

ON SUMS OF POLYNOMIAL CONJUGATE EP MATRICES

S. Krishnamoorthy* and G. Manikandan**

**Head of the Department of Mathematics, Ramanujan Research Centre,
Government Arts College (Autonomous), Kumbakonam, Tamil Nadu, India.*

***Lecturer in Mathematics, Ramanujan Research Centre,
Government Arts College (Autonomous), Kumbakonam, Tamil Nadu, India.*

(Received on: 26-11-13; Revised & Accepted on: 27-12-13)

ABSTRACT

Necessary and sufficient conditions are determined for a sum of polynomial con-EP matrices to be polynomial con-EP and it is shown that the sum and parallel sum of parallel summable polynomial con-EP matrices are polynomial con-EP.

Keywords: EP matrix, polynomial matrix, Generalized inverse.

Ams classification: 15A09, 15A15, 15A57.

INTRODUCTION

In this paper we shall study the question of when of polynomial conjugate EP (polynomial con-EP) matrices is polynomial con-EP. We give necessary and sufficient conditions for sum of polynomial con-EP matrices to be polynomial con-EP. We also show that sum and parallel sum of parallel summable (p.s) [7], polynomial con-EP matrices are polynomial con-EP. The results of this paper for polynomial con-EP matrices are analogous to that of EP matrices, studied in [4].

Throughout we shall deal with $n \times n$ complex polynomial matrices. An n -square matrix $A(\lambda)$ which is a polynomial in the scalar variable λ from a field C represented by $A(\lambda) = A_m \lambda^m + A_{m-1} \lambda^{m-1} + \dots + A_1 \lambda + A_0$ where the leading coefficient $A_m \neq 0$, A_i 's are square matrices in $V_{n \times n}$ is defined a polynomial matrix. Let \overline{A} , A^T , A^* and A^- denote the conjugate, transpose, conjugate transpose and generalized inverse ($A A^- A = A$) of A respectively. A^\dagger denotes the Moore-penrose inverse satisfying the following four equations: $AXA = A$, $XAX = X$, $(AX)^* = AX$ and $(XA)^* = XA$ of [7]. Any matrix A is called polynomial con-EP if $R(A) = R(A^T)$ or $N(A) = N(A^T)$ or $AA^\dagger = A^\dagger A$ and is called polynomial con-EP, if A is polynomial con-EP and $\text{rk}(A) = r$, where $N(A)$, $R(A)$ and $\text{rk}(A)$ denote the null space, range space and rank of A respectively [5]. Any two matrices A and B are said to be p.s. if $N(A+B) \subseteq N(B)$ and $N(A+B)^* \subseteq N(B)^*$ or equivalently $N(A+B) \subseteq N(A)$ and $N(A+B)^* \subseteq N(A)^*$. If A and B are p.s. then parallel sum of A and B denoted by $A:B$ and defined as $A:B = A(A+B)^-B$ of [7], if A and B are p.s. then the following hold [7]

- (1) $A:B = B:A$
- (2) A^* and B^* are p.s. and $(A:B)^* = A^* : B^*$
- (3) If U is nonsingular then UA and UB are p.s. and $UA:UB = U(A:B)$
- (4) $R(A:B) = R(A) \cap R(B)$
- (5) $(A:B):E = A:(B:E)$ if all the parallel sum operations involved are defined.

Corresponding author: G. Manikandan**

****Lecturer in Mathematics, Ramanujan Research Centre,
Government Arts College (Autonomous), Kumbakonam, Tamil Nadu, India.**

Let $M = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$ be an $n \times n$ matrix. Then the schur complement of A in M, denoted by M / A is defined as $D - CA^{-1}B$ [3]. For further properties of schur complements one may refer [1] and [2].

Theorem: 1 Let A_j ($i=1$ to n) be polynomial con-EP matrices. Then $A = \sum_{i=1}^n A_j$ is polynomial con-EP if any one of the following equivalent conditions hold.

(i) $N(A) \subseteq N(A_i)$ for each i .

(ii) $\text{rk} \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_3 \\ \vdots \\ A_n \end{bmatrix} = \text{rk}(A).$

Proof: Equivalence of (i) and (ii) is already proved in [4]. Since each A_i is polynomial con-EP $N(A_i) = N(A_i^T)$ for each i . $N(A) \subseteq N(A_i)$ for each i implies $N(A) \subseteq \bigcap N(A_i) = \bigcap N(A_i^T) = \bigcap N(A_i^T)$ and $\text{rk}(A) = \text{rk}(A^T)$. Hence $N(A) = N(A^T)$. Thus A is polynomial con-EP. Hence the Theorem.

Remark 1: In the above Theorem if A is nonsingular then the conditions hold automatically and A is polynomial con-EP. But, it fails if we relax the condition on the A_i 's.

Example 1: $A = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^2 & \lambda \\ \lambda & i \end{bmatrix}$ is polynomial con-EP, $B = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^3 & \lambda^2 + i \\ \lambda^2 & i \end{bmatrix}$ is not polynomial con-EP then $A+B$ is not polynomial con-EP. However, $N(A+B) \subseteq N(A)$ and $N(A+B) \subseteq N(B)$; $\text{rk} \begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix} = \text{rk}(A+B).$

Remark 2: If rank is additive, that is $\text{rk}(A) = \sum \text{rk}(A_i)$ then by Theorem 11 of [3], $R(A_i) \cap R(A_j) = \{0\}$, $i \neq j$, which implies $N(A) \subseteq N(A_i)$ for each i , hence A is polynomial con-EP. That the conditions given in Theorem 1 are weaker than the condition of rank additivity can be seen by the following example.

Example 2: Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^2 & \lambda \\ \lambda & i \end{bmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^3 & \lambda^2 \\ \lambda^2 & i \end{bmatrix}$. A, B and $A+B$ are polynomial con-EP₁ matrices. Conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1 hold. But $\text{rk}(A+B) \neq \text{rk}(A) + \text{rk}(B)$.

Theorem 2: Let A_i ($i=1$ to n) be polynomial con-EP₁ matrices such that $\sum_{i \neq j} (A_i)^* A_j = 0$. Then $A = \sum A_i$ is polynomial con-EP.

Proof: As in the proof of Theorem 2 in [6], Let $\sum_{i \neq j} (A_i)^* A_j = 0$ implies $N(A) \subseteq N(A_i)$ for each i . Since each A_i is polynomial con-EP, A is polynomial con-EP. By theorem 1 hence the theorem

Remark: 3

Theorem 2 fails if we relax the condition that A_i 's are polynomial con-EP. For instance

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i\lambda^2 & 0 \\ i\lambda^2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & i\lambda^2 & 0 \\ i\lambda^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i\lambda^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ are not polynomial con-EP, then } A+B \text{ is also not polynomial con-EP.}$$

However $B^* A + A^* B = 0$.

Remark: 4 The condition given in Theorem 2 implies those in Theorem 1, but not conversely. This can be seen by the following.

Example: 3 Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^2 & i \\ i & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^2 & \lambda \\ \lambda & i \end{bmatrix}$. A and B are polynomial con-EP matrices. $N(A+B) \subseteq N(A)$ and $N(B)$.

$$\text{But } A^T B + B^T A = \begin{bmatrix} 2\lambda^4 + 2\lambda i & \lambda^3 + \lambda^2(i+1) - 1 \\ \lambda^3 + \lambda^2(i-1) - 1 & 4\lambda i \end{bmatrix} \neq 0.$$

Remark: 5 We note that the conditions given in Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 are only sufficient for the sum of polynomial con-EP matrices to be polynomial con-EP. But not necessary and this is illustrated in the following.

Example: 4 Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^2 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^2 & \lambda \\ \lambda & i \end{bmatrix}$. A and B are con-EP₂. Neither the conditions in Theorem 1 nor in Theorem 2 hold. However $A+B$ is polynomial con-EP.

If A and B are polynomial con-EP matrices by Result 2.1 of [5]. We get $A^* = K_1 \overline{A}$, and $B^* = K_2 \overline{B}$, where K_1 and K_2 are nonsingular $n \times n$ matrices. If $K_1 = K_2$, then $A+B$ is polynomial con-EP. If $(K_1 - K_2)$ is nonsingular then the above conditions are also necessary for the sum of polynomial con-EP matrices to be polynomial con-EP. This is given in the following Theorem.

Theorem: 3 Let $A^* = K_1 \overline{A}$ and $B^* = K_2 \overline{B}$ such that $(K_1 - K_2)$ is a nonsingular matrix. Then $A+B$ is polynomial con-EP if and only if $N(A+B) \subseteq N(B)$.

Proof: $A^* = K_1 \overline{A}$ and $B^* = K_2 \overline{B}$ by Result 2.1 of [5] A and B are polynomial con-EP matrices. Since $N(A+B) \subseteq N(B)$ We can see that, $N(A+B) \subseteq N(A)$. Hence by Theorem 1, $A+B$ is polynomial con-EP.

Conversely, let us assume that $A+B$ is polynomial con-EP, then by Theorem 1 of [5], $A^* + B^* = (A+B)^* = G(\overline{A+B})$ for some $n \times n$ matrix G. Hence $K_1 \overline{A} + K_2 \overline{B} = G(\overline{A+B})$. This implies $K \overline{A} = H \overline{B}$, where $K = K_1 - G$ and $H = G - K_2$.

$(K+H) \overline{A} = H(\overline{A+B})$ and $(K+H) \overline{B} = K(\overline{A+B})$. By hypothesis, $K+H = K_1 - K_2$ is nonsingular. $N(\overline{A+B}) \subseteq N(H(\overline{A+B})) = N(K+H) \overline{A} = N(\overline{A})$, which implies $N(A+B) \subseteq N(A)$.

Similarly, $N(\overline{A+B}) \subseteq N(K(\overline{A+B})) = N(K+H) \overline{B} = N(\overline{B})$ implies $N(A+B) \subseteq N(B)$. Thus $A+B$ is polynomial con-EP implies, $N(A+B) \subseteq N(A)$ and $N(B)$. Hence the Theorem.

Remark 6: The condition $(K_1 - K_2)$ to be nonsingular is essential in Theorem 3. This is illustrated in the following.

Example 5: $A = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^2 & 0 \\ 0 & i\lambda^2 \end{bmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{bmatrix} i\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ are both symmetric, hence con-EP. Here $K_1 = K_2$ and

$A + B = \begin{bmatrix} i(\lambda^2 + \lambda) & 0 \\ 0 & i\lambda^2 \end{bmatrix}$ is polynomial con-EP. But $N(A+B) \not\subseteq N(A)$ or $N(B)$. Thus Theorem 3 fails.

Lemma: 1 Let A and B be polynomial con-EP matrices. Then A and B are p.s. if and only if $N(A+B) \subseteq N(A)$.

Proof: If A and B are p.s. then $N(A+B) \subseteq N(A)$ follows from definition.

Conversely, if $N(A+B) \subseteq N(A)$ then $N(A+B) \subseteq N(B)$. Since A and B are polynomial con-EP matrices by Theorem 1, A+B is polynomial con-EP.

Hence $N(A+B)^T = N(A+B) = N(A) \cap N(B) = N(A^T) \cap N(B^T)$ which implies, $N(A+B)^T = N(A^T) \cap N(B^T)$

Therefore, $N(A+B)^* \subseteq N(A)^*$ and $N(A+B)^* \subseteq N(B)^*$. By hypothesis $N(A+B) \subseteq N(A)$. Hence A and B are p.s.

In the following Theorem we show that sum and parallel sum of p.s. polynomial con-EP matrices is polynomial con-EP.

Theorem: 4 If A and B are p.s. polynomial con-EP matrices then A: B and A+B are polynomial con-EP.

Proof: Since A and B are p.s. polynomial con-EP matrices, by Lemma 1, $N(A+B) \subseteq N(A)$ and $N(A+B) \subseteq N(B)$. Now, the fact that (A+B) is polynomial con-EP follows from Theorem 1.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } R(A:B)^* &= R(A^*: B^*) && \text{(By (2))} \\ &= R(A^*) \cap R(B^*) && \text{(By (4))} \\ &= R(\overline{A}) \cap R(\overline{B}) && \text{(A and B are polynomial con-EP)} \\ &= R(\overline{A:B}) && \text{(By (4))} \\ &= R(\overline{A:B}) \end{aligned}$$

Which implies $\overline{(A:B)}$ is polynomial con-EP and hence A: B is polynomial con-EP. Thus A: B is polynomial con-EP whenever A and B are polynomial con-EP. Hence the Theorem.

Theorem: 5 Let A be polynomial con- EP_{r_1} and B be polynomial con- EP_{r_2} matrices of order n such that $N(A+B) \subseteq N(B)$. Then there exists a $2n \times 2n$ polynomial con- EP_r matrix M such that the schur complement of C in M is polynomial con-EP, where $r = r_1 + r_2$ and $C = A+B$.

Proof: Since A is polynomial con- EP_{r_1} and B is polynomial con- EP_{r_2} , by Result 2.1 of [5] there exist unitary matrices U and V of order n such that

$A = U^T D U$, and $B = V^T E V$, where

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} H & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, H \text{ is } r_1 \times r_1 \text{ nonsingular and}$$

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, H \text{ is } r_2 \times r_2 \text{ nonsingular.}$$

Let us define $P = \begin{bmatrix} V & 0 \\ U & I \end{bmatrix}$, P is nonsingular.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } P^T \begin{bmatrix} E & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix} P &= \begin{bmatrix} V^T & U^T \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V & 0 \\ U & I \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} V^T E V + U^T D U & U^T D \\ DU & D \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} A+B & U^T D \\ DU & D \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} C & AU^* \\ \bar{U}A & \bar{U}AU^* \end{bmatrix} = M. \end{aligned}$$

M is $2n \times 2n$ matrix and $\text{rk}(M) = \text{rk}(E) + \text{rk}(D) = r_1 + r_2 = r$.

Let us define $Q = \begin{bmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ \bar{U}A^\dagger A & I_n \end{bmatrix}$, Q is nonsingular.

Since A is polynomial con-EP $AA^\dagger = \overline{A^\dagger A}$ and by Result 2.2 of [5] $\bar{U}AU^*$ is polynomial con-EP.

We can write M as, $M = Q^T \begin{bmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{U}AU^* \end{bmatrix} Q$. Since B and $\bar{U}AU^*$ are polynomial con-EP, Q is nonsingular, M is polynomial con-EP. Since M is of rank r, M is polynomial con-EP_r. Thus we have proved the existence of the polynomial con-EP_r matrix M. Now $C=A+B$ is polynomial con-EP follows from Theorem 1. Since $N(C) \subseteq N(A) = N(\bar{U}A)$ and $N(C^*) \subseteq N(A^*) = N(AU^*)^*$. By the Lemma in [7], $A = AC^-C = CC^-A$ and $(\bar{U}A)C^-(AU^*)$ is invariant for all choice of C^- . The schur complement of C^\dagger in M is,

$$\begin{aligned} M/C &= \bar{U}AU^* - \bar{U}A C^- AU^* \\ &= \bar{U}AU^* - \bar{U}(A+B)C^-(AU^*) + \bar{U}BC^- AU^* \\ &= \bar{U}AU^* - \bar{U}CC^- AU^* + \bar{U}BC^- AU^* \\ &= \bar{U}AU^* - \bar{U}AU^* + \bar{U}BC^- AU^* \\ &= \bar{U}BC^- AU^* \\ &= \bar{U}(A:B)U^* \end{aligned}$$

Since A and B are polynomial con-EP, by Theorem 4, $A:B$ is polynomial con-EP. By Result 2.2 of [5], $M/C = \bar{U}(A:B)U^* = P(A:B)P^T$, where $P = \bar{U}$ is unitary, is also polynomial con-EP. Hence the Theorem.

Remark: 7 In a special case if A and B are polynomial con-EP matrices such that $A+B = I_n$ then $AB = A:B = B:A = BA$

is polynomial con-EP. However this fails if we relax the conditions on A and B. For instance, $A = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^3 & 0 \\ 0\lambda & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ is

polynomial con-EP₂ and $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ i\lambda & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is not polynomial con-EP. Here $AB=BA$ is not polynomial con-EP, however

$A+B = I_2$.

REFERENCE

1. F. Burns, D. Carlson, E. Haynsworth and Th. Markham, *Genaralized invese rse formulas using the Schur complement*, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 26(1974), P 254-59.
2. D. Carlson, E. Haynsworth and Th. Markham, *generalization of the Schur complement by means of moore penrose inverse* SIAM J. Appl. Math., 26(1974), 69-175.
3. G. Marsaglia and G.P.H. Styan, *Equalities and Inequalities for ranks of matrices*, Linear and Multilinear Alg., 2(1974), 269-92.
4. AR. Meenakshi, *On sums of EP matrices*, Houston Journal of Mathematics, 9, # 1(1983), 63-69.
5. AR. Meenakshi and R. Indira, *Conjugate EP_r factorization of a matrix*, Appear in the Mathematics Student, 61(1992), P 1-9,
6. AR. Meenakshi and R. Indira, *An conjugate EP matrices*, (periodica math., Hung).
7. C.R. Rao and S.K. Mitra, *Genaralized inverse of matrices and its applicationsss*, Wiley and Sons, New York, (1971).

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared