ON A DIFFERENCE OF GENERALIZED f- DIVERGENCES

K. C. Jain*1 and Praphull Chhabra2

Department of Mathematics, Malaviya National Institute of Technology, Jaipur- 302017, Rajasthan, India.

(Received on: 14-03-14; Revised & Accepted on: 26-03-14)

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we establish new information inequalities in terms of difference of generalized divergence measures. Further obtain new divergences corresponding to that difference and get the bounds of new divergence in terms of other standard divergence measures. Lastly, obtain the relation among new divergences and Renyi's entropy.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 62B- 10, 94A17, 26D15

Key Words: Difference of generalized ϕ - divergence measures, convex and normalized function, new information inequalities, new divergence measures, bounds of new divergence measures.

1. INTRODUCTION:

Let $\Gamma_n = \left\{ P = \left(p_1, p_2, p_3, ..., p_n \right) : p_i > 0, \sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1 \right\}, n \ge 2$ be the set of all complete finite discrete probability

-ty distributions. If we take $p_i \ge 0$ for some i = 1, 2, 3, ..., n, then we have to suppose that $0 f(0) = 0 f(\frac{0}{0}) = 0$.

Csiszar's **\phi**- divergence [1] is a generalized information divergence measure, which is given by:

$$I_{\phi}(P,Q) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} \phi\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right) \tag{1.1}$$

Similarly [6] introduced a generalized measure of information given by

$$S_{\phi}\left(P,Q\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} \phi\left(\frac{p_{i} + q_{i}}{2 q_{i}}\right) \tag{1.2}$$

where $\phi: (0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ (set of real no.) is a real continuous convex function and $P = (p_1, p_2, p_3..., p_n)$, $Q = (q_1, q_2, q_3..., q_n) \in \Gamma_n$, where p_i and q_i are probability mass functions. Many known divergences can be obtained from these generalized measures by suitably defining the convex function f. These are as follows:

The following measures (1.3), (1.4) and (1.5) are one parameter generalized divergence measures [11], where " $s \in R$ " is a parameter.

$$\psi_{s}\left(P,Q\right) = \left[s\left(s-1\right)\right]^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}^{s} q_{i}^{1-s} - 1\right], \ s \neq 0, 1 = \text{Relative Information of type "s"}.$$

$$\tag{1.3}$$

$$\Omega_s\left(P,Q\right) = \left[s\left(s-1\right)\right]^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \left(\frac{p_i+q_i}{2p_i}\right)^s - 1\right], \ s \neq 0, \ 1 = \text{Unified Relative JS and AG Divergence of type "s".}$$

(1.4)

Corresponding author: K. C. Jain*¹
Department of Mathematics, Malaviya National Institute of Technology, Jaipur- 302017, Rajasthan, India. E-mail: Jainkc 2003@yahoo.com

$$\tau_s\left(P,Q\right) = \left(s-1\right)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p_i - q_i}{2} \left(\frac{p_i + q_i}{2q_i}\right)^{s-1}, \ s \neq 1 = \text{Relative J- Divergence of type "s".}$$

$$K(P,Q) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \log \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i}\right) = \text{Relative Entropy [7]}.$$
 (1.6)

$$\chi^{2}\left(P,Q\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(p_{i} - q_{i}\right)^{2}}{q_{i}} = \text{Chi- Square Measure [8].}$$
(1.7)

$$F(P,Q) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \log \left(\frac{2 p_i}{p_i + q_i} \right) = \text{Relative JS Divergence [10].}$$
 (1.8)

$$G(P,Q) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{p_i + q_i}{2}\right) \log\left(\frac{p_i + q_i}{2 p_i}\right) = \text{Relative AG Divergence [12].}$$
(1.9)

$$R_a(P,Q) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{p_i^a}{q_i^{a-1}}, a > 1 = \text{Renyi's "}a\text{"order entropy [9]}.$$
 (1.10)

$$\Delta(P,Q) = 2\left[1 - H(P,Q)\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(p_i - q_i\right)^2}{p_i + q_i} = \text{Triangular Discrimination [2].}$$
(1.11)

where $H(P,Q) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{2 p_i q_i}{p_i + q_i}$ is Harmonic Mean Divergence.

$$J_{R}(P,Q) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (p_{i} - q_{i}) \log \left(\frac{p_{i} + q_{i}}{2q_{i}}\right) = \text{Relative J- Divergence [3].}$$
(1.12)

where F(P,Q) and G(P,Q) are given by (1.8) and (1.9) respectively.

2. DIFFERENCE OF GENERALIZED f- DIVERGENCES

The following theorem is well known in literature [1].

Theorem: 2.1 If the function ϕ is convex and normalized, i.e., $\phi(1)=0$, then $I_{\phi}(P,Q)$ and its adjoint $I_{\phi}(Q,P)$ are both non-negative and convex in the pair of probability distribution $(P,Q) \in \Gamma_n \times \Gamma_n$.

Now, we obtain some new information inequalities in terms of difference of generalized divergence measures and results are on similar lines to the results presented by [11].

Theorem: 2.2 Let ϕ_1 , $\phi_2: I \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ be two normalized convex mappings, i.e. $\phi_1(1) = \phi_2(1) = 0$ and suppose the assumptions:

- (i) ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are twice differentiable on (α, β) where $0 < \alpha \le 1 \le \beta < \infty, \alpha \ne \beta$.
- (ii) There exists the real constants m, M such that m < M and

$$m \le \frac{\phi_1''(t)}{\phi_2''(t)} \le M, \quad \phi_2'''(t) > 0, \quad \forall \quad t \in (\alpha, \beta). \tag{2.1}$$

then we have the inequalities:

$$m \left\lceil I_{\phi_{1}}\left(P,Q\right) - S_{\phi_{1}}\left(P,Q\right) \right\rceil \leq I_{\phi_{1}}\left(P,Q\right) - S_{\phi_{1}}\left(P,Q\right) \leq M \left\lceil I_{\phi_{1}}\left(P,Q\right) - S_{\phi_{1}}\left(P,Q\right) \right\rceil \tag{2.2}$$

$$m \left[E_{S_{\phi_{2}}} \left(P, Q \right) - S_{\phi_{2}} \left(P, Q \right) \right] \leq E_{S_{\phi_{1}}} \left(P, Q \right) - S_{\phi_{1}} \left(P, Q \right) \leq M \left[E_{S_{\phi_{2}}} \left(P, Q \right) - S_{\phi_{2}} \left(P, Q \right) \right] \tag{2.3}$$

$$m\left[A_{S_{\phi_{2}}}\left(\alpha,\beta\right)-S_{\phi_{2}}\left(P,Q\right)\right]\leq A_{S_{\phi_{1}}}\left(\alpha,\beta\right)-S_{\phi_{1}}\left(P,Q\right)\leq M\left[A_{S_{\phi_{2}}}\left(\alpha,\beta\right)-S_{\phi_{2}}\left(P,Q\right)\right] \tag{2.4}$$

where
$$E_{S_{\phi}}(P,Q) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (p_i - q_i) \phi' \left(\frac{p_i + q_i}{2 q_i} \right),$$
 (2.5)

$$A_{S_{\phi}}(\alpha,\beta) = \frac{1}{4}(\beta-\alpha)\{\phi'(\beta)-\phi'(\alpha)\}$$
(2.6)

and $I_{\phi}\left(P,Q\right)$, $S_{\phi}\left(P,Q\right)$ are given by (1.1) and (1.2) respectively.

Proof: Let us consider two functions

$$\Phi_m(t) = \phi_1(t) - m \phi_2(t) \tag{2.7}$$

and
$$\Phi_{M}(t) = M \phi_{2}(t) - \phi_{1}(t) \tag{2.8}$$

where m and M are the max. and min. values of the function $\frac{\phi_1''(t)}{\phi_2''(t)}$, $\forall t \in (\alpha, \beta)$.

Since
$$\phi_1(1) = \phi_2(1) = 0 \Rightarrow \Phi_m(1) = \Phi_M(1) = 0$$
 (2.9)

and the functions $\phi_1(t)$ and $\phi_2(t)$ are twice differentiable. Then in view of (2.1), we have

$$\Phi_{m}''(t) = \phi_{1}''(t) - m \,\phi_{2}''(t) = \phi_{2}''(t) \left(\frac{\phi_{1}''(t)}{\phi_{2}''(t)} - m \right) \ge 0 \tag{2.10}$$

$$\Phi_{M}''(t) = M \phi_{2}''(t) - \phi_{1}''(t) = \phi_{2}''(t) \left(M - \frac{\phi_{1}''(t)}{\phi_{2}''(t)} \right) \ge 0$$
(2.11)

For all $t \in (\alpha, \beta)$.

In view 2.9, 2.10 and 2.11, we can say that the functions $\Phi_m(t)$ and $\Phi_M(t)$ are convex and normalized on (α, β) .

Now, with the help of linearity property, we have the following cases:

Case I:
$$I_{\Phi_{m}}(P,Q) - S_{\Phi_{m}}(P,Q) = I_{\phi_{1}-m\phi_{2}}(P,Q) - S_{\phi_{1}-m\phi_{2}}(P,Q)$$

$$= I_{\phi_{1}}(P,Q) - mI_{\phi_{2}}(P,Q) - S_{\phi_{1}}(P,Q) + mS_{\phi_{2}}(P,Q)$$
(2.12)

and
$$I_{\Phi_{M}}(P,Q) - S_{\Phi_{M}}(P,Q) = I_{M\phi_{2}-\phi_{1}}(P,Q) - S_{M\phi_{2}-\phi_{1}}(P,Q)$$

$$= MI_{\phi_{2}}(P,Q) - I_{\phi_{1}}(P,Q) - MS_{\phi_{2}}(P,Q) + S_{\phi_{1}}(P,Q)$$
(2.13)

{Since $I_{\phi}(P,Q) \ge S_{\phi}(P,Q)$ in [6]}.

Therefore (2.12) and (2.13) can be written as the followings:

$$\left[I_{\phi_{1}}\left(P,Q\right)-S_{\phi_{1}}\left(P,Q\right)\right]-m\left[I_{\phi_{2}}\left(P,Q\right)-S_{\phi_{2}}\left(P,Q\right)\right]\geq0$$

and
$$M\left[I_{\phi_{2}}\left(P,Q\right)-S_{\phi_{2}}\left(P,Q\right)\right]-\left[I_{\phi_{1}}\left(P,Q\right)-S_{\phi_{1}}\left(P,Q\right)\right]\geq0$$

or
$$\left[I_{\phi_{1}}\left(P,Q\right)-S_{\phi_{1}}\left(P,Q\right)\right] \geq m\left[I_{\phi_{2}}\left(P,Q\right)-S_{\phi_{2}}\left(P,Q\right)\right]$$
 (2.14)

and
$$M \left[I_{\phi_2} \left(P, Q \right) - S_{\phi_2} \left(P, Q \right) \right] \ge \left[I_{\phi_1} \left(P, Q \right) - S_{\phi_1} \left(P, Q \right) \right]$$
 (2.15)

(2.14) and (2.15), together give the result (2.2).

Case II:
$$E_{S_{\Phi_{m}}}(P,Q) - S_{\Phi_{m}}(P,Q) = E_{S_{\phi_{1}-m\phi_{2}}}(P,Q) - S_{\phi_{1}-m\phi_{2}}(P,Q)$$

$$= E_{S_{\Phi}}(P,Q) - mE_{S_{\Phi}}(P,Q) - S_{\phi_{1}}(P,Q) + mS_{\phi_{2}}(P,Q)$$
(2.16)

and
$$E_{S_{\Phi_{M}}}(P,Q) - S_{\Phi_{M}}(P,Q) = E_{S_{M\phi_{2}-\phi_{1}}}(P,Q) - S_{M\phi_{2}-\phi_{1}}(P,Q)$$

$$= ME_{S_{\Phi}}(P,Q) - E_{S_{\Phi}}(P,Q) - MS_{\phi_{2}}(P,Q) + S_{\phi_{1}}(P,Q)$$
(2.17)

{Since $E_{S_{\phi}}(P,Q) \ge S_{\phi}(P,Q)$ in [5]}.

Therefore (2.16) and (2.17) can be written as the followings:

$$\left[E_{S_{\phi_{1}}}\left(P,Q\right)-S_{\phi_{1}}\left(P,Q\right)\right]-m\left[E_{S_{\phi_{2}}}\left(P,Q\right)-S_{\phi_{2}}\left(P,Q\right)\right]\geq0$$

and
$$M\left[E_{S_{\phi_{2}}}\left(P,Q\right)-S_{\phi_{2}}\left(P,Q\right)\right]-\left[E_{S_{\phi_{1}}}\left(P,Q\right)-S_{\phi_{1}}\left(P,Q\right)\right]\geq0$$

or
$$\left[E_{S_{\phi_{1}}}\left(P,Q\right)-S_{\phi_{1}}\left(P,Q\right)\right] \geq m\left[E_{S_{\phi_{2}}}\left(P,Q\right)-S_{\phi_{2}}\left(P,Q\right)\right]$$
 (2.18)

And
$$M \left[E_{S_{\phi_2}} \left(P, Q \right) - S_{\phi_2} \left(P, Q \right) \right] \ge \left[E_{S_{\phi_1}} \left(P, Q \right) - S_{\phi_1} \left(P, Q \right) \right]$$
 (2.19)

(2.18) and (2.19), together give the result (2.3).

Case III:
$$A_{S_{\Phi_{m}}}(\alpha,\beta) - S_{\Phi_{m}}(P,Q) = A_{S_{\phi_{1}-m\phi_{2}}}(\alpha,\beta) - S_{\phi_{1}-m\phi_{2}}(P,Q)$$

$$= A_{S_{\phi_{1}}}(\alpha,\beta) - mA_{S_{\phi_{1}}}(\alpha,\beta) - S_{\phi_{1}}(P,Q) + mS_{\phi_{2}}(P,Q) \qquad (2.20)$$

and
$$A_{S_{\Phi_{M}}}\left(\alpha,\beta\right) - S_{\Phi_{M}}\left(P,Q\right) = A_{S_{M\phi_{2}-\phi_{1}}}\left(\alpha,\beta\right) - S_{M\phi_{2}-\phi_{1}}\left(P,Q\right)$$
$$= MA_{S_{\phi_{1}}}\left(\alpha,\beta\right) - A_{S_{\phi_{1}}}\left(\alpha,\beta\right) - MS_{\phi_{2}}\left(P,Q\right) + S_{\phi_{1}}\left(P,Q\right) \tag{2.21}$$

{Since $A_{S_{\alpha}}(\alpha,\beta) \geq S_{\phi}(P,Q)$ in [5]}.

Therefore (2.20) and (2.21) can be written as the followings:

$$\left[A_{S_{\phi_{1}}}\left(\alpha,\beta\right)-S_{\phi_{1}}\left(P,Q\right)\right]-m\left[A_{S_{\phi_{2}}}\left(\alpha,\beta\right)-S_{\phi_{2}}\left(P,Q\right)\right]\geq0$$

and
$$M \left[A_{S_{\phi_1}} (\alpha, \beta) - S_{\phi_2} (P, Q) \right] - \left[A_{S_{\phi_1}} (\alpha, \beta) - S_{\phi_1} (P, Q) \right] \ge 0$$

or
$$\left[A_{S_{\phi_{1}}}\left(\alpha,\beta\right)-S_{\phi_{1}}\left(P,Q\right)\right] \geq m\left[A_{S_{\phi_{2}}}\left(\alpha,\beta\right)-S_{\phi_{2}}\left(P,Q\right)\right]$$
 (2.22)

and
$$M\left[A_{S_{\phi_2}}\left(\alpha,\beta\right)-S_{\phi_2}\left(P,Q\right)\right] \geq \left[A_{S_{\phi_1}}\left(\alpha,\beta\right)-S_{\phi_1}\left(P,Q\right)\right]$$
 (2.23) (2.22) and (2.23), together give the result (2.4).

3. NEW DIVERGENCE MEASURES AND PROPERTIES:

In this section, we shall derive some new divergence measures, for this:

Let $\phi:(0,\infty)\to R$ (set of real no.) be a function defined as

$$\phi(t) = \phi_1(t) = \frac{1}{t^2} - 1, \forall t \in (0, \infty) \text{ and } \phi_1(1) = 0$$

$$(3.1)$$

and
$$\phi_1'(t) = -\frac{2}{t^3}, \phi_1''(t) = \frac{6}{t^4}$$
 (3.2)

Since $\phi_1''(t) > 0 \ \forall \ t \in (0, \infty)$ and $\phi_1(1) = 0$, therefore $\phi_1(t)$ is convex and normalized function respectively.

Now, put $\phi_1(t)$ in (1.1) and (1.2) and put $\phi_1'(t)$ in (2.5) and (2.6), we get the following new divergence measures.

$$I_{\phi_{i}}(P,Q) - S_{\phi_{i}}(P,Q) = IS(P,Q) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{q_{i}^{3}(3p_{i} + q_{i})(q_{i} - p_{i})}{p_{i}^{2}(p_{i} + q_{i})^{2}} \ge 0 \ \forall P, Q \in \Gamma_{n},$$
(3.3)

$$E_{S_{\phi_{i}}}\left(P,Q\right) - S_{\phi_{i}}\left(P,Q\right) = ES\left(P,Q\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{q_{i}\left(p_{i} + 5q_{i}\right)\left(p_{i} - q_{i}\right)^{2}}{\left(p_{i} + q_{i}\right)^{3}} \ge 0 \ \forall P, Q \in \Gamma_{n}$$

$$(3.4)$$

$$S_{\phi_{i}}(P,Q) = J^{*}(P,Q) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{q_{i}(p_{i} + 3q_{i})(q_{i} - p_{i})}{(p_{i} + q_{i})^{2}} \ge 0 \ \forall P, Q \in \Gamma_{n}$$
(3.5)

$$A_{S_{\phi_1}}\left(\alpha,\beta\right) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(\beta - \alpha\right)^2 \left(\alpha^2 + \alpha \beta + \beta^2\right)}{\alpha^3 \beta^3}, 0 < \alpha \le 1 \le \beta < \infty, \alpha \ne \beta$$
(3.6)

Here, measures IS(P,Q), ES(P,Q) and $J^*(P,Q)$ are non-negative and convex in the pair of probability distribution $P, Q \in \Gamma_n$ and IS(P,Q) = 0, ES(P,Q) = 0 $J^*(P,Q) = 0$ iff $P_i = q_i \ \forall \ i = 1, 2, 3..., n$.

Since
$$IS(P,Q) \neq IS(Q,P)$$
, $ES(P,Q) \neq ES(Q,P)$ and $J^*(P,Q) \neq J^*(Q,P)$

Therefore $\mathit{IS}(P,Q)$, $\mathit{ES}(P,Q)$ and $J^*(P,Q)$ are non-symmetric divergence measures.

4. BOUNDS OF NEW DIVERGENCE MEASURES

Now in this section, we shall obtain bounds of IS(P,Q), ES(P,Q) and $J^*(P,Q)$ in terms of other divergences by using theorem 2.2.

Proposition 4: Let $P, Q \in \Gamma_n$, $0 < \alpha \le 1 \le \beta < \infty$, $\alpha \ne \beta$ and $s \ge -2 (s \in R)$, then we have:

$$\frac{6}{\beta^{s+2}} \Big[\psi_s \left(P, Q \right) - \Omega_s \left(Q, P \right) \Big] \le IS \left(P, Q \right) \le \frac{6}{\alpha^{s+2}} \Big[\psi_s \left(P, Q \right) - \Omega_s \left(Q, P \right) \Big] \tag{4.1}$$

$$\frac{6}{\beta^{s+2}} \Big[\tau_s \left(P, Q \right) - \Omega_s \left(Q, P \right) \Big] \le ES \left(P, Q \right) \le \frac{6}{\alpha^{s+2}} \Big[\tau_s \left(P, Q \right) - \Omega_s \left(Q, P \right) \Big] \tag{4.2}$$

$$\frac{6}{\beta^{s+2}} \left[A_{S_{\phi_2}} \left(\alpha, \beta \right) - \Omega_s \left(Q, P \right) \right] \le A_{S_{\phi_1}} \left(\alpha, \beta \right) - J^* \left(P, Q \right) \le \frac{6}{\alpha^{s+2}} \left[A_{S_{\phi_2}} \left(\alpha, \beta \right) - \Omega_s \left(Q, P \right) \right] \tag{4.3}$$

where $\psi_s(P,Q)$, $\Omega_s(P,Q)$, $\tau_s(P,Q)$, IS(P,Q), ES(P,Q), $J^*(P,Q)$, $A_{S_{\phi_1}}(\alpha,\beta)$ and $A_{S_{\phi_2}}(\alpha,\beta)$ are given by (1.3), (1.4), (1.5), (3.3), (3.4), (3.5), (3.6) and (4.9) respectively.

Proof: let us consider

$$\phi_2(t) = \left[s(s-1)\right]^{-1} \left[t^s - 1\right], \ s \neq 0, 1, t \in (0, \infty), \phi_2(1) = 0 \ and \ s \in R$$

$$\tag{4.4}$$

and
$$\phi_2'(t) = (s-1)^{-1} t^{s-1}, s \neq 1 \text{ and } \phi_2''(t) = t^{s-2} > 0 \ \forall \ t > 0 \ and \ s \in R.$$
 (4.5)

Since $\phi_2''(t) > 0 \ \forall \ t \in (0, \infty) \ \text{and} \ \phi_2(1) = 0$, therefore $\phi_2(t)$ is convex and normalized function respectively.

Now, put $\phi_2(t)$ in (1.1) and (1.2) and put $\phi_2'(t)$ in (2.5) and (2.6), we get the followings:

$$I_{\phi_2}(P,Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \phi_2 \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i}\right) = \left[s(s-1)\right]^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^{s} q_i^{1-s} - 1\right] = \psi_s(P,Q), \ s \neq 0, 1$$
(4.6)

$$S_{\phi_2}(P,Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \phi_2 \left(\frac{p_i + q_i}{2 q_i}\right) = \left[s(s-1)\right]^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n q_i \left(\frac{p_i + q_i}{2 q_i}\right)^s - 1\right] = \Omega_s(Q,P), \ s \neq 0, 1$$
(4.7)

$$E_{S_{\phi_2}}(P,Q) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{p_i - q_i}{2} \, \phi_2' \left(\frac{p_i + q_i}{2q_i}\right) = \left[\frac{\left(s - 1\right)^{-1}}{2^s}\right] \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(p_i - q_i\right) \left(1 + \frac{p_i}{q_i}\right)^{s - 1} = \tau_s\left(P,Q\right), s \neq 1 \tag{4.8}$$

$$A_{S_{\phi_{2}}}(\alpha,\beta) = \frac{1}{4}(\beta-\alpha) \left[\phi_{2}'(\beta) - \phi_{2}'(\alpha)\right] = \frac{(s-1)^{-1}(\beta-\alpha)}{4} \left[\beta^{s-1} - \alpha^{s-1}\right], s \neq 1$$
(4.9)

Now, let us consider the following function.

$$f_{s}(t) = \frac{\phi_{1}''(t)}{\phi_{2}''(t)} = \frac{6}{t^{s+2}}, t \in (0, \infty) \text{ and } s \in R$$
(4.10)

Where $\phi_1''(t)$ and $\phi_2''(t)$ are given by 3.2 and 4.5 respectively.

and
$$f'_s(t) = -\frac{6(s+2)}{t^{s+3}}, s \in R.$$
 (4.11)

We can check that, $f_s'(t) = \begin{cases} \leq 0, & \text{if } s \geq -2 \\ \geq 0, & \text{if } s \leq -2 \end{cases}$

i.e. $f_s(t)$ is monotonically decreasing in $s \ge -2$ and monotonically increasing in $s \le -2$.

Therefore, at $s \ge -2$, we have

$$M = \max_{t \in (\alpha, \beta)} \frac{\phi_{l}''(t)}{\phi_{2}''(t)} = \max_{t \in (\alpha, \beta)} f_{s}(t) = f_{s}(\alpha) = \frac{6}{\alpha^{s+2}} \quad \text{and}$$

$$(4.12)$$

$$m = \min_{t \in (\alpha, \beta)} \frac{\phi_1''(t)}{\phi_2''(t)} = \min_{t \in (\alpha, \beta)} f_s(t) = f_s(\beta) = \frac{6}{\beta^{s+2}}$$

$$(4.13)$$

The inequalities 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 are obtained by using (3.3), (3.4), (3.5), (3.6), (4.6), (4.7), (4.8), (4.9), (4.12) and (4.13) in (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4).

Now, we shall consider some special cases at s = 0, s = 1 and s = 2.

Result 4.1 (at s=0).

Let $P, Q \in \Gamma_n$, $0 < \alpha \le 1 \le \beta < \infty$, $\alpha \ne \beta$ and s = 0, then we have:

$$\frac{6}{\beta^{2}} \left[K\left(Q, P\right) - F\left(Q, P\right) \right] \le IS\left(P, Q\right) \le \frac{6}{\alpha^{2}} \left[K\left(Q, P\right) - F\left(Q, P\right) \right] \tag{4.14}$$

$$\frac{6}{\beta^{2}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \Delta (P, Q) - F(Q, P) \right] \le ES(P, Q) \le \frac{6}{\alpha^{2}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \Delta (P, Q) - F(Q, P) \right] \tag{4.15}$$

$$\frac{6}{\beta^{2}} \left[\frac{1}{4} \frac{\left(\beta - \alpha\right)^{2}}{\alpha \beta} - F\left(Q, P\right) \right] \leq A_{S_{\phi_{1}}} \left(\alpha, \beta\right) - J^{*}\left(P, Q\right) \leq \frac{6}{\alpha^{2}} \left[\frac{1}{4} \frac{\left(\beta - \alpha\right)^{2}}{\alpha \beta} - F\left(Q, P\right) \right] \tag{4.16}$$

Proof: Put s=0 in (4.6), (4.7), (4.8) and (4.9), we get the followings:

$$\psi_{0}(P,Q) = \lim_{s \to 0} \psi_{s}(P,Q) = \lim_{s \to 0} \left[s(s-1) \right]^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}^{s} q_{i}^{1-s} - 1 \right] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} \log \left(\frac{q_{i}}{p_{i}} \right) = K(Q,P)$$
(4.17)

$$\Omega_{0}(Q, P) = \lim_{s \to 0} \Omega_{s}(Q, P) = \lim_{s \to 0} \left[s(s-1) \right]^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} \left(\frac{p_{i} + q_{i}}{2q_{i}} \right)^{s} - 1 \right]$$

$$=\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i \log \left(\frac{2q_i}{p_i + q_i}\right) = F\left(Q, P\right) \tag{4.18}$$

$$\tau_{0}(P,Q) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} (p_{i} - q_{i}) \left(\frac{p_{i} + q_{i}}{q_{i}}\right)^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (q_{i} - p_{i}) \left(\frac{q_{i}}{p_{i} + q_{i}}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(q_{i}^{2} + p_{i}q_{i}) - 2 p_{i}q_{i}}{p_{i} + q_{i}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{2 p_{i}q_{i}}{p_{i} + q_{i}} = 1 - H(P,Q) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta(P,Q)$$

$$(4.19)$$

$$A_{S_{\phi_2}}(\alpha,\beta) = -\frac{(\beta-\alpha)}{4} \left[\frac{1}{\beta} - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right] = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\beta-\alpha)^2}{\alpha\beta}$$
(4.20)

Put (4.17), (4.18), (4.19) and (4.20) in (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3) at s=0, and get the results (4.14), (4.15) and (4.16).

Result 4.2 (at s=1).

Let $P, Q \in \Gamma_n, 0 < \alpha \le 1 \le \beta < \infty, \alpha \ne \beta$ and s = 1, then we have:

$$\frac{6}{\beta^{3}} \left[K(P,Q) - G(Q,P) \right] \le IS(P,Q) \le \frac{6}{\alpha^{3}} \left[K(P,Q) - G(Q,P) \right] \tag{4.21}$$

$$\frac{6}{\beta^{3}} \left[F(Q, P) \right] \le ES(P, Q) \le \frac{6}{\alpha^{3}} \left[F(Q, P) \right] \tag{4.22}$$

$$\frac{6}{\beta^{3}} \left[\frac{1}{4} (\beta - \alpha) \log \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right) - G(Q, P) \right] \leq A_{S_{\phi_{1}}} (\alpha, \beta) - J^{*}(P, Q) \leq \frac{6}{\alpha^{3}} \left[\frac{1}{4} (\beta - \alpha) \log \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right) - G(Q, P) \right]$$
(4.23)

Proof: Put s=1 in (4.6), (4.7), (4.8) and (4.9), we get the followings:

$$\psi_{1}(P,Q) = \lim_{s \to 1} \psi_{s}(P,Q) = \lim_{s \to 1} \left[s(s-1) \right]^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}^{s} q_{i}^{1-s} - 1 \right] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \log \left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}} \right) = K(P,Q)$$
(4.24)

$$\Omega_{1}(Q, P) = \lim_{s \to 1} \Omega_{s}(Q, P) = \lim_{s \to 1} \left[s(s-1) \right]^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} \left(\frac{p_{i} + q_{i}}{2q_{i}} \right)^{s} - 1 \right]$$

$$=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{p_i + q_i}{2}\right) \log\left(\frac{p_i + q_i}{2q_i}\right) = G(Q, P)$$

$$\tag{4.25}$$

$$\tau_{1}(P,Q) = \lim_{s \to 1} \tau_{s}(P,Q) = \lim_{s \to 1} \left[\frac{\left(s-1\right)^{-1}}{2^{s}} \right] \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(p_{i} - q_{i}\right) \left(1 + \frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right)^{s-1}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(p_{i} - q_{i}\right) \log\left(\frac{p_{i} + q_{i}}{2q_{i}}\right) = \frac{1}{2} J_{R}(P,Q) = F(Q,P) + G(Q,P)$$
(4.26)

$$A_{S_{\phi_2}}(\alpha,\beta) = \lim_{s \to 1} \frac{\left(s-1\right)^{-1} \left(\beta - \alpha\right)}{4} \left[\beta^{s-1} - \alpha^{s-1}\right] = \frac{1}{4} \left(\beta - \alpha\right) \log\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right) \tag{4.27}$$

Put (4.24), (4.25), (4.26) and (4.27) in (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3) at s=1, and get the results (4.21), (4.22) and (4.23).

Result 4.3 (at s=2).

Let $P, Q \in \Gamma_n$, $0 < \alpha \le 1 \le \beta < \infty$, $\alpha \ne \beta$ and s = 2, then we have:

$$\frac{9}{4\beta^{4}} \left[\chi^{2} \left(P, Q \right) \right] \leq IS \left(P, Q \right) \leq \frac{9}{4\alpha^{4}} \left[\chi^{2} \left(P, Q \right) \right] \tag{4.28}$$

$$\frac{3}{4\beta^{4}} \left[\chi^{2} \left(P, Q \right) \right] \leq ES \left(P, Q \right) \leq \frac{3}{4\alpha^{4}} \left[\chi^{2} \left(P, Q \right) \right] \tag{4.29}$$

$$\frac{3}{2\beta^{4}}\left[\left(\beta-\alpha\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\chi^{2}\left(P,Q\right)\right]\leq A_{S_{\phi_{1}}}\left(\alpha,\beta\right)-J^{*}\left(P,Q\right)\leq\frac{3}{2\alpha^{4}}\left[\left(\beta-\alpha\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\chi^{2}\left(P,Q\right)\right]$$
(4.30)

Proof: Put s=2 in (4.6), (4.7), (4.8) and (4.9), we get the followings:

$$\psi_{2}(P,Q) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{p_{i}^{2}}{q_{i}} - 1 \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{p_{i}^{2}}{q_{i}} - 2p_{i} + q_{i} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(p_{i} - q_{i}\right)^{2}}{q_{i}} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \chi^{2}(P,Q)$$

$$(4.31)$$

$$\Omega_{2}(Q, P) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} \left(\frac{p_{i} + q_{i}}{2q_{i}} \right)^{2} - 1 \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(p_{i} + q_{i} \right)^{2}}{4q_{i}} - p_{i} \right] \\
= \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(p_{i} - q_{i} \right)^{2}}{4q_{i}} \right] = \frac{1}{8} \chi^{2}(P, Q) \tag{4.32}$$

$$\tau_{2}(P,Q) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (p_{i} - q_{i}) \left(1 + \frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}} \right) = \frac{1}{4} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} (p_{i} - q_{i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (p_{i} - q_{i}) \frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}} \right] = \frac{1}{4} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{p_{i}^{2}}{q_{i}} - 1 \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{p_{i}^{2}}{q_{i}} - 2p_{i} + q_{i} \right] = \frac{1}{4} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(p_{i} - q_{i})^{2}}{q_{i}} \right] = \frac{1}{4} \chi^{2}(P,Q)$$

$$(4.33)$$

$$A_{S_{\phi_2}}(\alpha,\beta) = \frac{(\beta-\alpha)}{4}(\beta-\alpha) = \frac{1}{4}(\beta-\alpha)^2 \tag{4.34}$$

Put (4.31), (4.32), (4.33) and (4.34) in (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3) at s=2, and get the results (4.28), (4.29) and (4.30).

5. RELATION AMONG $IS(P,Q), J^*(P,Q)$ and $R_a(P,Q)$

Since,
$$\frac{x+y}{2} \ge \sqrt{x \ y} \ \forall \ x, \ y \ge 0 \ (A.M \ge G.M)$$
 (5.1)

i.e.
$$(x+y)^2 \ge 4xy$$
 (5.2)

put $x = p_i$ and $y = q_i$, in (5.2), we get

$$\left(p_i + q_i\right)^2 \ge 4p_i q_i \tag{5.3}$$

Proposition 5: Let $(P,Q) \in \Gamma_n \times \Gamma_n$, then we have the following relations:

$$IS(P,Q) \le \frac{1}{2}R_3(Q,P) - \frac{3}{4}R_2(Q,P) + \frac{1}{4}R_4(Q,P)$$
 (5.4)

$$J^*\left(P,Q\right) \le \frac{3}{4}R_2\left(Q,P\right) \tag{5.5}$$

$$J^{*}(P,Q) + IS(P,Q) \le \frac{1}{2}R_{3}(Q,P) + \frac{1}{4}R_{4}(Q,P)$$
(5.6)

$$3\left\lceil J_{R}\left(P,Q\right) + A\left(P,Q\right)\right\rceil + 4 \, IS\left(P,Q\right) \le R_{4}\left(Q,P\right) + 2 \, R_{3}\left(Q,P\right) \tag{5.7}$$

where, IS(P,Q) and $J^*(P,Q)$ are given by (3.3) and (3.5) respectively.

Proof: multiply (5.3) by $\frac{p_i^2}{q_i^3(3p_i+q_i)(q_i-p_i)}$ and sum over all i=1,2,3...,n, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{p_{i}^{2} (p_{i} + q_{i})^{2}}{q_{i}^{3} (3p_{i} + q_{i}) (q_{i} - p_{i})} \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{4q_{i} p_{i}^{3}}{q_{i}^{3} (3p_{i} + q_{i}) (q_{i} - p_{i})}$$

or
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{q_i^3 (3p_i + q_i) (q_i - p_i)}{p_i^2 (p_i + q_i)^2} \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{q_i^3 (3p_i + q_i) (q_i - p_i)}{4p_i^3 q_i}$$

or
$$IS(P,Q) \le \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{q_i^3}{p_i^2} - \frac{3}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{q_i^2}{p_i} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{q_i^4}{p_i^3}$$

or
$$IS(P,Q) \le \frac{1}{2}R_3(Q,P) - \frac{3}{4}R_2(Q,P) + \frac{1}{4}R_4(Q,P)$$
. Hence the relation (5.4)

or
$$\frac{3}{4}R_2(Q,P) \le \frac{1}{2}R_3(Q,P) + \frac{1}{4}R_4(Q,P) - IS(P,Q)$$
 (5.8)

Again, multiply (5.3) by $\frac{1}{q_i(3q_i+p_i)(q_i-p_i)}$ and sum over all i=1,2,3...,n, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(p_i + q_i)^2}{q_i (3q_i + p_i)(q_i - p_i)} \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{4p_i q_i}{q_i (3q_i + p_i)(q_i - p_i)}$$

or
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{q_i (3q_i + p_i)(q_i - p_i)}{(p_i + q_i)^2} \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{q_i (3q_i + p_i)(q_i - p_i)}{4p_i q_i}$$

or
$$J^*(P,Q) \le \frac{3}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{q_i^2}{p_i} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n q_i - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i$$
 $\left\{ \because \sum_{i=1}^n p_i = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i = 1 \right\}$

or
$$J^*(P,Q) + \frac{3}{4} \le \frac{3}{4} R_2(Q,P)$$
 (5.9)

From (5.9), we can easily see that

$$J^*(P,Q) \le \frac{3}{4}R_2(Q,P)$$
. Hence the relation (5.5)

Now, by taking (5.4) and (5.8) together, we get

$$J^{*}(P,Q) \le \frac{3}{4}R_{2}(Q,P) \le \frac{1}{2}R_{3}(Q,P) + \frac{1}{4}R_{4}(Q,P) - IS(P,Q)$$
(5.10)

By taking first and third part of the relation (5.10), we get the inequalities (5.6).

Now
$$J_R(P,Q) + A(P,Q) \le R_2(Q,P)$$
 [4] (5.11)

By taking (5.8) and (5.11) together, we get

$$\frac{3}{4}J_{R}\left(P,Q\right) + \frac{3}{4}A\left(P,Q\right) \le \frac{3}{4}R_{2}\left(Q,P\right) \le \frac{1}{2}R_{3}\left(Q,P\right) + \frac{1}{4}R_{4}\left(Q,P\right) - IS\left(P,Q\right) \tag{5.12}$$

By taking first and third part of the relation (5.12), we get

$$\frac{3}{4}J_{R}\left(P,Q\right)+\frac{3}{4}A\left(P,Q\right)+IS\left(P,Q\right)\leq\frac{1}{2}R_{3}\left(Q,P\right)+\frac{1}{4}R_{4}\left(Q,P\right)$$

$$3\left[J_{R}\left(P,Q\right)+A\left(P,Q\right)\right]+4IS\left(P,Q\right)\leq R_{4}\left(Q,P\right)+2R_{3}\left(Q,P\right). \text{ Hence the relation (5.7)}$$

where, A(P,Q) = Arithmetic mean divergence = $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{p_i + q_i}{2} = 1$.

Note 1: K(P,Q), $\chi^2(P,Q)$, F(P,Q), G(P,Q), $\Delta(P,Q)$, $J_R(P,Q)$, $a \ n \ R_a(P,Q)$ have been taken from (1.6), (1.7), (1.8), (1.9), (1.11), (1.12) and (1.8) respectively in result 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 and proposition 5.

Note 2: In result 4.1 and 4.2, limits have been evaluated by using L' Hospital Rule.

Note 3: For $s \le -2$, the function $f_s(t)$ is increasing (discussed in proof of proposition 4), so we get the following inequalities:

$$\frac{6}{\alpha^{s+2}} \Big[\psi_s \left(P, Q \right) - \Omega_s \left(Q, P \right) \Big] \le IS \left(P, Q \right) \le \frac{6}{\beta^{s+2}} \Big[\psi_s \left(P, Q \right) - \Omega_s \left(Q, P \right) \Big] \tag{A}$$

$$\frac{6}{\alpha^{s+2}} \Big[\tau_s (P,Q) - \Omega_s (Q,P) \Big] \le ES(P,Q) \le \frac{6}{\beta^{s+2}} \Big[\tau_s (P,Q) - \Omega_s (Q,P) \Big]$$
 (B)

$$\frac{6}{\alpha^{s+2}} \left[A_{S_{\phi_{2}}} \left(\alpha, \beta \right) - \Omega_{s} \left(Q, P \right) \right] \leq A_{S_{\phi_{1}}} \left(\alpha, \beta \right) - J^{*} \left(P, Q \right) \leq \frac{6}{\beta^{s+2}} \left[A_{S_{\phi_{2}}} \left(\alpha, \beta \right) - \Omega_{s} \left(Q, P \right) \right] \tag{C}$$

{We are omitting the proof and special cases of these inequalities at different values of "s".}

6. REFERENCES

- [1]. Csiszar I., "Information type measures of differences of probability distribution and indirect observations", *Studia Math. Hungarica*, 2(1967), 299-318.
- [2]. Dacunha- Castelle D., "Ecole d'Ete de Probabilites de Saint-Flour VII-1977, Berlin, Heidelberg", *New York: Springer*, 1978.
- [3]. Dragomir S.S., Gluscevic V. and Pearce C.E.M, "Approximation for the Csiszar f-divergence via midpoint inequalities, in inequality theory and applications" -Y.J. Cho, J.K. Kim and S.S. Dragomir (Eds.), *Nova Science Publishers, Inc., Huntington, New York, Vol. 1, 2001, pp. 139-154.*

- [4]. Jain K.C. and Chhabra Praphull, "Establishing relations among various measures by using well known inequalities", *International Journal of Modern Engineering Research (IJMER)*, vol. 4- no.1 (2014), pp. 238-246.
- [5]. Jain K.C. and Chhabra Praphull, "New information inequalities and its special cases", communicated.
- [6]. Jain K.C. and Saraswat R.N., "A new information inequality and its application in establishing relation among various f- divergence measures", *Journal of the Applied Mathematics, Statistics and informatics (JAMSI)*, vol.8-no.1 (2012), pp: 17-32.
- [7]. Kullback S. and Leibler R.A., "On Information and Sufficiency", Ann. Math. Statist., 22(1951), 79-86.
- [8]. Pearson K., "On the Criterion that a given system of deviations from the probable in the case of correlated system of variables is such that it can be reasonable supposed to have arisen from random sampling", *Phil. Mag.*, 50(1900), 157-172.
- [9]. Renyi A., "On measures of entropy and information", Proc. 4th Berkeley Symposium on Math. Statist. and Prob., 1(1961), 547-561.
- [10]. Sibson R., "Information radius", Z. Wahrs. Undverw. Geb., (14) (1969),149-160.
- [11]. Taneja I. J. and Kumar Pranesh, "Generalized non-symmetric divergence measures and inequalities" (2000) *The Natural Science and Engineering Research Council's Discovery grant to Pranesh Kumar.*
- [12]. Taneja I.J., New developments in generalized information measures, Chapter in: Advances in Imaging and Electron Physics, Ed. P.W. Hawkes, 91(1995), 37-135.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared