $V \subset f^{-1}(U)$.

It is clear that every continuous function is almost somewhat continuous and almost somewhat continuous function is almost somewhat pre-continuous. But the converse is not true.

Example 3.7: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{\varphi, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$ and $\sigma = \{\varphi, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$. The function f defined by $f(a) = b$, $f(b) = c$ and $f(c) = a$ is almost somewhat pre-continuous but not somewhat pre-continuous.

Note 4: Every almost somewhat pre-continuous function is almost slightly pre-continuous.

Theorem 3.10: If f is almost somewhat pre-continuous and g is continuous, then $g \bullet f$ is almost somewhat pre-continuous.

Corollary 3.3: If f is almost somewhat pre-continuous and g is r-continuous[r-irresolute], then $g \bullet f$ is almost somewhat pre-continuous.

Theorem 3.11: For a surjective function f, the following statements are equivalent:
(i) f is almost somewhat pre-continuous.
(ii) If C is a r-closed subset of Y such that $f^{-1}(C)\neq X$, then there is a proper pre-closed subset D of X such that $f^{-1}(C)\subset D$.
(iii) If M is a dense subset of X, then $f(M)$ is a dense subset of Y.

Proof:
(i) ⇒(ii): For C, r-closed in Y such that $f^{-1}(C) \neq X$, Y-C is r-open in Y such that $f^{-1}(Y-C) = X - f^{-1}(C) \neq \varphi$ By (i), there exists a pre-open set V such that $V \neq \varphi$ and $V\subset f^{-1}(Y-C) = X - f^{-1}(C)$. Thus $X-V\supset f^{-1}(C)$ and X - V = D is a proper pre-closed set in X.

(ii) ⇒(i): Let $U\in RO(\sigma)$ and $f^{-1}(U) \neq \varphi$ Then Y-U is r-closed and $f^{-1}(Y-U) = X-f^{-1}(U) \neq X$. By (ii), there exists a proper pre-closed set D such that $D\supset f^{-1}(Y-U)$. This implies that $X-D \subset f^{-1}(U)$ and X-D is pre-open and $X-D \neq \varphi$.

(ii) ⇒(iii): Let M be dense set in X. If $f(M)$ is not dense in Y. Then there exists a proper r-closed set C in Y such that $f(M) \subset C\subset Y$. Clearly $f^{-1}(C) \neq X$. By (ii), there exists a proper pre-closed set D such that $M\subset f^{-1}(C) \subset D\subset X$. This is a contradiction to the fact that M is dense in X.

(iii) ⇒(ii): Suppose (ii) is not true, there exists a r-closed set C in Y such that $f^{-1}(C) \neq X$ but there is no proper pre-closed set D in X such that $f^{-1}(C) \subset D$. This means that $f^{-1}(C)$ is dense in X. But by (iii), $f(f^{-1}(C)) = C$ must be dense in Y, which is a contradiction to the choice of C.

Theorem 3.12: Let f be a function and $X = A\cup B$, where $A,B\in RO(X)$. If $f_{/A}$ and $f_{/B}$ are almost somewhat pre-continuous, then f is almost somewhat pre-continuous.

Proof: Let $U\in RO(\sigma)$ such that $f^{-1}(U) \neq \varphi$. Then $(f_{/A})^{-1}(U) \neq \varphi$ or $(f_{/B})^{-1}(U) \neq \varphi$ or both $(f_{/A})^{-1}(U) \neq \varphi$ and $(f_{/B})^{-1}(U) \neq\varphi$. Suppose $(f_{/A})^{-1}(U) \neq \varphi$, Since $f_{/A}$ is almost somewhat pre-continuous, there exists a pre-open set V in A such that $V\neq \varphi$ and $V\subset (f_{/A})^{-1}(U) \subset f^{-1}(U)$. Since $V\in PO(A)$ and $A\in RO(X)$, $V\in PO(X)$. Thus f is almost somewhat pre-continuous.

The proof of other cases are similar.

Definition 3.3: If X is a set and τ and σ are topologies on X, then τ is said to be pre-equivalent to σ provided if $U\in PO(\tau)$ and $U \neq \varphi$, there is an pre-open set V in X such that $V \neq \varphi$ and $V \subset U$ and if $U\in PO(\sigma)$ and $U \neq \varphi$, there is an pre-open set V in (X, τ) such that $V\neq \varphi$ and $U \supset V$.

Definition 3.4: $A\subset X$ is said to be dense in X if there is no proper closed set C in X such that $M\subset C\subset X$.

Now, consider the identity function f and assume that τ and σ are equivalent. Then f and f^{-1} are almost somewhat continuous. Conversely, if the identity function f is almost somewhat continuous in both directions, then τ and σ are equivalent.

Theorem 3.13: Let $f:(X, \tau)\to (Y, \sigma)$ be a almost somewhat pre-continuous surjection and τ^* be a topology for X, which is pre-equivalent to τ. Then $f:(X, \tau^*)\to (Y, \sigma)$ is almost somewhat pre-continuous.

Proof: Let $V\in RO(\sigma) \ni f^{-1}(V) \neq \varphi$. Since f is almost somewhat pre-continuous, $\exists$ a nonempty $U\in PO(X, \tau) \ni U\subset f^{-1}(V)$. For τ^* is pre-equivalent to τ, $\exists\ U^*\in PO(X; \tau^*) \ni U^*\subset U$. But $U\subset f^{-1}(V)$. Then $U^*\subset f^{-1}(V)$; hence $f:(X, \tau^*)\to (Y, \sigma)$ is almost somewhat pre-continuous.

Theorem 3.14: Let $f:(X, \tau)\to (Y, \sigma)$ be a almost somewhat pre-continuous surjection and σ^* be a topology for Y, which is pre-equivalent to σ. Then $f:(X, \tau)\to (Y, \sigma^*)$ is almost somewhat pre-continuous.

Proof: Let $V^*\in RO(\sigma^*) \ni f^{-1}(V^*) \neq \varphi$. Since σ^* is pre-equivalent to σ, $\exists\ V\neq \varphi\in PO(Y, \sigma) \ni V\subset V^*$.

Now $\varphi \neq f^{-1}(V) \subset f^{-1}(V^*)$. Since f is almost somewhat pre-continuous, $\exists\ U\neq \varphi \in PO(X, \tau) \ni U\subset f^{-1}(V)$.

Then $U\subset f^{-1}(V^*)$; hence $f:(X, \tau)\to (Y, \sigma^*)$ is almost somewhat pre-continuous.

4. SLIGHTLY PRE-OPEN MAPPINGS, ALMOST SLIGHTLY PRE-OPEN MAPPINGS AND ALMOST SOMEWHAT OPEN FUNCTION

Definition 4.1: A function f: X$\rightarrow$ Y is said to be
(i) slightly pre-open if image of every clopen set in X is pre-open in Y
(ii) almost slightly pre-open if image of every regular-clopen set in X is pre-open in Y

Note 5:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{slightly-open map} & \rightarrow & \text{slightly pre-open.} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{almost slightly-open map} & \rightarrow & \text{almost slightly pre-open.} \end{array}$$

Example 4.1: Let $X = Y = \{a, b, c\}$; $\tau = \{\varphi, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$; $\sigma = \{\varphi, \{a, c\}, Y\}$. Let $f: X\rightarrow Y$ be defined $f(a) = c$, $f(b) = b$ and $f(c) = a$. Then f is slightly open, slightly pre-open, slightly semi-open, almost slightly open, almost slightly semi-open, and almost slightly pre-open.

Example 4.2: Let $X = Y = \{a, b, c\}$; $\tau = \{\varphi, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$; $\sigma = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, Y\}$. Let $f: X\rightarrow Y$ be defined $f(a) = c$, $f(b) = a$ and $f(c) = b$. Then f is not slightly open, slightly pre-open, slightly semi-open, almost slightly open, almost slightly semi-open, and almost slightly pre-open.

Note 6:
(i) If RαO(Y) = PO(Y), then f is [almost-] slightly rα-open iff f is [almost-] slightly pre-open.
(ii) If PO(Y) = RO(Y), then f is [almost-] slightly-r-open iff f is [almost-] slightly pre-open.
(iii) If PO(Y) = αO(Y), then f is [almost-] slightly α-open iff f is [almost-] slightly pre-open.

Theorem 4.1:
(i) If f is [almost-]slightly open and g is pre-open[r-open] then $g\bullet f$ is slightly pre-open
(ii) If f is [almost-] slightly pre-open and g is M-pre-open [M-r-open] then $g\bullet f$ is slightly pre-open

Proof: Let A be clopen[regular clopen] set in X $\Rightarrow f(A)$ is open in Y $\Rightarrow g(f(A)) = g\bullet f(A)$ is pre-open in Z. Hence $g\bullet f$ is [almost-] slightly pre-open.

Theorem 4.2: If f and g are r-open then $g\bullet f$ is [almost-] slightly pre-open

Proof: Let A be clopen[r-clopen] set in X $\Rightarrow f(A)$ is r-open and so open in Y $\Rightarrow g(f(A))$ is r-open in Z $\Rightarrow g(f(A)) = g\bullet f(A)$ is open in Z. Hence $g\bullet f$ is [almost-] slightly pre-open.

Theorem 4.3: If f is almost slightly-r-open and g is [almost-] pre-open then $g\bullet f$ is [almost-] slightly pre-open

Corollary 4.1:
(i) If f is almost slightly-open and g is open[r-open] then $g\bullet f$ is [almost-]slightly pre-open.
(ii) If f is almost slightly-r-open and g is [almost-]pre-open then $g\bullet f$ is [almost-]slightly pre-open.
(iii) If f and g are almost slightly-r-open then $g\bullet f$ is [almost-] slightly pre-open.

Theorem 4.4: If f is [almost-] slightly pre-open, then $f(A^o) \subset p(f(A))^o$

Proof: Let A$\subset$X and f is slightly pre-open gives $f(A^o)$ is pre-open in Y and $f(A^o) \subset f(A)$ which in turn gives

$f(A^o)^o \subset p(f(A))^o$ (1)

Since $f(A^o)$ is pre-open in Y, $p(f(A^o))^o = f(A^o)$ (2)

From (1) and (2) we have $f(A^o) \subset p(f(A))^o$ for every subset A of X.

Remark 2: converse is not true in general.

Theorem 4.5: If f is slightly pre-open and A$\subset$ X is r-open, then $f(A)$ is τ_{p}-open in Y.

Proof: Let A$\subset$X and f is slightly pre-open implies $f(A^o) \subset p(f(A))^o$ which in turn implies $p(f(A))^o \subset f(A)$, since $f(A) = f(A^o)$. But $f(A) \subset p(f(A))^o$. Combining we get $f(A) = p(f(A))^o$. Hence $f(A)$ is τ_{p}-open in Y.

Corollary 4.2: (i) If f is [almost-]slightly r-open, then $f(A^o) \subset p(f(A))^o$
(ii) If f is [almost-] slightly r-open, then f(A) is τ_p-open in Y if A is r-open set in X.
(iii) If f is almost slightly pre-open and $A \subset X$ is r-open, then f(A) is τ_s-open in Y.

Theorem 4.6: If $p(A)^o = r(A^o)$ for every $A \subset Y$, then the following are equivalent:
(i) f is [almost-]slightly pre-open map
(ii) $f(A^o) \subset p(f(A))^o$

Proof:
(i) ⇒ (ii) follows from theorem 4.4

(ii) ⇒ (i) Let A be any r-open set in X, then $f(A) = p(f(A))^o \supset f(A^o)$ by hypothesis. We have $f(A) \subset s\ (f(A))^o$. Combining we get $f(A) = p(A)^o = r(A^o)$[by given condition] which implies f(A) is r-open and hence open. Thus f is slightly pre-open.

Theorem 4.7: f is [almost-]slightly pre-open iff for each subset S of Y and each r-clopen set U containing $f^{-1}(S)$, there is a pre-open set V of Y such that $S \subset V$ and $f^{-1}(V) \subset U$.

Remark 3: composition of two [almost-]slightly pre-open maps is not [almost-]slightly pre-open in general

Theorem 4.8: Let X, Y, Z be topological spaces and every open set is r-clopen in Y, then the composition of two [almost-] slightly pre-open maps is [almost-] slightly pre-open.

Proof: Let A be r-clopen in X ⇒ f(A) is open and so r-clopen in Y[by assumption] ⇒ $g(f(A)) = g\bullet f(A)$ is open in Z. Hence $g\bullet f$ is almost slightly pre-open.

Theorem 4.9: If f is [almost-] slightly g-open; g is open[r-open] and Y is $T_{½}$**[** r-$T_{½}$**]**, then $g\bullet f$ is [almost-]slightly pre-open.

Proof: (i) Let A be regular clopen in X ⇒ A be clopen in X ⇒ f(A) is g-open and open in Y[since Y is $T_{½}$]
⇒ $g(f(A)) = g\bullet f(A)$ is open in Z. Hence $g\bullet f$ is [almost-] slightly pre-open.

Corollary 4.3:
(i) If f is [almost-] slightly g-open; g is open[r-open] and Y is $T_{½}$**[** r-$T_{½}$**]** then $g\bullet f$ is [almost-]slightly pre-open.
(ii) If f is [almost-] slightly g-open; g is [almost-]pre-open[[almost-]r-open] and Y is $T_{½}$**[** r-$T_{½}$**]** then $g\bullet f$ is [almost-]slightly pre-open.

Theorem 4.10: If f is [almost-] slightly rg-open; g is open[r-open] and Y is r-$T_{½}$, then $g\bullet f$ is [almost-] slightly pre-open.

Proof: Let A be r-clopen in X ⇒ A be clopen in X ⇒ f(A) is rg-open and r-open in Y[since Y is r-$T_{½}$]
⇒ $g(f(A)) = g\bullet f(A)$ is open in Z. Hence $g\bullet f$ is almost slightly pre-open.

Theorem 4.11: If f is [almost-]slightly rg-open; g is [almost-]pre-open[[almost-]r-open] and Y is r-$T_{½}$, then $g\bullet f$ is [almost-]slightly pre-open.

Proof: Let A be r-clopen in X ⇒ A be clopen in X ⇒ f(A) is rg-open in Y ⇒ f(A) is r-open in Y[since Y is r-$T_{½}$]
⇒ $g(f(A)) = g\bullet f(A)$ is open in Z. Hence $g\bullet f$ is almost slightly pre-open.

Corollary 4.4:
(i) If f is [almost-] slightly rg-open; g is open[r-open] and Y is r-$T_{½}$, then $g\bullet f$ is [almost-] slightly pre-open.
(ii) If f is [almost-] slightly rg-open; g is [almost-]pre-open[[almost-]r-open]and Y is r-$T_{½}$, then $g\bullet f$ is[almost-]slightly pre-open.

Theorem 4.12: If f; g be two mappings such that $g\bullet f$ is [almost-] slightly pre-open [[almost-] slightly r-open]. Then the following are true
(i) If f is continuous[r-continuous] and surjective, then g is [almost-] slightly pre-open
(ii) If f is g-continuous, surjective and X is $T_{½}$, then g is [almost-] slightly pre-open
(iii) If f is rg-continuous, surjective and X is r-$T_{½}$, then g is [almost-] slightly pre-open

Proof: Let A be regular clopen in Y ⇒ A be clopen in Y ⇒ $f^{-1}(A)$ is open in X ⇒ $g\bullet f(f^{-1}(A)) = g(A)$ is open in Z. Hence g is almost slightly pre-open.

Similarly we can prove the remaining parts and so omitted.

Corollary 4.5: If *f*; *g* be two mappings such that *g•f* is [almost-] slightly pre-open [[almost-] slightly *r*-open]. Then the following are true

(i) If *f* is continuous[*r*-continuous] and surjective, then *g* is [almost-] slightly pre-open.
(ii) If *f* is g-continuous, surjective and X is $T_{½}$, then *g* is [almost-] slightly pre-open.
(iii) If *f* is rg-continuous, surjective and X is *r*-$T_{½}$, then *g* is [almost-] slightly pre-open.

Theorem 4.13: If X is regular, *f* is *r*-open, nearly-continuous, open surjection and $\bar{A} = A$ for every open[*r*-open] set in Y, then Y is regular.

Theorem 4.14: If *f* is [almost-] slightly pre-open and A is r-clopen[clopen] set of X, then f_A is [almost-]slightly pre-open.

Proof: Let F be *r*-open set in A. Then F = A$\cap$E is *r*-open in X for some *r*-open set E of X which implies *f*(A) is open in Y. But $f(F) = f_A(F)$. Therefore f_A is [almost-] slightly pre-open.

Theorem 4.15: If *f* is [almost-] slightly pre-open, X is $T_{½}$ and A is g-open set of X, then f_A is [almost-] slightly pre-open.

Corollary 4.6: If *f* is [almost-] slightly open, X is $T_{½}$ and A is g-open set of X, then f_A is [almost-] slightly pre-open.

Theorem 4.16: If f_i: $X_i \to Y_i$ be [almost-] slightly pre-open for i = 1, 2. Let *f:* $X_1\times X_2 \to Y_1\times Y_2$ be defined as $f(x_1, x_2) = (f_1(x_1), f_2(x_2))$. Then *f:* $X_1\times X_2 \to Y_1\times Y_2$ is [almost-] slightly pre-open.

Proof: Let $U_1\times U_2\subset X_1\times X_2$ where U_i is r-clopen in X_i for i = 1, 2. Then $f(U_1\times U_2) = f_1(U_1) \times f_2(U_2)$ a open set in $Y_1\times Y_2$. Thus $f(U_1\times U_2)$ is open and hence *f* is [almost-]slightly pre-open.

Corollary 4.7: If f_i: $X_i\to Y_i$ be [almost-] slightly open for i = 1, 2. Let *f:* $X_1\times X_2\to Y_1\times Y_2$ be defined as $f(x_1, x_2) = (f_1(x_1), f_2(x_2))$. Then *f:* $X_1\times X_2\to Y_1\times Y_2$ is [almost-] slightly pre-open.

Theorem 4.17: Let h:$X\to X_1\times X_2$ be [almost-] slightly pre-open. Let f_i: $X\to X_i$ be defined as $h(x) = (x_1, x_2)$ and $f_i(x) = x_i$. Then f_i: $X\to X_i$ is [almost-] slightly pre-open for i = 1, 2.

Proof: Let U_1 be r-clopen in X_1, then $U_1 x X_2$ is r-clopen in $X_1 x X_2$, and $h(U_1 x X_2)$ is open in X. But $f_1(U_1) = h(U_1 x X_2)$, therefore f_1 is [almost-]slightly pre-open. Similarly we can show that f_2 is [almost-] slightly pre-open and thus f_i: $X \to X_i$ is [almost-] slightly pre-open for i = 1, 2.

Corollary 4.8: Let h: $X \to X_1\times X_2$ be [almost-] slightly open. Let f_i: $X \to X_i$ be defined as $h(x) = (x_1, x_2)$ and $f_i(x) = x_i$. Then f_i: $X \to X_i$ is [almost-] slightly pre-open for i = 1, 2.

Definition 4.2: A function *f* is said to be almost somewhat pre-open provided that if $U\in RO(\tau)$ and $U\neq \varphi$, then there exists a non-empty pre-open set V in Y such that $V\subset f(U)$.

Example 4.3: Let X = {a, b, c}, τ = {φ, {a}, X} and σ = {φ, {a}, {b, c}, X}. The function *f* defined by *f*(a) = a, *f*(b) = c and *f*(c) = b is almost somewhat open and almost somewhat pre-open.

Example 4.4: Let X = {a, b, c}, τ = {φ, {a}, {b, c}, X} and σ = {φ, {a}, {b}, {a, b}, X}. The function *f* defined by *f*(a) = c, *f*(b) = a and *f*(c) = b is not almost somewhat pre-open.

Theorem 4.18: Let *f* be an r-open function and *g* almost somewhat pre-open. Then *g• f* is almost somewhat pre-open.

Theorem 4.19: For a bijective function *f*, the following are equivalent:

(i) *f* is almost somewhat pre-open.
(ii) If C is an r-closed subset of X, such that $f(C) \neq Y$, then there is a pre-closed subset D of Y such that $D\neq Y$ and $D\supset f(C)$.

Proof: (i) ⇒(ii): Let C be any r-closed subset of X such that $f(C) \neq Y$. Then X-C is r-open in X and $X-C \neq \varphi$. Since *f* is almost somewhat pre-open, there exists a pre-open set $V\neq \varphi$ in Y such that $V\subset f(X-C)$. Put D = Y-V. Clearly D is pre-closed in Y and we claim $D\neq Y$. If D = Y, then V = φ, which is a contradiction. Since $V\subset f(X-C)$, $D = Y-V \supset (Y- f(X-C)) = f(C)$.

(ii) ⇒(i): For $U\neq \varphi$ an r-open in X, $C = X\text{-}U$ is r-closed in X and $f(X\text{-}U) = f(C) = Y\text{-} f(U)$ implies $f(C) \neq Y$. Therefore, by (ii), there is a pre-closed set D of Y such that $D\neq Y$ and $f(C) \subset D$. Clearly $V = Y\text{-}D$ is a pre-open set and $V\neq \varphi$. Also, $V = Y\text{-}D \subset Y\text{-} f(C) = Y\text{-} f(X\text{-}U) = f(U)$.

Theorem 4.20: The following statements are equivalent:
(i) f is almost somewhat pre-open.
(ii) If A is a dense subset of Y, then $f^{-1}(A)$ is a dense subset of X.

Proof: (i) ⇒(ii): If A is dense set in Y. If $f^{-1}(A)$ is not dense in X, then there exists a r-closed set B in X such that $f^{-1}(A) \subset B\subset X$. Since f is almost somewhat pre-open and X-B is open, there exists a nonempty pre-open set C in Y such that $C\subset f(X\text{-}B)$. Therefore, $C\subset f(X\text{-}B) \subset f(f^{-1}(Y\text{-}A)) \subset Y\text{-}A$. That is, $A\subset Y\text{-}C \subset Y$. Now, Y-C is a pre-closed set and $A\subset Y\text{-}C \subset Y$. This implies that A is not a dense set in Y, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $f^{-1}(A)$ is a dense set in X.

(ii) ⇒(i): If $A\neq \varphi$ is an r-open set in X. We want to show that $(f(A))^o\neq \varphi$. Suppose $(f(A))^o = \varphi$. Then, $cl(f(A)) = Y$. By (ii), $f^{-1}(Y - f(A))$ is dense in X. But $f^{-1}(Y\text{-} f(A)) \subset X\text{-}A$. Now, X-A is r-closed. Therefore, $f^{-1}(Y\text{-} f(A)) \subset X\text{-}A$ gives $X = cl(f^{-1}(Y\text{-} f(A))) \subset X\text{-}A$. This implies that $A = \varphi$, which is contrary to $A\neq \varphi$. Therefore, $(f(A))^o\neq \varphi$. Hence f is almost somewhat pre-open.

Theorem 4.21: Let f be almost somewhat pre-open and A be any r-open subset of X. Then $f_{/A}:(A;\tau_{/A}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is almost somewhat pre-open.

Proof: Let $U\in RO(\tau_{/A})$ such that $U \neq \varphi$. Since $U\in RO(\tau_{/A})$; $A\in RO(X)$; $U\in RO(X)$ and f is almost somewhat pre-open, $\exists\ V\in PO(Y)$, such that $V\subset f(U)$. Thus $f_{/A}$ is almost somewhat pre-open.

Theorem 4.22: Let f be a function and $X = A\cup B$, where $A, B\in\tau(X)$. If the restriction functions $f_{/A}$ and $f_{/B}$ are almost somewhat pre-open, then f is almost somewhat pre-open.

Proof: Let U be any r-open subset of X such that $U\neq \varphi$. Since $X = A\cup B$, either $A\cap U \neq \varphi$ or $B\cap U \neq \varphi$ or both $A\cap U \neq \varphi$ and $B\cap U \neq \varphi$. Since U is open in X, U is open in both A and B.

Case (i): If $A\cap U \neq \varphi$, where $U\cap A\in RO(\tau_{/A})$. Since $f_{/A}$ is almost somewhat pre-open, $\exists\ V\in PO(Y)$ such that $V\subset f(U\cap A) \subset f(U)$, which implies that f is almost somewhat pre-open.

Case (ii): If $B\cap U \neq \varphi$, where $U\cap B\in RO(\tau_{/B})$. Since $f_{/B}$ is almost somewhat pre-open, $\exists\ V\in PO(Y)$ such that $V\subset f(U\cap B) \subset f(U)$, which implies that f is almost somewhat pre-open.

Case (iii): If both $A\cap U \neq \varphi$ and $B\cap U \neq \varphi$. Then by cases (i) and (ii) f is almost somewhat pre-open.

Remark 4: Two topologies τ and σ for X are said to be pre-equivalent if and only if the identity function f: $(X, \tau)\rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is almost somewhat pre-open in both directions.

Theorem 4.23: Let f: $(X,\tau)\rightarrow (Y,\sigma)$ be a almost somewhat almost pre-open function. Let τ^* and σ^* be topologies for X and Y, respectively such that τ^* is pre-equivalent to τ and σ^* is pre-equivalent to σ. Then f: $(X; \tau^*)\rightarrow (Y; \sigma^*)$ is almost somewhat pre-open.

5. SLIGHTLY PRE-CLOSED MAPPINGS AND ALMOST SLIGHTLY PRE-CLOSED MAPPINGS

Definition 5.1: A function f: $X\rightarrow Y$ is said to be
(i) slightly pre-closed if image of every clopen set in X is pre-closed in Y
(ii) almost slightly pre-closed if image of every regular-clopen set in X is pre-closed in Y

Note 7:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{slightly-closed map} & \rightarrow & \text{slightly pre-closed.} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{almost slightly-closed map} & \rightarrow & \text{almost slightly pre-closed.} \end{array}$$

Example 4.1: Let $X = Y = \{a, b, c\}$; $\tau = \{\varphi, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$; $\sigma = \{\varphi, \{a, c\}, Y\}$. Let $f: X\rightarrow Y$ be defined $f(a) = c$, $f(b) = b$ and $f(c) = a$. Then f is slightly closed, slightly pre-closed, slightly semi-closed, almost slightly closed, almost slightly semi-closed, and almost slightly pre-closed.

Example 4.2: Let $X = Y = \{a, b, c\}$; $\tau = \{\varphi, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$; $\sigma = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, Y\}$. Let $f: X \to Y$ be defined $f(a) = c$, $f(b) = a$ and $f(c) = b$. Then f is not slightly closed, slightly pre-closed, slightly semi-closed, almost slightly closed, almost slightly semi-closed, and almost slightly pre-closed.

Note 8:
(i) If RαC(Y) = PC(Y), then f is [almost-] slightly rα-closed iff f is [almost-] slightly pre-closed.
(ii) If PC(Y) = RC(Y), then f is [almost-] slightly-r-closed iff f is [almost-] slightly pre-closed.
(iii) If PC(Y) = αC(Y), then f is [almost-] slightly α-closed iff f is [almost-] slightly pre-closed.

Theorem 5.1:
(i) If f is [almost-] slightly closed and g is pre-closed[r-closed] then $g \bullet f$ is slightly pre-closed
(ii) If f is [almost-] slightly pre-closed and g is M-pre-closed [M-r-closed] then $g \bullet f$ is slightly pre-closed

Proof: Let A be clopen[regular clopen] set in X $\Rightarrow f(A)$ is closed in Y $\Rightarrow g(f(A)) = g\bullet f(A)$ is pre-closed in Z. Hence $g\bullet f$ is [almost-] slightly pre-closed.

Theorem 5.2: If f and g are r-closed then $g\bullet f$ is [almost-] slightly pre-closed

Proof: Let A be clopen[r-clopen] set in X $\Rightarrow f(A)$ is r-closed and so closed in Y $\Rightarrow g(f(A))$ is r-closed in Z
$\Rightarrow g(f(A)) = g\bullet f(A)$ is closed in Z. Hence $g\bullet f$ is [almost-] slightly pre-closed.

Theorem 5.3: If f is almost slightly-r-closed and g is [almost-] pre-closed then $g\bullet f$ is [almost-] slightly pre-closed

Corollary 5.1:
(i) If f is almost slightly-closed and g is closed[r-closed] then $g\bullet f$ is [almost-] slightly pre-closed.
(ii) If f and g are almost slightly-r-closed then $g\bullet f$ is [almost-] slightly pre-closed.
(iii) If f is almost slightly-r-closed and g is [almost-] pre-closed then $g\bullet f$ is [almost-] slightly pre-closed.

Theorem 5.4: If f is [almost-] slightly pre-closed, then pcl($\{f(A)\}$)$\subset f(\text{cl}\{A\})$

Proof: Let A$\subset$ X and f is slightly pre-closed gives $f(\text{cl}\{A\})$ is pre-closed in Y and $f(A) \subset f(\text{cl}\{A\})$ which in turn gives pcl($\{f(A)\}$) $\subset$ pcl$\{(f(\text{cl}\{A\}))\}$ (1)

Since $f(\text{cl}\{A\})$ is pre-closed in Y, pcl$\{(f(\text{cl}\{A\}))\} = f(\text{cl}\{A\})$ (2)

From (1) and (2) we have (pcl$\{f(A)\}$) $\subset$ ($f(\text{cl}\{A\})$) for every subset A of X.

Remark 5: converse is not true in general.

Theorem 5.5: If f is slightly pre-closed and A$\subset$ X is r-closed, then $f(A)$ is τ_{p}-closed in Y.

Proof: Let A$\subset$X and f is slightly pre-closed implies (pcl$\{f(A)\}$) $\subset f(\text{cl}\{A\})$ which in turn implies (pcl$\{f(A)\}$) $\subset f(A)$, since $f(A) = f(\text{cl}\{A\})$. But $f(A) \subset$ (pcl$\{f(A)\}$). Combining we get $f(A)$ = (pcl$\{f(A)\}$). Hence $f(A)$ is τ_{p}-closed in Y.

Corollary 5.2:
(i) If f is [almost-] slightly r-closed, then pcl($\{f(A)\}$)$\subset f(\text{cl}\{A\})$
(ii) If f is [almost-] slightly r-closed, then $f(A)$ is closed in Y if A is r-closed set in X.
(iii) If f is almost slightly pre-closed and A$\subset$ X is r-closed, then $f(A)$ is τ_s-closed in Y.

Theorem 5.6: If (pcl$\{A\}$) = r(cl$\{A\}$) for every A$\subset$ Y, then the following are equivalent:
(i) f is [almost-]slightly pre-closed map
(ii) pcl($f(A)$) $\subset f(\text{cl}(A))$

Proof: (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) follows from theorem 5.4

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let A be any r-closed set in X, then $f(A) = f(\text{cl}\{A\}) \supset$ (pcl$\{f(A)\}$) by hypothesis. We have $f(A) \subset$ (pcl$\{f(A)\}$). Combining we get $f(A)$ = (pcl$\{f(A)\}$) = r(cl$\{f(A)\}$)[by given condition] which implies $f(A)$ is r-closed and hence closed. Thus f is slightly pre-closed.

Theorem 5.7: f is [almost-]slightly pre-closed iff for each subset S of Y and each r-clopen set U containing $f^{-1}(S)$, there is a pre-closed set V of Y such that S $\subset$ V and $f^{-1}(V) \subset$ U.

Remark 6: composition of two [almost-] slightly pre-closed maps is not [almost-] slightly pre-closed in general

Theorem 5.8: Let X, Y, Z be topological spaces and every closed set is *r*-clopen in Y, then the composition of two [almost-] slightly pre-closed maps is [almost-] slightly pre-closed.

Proof: Let A be r-clopen in X $\Rightarrow$ *f*(A) is closed and so *r*-clopen in Y[by assumption] $\Rightarrow$ *g*(*f*(A)) = *g*•*f*(A) is closed in Z. Hence *g*•*f* is almost slightly pre-closed.

Theorem 5.9: If *f* is [almost-] slightly g-closed; *g* is closed[*r*-closed] and Y is $T_{½}$[*r*-$T_{½}$], then *g*•*f* is [almost-] slightly pre-closed.

Proof:(i) Let A be r-clopen in X $\Rightarrow$ A be clopen in X $\Rightarrow$ *f*(A) is g-closed in Y $\Rightarrow$ *f*(A) is closed in Y[since Y is $T_{½}$] $\Rightarrow$ *g*(*f*(A)) = *g*•*f*(A) is closed in Z. Hence *g*•*f* is [almost-] slightly pre-closed.

Corollary 5.3:
(i) If *f* is [almost-] slightly g-closed; *g* is closed[*r*-closed] and Y is $T_{½}$[*r*-$T_{½}$] then *g*•*f* is [almost-] slightly pre-closed.
(ii) If *f* is [almost-] slightly g-closed; *g* is [almost-] pre-closed [[almost-]*r*-closed] and Y is $T_{½}$[*r*-$T_{½}$] then *g*•*f* is [almost-]slightly pre-closed.

Theorem 5.10: If *f* is [almost-] slightly rg-closed; *g* is closed[*r*-closed] and Y is *r*-$T_{½}$, then *g*•*f* is [almost-] slightly pre-closed.

Proof: Let A be r-clopen in X $\Rightarrow$ A be clopen in X $\Rightarrow$ *f*(A) is rg-closed and so *r*-closed in Y[since Y is *r*-$T_{½}$] $\Rightarrow$ *g*(*f*(A)) = *g*•*f*(A) is closed in Z. Hence *g*•*f* is almost slightly pre-closed.

Theorem 5.11: If *f* is [almost-] slightly rg-closed; *g* is [almost-] pre-closed [[almost-]*r*-closed] and Y is *r*-$T_{½}$, then *g*•*f* is [almost-]slightly pre-closed.

Proof: Let A be r-clopen in X $\Rightarrow$ A be clopen in X $\Rightarrow$ *f*(A) is rg-closed and so *r*-closed in Y[since Y is *r*-$T_{½}$] $\Rightarrow$ *g*(*f*(A)) = *g*•*f*(A) is closed in Z. Hence *g*•*f* is almost slightly pre-closed.

Corollary 5.4:
(i) If *f* is [almost-] slightly rg-closed; *g* is closed[*r*-closed] and Y is *r*-$T_{½}$, then *g*•*f* is [almost-]slightly pre-closed.
(ii) If *f* is [almost-] slightly rg-closed; *g* is [almost-]pre-closed[[almost-]*r*-closed] and Y is *r*-$T_{½}$, then *g*•*f* is [almost-]slightly pre-closed.

Theorem 5.12: If *f*; *g* be two mappings such that *g*•*f* is [almost-] slightly pre-closed[[almost-] slightly *r*-closed]. Then the following are true
(i) If *f* is continuous[*r*-continuous] and surjective, then *g* is [almost-] slightly pre-closed
(ii) If *f* is g-continuous, surjective and X is $T_{½}$, then *g* is [almost-] slightly pre-closed
(iii)If *f* is rg-continuous, surjective and X is *r*-$T_{½}$, then *g* is [almost-] slightly pre-closed

Proof: Let A be regular clopen in Y $\Rightarrow$ A be clopen in Y $\Rightarrow$ f^{-1}(A) is closed in X $\Rightarrow$ *g*•*f*(f^{-1}(A)) = *g*(A) is closed in Z. Hence *g* is almost slightly pre-closed.

Similarly we can prove the remaining parts and so omitted.

Corollary 5.5: If *f*; *g* be two mappings such that *g*•*f* is [almost-] slightly pre-closed [[almost-] slightly *r*-closed]. Then the following are true
(i) If *f* is continuous[*r*-continuous] and surjective, then *g* is [almost-] slightly pre-closed.
(ii) If *f* is g-continuous, surjective and X is $T_{½}$, then *g* is [almost-] slightly pre-closed.
(iii) If *f* is rg-continuous, surjective and X is *r*-$T_{½}$, then *g* is [almost-] slightly pre-closed.

Theorem 5.13: If X is regular, *f* is *r*-closed, nearly-continuous, closed surjection and $\bar{A}$ = A for every closed[*r*-closed] set in Y, then Y is regular.

Theorem 5.14: If *f* is [almost-] slightly pre-closed and A is r-clopen[clopen] set of X, then f_A is [almost-]slightly pre-closed.

Proof: For F, *r*-closed in A, Then F = A$\cap$ E is *r*-closed in X for some *r*-closed set E of X which implies *f*(A) is closed in Y. But *f*(F) = f_A(F). Therefore f_A is [almost-] slightly pre-closed.

Theorem 5.15: If f is [almost-] slightly pre-closed, X is $T_{½}$ and A is g-closed set of X, then f_A is [almost-] slightly pre-closed.

Corollary 5.6: If f is [almost-] slightly-closed, X is $T_{½}$ and A is g-closed set of X, then f_A is [almost-] slightly pre-closed.

Theorem 5.16: If f_i: $X_i \to Y_i$ be [almost-] slightly pre-closed for i = 1, 2. Let f: $X_1 \times X_2 \to Y_1 \times Y_2$ be defined as $f(x_1, x_2) = (f_1(x_1), f_2(x_2))$. Then f: $X_1 \times X_2 \to Y_1 \times Y_2$ is [almost-] slightly pre-closed.

Proof: Let $U_1 \times U_2 \subset X_1 \times X_2$ where $U_i \in RCO(X_i)$ for i = 1, 2. Then $f(U_1 \times U_2) = f_1(U_1) \times f_2(U_2)$ a closed set in $Y_1 \times Y_2$. Thus $f(U_1 \times U_2)$ is closed and hence f is [almost-]slightly pre-closed.

Corollary 5.7: If f_i:$X_i \to Y_i$ be [almost-]slightly pre-closed for i = 1, 2. Let f:$X_1 \times X_2 \to Y_1 \times Y_2$ be defined as $f(x_1, x_2) = (f_1(x_1), f_2(x_2))$. Then f:$X_1 \times X_2 \to Y_1 \times Y_2$ is [almost-]slightly pre-closed.

Theorem 5.17: Let h:$X \to X_1 \times X_2$ be [almost-]slightly pre-closed. Let f_i: $X \to X_i$ be defined as $h(x) = (x_1, x_2)$ and $f_i(x) = x_i$. Then f_i: $X \to X_i$ is [almost-]slightly pre-closed for i = 1, 2.

Proof: Let U_1 be r-clopen in X_1, then $U_1 x X_2$ is r-clopen in $X_1 x X_2$, and $h(U_1 x X_2)$ is closed in X. But $f_1(U_1) = h(U_1 x X_2)$, therefore f_1 is [almost-]slightly pre-closed. Similarly we can show that f_2 is [almost-] slightly pre-closed and thus f_i: $X \to X_i$ is [almost-]slightly pre-closed for i = 1, 2.

Corollary 5.8: Let h: $X \to X_1 \times X_2$ be [almost-] slightly pre-closed. Let f_i: $X \to X_i$ be defined as $h(x) = (x_1, x_2)$ and $f_i(x) = x_i$. Then f_i: $X \to X_i$ is [almost-] slightly pre-closed for i = 1, 2.

6. COVERING AND SEPARATION PROPERTIES OF al.sl.p.c. and al.swt.p.c. FUNCTIONS

Theorem 6.1: If f is al.sl.p.c.[resp**:** al.sl.r.c] surjection and X is pre-compact, then Y is compact.

Proof: Let $\{G_i : i \in I\}$ be any r-clopen cover for Y. Then each G_i is r-clopen in Y and f is al.sl.p.c., $f^{-1}(G_i)$ is pre-open in X. Thus $\{f^{-1}(G_i)\}$ forms a pre-open cover for X with a finite subcover, since X is pre-compact. Since f is surjection, $Y = f(X) = \cup^{n}_{i=1} G_i$. Therefore Y is compact.

Theorem 6.2: If f is al.sl.p.c., surjection and X is pre-compact[pre-Lindeloff] then Y is mildly compact[mildly lindeloff].

Proof: Let $\{U_i : i \in I\}$ be r-clopen cover for Y. For each x in X, $\exists$ $\alpha_x \in I$ such that $f(x) \in U_{\alpha x}$ and $\exists$ $V_x \in PO(X, x) \ni f(V_x) \subset U_{\alpha x}$. Since $\{V_i : i \in I\}$ is a pre-open cover of X, $\exists$ a finite subset I_0 of I such that $X \subset \{V_x : x \in I_0\}$. Thus $Y \subset \cup\{f(V_x) : x \in I_0\} \subset \cup\{U_{\alpha x} : x \in I_0\}$. Hence Y is mildly compact.

Corollary 6.1:
(i) If f is al.sl.r.c. surjection and X is pre-compact, then Y is compact.
(ii) If f is al.sl.p.c.[resp**:** al.sl.r.c] surjection and X is locally pre-compact{resp**:** pre-Lindeloff; locally pre-Lindeloff}, then Y is locally compact{resp**:** Lindeloff; locally lindeloff; locally mildly compact; locally mildly lindeloff}.
(iii) If f is al.sl.p.c., [resp**:** al.sl.r.c] surjection and X is pre-compact[pre-lindeloff] then Y is mildly compact[mildly lindeloff].

Theorem 6.3: If f is al.sl.p.c., surjection and X is s-closed then Y is mildly compact[mildly lindeloff].

Proof: Let $\{V_i : V_i \in RCO(Y); i \in I\}$ be a cover of Y, then $\{f^{-1}(V_i) : i \in I\}$ is pre-open cover of X and so there is finite subset I_0 of I, such that $\{f^{-1}(V_i) : i \in I_0\}$ covers X. Therefore $\{V_i : i \in I_0\}$ covers Y since f is surjection. Hence Y is mildly compact.

Theorem 6.4: If f is al.sl.p.c., [al.sl.r.c.] surjection and X is pre-connected, then Y is connected.

Proof: If Y is disconnected, then $Y = A \cup B$ where A and B are disjoint r-clopen sets in Y. Since f is al.sl.p.c. surjection, $X = f^{-1}(Y) = f^{-1}(A) \cup f^{-1}(B)$ where $f^{-1}(A)$ $f^{-1}(B)$ are disjoint pre-open sets in X, which is a contradiction for X is pre-connected. Hence Y is connected.

Corollary 6.2:
(i) If f is al.sl.c[resp**:** al.sl.r.c.] surjection and X is s-closed then Y is mildly compact[mildly lindeloff].
(ii) The inverse image of a disconnected space under a al.sl.p.c.,[resp**:** al.sl.r.c.] surjection is pre-disconnected.

Theorem 6.5: If f is al.sl.p.c.[resp**:** al.sl.r.c.], injection and Y is UrT_i, then X is pT_i i = 0, 1, 2.

Proof: Let $x_1 \neq x_2 \in$ X. Then $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2) \in Y$ since f is injective. For Y is UrT_2 $\exists$ $V_j \in RCO(Y)$ such that $f(x_j) \in V_j$ and $\cap V_j = \phi$ for j = 1,2. By Theorem 3.1, $x_j \in f^{-1}(V_j) \in PO(X)$ for j = 1,2 and $\cap f^{-1}(V_j) = \phi$ for j = 1,2. Thus X is pT_2.

Theorem 6.6: If f is al.sl.p.c.[al.sl.r.c.] injection; r-closed and Y is UrT_i, then X is pT_i i = 3, 4.

Proof:
(i) Let x in X and F be disjoint r-closed subset of X not containing x, then f(x) and f(F) be disjoint r-closed subset of Y not containing f(x), since f is r-closed and injection. Since Y is ultraregular, f(x) and f(F) are separated by disjoint r-clopen sets U and V respectively. Hence $x \in f^{-1}(U)$; $F \subseteq f^{-1}(V)$, $f^{-1}(U)$; $f^{-1}(V) \in PO(X)$ and $f^{-1}(U) \cap f^{-1}(V) = \phi$. Thus X is pT_3.
(ii) Let F_j and $f(F_j)$ are disjoint r-closed sets in X and Y respectively for j = 1,2, since f is r-closed and injection. For Y is ultranormal, $f(F_j)$ are separated by disjoint r-clopen sets V_j respectively for j = 1,2. Hence $F_j \subseteq f^{-1}(V_j)$ and $f^{-1}(V_j) \in PO(X)$ and $\cap f^{-1}(V_j) = \phi$ for j = 1,2. Thus X is pT_4.

Theorem 6.7: If f is al.sl.p.c.[resp**:** al.sl.r.c.], injection and
(i) Y is UrC_i[resp**:** UrD_i] then X is pC_i[resp**:** pD_i] i = 0, 1, 2.
(ii)Y is UrR_i, then X is pR_i i = 0, 1.

Theorem 6.8: If f is al.sl.p.c.[al.sl.r.c] and Y is UrT_2, then the graph G(f) is pre-closed in X×Y.

Proof: Let $(x_1, x_2) \notin G(f)$ implies $y \neq f(x)$ implies $\exists$ disjoint V; $W \in RCO(Y)$ such that $f(x) \in V$ and $y \in W$. Since f is al.sl.p.c., $\exists$ $U \in PO(X)$ such that $x \in U$ and $f(U) \subset W$ and $(x, y) \in U \times V \subset X \times Y - G(f)$. Hence G($f$) is pre-closed in X×Y.

Theorem 6.9: If f is al.sl.p.c.[al.sl.r.c] and Y is UrT_2, then $A = \{(x_1, x_2) | f(x_1) = f(x_2)\}$ is pre-closed in X×X.

Proof: If $(x_1, x_2) \in X \times X - A$, then $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$ implies $\exists$ disjoint $V_j \in RCO(Y)$ such that $f(x_j) \in V_j$, and since f is al.sl.p.c., $f^{-1}(V_j) \in PO(X, x_j)$ for j = 1,2. Thus $(x_1, x_2) \in f^{-1}(V_1) \times f^{-1}(V_2) \in PO(X \times X)$ and $f^{-1}(V_1) \times f^{-1}(V_2) \subset X \times X - A$. Hence A is pre-closed.

Theorem 6.10: If f is al.sl.r.c.[resp**:** al.sl.p.c.]; g is al.sl.c[resp**:** al.sl.r.c]; and Y is UrT_2, then E = {x in X **:** $f(x) = g(x)$} is pre-closed in X.

We have the following consequences of theorems 6.1 to 6.10:

Theorem 6.11: If f is al.swt.p.c.[al.swt.r.c] surjection and X is pre-compact, then Y is compact.

Theorem 6.12: If f is al.swt.p.c., surjection and X is pre-compact[pre-Lindeloff] then Y is mildly compact[mildly lindeloff].

Corollary 6.3:
(i) If f is al.swt.r.c. surjection and X is pre-compact, then Y is compact.
(ii) If f is al.swt.p.c.[resp**:** al.swt.r.c] surjection and X is pre-compact[pre-Lindeloff] then Y is mildly compact[mildly lindeloff].
(iii) If f is al.swt.p.c.[resp**:** al.swt.r.c] surjection and X is locally pre-compact{resp**:** pre-Lindeloff; locally pre-Lindeloff}, then Y is locally compact{resp**:** Lindeloff; locally lindeloff; locally mildly compact; locally mildly lindeloff }.

Theorem 6.13: If f is al.swt.p.c., surjection and X is s-closed then Y is mildly compact[mildly lindeloff].

Theorem 6.14: If f is al.swt.p.c.,[al.swt.r.c.] surjection and X is pre-connected, then Y is connected.

Corollary 6.4:
(i) If f is al.swt.c[resp**:** al.swt.r.c.] surjection and X is s-closed then Y is mildly compact[mildly lindeloff].
(ii)The inverse image of a disconnected space under an al.swt.p.c.,[resp**:** al.swt.r.c.;] surjection is pre-disconnected.

Theorem 6.15:
(i) If *f* is al.swt.p.c.[al.swt.r.c.], injection and Y is UrT_i, then X is pT_i i = 0, 1, 2.
(ii) If *f* is al.swt.p.c.[al.swt.r.c.] injection; r-closed and Y is UrT_i, then X is pT_i i = 3, 4.

Theorem 6.16: If *f* is al.swt.p.c.[resp**:** al.swt.r.c.;], injection and
(i) Y is UrC_i[resp**:** UrD_i] then X is pC_i[resp**:** pD_i] i = 0, 1, 2.
(ii)Y is UrR_i, then X is pR_i i = 0, 1.

Theorem 6.17: If *f* is al.swt.p.c. [resp**:** al.swt.r.c] and Y is UrT_2, then
(i) the graph G(*f*) is pre-closed in X×Y.
(ii) A = $\{(x_1, x_2) | f(x_1) = f(x_2)\}$ is pre-closed in X×X.

Theorem 6.18: If *f* is al.swt.r.c.[resp**:** al.swt.p.c.]; *g***:** X→ Y is al.swt.c[resp**:** al.swt.r.c]; and Y is UrT_2, then E = {x in X **:** *f*(x) = *g*(x)} is pre-closed in X.

CONCLUSION

In this paper we introduced the concept of almost slightly pre-continuous functions, almost somewhat pre-continuous functions, somewhat pre-open mappings, slightly pre-open mappings, almost slightly pre-open mappings, slightly pre-closed mappings, almost slightly pre-closed mappings, studied their basic properties and the interrelationship between other such maps.

REFERENCES

1. Abd El-Monsef. M.E., S.N.Eldeeb and R.A.Mahmoud, β-open sets and β−continuous mappings, Bull. Fac. Sci. Assiut. Chiv. A.12, no.1 (1983) 77-90.
2. Andreivic. D., β−open sets, Math. Vestnick.38 (1986)24-32.
3. Arse Nagli Uresin, Aynur kerkin, T.Noiri, slightly δ-precontinuous funtions, Commen, Fac. Sci. Univ. Ark. Series 41.56(2) (2007)1-9.
4. Arya. S. P., and M.P. Bhamini, Some weaker forms of semi-continuous functions, Ganita 33(1-2) (1982)124-134.
5. Baker. C.W., Slightly precontinuous funtions, Acta Math Hung, 94(1-6) (2002) 45-52.
6. Balasubramanian.S., and Vyjayanthi.P.A.S., Slightly *v*-continuous functions, JARPM.,4(1)(2012)100–112.
7. Balasubramanian.S., almost Slightly continuous functions, slightly-open and slightly-closed mappings, Indian Journal of Science, Vol.5, No.13(Oct 2013)29 – 36.
8. Balasubramanian.S., almost Slightly semi-continuous functions, slightly semi-open and slightly semi-closed mappings, Indian Journal of Engineering, Vol.5, No.13(Oct 2013)44 – 52.
9. Di.Maio. G., A separation axiom weaker than R_0, Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math.16 (1983)373-375.
10. Di.Maio. G., and T. Noiri, on s-closed spaces, Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math (11)226.
11. Dunham. W., $T_{1/2}$ Spaces, Kyungpook Math. J.17 (1977) 161-169.
12. K.R. Gentry, H.B. Hoyle. Somewhat continuous functions. Czechslovak Math.J., 1971, 21(96):5-12.
13. Maheswari. S.N., and R. Prasad, on R_0 spaces, Portugal Math.,34 (1975) 213-217.
14. Maheswari. S.N., and R. Prasad, some new separation axioms, Ann. Soc.Sci, Bruxelle, 89(1975)395-
15. Maheswari. S.N., and R. Prasad, on s-normal spaces, Bull. Math. Soc.Sci.R.S.Roumania, 22(70) (1978)27-
16. Mashhour. A.S., M.E.Abd El-Monsef and S.N.El-Deep, on precontinuous and weak precontinuous functions, Proc.Math.Phy.Soc.Egypt, 3, (1982) 47-53.
17. Mashhour. A.S., M.E.Abd El-Monsef and S.N.El-Deep, α-continuous and α-open mappings, Acta Math Hung. 41(3-4) (1983) 231-218.
18. Noiri. T., & G.I.Chae, A Note on slightly semi continuous functions Bull.Cal.Math.Soc 92(2) (2000) 87-92.
19. Noiri. T., Slightly β−continuous functions, Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci. 28(8) (2001) 469-478.
20. Nour. T.M., Slightly semi continuous functions Bull.Cal.Math.Soc 87, (1995) 187-190.
21. Singhal & Singhal, Almost continuous mappings, Yokohama J.Math.16, (1968) 63-73.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared

