

## AN IDEAL BASED ZERO DIVISOR GRAPH OF GAMMA NEAR-RINGS

<sup>1</sup>R. Rajeswari, <sup>2</sup>N. Meena Kumari\* and <sup>3</sup>T. Tamizh Chelvam

<sup>1&2</sup>Department of Mathematics, A. P. C. Mahalaxmi College for Women, Thoothukudi, India.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli, India.

(Received on: 07-11-13; Revised & Accepted on: 08-12-13)

### ABSTRACT

In this paper, we study the notion of ideal based zero divisor graph structure of Gamma Near- ring  $M$  with respect to reflexive ideal  $I$  of  $M$  denoted by  $\Gamma_I(M)$  whose vertices are the set  $\{x \in M - I / \text{there exists } y \in M - I \text{ such that } x \Gamma y \subseteq I\}$  with distinct vertices  $x$  and  $y$  are adjacent if and only if  $x \Gamma y \subseteq I$ .

**Keywords:** ideal, graph, zero-divisor, diameter, cycle, Girth, clique.

### INTRODUCTION

The concept of a Gamma near –rings [9] was introduced by Satyanarayana and the ideal theory in Gamma near- rings was studied by Bh. Satyanarayana and G.L.Booth.

Let  $(M, +)$  be a group (not necessarily abelian) and  $\Gamma$  be a nonempty set. Then  $M$  is said to be a  $\Gamma$ - near ring if there exists a mapping  $M \times \Gamma \times M \rightarrow M$  (denote the image of  $(m_1, \alpha_1, m_2)$  by  $m_1 \alpha_1 m_2$  for  $m_1, m_2 \in M$  and  $\alpha_1 \in \Gamma$ ) satisfying the following conditions.

1.  $(m_1 + m_2) \alpha_1 m_3 = m_1 \alpha_1 m_3 + m_2 \alpha_1 m_3$  and
2.  $(m_1 \alpha_1 m_2) \alpha_2 m_3 = m_1 \alpha_1 (m_2 \alpha_2 m_3)$  for all  $m_1, m_2, m_3 \in M$  and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \Gamma$ .

Furthermore,  $M$  is said to be a zero symmetric  $\Gamma$ - near ring if  $m \alpha 0 = 0$  for all  $m \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  (where 0 is an additive identity in  $M$ .)

A normal subgroup  $L$  of  $M$  is called a left (resp right) ideal of  $M$  if  $u \alpha (x + v) - u \alpha v \in L$  (resp  $x \alpha u \in L$ ) for all  $x \in L, \alpha \in \Gamma$  and  $u, v \in M$ . A normal subgroup  $I$  of  $M$  is called an ideal if  $I$  is a both left and right ideal of  $M$ . An ideal  $I$  of  $M$  is said to be reflexive if  $ayb \in I \Rightarrow bya \in I$  for  $a, b \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . A proper ideal  $P$  of  $M$  is said to be prime if for any ideals  $A, B$  of  $M$  such that  $A \Gamma B \subseteq P$ , we have  $A \subseteq P$  or  $B \subseteq P$ . An ideal  $P$  is called completely prime if  $a \Gamma b \subseteq P$  implies  $a \in P$  or  $b \in P$ . It is clear that if  $I$  is a reflexive ideal of  $M$  then  $I$  is prime iff  $I$  is completely prime. For any two nonempty subsets  $A, B$  of  $M$ , we write the set  $(A : B) = \{m \in M / m \Gamma B \subseteq A\}$ . We denote by  $I(a)$  the ideal of  $M$  generated by  $a$ . In [3], Beck introduced the concept of a zero divisor graph of a commutative ring with identity, but this work was mostly concerned with coloring of rings. In [2], Anderson and Livingston associate to a commutative ring with identity a (simple) graph  $\Gamma(R)$ , whose vertex set is  $Z(R)^* = Z(R) - \{0\}$ , the set of non zero divisor of  $R$ , in which two distinct  $x, y \in Z(R)^*$  are joined by an edge if and only if  $xy = 0$ . They investigated the interplay between the ring theoretic properties of  $R$  and the graph theoretic properties of  $\Gamma(R)$ . Let  $I$  be a completely reflexive ideal (ie.,  $ab \in I$  implies  $ba \in I$  for  $a, b \in R$ ) then the ideal based zero divisor graph, denoted by  $\Gamma_I(R)$ , is the graph whose vertices are the set  $\{x \in R - I / x \Gamma y \in I \text{ for some } y \in R - I\}$  with distinct vertices  $x$  and  $y$  are adjacent if and only if  $x \gamma y \in I, \gamma \in \Gamma$ .

In this paper, we study the undirected graph  $\Gamma_I(M)$  of Gamma near rings for any completely reflexive ideal  $I$  of  $M$ . Throughout this paper  $M$  stands for a non zero Gamma near -ring with zero element and  $I$  is a completely reflexive ideal of  $M$ . For distinct vertices  $x$  and  $y$  of a Graph  $G$ , let  $d(x, y)$  be the length of the shortest path from  $x$  to  $y$ . The diameter of a connected graph is the supremum of the distances between vertices. For any graph  $G$ , the girth of  $G$  is the length of a shortest cycle in  $G$  and is denoted by  $gr(G)$ . If  $G$  has no cycle, we define the girth of  $G$  to be infinite. A clique of a graph is a maximal complete subgraph and the number of graph vertices in the largest clique or graph  $G$ , denoted by  $\omega(G)$  is called the clique number of  $G$ . A graph  $G$  is bipartite with vertex classes  $V_1, V_2$  if the set of all vertices of  $G$  is  $V_1 \cup V_2, V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$ , and edge of  $G$  joins a vertex from  $V_1$  to a vertex of  $V_2$ .

Corresponding author: <sup>2</sup>N. Meena Kumari\*

A complete bipartite graph is a bipartite graph containing all edges joining the vertices of  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ . A complete bipartite graph on vertex sets of size m and n is denoted by  $K^{m,n}$  for any positive integer,  $K^{1,n}$  is called a star graph.

**Theorem: 1**

- a) If  $I = (0)$  then  $\Gamma_I(M) = \Gamma(M)$   
b) Let  $I$  be a nonzero completely reflexive ideal of  $M$ . Then  $\Gamma_I(M) = \Phi$  if and only if  $I$  is a completely prime ideal of  $M$ .

**Proof:**

- a) Proof is Obivious.  
b) Suppose that  $I$  is a completely prime ideal of  $M$ . For  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , Then  $x \alpha y \in I \Rightarrow x \in I$  or  $y \in I$ . Hence the vertex set  $\Gamma_I(M)$  is empty.

Conversely suppose that  $\Gamma_I(M) = \Phi$ . Therefore if  $x \in M - I$  and  $x \alpha y \in I$ ,  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  for some  $y \in M$ . We must have  $y \in I$ . (otherwise  $x$  is a vertex of  $\Gamma_I(M)$ ). Hence  $I$  is a completely prime ideal of  $M$ .

**Theorem: 2** Let  $I$  be a completely reflexive ideal of a gamma near-ring  $M$ . Then  $\Gamma_I(M)$  is connected with  $\text{diam}(\Gamma_I(M)) \leq 3$ . Furthermore if  $\Gamma_I(M)$  contains a cycle, then  $\text{gr}(\Gamma_I(M)) \leq 7$ .

**Proof:** Let  $x$  and  $y$  be distinct vertices of  $\Gamma_I(M)$ . Then there exists  $z \in M - I$  and  $w \in M - I$  with  $x \Gamma z \subseteq I$  and  $w \Gamma y \subseteq I$ . If  $x \Gamma y \subseteq I$  then  $x - y$  is a path of length 1. If  $x \Gamma y \not\subseteq I$  and  $z \Gamma w \subseteq I$ , then  $x-z-w-y$  is a path of length 3. If  $x \Gamma y \not\subseteq I$  and  $z \Gamma w \not\subseteq I$  then there exists  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $x-z \gamma w-y$  is a path of length 2. Thus  $\Gamma_I(M)$  is connected and  $\text{diam}(\Gamma_I(M)) \leq 3$ .

For any undirected graph  $G$ ,  $\text{gr}(G) \leq 2\text{diam}(G) + 1$ , if  $G$  contains a cycle. Thus  $\text{gr}(G) \leq 2(3) + 1 = 7$ .

Therefore  $\text{gr}(\Gamma_I(M)) \leq 7$ .

**Theorem: 3** Let  $I$  be a completely reflexive ideal of  $M$ . For any  $x, y \in \Gamma_I(M)$ , if  $x-y$  is an edge in  $\Gamma_I(M)$ , then for each  $m \in M - I$ , either  $m-y$  or  $x-y'$  is an edge in  $\Gamma_I(M)$  for some  $y' \in \langle y \rangle - I$

**Proof:** Let  $x, y \in M - I$ , with  $x-y$  be an edge in  $\Gamma_I(M)$  and suppose that  $m-y$  is not an edge in  $\Gamma_I(M)$  for some  $m \in M - I$ . Then  $x_1 \Gamma y_1 \in I$  for some  $x_1 \in \langle x \rangle - I$ ,  $y_1 \in \langle y \rangle - I$  and  $m \Gamma y_1 \notin I$ . But  $m \Gamma y_1 \Gamma x_1 \in I$ . So  $x-y'$  is an edge in  $\Gamma_I(M)$  for some  $y' \in \langle y \rangle - I$

**Theorem: 4** Let  $I$  be a completely reflexive ideal of  $M$  and if  $a-x-b$  is a path in  $\Gamma_I(M)$ , then either  $I \cup \{x_1\}$  is an ideal of  $M$  for some  $x_1 \in \langle x \rangle - I$  or  $a-x-b$  is contained in a cycle of length  $\leq 4$ .

**Proof:** Let  $a-x-b$  be a path in  $\Gamma_I(M)$ . Then there exists  $x_1, x_2 \in \langle x \rangle - I$ ,  $a_1 \in \langle a \rangle - I$  and  $b_1 \in \langle b \rangle - I$  such that  $a_1 \Gamma x_1 \in I$  and  $b_1 \Gamma x_2 \in I$ . If  $a' \Gamma b' \in I$  for some  $a' \in \langle a \rangle - I$  and  $b' \in \langle b \rangle - I$ . Then  $a-x-b-a$  is contained in a cycle of length  $\leq 4$ . So let us assume that  $a_1 \Gamma b_1 \notin I$  for all  $a_1 \in \langle a \rangle - I$  and  $b_1 \in \langle b \rangle - I$

**Case: (i)** Let  $x_1 = x_2$  then either  $I_{a_1} \cap I_{b_1} = I \cup \{x_1\}$  or there exists  $c \in I_{a_1} \cap I_{b_1}$  such that  $c \notin I \cup \{x_1\}$ . Then  $c \Gamma a_1, c \Gamma b_1 \in I$ . In the first case,  $I \cup \{x_1\}$  is an ideal. In the second case  $a-x-b-c-a$  is contained in a cycle of length  $\leq 4$ .

**Case: (ii)** Let  $x_1 \neq x_2$ , then clearly  $\langle a_1 \rangle \cap \langle b_1 \rangle \not\subseteq I$ . Then for each  $z \in \langle a_1 \rangle \cap \langle b_1 \rangle - I$ . We have,  $z \Gamma x_1 \in \langle a_1 \rangle \cap \langle x_1 \rangle \subseteq I$  and  $z \Gamma x_2 \in I$ . Clearly either  $x_1 \neq x$  or  $x_2 \neq x$ . Say  $x_1 \neq x$ . Then we have a path  $a-x_1-b$  and hence  $a-x-b-x_1-a$  is contained in a cycle of length  $\leq 4$

**Theorem: 5** Let  $I$  be a completely reflexive ideal of  $M$ . Then  $\Gamma_I(M)$  can be neither a pentagon nor a hexagon

**Proof:** Suppose that  $\Gamma_I(M)$  is  $a-b-c-d-e-a$  a pentagon. Then by theorem:4, For one of the vertices say  $(b_1)$ ,  $I \cup \{b_1\}$  is an ideal of  $M$  for some  $b_1 \in \langle b \rangle - I$ . Then in the pentagon, there exists  $d_1 \in \langle d \rangle - I$  and  $e_1 \in \langle e \rangle - I$  such that  $d_1 \Gamma e_1 \subseteq I$ . Since  $I \cup \{b_1\}$  is an ideal,  $b_1 \gamma d_1 = b_1 = b_1 \gamma_1 e_1$  for some  $\gamma, \gamma_1 \in \Gamma$ . But  $b_1 \gamma (d_1 \gamma_1 e_1) \in I, \gamma_1 \in \Gamma$ . Then  $b_1 = b_1 \gamma_1 e_1 = (b_1 \gamma d_1) \gamma_1 e_1 = b_1 \gamma (d_1 \gamma_1 e_1) \in I$ . (ie),  $b_1 \in I$  which is a contradiction. The proof for the hexagon is the same

**Theorem: 6** Let  $I$  be an reflexive ideal of a Gamma near ring  $M$  and let  $x, y \in M - I$  Then

1. If  $x+I$  is adjacent to  $y+I$  in  $\Gamma\left(\frac{M}{I}\right)$  then  $x$  is adjacent to  $y$  in  $\Gamma_I(M)$
2. If  $x$  is adjacent to  $y$  in  $\Gamma_I(M)$  and  $x+I \neq y+I$  then  $x+I$  is adjacent to  $y+I$  in  $\Gamma\left(\frac{M}{I}\right)$
3. If  $x$  is adjacent to  $y$  in  $\Gamma_I(M)$  and  $x+I = y+I$  then  $x^2, y^2 \in I$

Clearly there is a strong relationship between  $\Gamma_I(M)$  and  $\Gamma\left(\frac{M}{I}\right)$

Let  $I$  be an ideal of a gamma near- ring  $M$ . One can verify that the following method can be used to construct a graph  $\Gamma_I(M)$ . Let  $\{a_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \subseteq R$  be a set of coset representatives of the vertices of  $\Gamma\left(\frac{M}{I}\right)$ . For each  $i \in I$ , define a graph  $G_i$  with vertices  $\{a_\lambda + i/\lambda \in \Lambda\}$  where edges are defined by the relationship  $a_\lambda + i$  is adjacent to  $a_\beta + i$  in  $G_i$  iff  $a_\lambda + I$  is adjacent to  $a_\beta + I$  in  $\Gamma\left(\frac{M}{I}\right)$  ((ie.,)  $a_\lambda \Gamma a_\beta \in I$ )

**Theorem: 7** Let  $I$  be a completely reflexive ideal of  $M$ . Then the following are hold

- If  $M$  has identity, then  $\Gamma_I(M)$  has no cut vertices.
- If  $M$  has no identity and if  $I$  is a nonzero completely reflexive ideal of  $M$  then  $\Gamma_I(M)$  has no cut vertices.

**Proof:** Suppose that the vertex  $x$  of  $\Gamma_I(M)$  is cut vertex. Let  $u-x-w$  be a path in  $\Gamma_I(M)$ . Since  $x$  is a cut vertex,  $x$  lies in every path from  $u$  to  $w$ .

i) Assume that  $M$  is Gamma near ring with identity. For any  $u, v \in \Gamma_I(M)$ , there exists a path  $u-1-w$  which shows  $x(\neq 1)$  in  $\Gamma_I(M)$  is not a cut vertex. Suppose  $x = 1$ . Then there exists  $u_1 \in \langle u \rangle - I, w_1 \in \langle w \rangle - I, \gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $t_1, t_2 \in M - I$  such that  $u_1 \gamma t_1, w_1 \gamma t_2 \in I$  which implies  $u_1, w_1 \in \Gamma_I(M)$ . Since  $\Gamma_I(M)$  is connected, there exists  $m, m_1 \in M - I \cup \{x\}$  such that  $u_1 - m - w_1$  (or)  $u_1 - m - m_1 - w_1$  is a path in  $\Gamma_I(M)$  which implies  $u - m - w - 1 - u$  (or)  $u - m - m_1 - w - 1 - u$  is a cycle in  $\Gamma_I(M)$  contradicting  $x=1$  is a cut vertex

ii) Let  $M$  be a  $\Gamma$ -near-ring without identity and  $I$  be a non zero completely reflexive ideal of  $M$ . Since  $u - x - w$  is a path from  $u - w$ , then there exists  $u_1 \in \langle u \rangle - I, w_1 \in \langle w \rangle - I$  and  $x_1, x_2 \in \langle x \rangle - I, \gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $u_1 \gamma x_1 \in I$  and  $w_1 \gamma x_2 \in I$ .

**Case: (i)**  $x_1 = x_2$

If  $u_1 + I = x_1 + I$  then  $u_1 \gamma w_1 \in I \Rightarrow u$  is adjacent to  $w$ . Similarly, If  $x_2 + I = w_1 + I$ ,  $u$  is adjacent to  $w$ . So assume that  $u_1 + I \neq x_1 + I$  and  $x_2 + I \neq w_1 + I$ . Let  $0 \neq i \in I$ . Then  $u_1 \gamma w_1 \in I$  and  $w_1 \gamma x_2 \in I$  which implies  $u_1 \gamma (x_1 + i), w_1 \gamma (x_2 + i) \in I$ . If  $x = x_1 + i$  then  $x \neq x_1 \Rightarrow u - x_1 - w$  is path in  $\Gamma/M$ . otherwise,  $u - x_1 + i - w$  is a path in  $\Gamma/M$ . Thus there exists a path from  $u$  to  $w$  not passing through  $x$  which is a contradiction.

**Case: (ii)** Either  $x_1$  or  $x_2$  equal to  $x$ .

Without loss of generality, let us assume that  $x_1 = x$  and  $x_2 \neq x$ . Then  $u_1 \gamma x \in I$  and  $x_2 \gamma w_1 \in I \Rightarrow u_1 \gamma x_1 \in I$  and  $x_2 \gamma w_1 \in I$ . Also we have a path  $u - x_2 - w$  which is a contradiction

**Case: (iii)** Neither  $x_1$  nor  $x_2$  equal to  $x$ .

If  $x_1 \gamma x_2 \in I$  then we have a path  $u - x_1 - x_2 - w$  which is a contradiction. So assume that  $x_1 \gamma x_2 \neq x$ , then we have a path  $u - x_1 \gamma x_2 - w$  which is a contradiction.

Thus  $x$  cannot be a cut vertex.

**Definition: 8** Using the notation as in the above construction, we call the subset  $a_\lambda + I$  a column of  $\Gamma_I(M)$ . If  $a_\lambda^2 \in I$  then we call  $a_\lambda + I$  a connected column of  $\Gamma_I(M)$ .

**Lemma: 9** Let  $I$  be an reflexive ideal of a Gamma near- ring  $M$ . Then  $\text{gr}(\Gamma_I(M)) \leq \text{gr}(\Gamma(\frac{M}{I}))$ . Inparticular if  $\Gamma(\frac{M}{I})$  contains a cycle then so does  $\Gamma_I(M)$  and therefore  $\text{gr}(\Gamma_I(M)) \leq \text{gr}(\Gamma(\frac{M}{I})) \leq 4$ .

**Proof:** If  $\text{gr}(\Gamma(\frac{M}{I})) = \infty$  we are done. So suppose  $\text{gr}(\Gamma(\frac{M}{I})) = n < \infty$ .

Let  $x_1 + I - x_2 + I - \dots - x_n + I - x_1 + I$  be a cycle in  $\Gamma(\frac{M}{I})$  through  $n$  distinct vertices

Then  $x_1 - x_2 - \dots - x_n - x_1$  is a cycle in  $\Gamma_I(M)$  of length  $n$ . Hence  $\text{gr}(\Gamma_I(M)) \leq n$ .

**Lemma: 10** Let  $I$  be an reflexive ideal of a gamma near ring  $M$ . If  $|I| \geq 3$  and  $\Gamma_I(M)$  contains a connected column, then  $\text{gr}(\Gamma_I(M)) = 3$

**Proof:** Let  $x+I$  be a connected column of  $\Gamma_I(M)$ . Then  $x^2 \in I$ . Let  $i, j \in I - \{0\}$ . Then  $x-(x+i)-(x+j)-x$  is a cycle of length 3 in  $\Gamma_I(M)$ .

**Lemma: 11** Let  $I$  be a reflexive ideal of a gamma near ring  $M$ . If  $I \neq 0$  and  $\Gamma(\frac{M}{I})$  has only one vertex, then

$$\text{gr}\Gamma_I(M) = \begin{cases} 3 \text{ if } |I| \geq 3 \\ \infty \text{ if } |I| = 2 \end{cases}$$

**Proof:** If  $\Gamma(\frac{M}{I})$  has only one vertex then  $\Gamma_I(M)$  consist of a single connected column. Thus  $\Gamma_I(M)$  is a complete graph, and therefore has a cycle of length 3 unless  $\Gamma_I(M)$  has only two vertices.

**Lemma: 12** Let  $I$  be a reflexive ideal of a gamma near ring  $M$ . If  $I$  has two elements,  $\Gamma(\frac{M}{I})$  has at least two vertices and  $\Gamma_I(M)$  has at least two vertices, and  $\Gamma_I(M)$  has at least one connected column, then  $\text{gr}(\Gamma_I(M)) = 3$

**Proof:** Let  $x+I$  be a connected column of  $\Gamma_I(M)$ . Then  $x^2 \in I$ . Let  $y+I$  be a vertex adjacent to  $x+I$  in  $\Gamma(\frac{M}{I})$ . Write  $I = \{0, i\}$ . Then  $y-x-x+i-y$  is a cycle of length 3 in  $\Gamma_I(M)$

**Theorem: 13** Let  $I$  be a nonzero reflexive ideal of a gamma near ring  $M$  that is not a completely prime ideal. Then  $\text{Gr}(\Gamma_I(M)) = \infty$  if  $\Gamma(\frac{M}{I})$  has only one cut vertex &  $|I| = 2$

$$\begin{cases} 4 \text{ if } \text{gr}(\Gamma(\frac{M}{I})) > 3 \text{ and } \Gamma_I(M) \text{ has no connected columns} \\ 3 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

**Proof:** The only remaining case is  $I \neq (0)$ ,  $\Gamma_I(M)$  has no connected columns, and  $\text{gr}(\Gamma(\frac{M}{I})) > 3$ .

Since  $\Gamma_I(M)$  has no connected columns,  $\Gamma(\frac{M}{I})$  must have at least two vertices. By lemma 9,  $\text{Gr}(\Gamma_I(M)) \leq 4$ . Assume  $x-y-z-x$  is a cycle in  $\Gamma_I(M)$  of length 3 and we provide a contradiction. Since  $\text{gr}(\Gamma(\frac{M}{I})) > 3$ ,  $x+I-y+I-z+I-x+I$  cannot be a cycle in  $\Gamma(\frac{M}{I})$ . Therefore we have either  $x+I=y+I$ ,  $y+I=z+I$  (or)  $z+I=x+I$ . If  $x+I=y+I$ , then  $(x+I)^2 = (x+1)(y+I) = 0+I$  and so  $x+I$  is a connected column of  $\Gamma_I(M)$ . But this is a contradiction. We get a similar contradiction if  $y+I=z+I$  (or)  $z+I=x+I$ . Hence  $\text{gr}(\Gamma_I(M)) = 4$

**Theorem: 14** Let  $I$  be a nonzero reflexive ideal of a gamma near ring  $M$ . Then  $\Gamma_I(M)$  is bipartite if and only if either

- $\text{gr}(\Gamma_I(M)) = \infty$  (or)
- $\text{gr}(\Gamma_I(M)) = 4$  and  $\Gamma(\frac{M}{I})$  is bipartite.

**Proof:** Suppose that  $\Gamma_I(M)$  is bipartite. Since  $\Gamma(\frac{M}{I})$  is isomorphic to a subgraph (or)  $\Gamma_I(M)$ ,  $\Gamma(\frac{M}{I})$  is bipartite (or a single vertex). By theorem 13,  $\text{gr}(\Gamma_I(M))$  is 3, 4,  $\infty$ . By theorem 1 of sec 1.2 of Bollobas (1979), a graph is bipartite if and only if it does not contain an odd cycle. Hence  $\text{gr}(\Gamma_I(M)) \neq 3$ .

If  $\text{gr}(\Gamma_I(M)) = \infty$ , then by theorem: 13,  $\Gamma_I(M)$  is a graph on two vertices and therefore bipartite. Suppose  $\text{gr}(\Gamma_I(M)) = 4$  and  $\Gamma(\frac{M}{I})$  is bipartite. Let  $W_1, W_2$  be the two vertex classes of  $\Gamma(\frac{M}{I})$ . Define  $V_j = \{x + i / i \in I, x + I \in W_j\}$  for  $j = 1, 2$ . Then  $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$  and the vertex set of  $\Gamma_I(M)$  is  $V_1 \cup V_2$ .

Let  $x$  and  $y$  be adjacent vertices of  $\Gamma_I(M)$ . Without loss of generality, say  $x \in V_1$ . By theorem: 13,  $\Gamma_I(M)$  has no connected columns. Thus  $x+I \neq y+I$ . Hence  $x+I-y+I$  is an edge in  $\Gamma(\frac{M}{I})$  (By theorem: 6, Since  $x+I \in W_1, y+I \in W_2$ . Therefore  $y \in V_2$ . Hence all edges of  $\Gamma_I(M)$  join vertices from  $V_1$  to those of  $V_2$ . Thus  $\Gamma_I(M)$  is bipartite.

**Theorem: 15** Let  $I$  be a reflexive ideal of  $M$  and let  $S$  be a clique in  $\Gamma_I(M)$  such that  $x^2 = 0$  for all  $x \in S$ . Then  $S \cup I$  is a reflexive ideal of  $M$ .

**Proof:** Suppose that  $x, y \in S \cup I$ . consider the following three cases

**Case: (i)** If  $x, y \in I$  then  $x\alpha y \in S \cup I, \alpha \in \Gamma$

**Case: (ii)** If  $x, y \in S$  with  $x\alpha y \notin I$  then for all  $c \in S$   $c\Gamma(x\alpha y) \in I$  and hence  $S \cup \{x\alpha y\}$  is a clique. Now since  $S$  is a clique,  $x\alpha y \in S$

**Case: (iii)** If  $x \in I$  and  $y \in S$  then  $x\alpha y \notin I$  and hence for any  $c \in S$   $c\Gamma(x\alpha y) \in I$ . Therefore  $x\alpha y \in S$ . Now let  $x \in S \cup I$  and  $r \in M$ . Suppose that  $r, x \notin I, \alpha \in \Gamma$ . If  $r\Gamma x \subseteq I$  then  $r\Gamma x \subseteq S \cup I$ . If  $r\Gamma x \not\subseteq I$ . Since for any  $c \in S$ ,  $(r\Gamma x) \Gamma c \subseteq I$ . We have  $r\Gamma x \in S$

**Theorem: 16** Let  $I$  be a nonzero reflexive ideal of  $M$  and  $a \in \Gamma_I(M)$  adjacent to every vertex of  $\Gamma_I(M)$ . Then  $(I: a)$  is a maximal element of the set  $\{(I: x) / x \in M\}$ . Moreover  $(I: a)$  is a completely prime ideal.

**Proof:** Let  $V = V(\Gamma_I(M))$ . Choose  $0 \neq x \in I$ . It is easy to see that  $a \neq a+x \in \Gamma_I(M)$ . Thus  $a\Gamma(a+x) \in I$  and hence  $a^2 \in I$ .

Therefore  $V \cup I = (I: a)$  and so for any  $x \in M$ ,  $(I: x)$  is contained in  $V \cup I = (I: a)$ . Thus the first assertion holds.

Now we prove that  $(I: a)$  is a completely prime ideal. Let  $x\alpha y \in (I: a)$  and  $x, y \notin (I: a)$ . Therefore  $x\alpha y\Gamma a \in I$ . If  $y\Gamma a \notin I$  then  $x \in (I: y\Gamma a)$ . We know that  $(I: a) \subseteq (I: y\Gamma a)$ . And therefore  $(I: a) = (I: y\Gamma a)$ . Hence  $x \in (I: a)$  which is a contradiction.

**Theorem: 17** Let  $I$  be a non-zero reflexive ideal of  $M$ . Then the followings are hold.

- If  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are completely prime ideals of  $M$  and  $I = P_1 \cap P_2 \neq \emptyset$   
Then  $\Gamma_I(M)$  is a complete bipartite graph
- If  $I \neq 0$  is a reflexive ideal of  $M$  for which  $I = \sqrt{I}$  then  $\Gamma_I(M)$  is a complete bipartite graph if and only if there exists prime ideals  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  of  $M$  such that  $I = P_1 \cap P_2$

**Proof:**

a) Let  $a, b \in M - I$  with  $a\alpha b \in I$ . Then  $a\alpha b \in P_1$  and  $a\alpha b \in P_2$ . Since  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are completely prime, we have  $a \in P_1$  or  $b \in P_1$  and  $a \in P_2$  (or)  $b \in P_2$ . Therefore suppose  $a \in \frac{P_1}{P_2}$  and  $b \in \frac{P_2}{P_1}$ . Thus  $\Gamma_I(M)$  is a complete bipartite graph with parts  $\frac{P_1}{P_2}$  and  $\frac{P_2}{P_1}$

b) Suppose that the parts of  $\Gamma_I(M)$  are  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ . Set  $P_1 = V_1 \cup I$  and  $P_2 = V_2 \cup I$ . It is clear that  $I = P_1 \cap P_2$ . We now prove that  $P_1$  is a reflexive ideal of  $M$

To show this let  $a, b \in P_1$

**Case: (i)** If  $a, b \in I, \gamma \in \Gamma$  then  $a\gamma b \in I$  and so  $a\gamma b \in P_1$

**Case: (ii)** If  $a, b \in V_1, \gamma \in \Gamma$  then there is  $c \in V_2$  such that  $c\gamma a \in I$  and  $c\gamma b \in I$ . So  $c\Gamma(a\gamma b) \in I$ . If  $a\gamma b \in I$  then  $a\gamma b \in P_1$ . Otherwise  $a\gamma b \in V_1 \Rightarrow a\gamma b \in P_1$

**Case: (iii)** If  $a \in V_1$  and  $b \in I$  then  $a\gamma b \notin I$ . So there is  $c \in V_2$  such that  $c\Gamma(a\gamma b) \in I \Rightarrow a\gamma b \in V_1$  and so  $a\gamma b \in P_1$ . Now let  $r \in M$  and  $a \in P_1$

**Case: (1)** If  $a \in I$  then  $r\gamma a \in I$  and so  $r\gamma a \in P_1$

**Case: (2)** If  $a \in V_1$  then there exists  $c \in V_2$  such that  $c\gamma a \in I$ . So,  $c\Gamma(r\gamma a) \in I$ . If  $r\gamma a \in I$  then  $r\gamma a \in P_1$ . And so  $r\gamma a \notin I$  then  $r\gamma a \in V_1 \Rightarrow r\gamma a \in P_1 \Rightarrow P_1 \trianglelefteq M$ . We now prove  $P_1$  is prime. For proving this let  $a\gamma b \in P_1$  and  $a, b \notin P_1$ . Since  $P_1 = V_1 \cup I$   $a\gamma b \in I$  or  $a\gamma b \in V_1$  and so in any case there exists  $c \in V_2$  such that  $c\Gamma(r\gamma a) \in I$ . Thus  $a\Gamma(c\gamma b) \in I$ . If  $c\gamma b \in I$  then by the definition of  $\Gamma_I(M)$  we have  $b \in V_1$  which is a contradiction. Hence  $c\gamma b \notin I$  and  $c\gamma b \in V_1$ . Therefore  $c^2\gamma b \in I$ . Since  $I = \sqrt{I}$ ,  $c^2 \notin I$ . Hence  $c^2 \in V_2$  so  $b \in V_1$  which is a contradiction. Therefore  $P_1$  is a completely prime ideal of  $M$ .

## REFERENCES

- D. Anderson, M. Naseer, Beck's coloring of a commutative ring. J. Algebra. 159 (1993), 500-514.
- D.F. Anderson, P.S. Livingston., The zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring. J. Algebra. 217 (1999), 434-447.
- I. Beck., Coloring of commutative rings, J. Algebra., 116(1988), 208-226.
- J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty., Graph Theory with Applications, North-Holland. Amsterdam (1976).

5. F.RDemayer, T.McKenzie, K.Schneider., The zero-divisor graph of a commutative semigroup, Semigroup Forum.65(2002), 206-214.
6. Pachirajulu Dheena and Balasubramanian Elavarasan,A., Generalized ideal based – zero divisor graphs of Near-rings 24(2009), No.2, pp.161-169.
7. G.Pilz., Near-Rings, North-Holland, Amsterdam,1983.
8. S.P.Redmond., An ideal-based zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring, comm.Algebra, 31, No.9 (2003), 4425-4443.
9. Bh.Satyanarayana., Contributions to Near-ring Theory., Doctoral Thesis, Nagarjuna University, India, 1984.

**Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared**