

WEIGHTED SHARING OF A SMALL FUNCTION OF A MEROMORPHIC FUNCTION AND ITS DERIVATIVES

C. K. Basu¹ and T. Lowha^{*2}

¹Department of Mathematics, West Bengal State University, Berunanpukuria,
P.O. Malikapur, Barasat, North 24 Parganas, Pin-700126, West Bengal, India.

²Department of Mathematics, Sarsuna College, Sarsuna, Kolkata, Pin-700061, West Bengal, India.

(Received on: 20-01-14; Revised & Accepted on: 11-03-14)

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we study the relationship between a meromorphic function and its k -th order derivative which share a small function with weight(multiplicities) l (a positive integer) ignoring multiplicity.

MR(2010) Subject Classification: 30D35.

Keywords and Phrases: Meromorphic function, sharing values, small function.

INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

In this paper we shall use the standard notations of Nevanlinna theory such as $T(r, f)$, $N(r, f)$, $m(r, f)$ and so on (see[3]), where f is a meromorphic function defined on the whole complex plane. The quantity $S(r, f)$ is defined by $S(r, f) = O(T(r, f))$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ possibly outside a set of finite linear measure. A meromorphic function $a(z)$ is called a small function with respect to f provided $T(r, a) = S(r, f)$ holds.

Suppose that f and g are two non-constant meromorphic functions, a is a small function with respect to f and g and k be a positive integer. Now f and g share ' a ' ignoring multiplicities or IM (counting multiplicities or CM) if $f - a$ and $g - a$

have the same zeros ignoring(counting) multiplicities. We denote by $N_{(k)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$, the counting function for zeros

of $f - a$ with multiplicity $\leq k$ (counting multiplicity), and by $\overline{N}_{(k)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$, the corresponding one for which the

multiplicity is not counted. Similarly by $N_{(k)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$, we mean the counting function for zeros of $f - a$ with

multiplicity at least k (counting multiplicity) and by $\overline{N}_{(k)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$, we mean the corresponding one for which the multiplicity is not counted.

We denote $N_k\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) = \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) + \overline{N}_{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) + \dots + \overline{N}_{(k)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$

where $\overline{N}_{(1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) = \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$ and $\delta_p(a, f) = 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_p\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)}{T(r, f)}$, where p is a positive

integer; then clearly $0 \leq \delta(a, f) \leq \delta_k(a, f) \leq \Theta(a, f) \leq 1$,

Corresponding author: T. Lowha^{*2}

²Department of Mathematics, Sarsuna College, Sarsuna, Kolkata, Pin-700061, West Bengal, India.

E-mail: t.lowha@gmail.com

$$\text{where } \delta(a, f) = 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)}{T(r, f)} \text{ and } \Theta(a, f) = 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)}{T(r, f)}$$

In [6], Q.C. Zhang proved the following theorem about a meromorphic function and its k-th order derivative.

Theorem: A Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function and let k be a positive integer. Suppose that f and $f^{(k)}$ share 1 CM and $2\overline{N}(r, f) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) \leq (\lambda + o(1))T(r, f^{(k)})$ for $r \in I$, where I is a set of infinite linear measure and λ satisfies $0 < \lambda < 1$ then $\frac{f^{(k)} - 1}{f - 1} \equiv c$ for some constant $c \in C - \{0\}$.

In 2003, Kit-wing [5] discussed the problem of a meromorphic function and its k -th derivative sharing one small function and proved the following result.

Theorem: B Let $k \geq 1$. Let f be a non-constant non-entire meromorphic function, $a \in s(f)$ and $a \neq 0, \infty$ and f do not have any common pole. If $f, f^{(k)}$ share a CM and $4\delta(0, f) + 2(8+k)\Theta(\infty, f) > 19 + 2k$, then $f = f^{(k)}$.

Two years later, in 2005, Q.C.Zhang [2] proved the following theorem.

Theorem: C Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function and $k(\geq 1), l(\geq 0)$ be integers. Also let $a \equiv a(z)$ ($not \equiv 0, \infty$) be a meromorphic function such that $T(r, a) = S(r, f)$. Suppose that $f - a$ and $f^{(k)} - a$ share $(0, l)$. If $l \geq 2$ and $(3+k)\Theta(\infty, f) + 2\delta_{2+k}(0, f) > k + 4$ or, if $l = 1$ and $(4+k)\Theta(\infty, f) + 3\delta_{2+k}(0, f) > k + 6$, or, if $l = 0$, i.e., $f - a$ and $f^{(k)} - a$ share the value 0 IM and $(6+k)\Theta(\infty, f) + 5\delta_{2+k}(0, f) > 2k + 10$ then $f \equiv f^{(k)}$.

Recently, in 2010, A.Chen, X.Wang and G.Zhang [7] proved the following results.

Theorem: D Let $k(\geq 1), n(\geq 1)$ be integers and f be a non-constant meromorphic function. Also let $a(z)$ ($not \equiv 0, \infty$) be a small function with respect to f . If f and $[f^n]^{(k)}$ share $a(z)$ IM and

$$4\overline{N}(r, f) + 2\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\left(\frac{f}{a}\right)}\right) + 2N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{(f^n)^{(k)}}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{(f^n)^{(k)}}\right) \leq (\lambda + o(1))T\left(r, (f^n)^{(k)}\right), \text{ or, if } f \text{ and}$$

$$[f^n]^{(k)} \text{ share } a(z) \text{ CM and } 2\overline{N}(r, f) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\left(\frac{f}{a}\right)}\right) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{(f^n)^{(k)}}\right) \leq (\lambda + o(1))T\left(r, (f^n)^{(k)}\right), \text{ for } 0 < \lambda < 1,$$

where $r \in I$ and I is a set of infinite linear measure, then $\frac{f - a}{(f^n)^{(k)} - a} = c$, for some constant $c \in C - \{0\}$.

Theorem: E Let $k(\geq 1), n(\geq 1)$ be integers and let f be a non-constant meromorphic function. Also let $a(z)$ ($not \equiv 0, \infty$) be a small function with respect to f . If f and $(f^n)^{(K)}$ share $a(z)$ IM and $(2k+6)\Theta(\infty, f) + 3\Theta(0, f) + 2\delta_{k+2}(0, f) > 2k + 10$, or, if f and $(f^n)^{(K)}$ share $a(z)$ CM and $(k+3)\Theta(\infty, f) + \delta_2(0, f) + \delta_{k+2}(0, f) > k + 4$ then $f \equiv (f^n)^{(k)}$.

In this paper, we will prove the following two theorems which will include the behavior of a meromorphic function and its k th derivative sharing a small function with multiplicity not greater than 1, a positive integer.

Theorem: 1 Let k, m and n are three positive integers with $m \leq n$ and let f be a non-constant meromorphic function. Also let $a(z)$ ($not \equiv 0, \infty$) be a small function with respect to f . If $\overline{E}_l(a, f^m(z)) = \overline{E}_l(a, f^{n(k)})$, where l is a positive integer and

$$\overline{N}(r, f) + 2N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + 2N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{(f^n)^{(k)}}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{(f^n)^{(k)}}\right) \leq (\lambda + O(1))T(r, (f^n)^{(k)}), \text{ for } 0 < \lambda < 1,$$

where $r \in I$ and I is a set of infinite linear measure, then $\frac{(f^n)^{(k)} - a}{f^m - a} = c$ for some constant $a \in C - \{0\}$ where C is the set of complex numbers.

Theorem: 2 Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function and let k and n be two positive integers. If $\overline{E}_l(a, f) \equiv \overline{E}_l(a, (f^n)^{(k)})$, where l is a positive integer and $a(z)$ ($not \equiv 0, \infty$) be a small function of f and $(2k+6)\Theta(\infty, f) + \Theta(0, f) + 2\delta_2(0, f) + 2\delta_{k+2}(0, f) > 2k+10$ then

$$f = (f^n)^{(k)}.$$

2. LEMMAS

Here we mention some existing lemmas of the literature which will be frequently used to prove the aforementioned theorems.

Lemma 2.1 (see[7]): Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function and k, p be two positive integers. Then

$$N_p\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) \leq N_{p+k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + k\overline{N}(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

Lemma: 2.2 (see[4]) Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function and let n be a positive integer.

$$P(f) = a_n f^n + a_{n-1} f^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 f$$

where a_i is a meromorphic function such that $T(r, a_i) = S(r, f)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$). Then

$$T(r, P(f)) = nT(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

3. PROOF OF THE THEOREMS

Proof of Theorem: 1 Let $F = \frac{f^m}{a}$ and $G = \frac{(f^n)^{(k)}}{a}$. Therefore, $F - 1 = \frac{f^m - a}{a}$ and $G - 1 = \frac{(f^n)^{(k)} - a}{a}$.

Now, $\overline{E}_l(a, f^m) = \overline{E}_l(a, (f^n)^{(k)})$ except the zeros and poles of $a(z)$. Define,

$$H = \left(\frac{F''}{F'} - \frac{2F''}{F-1}\right) - \left(\frac{G''}{G'} - \frac{2G''}{G-1}\right).$$

We now consider two cases:

Case: I Suppose $H \not\equiv 0$. Then $m(r, H) = S(r, f)$. Now if z_0 is a common simple zero of $F-1$ and $G-1$ (except the zeros and poles of $a(z)$), then after simple calculation, we get $H(z_0) = 0$.

$$\text{So, } \overline{N}_E\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{H}\right) + S(r, f) \leq T(r, H) + S(r, f) \leq N(r, H) + S(r, f)$$

Again by analysis, we can deduce that,

$$\begin{aligned} N(r, H) &\leq \overline{N}(r, f) + \overline{N}_{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + \overline{N}_{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + \overline{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \overline{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) + \overline{N}_*\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) \\ &\quad + \overline{N}_*\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) + \overline{N}_0\left(r, \frac{1}{F'}\right) + \overline{N}_0\left(r, \frac{1}{G'}\right) + S(r, f). \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Also, } \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) = N_E^{(1)} + \overline{N}_E^{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) + \overline{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) + \overline{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \overline{N}_*\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) + S(r, f).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) &\leq \overline{N}(r, f) + \overline{N}_{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + \overline{N}_{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + 2\overline{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) + 2\overline{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\ &\quad + 2\overline{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) + \overline{N}_E^{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) + 2\overline{N}_*\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) + \overline{N}_*\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) \\ &\quad + \overline{N}_0\left(r, \frac{1}{F'}\right) + \overline{N}_0\left(r, \frac{1}{G'}\right) + S(r, f) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Since, } \overline{E}_l(1, F) = \overline{E}_l(1, G),$$

$$\text{Therefore, } 2\overline{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) + 2\overline{N}_*\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) + \overline{N}_E^{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \leq 2\overline{N}_{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right).$$

From (1), we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) &\leq \overline{N}(r, f) + \overline{N}_{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + \overline{N}_{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + 2\overline{N}_{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\ &\quad + 2\overline{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \overline{N}_*\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \overline{N}_0\left(r, \frac{1}{F'}\right) + \overline{N}_0\left(r, \frac{1}{G'}\right) + S(r, f) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

We also have,

$$\overline{N}_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + 2\overline{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \overline{N}_*\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \overline{N}_0\left(r, \frac{1}{F'}\right) \leq 2\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F'}\right) \quad (3)$$

Now by the second fundamental theorem we get,

$$T(r, G) \leq \overline{N}(r, G) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) - N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{G'}\right) + S(r, G) \quad (4)$$

From (4) using (2) and (3) we get,

$$T(r, G) \leq 2\overline{N}(r, f) + 2\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F'}\right) + 2\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G'}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + S(r, f) \quad (5)$$

By lemma(2.1) we have,

$$T\left(r, f^n\right)^{(k)} \leq 6\overline{N}(r, f) + 2N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + 2N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{(f^n)^{(k)}}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{(f^n)^{(k)}}\right) + S(r, f)$$

which contradicts the given conditions of the theorem.

Case: II Suppose $H(z) \equiv 0$ i.e., $\frac{F''}{F'} - \frac{2F'}{F-1} = \frac{G''}{G'} - \frac{2G'}{G-1}$. Integrating we get,

$$\log F' - 2 \log(F-1) = \log G' - \log(G-1) + \log A. \quad \text{where } A \text{ is a constant } \neq 0.$$

$$\text{That is, } \log \frac{F'}{(F-1)^2} = \log \frac{AG'}{(G-1)^2}.$$

Again integrating we get,

$$\frac{1}{F-1} = \frac{A}{G-1} + B \quad (6)$$

Now if z_0 is a pole of f with multiplicity p which is not the poles and the zeros of $a(z)$, then z_0 is the pole of F with multiplicity mp and the pole of G with multiplicity $np+k(\neq mp)$. This contradicts (6). This implies f has no pole, that is f is an entire function.

So, $\bar{N}(r, F) = S(r, f)$ and $\bar{N}(r, G) = S(r, f)$. Now we prove that $B = 0$.

We first assume that $B \neq 0$, then $\frac{1}{F-1} = \frac{B\left(G-1+\frac{A}{B}\right)}{G-1}$.

Therefore, $\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1+\frac{A}{B}}\right) = \bar{N}(r, F) = S(r, f)$

Now we assume $\frac{A}{B} \neq 1$.

By the second fundamental theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, G) &\leq \bar{N}(r, G) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1+\frac{A}{B}}\right) + S(r, G) \\ &\leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq T(r, G) + S(r, f) \end{aligned}$$

Hence $T(r, G) = \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + S(r, f)$ i.e., $T(r, (f^n)^{(k)}) = \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{(f^n)^{(k)}}\right) + S(r, f)$

This contradicts the given condition of the theorem.

Next, we assume $\frac{A}{B} = 1$. Then, $(AF - A - 1)G = -1$.

So, $\frac{a^2}{f^n(Af^m - Aa - A)} = -\frac{(f^n)^{(k)}}{f^n}$

Now by lemma (2.1) and (2.2), we get,

$$\begin{aligned} (n+m)T(r, f) &= T\left(r, \frac{(f^n)^{(k)}}{f^n}\right) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq n\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + k\bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq nT(r, f) + S(r, f) \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $T(r, f) = S(r, f)$. This is not true.

Hence our assumption is not true and therefore $B = 0$. So, $\frac{G-1}{F-1} = A$

This proves the theorem.

Proof of the theorem: 2

Let $F = \frac{f(z)}{a(z)}$ and $G = \frac{(f^n)^{(k)}}{a(z)}$. So, $\bar{E}_l(a, f) = \bar{E}_l(a, (f^n)^{(k)})$ implies, $\bar{E}_l(1, F) = \bar{E}_l(1, G)$, except the zeros and poles of $a(z)$.

$$\text{We define, } H = \left(\frac{F''}{F'} - \frac{2F'}{F-1} \right) - \left(\frac{G''}{G'} - \frac{2G'}{G-1} \right).$$

Now we consider two cases:

Case: I Suppose $H \not\equiv 0$.

Then (5) of the proof in theorem1 still holds. Writing (5) for the function F , we get,

$$T(r, F) \leq 2\bar{N}(r, f) + 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F'}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + S(r, f)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i.e. } T(r, f) &\leq 2\bar{N}(r, f) + 2\bar{N}_2\left(r, \frac{1}{(f^n)^{(k)}}\right) + 2\bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}_2\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + 2\bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq (2k+6)\bar{N}(r, f) + 2N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + 2\bar{N}_2\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + S(r, f) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{i.e., } (2k+6)\Theta(a, f) + \Theta(0, f) + 2\delta_2(0, f) + 2\delta_{k+2}(0, f) \leq 2k+10$$

This contradicts the given condition of the theorem.

Case: II Suppose $H \equiv 0$.

So $\frac{1}{F-1} = \frac{A}{G-1} + B$, where $A \neq 0$, B are constant. By the same argument of the proof of theorem 1, we get,

$$\bar{N}(r, F) = S(r, f) \text{ and } \bar{N}(r, G) = S(r, f).$$

$$\text{So, } \Theta(\infty, f) = 1.$$

$$\text{Assume that, } B \neq 0, \text{ then } \frac{B\left(F-1-\frac{1}{B}\right)}{F-1} = -\frac{A}{G-1}$$

$$\text{So, } \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1+\frac{1}{B}}\right) = \bar{N}(r, G) = S(r, f).$$

If $B \neq -1$, then by the second fundamental theorem for F , we have

$$T(r, F) \leq \bar{N}(r, F) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1+\frac{A}{B}}\right) + S(r, f)$$

$$\leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + S(r, f)$$

$$\leq T(r, F) + S(r, f)$$

$$\text{So } T(r, F) \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + S(r, f) \text{ i.e., } T(r, f) \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right). \text{ Hence, } \Theta(0, f) = 0.$$

Putting $\Theta(\infty, f) = 1; \Theta(0, f) = 0$ and also $\delta(0, f) \leq \Theta(0, f) = 0$ in the given condition of the theorem we have, $\delta_{k+2}(0, f) > 2$, which is not true. Hence $B = -1$.

So $\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) = S(r, f)$, i.e., $\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) = S(r, f)$. Therefore, $\frac{F}{F-1} = \frac{A}{G-1}$,

i.e., $F(G-1-A) = -A$ that is $F = \frac{A}{-G + (1+A)}$.

So, $f = \frac{A}{-(f^n)^{(k)} + (1+A)}$. Therefore, $\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{(f^n)^{(k)} + (1+A)}\right) = \overline{N}(r, f) = S(r, f)$.

Hence $T(r, f) = T(r, (f^n)^{(k)}) = S(r, f)$. which is also not true. Thus $B = 0$.

So $\frac{1}{F-1} = \frac{A}{G-1}$, i.e., $G-1 = A(F-1)$.

If $A \neq 1$ then $G = A\left(F-1 + \frac{1}{A}\right)$. So, $N\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) = N\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1 + \frac{1}{A}}\right)$.

By the second fundamental theorem, we have,

$$T(r, F) \leq \overline{N}(r, F) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1 + \frac{1}{A}}\right) + S(r, f).$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f) &\leq \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + S(r, f). \\ &= \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{(f^n)^{(k)}}\right) + S(r, f). \\ &\leq \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + k\overline{N}(r, f) + S(r, f) \\ &= \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + S(r, f) \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\Theta(0, f) + \delta_{k+1}(0, f) \leq 1 \tag{7}$$

Now by the given condition of the theorem and by (7) we have, $\Theta(0, f) > 2$. This is not possible.

So, $A = 1$ and hence $F = G$ i.e., $f = (f^n)^{(k)}$.

This proves the theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] Chen A., Wang X., and Zhang G., Unicity of meromorphic function sharing one small function with its derivative, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, Artical Id 507454, P.11, 2010.
- [2] Fang M.L., Hong W., A unicity theorem for entire functions concerning differential polynomials, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 32(9)(2001), 1343-1348.

- [3] Hayman W.K., Meromorphic functions, Oxford Mathematical Monographs Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
- [4] Lin S., Lin W., Uniqueness of meromorphic function concerning weakly weighted sharing, Kodai mathematical Journal, Vol29, pp.269-280, 2006.
- [5] Yang C.C., On deficiencies of differential polynomials II, Math.Z. 125(1972), 107-112.
- [6] Yang C.C., Yi H.X., Uniqueness theory of meromorphic function, Mathematics and its Application, Vol.557, Science Press, Beijing, China; Kluwer Academic, New York, NY, USA, 2003.
- [7] Yi H.X., Yang C.C., Uniqueness theory of meromorphic functions, Science Press, Beijing, 1995.
- [8] Yu K.W., On entire and meromorphic functions that share small functions with their derivatives, J. Inequal. Pure and Appl. Math. 4(2003), 1-7.
- [9] Zhang Q.C., Meromorphic function that shares one small function with its derivative, Journal of Inequalities in pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol.6, no.4, Article 116, P.13, 2005.
- [10] Zhang Q.C., The uniqueness of meromorphic functions with their derivatives, Kodai Mathematical Journal, Vol21, no.2, pp.179-184, 1998.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared