# International Journal of Mathematical Archive-5(3), 2014, 209-221

## THERMAL INSTABILITY IN A ROTATING MICROPOLAR VISCOELASTIC FLUID LAYER UNDER EFFECT OF ELECTRIC FLIED

Sayed A. Zaki\*, Mohamed I. Othman and Adel Y. Elmekawy

Department of Mathematics and statistics, Faculty of Science Taif University, Taif, Saudi Arabia.

(Received on: 15-02-14; Revised & Accepted on: 08-03-14)

## ABSTRACT

The problem of onset of convective instability in a dielectric micropolar viscoelastic fluid (Walters' liquid B) heated from below confined between two horizontal plates under the simultaneous action of the rotation of the system, vertical temperature gradient, one relaxation time and vertical electric field is considered. Linear stability theory is used to derive an eigenvalue of twelve order, and an exact eigenvalue equation for a neutral instability is obtained. Under somewhat artificial boundary conditions, this equation can be solved exactly to yield the eigenvalue relationship from which various critical values are determined in detail. Critical Rayleigh heat numbers and wave number for the onset of instability are presented graphically as a function of rotation at a certain value of the Prandtl number, for various values of the relaxation time, the Rayleigh electric number, the elastic parameter and micropolar parameters.

Keywords: Instability, Viscoelastic, Rotation, micropolar, The power series method.

## NOMENCLATURE

L	distance between two rigid boundaries
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$	microrotating
ρ	density
μ	coefficient of viscosity
Р	pressure
<b>k</b> ,α,β,γ	material constants of the heat conducting micropolar fluid defined in Eq. (3)
C <sub>v</sub>	specific heat at constant volume
k <sub>v</sub>	thermal conductivity
j	microinertia
$\mathbf{v} = (u, v, w)$	velocity
3	dielectric constant
e	coefficient of relative variation of the dielectric constant with temperature
το	relaxation time
g = (0, 0, -g)	the gravitational acceleration
αο	coefficient of relative variation of the density with temperature
Т	temperature
$\mathbf{E} = (0, 0, \mathbf{E}_z)$	electric field
K <sub>o</sub>	the elastic constant of Walters' liquid $\mathbf{B}'$
$\eta_o$	the limiting viscosity at small rate of shear
$\nu = \frac{\eta_o}{\rho_o}$	the kinematic viscosity

Corresponding author: Sayed A. Zaki\*

Department of Mathematics and statistics, Faculty of Science Taif University, Taif, Saudi Arabia. \*E- mail : zaky\_sayed@hotmail.com

$$K = \frac{k}{\nu \rho_{o}}$$
 the thermal diffusivity  

$$K_{o}^{*} = \frac{K}{\rho_{o}L^{2}}$$
 the elastic parameter  
 $\delta$  coefficient giving according

befficient giving account of the coupling between the spin flux and the heat flux

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

In recent years, using the theory of micropolar fluids developed by Eringen [1, 2], several authors [3-5] have investigated problems related to stability and turbulence. As the theory of micropolar fluids encompass a wide variety of fluids (for example: liquid crystals, polymers, animal blood, etc.), in which randomly oriented bar like elements, dumbbell molecules or spherical particles are present, and as each volume element of the fluid undergoes translation as well as rotation, the analysis of the problems of stability revealed a number of interesting physical phenomena which are unseen in Newtonian fluids.

Initiating the study of thermal instability of a micropolar fluid layer heated from below, Ahmadi [6] has shown that there exists cellular convection at the onset of instability. Assuming that the boundaries are free from shear stress and microrotation, he has obtained an analytical solution in the case of free boundaries. His analysis shows that the micropolar fluids are more stable than Newtonian ones. Datta and Sastry [7] have extended the analysis of Ahmadi to the case of heat conducting micropolar fluids. They have found that the heat induced by microrotation causes instability of the layer, whether the fluid is heated from below or above. The instability for heating from above is quite a novel phenomenon as it does not have analogous in Newtonian fluid. While analysing the problem of convective instability finds applications in the area of Geophysics, for example, in understanding the phenomenon of rising of valconic liquid with bubbles, and convective process inside the earth's mantle. However, he has concluded his analysis without any calculation of eigenvalue. Rama Rao [9] has examined the onset of instability in a heat conducting micropolar fluid layer confined between rigid boundaries an unmerical solution of the eigenvalue problem, he has shown that, in the case of adverse temperature gradient, the convective cells at the onset of instability are more elongated than those in the case of positive temperature gradient.

The effect of rotating on thermal convection in micropolar fluids is important in certain chemical engineering and biochemical situations. Sharma and Kumar [10] studied the effect of uniform rotation on thermal instability micropolar fluid. They found that the present of coupling between thermal and micropolar effect might introduce oscillatory motion in the system.

In technological field there exists an important class of fluids, called non-Newtonian fluids, which are also being studied extensively because of their practical applications. One such fluid is called viscoelastic fluid. Walters [11] and Beard and Walters [12] deduced the governing equations for the boundary flow for a prototype viscoelastic fluid which they have designated as liquid B' when this liquid has a very short memory. Singh and Singh [13] have studied the magneto-hydrodynamic flow of a viscoelastic fluid past an accelerated plate. Othman [14] has studied the stability of a rotating layer of viscoelastic dielectric liquid (Walters' liquid B') heated from below. Othman [15] investigated the convective stability of a horizontal layer of viscoelastic conducting liquid (Walters' liquid B') heated from below. Mathematical axis in the presence of a magnetic field and thermal relaxation. In these works, more general model of magneto-hydrodynamic free convection flow which also includes the relaxation time of heat convection and the electric permeability of the electromagnetic field are used. The inclusion of the relaxation time and electric permeability modify the governing thermal and electromagnetic equations, changing them from parabolic to hyperbolic type, and there by eliminating the unrealistic result that thermal disturbance is realized instantaneously everywhere within a fluid.

An important stability problem is the thermal convection in a horizontal thin layer of fluid heated from below. A detailed account of thermal convection in a horizontal thin layer of Newtonian fluid heated from below, under varying assumptions of hydrodynamics, has been given by Chandrasekhar [16]. Othman [17] analyzed the problem of the onset of stability in a horizontal layer of viscoelastic dielectric fluid (Walters' liquid B') under the simultaneous action of a vertical ac electric field and a vertical temperature gradient without rotation.

In the present paper our object is to study the thermal instability of a rotating heat conducting micropolar viscoelastic fluid layer confined between rigid boundaries in the presence of ac electric field and thermal relaxation. Hear, we employ the basic equations of the heat conducting micropolar viscoelastic fluid referred to a rotating frame.

#### 2. FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

We consider an incompressible, dielectric and infinite micropolar viscoelastic fluid layer confined between two horizontal surfaces separated by a distance L. Choosing the origin on the lower boundary, let us introduce the Cartesian co-ordinate system x,y,z in which z is measurement at right angles to the boundaries. Let the system be rotating (round the z-axis) with a uniform angular velocity  $\Omega = (0,0,\Omega)$ . The lower bounding surface at z = 0 and the upper bounding surface at z = L are maintained at constant temperatures  $T_0$  and  $T_1$ , respectively. The lower surface is grounded and the upper surface is kept at high alternating (60 HZ) potential whose root-mean-square value is  $\phi_1$ .

Under the foregoing assumptions the basic equations can be written as [17]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{i}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{i}} &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{1} \\ \rho \left[ \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{i}}{\partial \mathbf{t}} + \mathbf{v}_{k} \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{i}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{k}} \right] &= \rho \mathbf{g}_{i} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{P}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{i}} + \eta_{o} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{v}_{i}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{k} \partial \mathbf{x}_{k}} - \mathbf{f}_{ei} - \mathbf{K}_{o} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{t}} \left( \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{v}_{i}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{k} \partial \mathbf{x}_{k}} \right) \right. \\ &+ \mathbf{v}_{m} \left( \frac{\partial^{3} \mathbf{v}_{i}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{m} \partial \mathbf{x}_{k} \partial \mathbf{x}_{k}} \right) - \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{i}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{m}} \right) \left( \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{v}_{m}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{k} \partial \mathbf{x}_{k}} \right) - 2 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{v}_{m}} \left( \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{v}_{i}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{m} \partial \mathbf{x}_{k}} \right) \right] \\ &+ 2e_{ijk} \rho \mathbf{v}_{j} \Omega_{k} + k e_{ijk} \frac{\partial \sigma_{i}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{j}}, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

$$\rho j \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + (v \cdot \nabla) \right] \sigma (\alpha + \beta) \nabla (\nabla \cdot \sigma) + \gamma \nabla^2 \sigma + k (\nabla \wedge v) - 2k\sigma,$$
(3)

$$\rho C_{\mathbf{v}} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v}.\nabla) \right] \mathbf{T} = k_{v} \nabla^{2} T + \delta \nabla T \cdot (\nabla \wedge \sigma) + \rho C_{\mathbf{v}} \tau_{o} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v}.\nabla) \right] \mathbf{T},$$
(4)

$$\nabla \cdot \left(\varepsilon E\right) = 0,\tag{5}$$

and

$$\nabla \wedge E = 0 \text{ or } E = -\nabla \varphi. \tag{6}$$

where,  $f_{ei}$  is the force of electric origin which may be expressed as Landau and Lifshitz [18]

$$\mathbf{f}_{ei} = \rho_{e} \mathbf{E}_{i} - \frac{1}{2} E^{2} \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial x_{i}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{i}} \left( \rho \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \rho} \mathbf{E} \right)^{2}$$
(7)

taking into account the fact that the free charge density  $\rho_e$  is zero. If we replace the pressure

$$\mathbf{P}^* = \mathbf{P} - \frac{1}{2} \,\rho \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \rho} \mathbf{E}^2 \tag{8}$$

The electrostriction term disappear from Eq. (2) which can be rewritten in the form:

$$\rho \left[ \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{i}}{\partial \mathbf{t}} + \mathbf{v}_{k} \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{i}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{k}} \right] = \rho \mathbf{g}_{i} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{P}^{*} \varepsilon}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{i}} + \eta_{o} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{v}_{i}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{k} \partial \mathbf{x}_{k}} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{i}} - \mathbf{K}_{o} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{t}} \left( \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{v}_{i}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{k} \partial \mathbf{x}_{k}} \right) \right] + \mathbf{v}_{m} \left( \frac{\partial^{3} \mathbf{v}_{i}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{m} \partial \mathbf{x}_{k} \partial \mathbf{x}_{k}} \right) - \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{i}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{m}} \right) \left( \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{v}_{m}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{k} \partial \mathbf{x}_{k}} \right) - 2 \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{v}_{k}} \left[ \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{v}_{i}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{m} \partial \mathbf{x}_{k}} \right] \right] + 2e_{ijk} \rho \mathbf{v}_{j} \Omega_{k} + ke_{ijk} \frac{\partial \sigma_{i}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{j}}.$$
(9)

The mass density and the dielectric constant are assumed to be functions of temperature as follows:

$$\rho = \rho_0 \left[ 1 - \alpha_0 \left( T - T_0 \right) \right], \ \alpha_0 > 0 \tag{10}$$

$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{o} \left[ 1 - e \left( T - T_{o} \right) \right], \quad e > 0$$
<sup>(11)</sup>

where  $\alpha_0$  and e are usually positive.

It is clear that there exist the following steady solutions (denoted by an over bar):

u = v = w = 0, ,

$$\overline{\sigma} = 0, \tag{13}$$

$$T = T_0 - \beta_0 z, \tag{14}$$

$$\overline{\rho} = \rho_0 [1 + \alpha_0 \beta_0 z], \tag{15}$$

$$\overline{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon_0 [1 + e\beta_0 z], \tag{16}$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathbf{x}} = 0, \ \overline{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathbf{y}} = 0, \ \overline{E}_{z} = \frac{E_{0}}{\left(1 + e\beta_{0}z\right)}, \tag{17}$$

$$\overline{\varphi} = -\left(\frac{E_o}{e}\right) \text{Log}\left(1 + e \beta_o z\right)$$
(18)

here,

$$\beta_{o} = \frac{T_{o} - T_{l}}{L},\tag{19}$$

$$E_0 = -\frac{\varphi e \beta_0}{\log\left(1 + e \beta_0 z\right)},\tag{20}$$

are the adverse temperature gradient and the root-mean-square value of the electric field at z = 0. If necessary, the modified pressure  $\overline{P}^*$  can be determined from

$$0 = \overline{\rho g_i} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} - \frac{1}{2} \overline{E_z^2} \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial x_i}.$$
(21)

Let this initial steady state be slightly perturbed where the simple relation  $\psi = \overline{\psi} + \psi'$  can be expressed any physical quantities  $\psi$  after perturbation and prime refers to perturbed quantities. Following the usual steps of linear stability theory we can obtain the following main equations:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}'}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}'}{\partial \mathbf{y}} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}'}{\partial \mathbf{z}} = 0,$$
(22)

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \upsilon \nabla^2\right) \nabla^2 w' - \left(\alpha g + \frac{\varepsilon_0 e^2 E_0^2 \beta}{\rho_0}\right) \nabla_1^2 T' - \frac{\varepsilon_0 e E_0 \beta}{\rho_0} \nabla_1^2 \frac{\partial \varphi'}{\partial z} + \frac{K_0}{\rho_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla^4 w' + 2\Omega \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial z} - \frac{k}{\rho_0} \nabla^2 \Omega_3' = 0,$$
(23)

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \upsilon \nabla^2\right) \varsigma = 2\Omega \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} - \frac{K_0}{\rho_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla^2 \varsigma, \qquad (24)$$

$$\rho_{o}j \frac{\partial \Omega_{3}}{\partial t'} = \gamma \nabla^{2} \Omega_{3} - k [\nabla^{2} w' + 2\Omega_{3}], \qquad (25)$$

© 2014, IJMA. All Rights Reserved

212

(12)

$$\rho_{o}c_{v}\left(1+\tau_{o}\frac{\partial}{\partial t'}\right)\left[\frac{\partial T'}{\partial t'}-\beta_{o}w'\right]=k_{v}\nabla^{2}T'-\delta\beta_{o}\Omega_{3},$$
(26)

$$\nabla^2 \phi' + e E_o \frac{\partial T'}{\partial z'} = 0.$$
<sup>(27)</sup>

where,  $\zeta = \frac{\partial v'}{\partial x'} - \frac{\partial u'}{\partial y'} = (\nabla \wedge v)_z$  is the z-component of vorticity.

$$\Omega_3 = \frac{\partial \sigma_2'}{\partial x'} - \frac{\partial \sigma_1'}{\partial y'} = (\nabla \wedge \boldsymbol{\sigma})_z, \quad \nabla_1^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}, \quad \nabla^2 = \nabla_1^2 + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}.$$

The boundary conditions appropriate for the problem are given by [10]

$$\mathbf{w}' = \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{w}'}{\partial z'^2} = \mathbf{T}' = \mathbf{\phi}' = \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial z'} = \Omega_3 = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad \mathbf{z}' = 0, \mathbf{L}$$
(28)

Now, introducing the nondimensional variables given by  $L, \frac{k_v}{L}, \frac{L^2}{k_v}, \beta L, \frac{k_v}{L^2}, L, \frac{k_v}{L}, \beta L, \frac{k_v}{L^2}, e_L, \frac{k_v}{L^2}, \frac{k_v}{L^$ 

and 
$$\Omega = \frac{k_v}{L^2}$$

as units of length, velocity, time, temperature, vorticity, electro potential, microrotation and rotation of the fluid respectively, we obtain the equations governing the disturbances as:

$$\left(P_{r}^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\nabla^{2}\right)\nabla^{2}w-\left(R_{H}+R_{E}\right)\nabla_{1}^{2}T-R_{E}\nabla_{1}^{2}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial z}+K_{o}^{*}P_{r}^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\nabla^{4}w+2\Omega\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial z}-K\nabla^{2}\Omega_{3}=0$$
(29)

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - P_r \nabla^2\right) \zeta = 2P_r \Omega \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} - K_0^* \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla^2 \zeta, \tag{30}$$

$$\bar{j}\frac{\partial\Omega_3}{\partial t} = C_0 \nabla^2 \Omega_3 - K_1 [\nabla^2 w + 2\Omega_3], \qquad (31)$$

$$\left(1+\tau_0\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} - \nabla^2 T = \left(1+\tau_0\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)w - \overline{\delta}\Omega_3$$
(32)

$$\nabla^2 \varphi + \frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial z} = 0.$$
(33)

where,

$$\begin{split} R_{\rm H} &= \frac{\alpha g \beta L^4}{k_{\rm v} \nu} \text{ is the Rayleigh heat number,} \\ R_{\rm E} &= \frac{\varepsilon_0 e^2 E_0^2 \beta^2 L^4}{\rho_0 k_{\rm v} \nu} \text{ is the Rayleigh electric number,} \\ P_{\rm r} &= \frac{\nu}{k_{\rm v}} \text{ is the Prandtl number, } \bar{j} = \frac{j}{L^2}, \ C_0 &= \frac{\gamma}{\rho_0 L^2 k_{\rm v}}, \ K_1 = \frac{k}{\rho_0 k_{\rm v}}, \ \bar{\delta} = \frac{\delta}{\rho_0 c_{\rm v} L^2}. \end{split}$$

#### **3. NORMAL MODE ANALYSIS**

Following the normal mode analysis we assume that the solutions of Eqs. (29-33) are given by:

$$\begin{bmatrix} w, \zeta, T, \varphi, \Omega_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} W(z), Z(z), \Theta(z), \Phi(z), G(z) \end{bmatrix} \exp \begin{bmatrix} ct + i(ax + by) \end{bmatrix}$$
(34)  
© 2014, IJMA. All Rights Reserved
(34)

where,  $\lambda = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$  is the wave number and c is the stability parameter which is, in general, a complex constant.

For solutions having the dependence of the form (34), Equestion. (29-33) yield

$$\begin{bmatrix} P_r^{-1}c - (D^2 - \lambda^2) \end{bmatrix} (D^2 - \lambda^2) w + (R_H + R_E) \Theta + \lambda^2 R_E D \Phi + K_0^* P_r^{-1} c (D^2 - \lambda^2)^2 w + 2\Omega DZ - K (D^2 - \lambda^2) G = 0,$$
(35)

$$\left[c - P_r \left(D^2 - \lambda^2\right)\right] z = 2P_r \Omega D W - K_0^* c \left(D^2 - \lambda^2\right) \zeta,$$
(36)

$$\left[\left(\ell c+2A\right)-\left(D^{2}-\lambda^{2}\right)\right]G=-A\left(D^{2}-\lambda^{2}\right)W,$$
(37)

$$\left[c\left(1+\tau_{0}c\right)-\left(D^{2}-\lambda^{2}\right)\right]\Theta=\left(1+\tau_{0}c\right)W-\overline{\delta}G,$$
(38)

$$\left(D^{2}-\lambda^{2}\right)\Phi+D\Theta=0..$$
(39)

where

$$\ell = \frac{\bar{j}A}{K_1}, \ A = \frac{K_1}{C_o}, \ D = \frac{d}{dz}.$$
 (40)

In seeking solutions of these equations we must impose certain boundary conditions at the lower surface z = 0 and the upper surface z = 1. The most realistic boundary conditions may be written as

$$W = DW = \Theta = \Phi = Z = G = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad z = 0,1 \tag{41}$$

In this paper, however, we shall use somewhat different boundary conditions given by [21]

$$W = D^{2} W = \Theta = \Phi = DZ = G = 0 \text{ at } z = 0,1$$
(42)

This case, although admittedly an artificial one to consider, is of importance since its exact solution is readily obtained. Furthermore, from past experience with problems of this kind (see for example, Chandrasekhar [16] and Turnbull [20]), one may feel fairly confident that the general features of the physical situation will be disclosed by a discussion of this case equally as well as by a discussion of solutions satisfying less artificial boundary conditions.

Eliminating  $Z, \Theta, \Phi$  and G from Equation (35-39), we obtain:

$$\begin{cases} \left[ c + \left( \mathbf{K}_{o}^{*}c - \mathbf{P}_{r} \right) \left( D^{2} - \lambda^{2} \right) \right] \left[ \ell c + 2A - \left( D^{2} - \lambda^{2} \right) \right] \left[ P_{r}^{-1}c - \left( D^{2} - \lambda^{2} \right) \right] \times \\ \left[ c \left( 1 + \tau_{o} \right) - \left( D^{2} - \lambda^{2} \right) \right] \left( D^{2} - \lambda^{2} \right)^{2} \\ + \lambda^{2} \left( R_{H} + R_{E} \right) \left( 1 + \tau_{o}c \right) \left[ c + \left( \mathbf{K}_{o}^{*}c - \mathbf{P}_{r} \right) \left( D^{2} - \lambda^{2} \right) \right] \times \\ \left[ \ell c + 2A - \left( D^{2} - \lambda^{2} \right) \right] \left( D^{2} - \lambda^{2} \right) \\ + \overline{\delta}\lambda^{2}A \left( R_{H} + R_{E} \right) \left[ c + \left( \mathbf{K}_{o}^{*}c - \mathbf{P}_{r} \right) \left( D^{2} - \lambda^{2} \right) \right] \left( D^{2} - \lambda^{2} \right)^{2} \\ + \mathbf{K}_{o}^{*} P_{r}^{-1}c \left[ c + \left( \mathbf{K}_{o}^{*}c - \mathbf{P}_{r} \right) \left( D^{2} - \lambda^{2} \right) \right] \left[ c \left( 1 + \tau_{o}c \right) - \left( D^{2} - \lambda^{2} \right) \right] \times \\ \left[ \ell c + 2A - \left( D^{2} - \lambda^{2} \right) \right] \left( D^{2} - \lambda^{2} \right)^{3} - \lambda^{2}R_{E} \left( 1 + \tau_{o}c \right) \left[ c + \left( \mathbf{K}_{o}^{*}c - \mathbf{P}_{r} \right) \left( D^{2} - \lambda^{2} \right) \right] \times \\ \left[ \ell c + 2A - \left( D^{2} - \lambda^{2} \right) D^{2} \right] - \overline{\delta}\lambda^{2}AR_{E} \left[ c + \left( \mathbf{K}_{o}^{*}c - \mathbf{P}_{r} \right) \left( D^{2} - \lambda^{2} \right) \right] \left( D^{2} - \lambda^{2} \right) D^{2} \\ + 4\Omega^{2}P_{r} \left[ \ell c + 2A - \left( D^{2} - \lambda^{2} \right) \right] \left[ c \left( 1 + \tau_{o}c \right) - \left( D^{2} - \lambda^{2} \right) \right] \left( D^{2} - \lambda^{2} \right) D^{2} \\ + KA \left[ c + \left( \mathbf{K}_{o}^{*}c - \mathbf{P}_{r} \right) \left( D^{2} - \lambda^{2} \right) \right] \left[ c \left( 1 + \tau_{o}c \right) - \left( D^{2} - \lambda^{2} \right) \right] \left( D^{2} - \lambda^{2} \right)^{3} \end{cases} \right\}$$

(43)

It can be shown from equation (43) that all even order derivatives of W vanish on the boundaries. The proper solution for W characterizing the lowest mode is:

$$W = W_{o} \sin \pi z , \qquad (44)$$

where  $\,W_{o}$  is a constant. Substituting (44) in (43) and putting  $\,\pi^{2}+\lambda^{2}=b$  , we obtain:

$$\lambda^{2} \mathbf{R}_{H} \left\{ \overline{\delta}b^{2}A \Big[ c - b \Big( K_{0}^{*}c - P_{r} \Big) \Big] - b \big( 1 + \tau_{0}c \big) \Big[ c - b \Big( K_{0}^{*}c - P_{r} \Big) \Big] \Big[ \ell c + 2A + b \Big] \right\} \\ + \lambda^{2} \mathbf{R}_{H} \Big[ \ell c + 2A + b \Big] \left\{ \begin{aligned} b \big( 1 + \tau_{0}c \big) \Big[ c - b \Big( K_{0}^{*}c - P_{r} \Big) \Big] \Big[ \ell c + 2A + b \Big] - \overline{\delta}b^{2}A \Big[ c - b \Big( K_{0}^{*}c - P_{r} \Big) \Big] \right\} \\ - \pi^{2} \big( 1 + \tau_{0}c \big) \Big[ c - b \Big( K_{0}^{*}c - P_{r} \Big) \Big] + \overline{\delta}b^{2}A\pi^{2} \Big[ c - b \Big( K_{0}^{*}c - P_{r} \Big) \Big] \\ + K_{0}^{*}b^{3}P_{r}^{-1}c \Big[ c - b \Big( K_{0}^{*}c - P_{r} \Big) \Big] \Big[ c \big( 1 + \tau_{0}c \big) + b \Big] \Big[ \ell c + 2A + b \Big] + KAb^{3} \Big[ c - b \Big( K_{0}^{*}c - P_{r} \Big) \Big] \\ \times \Big[ c \big( 1 + \tau_{0}c \big) + b \Big] - b^{2} \Big[ c - b \Big( K_{0}^{*}c - P_{r} \Big) \Big] \big[ \ell c + 2A + b \Big] \Big[ c P_{r}^{-1} + b \Big] \Big[ c \big( 1 + \tau_{0}c \big) + b \Big] \\ - 4A\pi^{2}\Omega^{2}P_{r}b \Big[ \ell c + 2A + b \Big] \Big[ c \big( 1 + \tau_{0}c \big) + b \Big].$$
(45)

## 4. OVERSTABILITY MOTIONS

Since c is, in general, a complex constant we put  $c = c_r + i\omega$ , where  $c_r$  and  $\omega$  are real. The marginal state is reached when  $c_r = 0$ ; if  $\omega = 0$ , one says that principle of exchange of stabilities is valid otherwise we have overstability and then  $c = i\omega$  at marginal stability.

Putting 
$$c = i \omega$$
 in equation (44), the real and imaginary parts of equation (45) yield:  
 $R = X + i \omega Y$ 
(46)

There, X and Y are real-valued functions of  $P_r, \tau_0, \lambda, \Omega, R_E, A, K, \overline{\delta}, K_0^*, \ell$  and  $\omega$ , and explicit expansions for these functions are follows:

$$\mathbf{X} = \frac{\mathbf{A}_{1} \,\mathbf{A}_{3} + \boldsymbol{\omega}^{2} \,\mathbf{A}_{2} \,\mathbf{A}_{4}}{\lambda^{2} (\,\mathbf{A}_{1}^{2} + \mathbf{A}_{2}^{2}\,)},\tag{47}$$

$$Y = \frac{A_1 A_4 - A_2 A_3}{\lambda^2 (A_1^2 + A_2^2)}$$
(48)

where

$$A_{1} = \left\{ P_{r} \left( \overline{\delta} A - 1 \right) - \omega^{2} \tau_{0} K_{0}^{*} \right\} b^{3} + \left\{ \omega^{2} \tau_{0} - \omega^{2} K_{0}^{*} \left( \ell + 2A \tau_{0} \right) + P_{r} \left( \ell \omega^{2} \tau_{0} - 2A \right) \right\} b^{2} + \omega^{2} \left\{ \ell + 2A \tau_{0} \right\} b, \quad (49)$$

$$A_{2} = \left\{ -K_{0}^{*} \left( \overline{\delta} A - 1 \right) - P_{r} \tau_{0} \right\} b^{3} + \left\{ \left( \overline{\delta} A - 1 \right) + K_{0}^{*} \left( 2A - \ell \omega^{2} \tau_{0} \right) - P_{r} \left( \ell + 2A \tau_{0} \right) \right\} b^{2} + \left\{ \ell \omega^{2} \tau_{0} + 2A \right\} b, \quad (50)$$

$$A_{3} = \left\{ \omega^{2} K_{0}^{*2} P_{r}^{-1} P_{r} \right\} b^{6} + \left\{ A P_{r} \left( K - 2 \right) - \omega^{2} K_{0}^{*} \left( 2 P_{r}^{-1} + 2 + \omega^{2} K_{0}^{r} \tau_{0} P_{r}^{-1} - 2A K_{0}^{r} P_{r}^{-1} + 2\ell \right) \right\} b^{5} \\ + \left\{ \begin{aligned} \omega^{4} K_{0}^{*} \left( 2\ell \tau_{0} - K_{0}^{*} \ell P_{r}^{-1} - 2K_{0}^{*} A P_{r}^{-1} \tau_{0} + 2P_{r}^{-1} \tau_{0} \right) - 4\omega^{2} K_{0}^{*} A \left( 1 + P_{r}^{-1} \right) \right\} b^{4} \\ \omega^{2} \left( 1 + 2\ell \right) + \omega^{2} A K \left( K_{0}^{*} - P_{r} \tau_{0} \right) \\ + \left\{ \begin{aligned} \lambda^{2} R_{E} \left( P_{r} - \overline{\delta} A P_{r} + \omega^{2} K_{0}^{*2} \tau_{0} \right) + 2\omega^{4} K_{0}^{*} P_{r}^{-1} \left( \ell + 2A \tau_{0} \right) \\ - \omega^{4} \ell \tau_{0} \left( 1 + P_{r}^{-1} \right) + \omega^{2} \left( 4A - \omega^{2} P_{r}^{-1} \tau_{0} + 2P_{r}^{-1} A - kA \right) - 4\pi^{2} \Omega^{2} P \right\} b^{3} \end{aligned} \right\} b^{3}$$

#### © 2014, IJMA. All Rights Reserved

$$+ \begin{cases} \lambda^{2} R_{E} \left[ \omega^{2} K_{0}^{*} \left( \ell + 2A\tau_{0} \right) - \omega^{2} \tau_{0} \left( 1 + P_{r} \ell \right) + 2AP - \pi^{2} \left( P_{r} + \omega^{2} K_{0}^{*} \tau_{0} - \overline{\delta} A P_{r} \right) \right] \\ + 4\pi_{2} \Omega^{2} P_{r} \left( \omega^{2} \tau_{0} - 2A \right) \\ + \begin{cases} \lambda^{2} R_{E} \left[ \omega^{2} \pi^{2} \left( \tau_{0} - K_{0}^{*} \ell - 2K_{0}^{*} A \tau_{0} + P_{r} \ell \tau_{0} \right) - \omega^{2} \left( \ell + 2A\tau_{0} \right) - 2\pi^{2} P_{r} A \right] \\ + 4\pi^{2} \omega^{2} \Omega^{2} P_{r} \left( \ell + 2A\tau_{0} \right) \end{cases} b \\ + \pi^{2} \lambda^{2} \omega^{2} R_{E} \left( \ell + 2A\tau_{0} \right), \end{cases}$$
(51)

$$\begin{aligned} A_{4} &= 2K_{0}^{*2}b^{6} + \left\{ (1+\ell) \left( \omega^{2}K_{0}^{*2}P_{r}^{-1} - P_{r} \right) + K_{0}^{*2} \left( 4A - 2\omega^{2}\tau_{0} - KA \right) - 2 \right\} b^{5} \\ &+ \left\{ \frac{\omega^{2}K_{0}^{*2}P_{r}^{-1} \left( 2A - \omega^{2}\ell \tau_{0} \right) - \omega^{2}K_{0}^{*} \left( 2\ell + 2P_{r}^{-1} - KA\tau_{0} \right) + \omega^{2} \left( 2\tau_{0} - \ell P_{r}^{-1} + \ell P_{r}\tau_{0} \right) \right\} b^{4} \\ &+ \left\{ A(K - KP_{r} - 4) - \left( 1 + P_{r}^{-1} \right) \right\} b^{4} \\ &+ \left\{ \lambda^{2}R_{E} \left( P_{r}\tau_{0} - K_{0}^{*} + \overline{\delta}K_{0}^{*}A \right) + 2\omega^{2}K_{0}^{*}P_{r}^{-1} \left( \omega^{2}\ell \tau_{0} - 2A \right) + \omega^{2} \left( 2P_{r}^{-1}\ell + \ell + 4A\tau_{0} + P_{r}^{-1} - KA\tau_{0} \right) \right\} b^{3} \\ &+ \left\{ \lambda^{2}R_{E} \left[ 1 + P \left( \ell + 2A\tau_{0} \right) - \overline{\delta}A + \pi^{2} \left( K_{0}^{*} - P\tau_{0} \right) - \pi^{2}K_{0}^{*}\overline{\delta}A + K_{0}^{*} \left( \omega^{2}\ell \tau_{0} - 2A \right) \right] \right\} b^{2} \\ &+ \left\{ \lambda^{2}R_{E} \left[ 2A - \omega^{2}\ell \tau_{0} + \pi^{2} \left( \overline{\delta}A - 1 + 2K_{0}^{*}A - \omega^{2}K_{0}^{*}\ell \tau_{0} - P_{r}\ell - 2P_{r}A\tau_{0} \right) \right] \right\} b \\ &+ \left\{ \lambda^{2}R_{E} \left[ \omega^{2}\ell \tau_{0} - 2A \right) \\ &+ \pi^{2}\lambda^{2}R_{E} \left( \omega^{2}\ell \tau_{0} - 2A \right). \end{aligned} \right\} d^{2} \end{aligned} \right\} d^{2} \end{aligned}$$

It is apparent from Eq. (45) that for arbitrary assigned values of  $P_r$ ,  $R_E$ ,  $\tau_o$ ,  $\lambda$ ,  $\Omega$ ,  $K_o^*$ , A, K,  $\overline{\delta}$ ,  $\ell$  and  $\omega$ ,  $R_H$  will be complex but the physical meaning of R required it to be real.

Consequently, from the condition that R must be real, so we have either

$$R_{H} = X \quad and \quad \omega = 0 \tag{53}$$

$$R_H = X \quad and \quad Y = 0. \tag{54}$$

From Eq. (53) we obtain the eigenvalue equation for a natural stationary instability,

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{H}} = \frac{\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{3}}}{\lambda^2 \, \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{1}}} \,. \tag{55}$$

In this case  

$$A_{1} = P_{r} \left( A\overline{\delta} - 1 \right) b^{3} - 2AP_{r}b^{2}$$
(56)

$$A_{3} = -P_{r}b^{6} + AP(K-2)b^{5} + \left[\lambda^{2}R_{E}P_{r}\left(1-\overline{\delta}A\right) - 4\pi^{2}\Omega^{2}P\right]b^{3} + \left[\lambda^{2}R_{E}P_{r}\left(\pi^{2}\overline{\delta}A - 2A - \pi^{2} - 8\pi^{2}\Omega^{2}P_{r}A\right)\right]b^{2} - 2\pi^{2}P_{r}\lambda^{2}R_{E}Ab \qquad .$$

$$(57)$$

For Newtonian viscous fluid  $R_E = A = K = \overline{\delta} = \Omega = \omega = 0$ , Eq. (55) reduces to

$$R_{\rm H} = \frac{b^3}{\lambda^2} \quad . \tag{58}$$

hich agrees with the classical result (Chandrasekhar [16]). Equation (55) will give the critical Rayleigh heat number  $R_{HC}$  for the onset of stationary instability.

#### © 2014, IJMA. All Rights Reserved

On the other hand Eq. (54) leads,

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{H}} = \frac{\mathbf{A}_{1} \mathbf{A}_{3} + \boldsymbol{\omega}^{2} \mathbf{A}_{2} \mathbf{A}_{4}}{\lambda^{2} (\mathbf{A}_{1}^{2} + \mathbf{A}_{2}^{2})},$$
(59)

and

$$A_1 A_4 - A_2 A_3 = 0. ag{60}$$

For assigned values of  $P_r, K_0^*, \tau_0, \Omega, A, K, \overline{\delta}, \ell$  and  $R_E$  Eqs. (59) and (60) define  $R_H$  as a function of  $\lambda$ , the minimum of this function determines the critical Rayleigh number  $R_{HC}$  for the onset of oscillatory convection (i.e. overstability) should be compared with that the onset of stationary convection (i.e. ordinary instability). The type of instability, which takes place in practice, will be that corresponding to the lower value of the critical Rayleigh heat number.

## 5. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In order to determine the conditions under which instability sets in overstability  $P_r, K_0^*, \tau_0, \Omega, A, K, \overline{\delta}, \ell$  and  $R_E$  were assigned fixed values, and the value of  $\omega$  was evaluated numerically from Eq. (60). Using this value of  $\omega$ , the value of  $R_H$  was evaluated numerically from Eq. (59). The procedure was then repeated for various values of  $\lambda$  in order to locate the minimum of  $R_H$ . The critical Rayleigh heat number  $R_{HC}$  obtained for both stationary instability and overstability is shown in Figs.1-4.

We have plotted the variation of the critical Rayleigh heat number  $R_{HC}$  with the rotation  $\Omega$  using Eq. (59) satisfying (60) for the onset of over stable case for values of the dimensionless parameters  $P_r = 100$ ,  $\vec{\delta} = 1, 0.5, 0.1$ ,  $K_0^* = 0.1, 0.8$ , K = 1,  $\ell = 1$ ,  $\tau_0 = 0.02, 0.05$  and A = 0.2, 0.5, Figure 1 represents the dependence of  $R_{HC}$  on  $\Omega$  for three values of  $R_E = 0,1000,2000$ ,  $\tau_0 = 0.02,0.05, \ \overline{\delta} = 1, K_0^* = 0.1$  and A = 0.2, Figure 2 represents the dependence of  $R_{HC}$  on  $\Omega$  in the case of  $R_E = 1000$ . Figure 3 represents the dependence of  $R_{HC}$  on  $\Omega$  in the case of  $R_E = 1000$ , A = 0.2,  $K_0^* = 0.1, 0.8$ , and  $\overline{\delta} = 1$ . Figure 4 represents the dependence of  $R_{HC}$  on  $\Omega$  in the case of  $R_E = 1000$ , A = 0.2,  $\tau_0 = 0.02$ , 0.05,  $K_0^* = 0.1$  and  $\overline{\delta} = 0.1, 0.5$ . The flow is stable if  $R_H < R_{HC}$  and otherwise unstable.

Figures 1-4 reveal that the critical Rayleigh heat number  $R_{HC}$  decreases with an increase the Rayleigh electric number  $R_H$  and the elastic parameter  $K_0^*$ , while  $R_{HC}$  increases with an increase the relaxation time  $\tau_0$ , the rotation  $\Omega$  and the parameters A,  $\overline{\delta}$  (i.e. the onset of stability is delayed as  $R_E$  and  $K_0^*$  increase, while the onset of instability is delayed as  $\tau_0$ ,  $\Omega$ ,  $\overline{\delta}$  and A increase). The value of  $R_{HC}$  for an oscillatory instability is greater than that of a stationary instability.

In figure 5 we have exhibited the dependence of critical wave number  $\lambda_{\rm C}$  on  $\Omega$  for three values of  $\delta = 1, 0.5, 0.1$ ,  $\tau_{\rm o} = 0.02, 0.05, K_0^* = 0.1, R_E = 1000$  and A = 0.2, Figure 5 reveals that the critical wave number  $\lambda_{\rm C}$  decreases or increases as  $\overline{\delta}$  or  $\tau_{\rm o}$  increases. This implies that the width of the cell at the onset of instability increases with the heat imparted by microrotation, while it reduces as the relaxation time  $\tau_{\rm o}$  increases.

In the case of a Newtonian fluid, it is well known that the rotation introduces vorticity into the fluid. Then, the fluid moves in the horizontal plates with higher velocity. On account of this motion the velocity of the fluid perpendicular to the plates reduces, thus the onset of convection is inhibited. In the case of a micropolar fluid, free from the rotation of the system, it is apparent that a part of vorticity of the fluid reduces the velocity of the fluid, and hence delays the onset of instability. When the system is subject to low rotation, the microrotation and the rotation of the system have reinforced each other as the net effect of these two agents is to curtail the vertical component of the velocity. On the other hand, in *© 2014, IJMA. All Rights Reserved* 

the case of high rotation the motion of the fluid prevails essentially in the horizontal plates. This motion is reduced by the presence of micropolar additives. Thus the component of the velocity perpendicular to the horizontal plates enhances, thereby the system is prone to instability.

## 6. CONCLUSION

Natural convection of a rotating micropolar viscoelastic fluid heated from below in the presence of electric field has been analyzed numerically. The study focused on the effect of a rotating micropolar fluid, elastic parameter, electric field and relaxation time on the convection phenomenon. From the above analysis, we conclude that the micropolar additives, the rotation of the system and the relaxation time have stabilizing effect while the elastic parameter and the presence of electric field have destabilizing effect. It is also noted from Figs. 1-4 that the critical Rayleigh heat number for overstability is always greater than the critical Rayleigh heat number for stationary convection.

## 7. REFERENCES

- 1. Eringen, A. C., Şuhubi, E. S.: Nonlinear theory of simple micro-elastic solids, Int. J. Engng. Sci., 2, (1964) 189-203.
- 2. Eringen, A. C.: Theory of micropolar fluids, J. Math. Mech. 16, (1966) 1-18.
- 3. Jean, S. K., Bhattacharyya, S. P.: The effect of microstructure on the thermal convection in a rectangular box of fluid heated from below, Int. J. Engng. Sci. 24, (1986) 69.
- Hsu, T. H., Chen, C. K.: Natural convection of micropolar fluids in a rectangular enclosure, Int. J. Eng. Sci. 34, (1996) 407-415.
- 5. Peddiesou, J.: Boundary-layer theory for a micropolar fluid, Int. J. Engng. Sci.10, (1972) 23.
- 6. Ahmadi, G.: Stability of micropolar fluid layer heated from below, Int. J. Eng. Sci. 14, (1976) 81-89.
- 7. Datta, A. B., Sastary, V. U. K.: Thermal instability of a horizontal layer of micropolar fluid heated from below, Int. J. Engng. Sci. 14, (1976) 631-637.
- 8. Walzer, U.: Ger. Bcit. Geo-physik Leipzig, 85, (1976) 137.
- 9. Rama Rao, K. V.: Thermal instability in a micropolar fluid layer between rigid boundaries, Acta Mechanica, 32, (1979) 79.
- 10. Sharma, R. C., Kumar, P.: Effect of rotation on thermal convection in micropolar fluids, Int. J. Engng. Sci. 32, (1994) 545-551.
- 11. Walters, K.: Second-order effects in elasticity, Plasticity and fluid dynamics. p. 507, Oxford: Pergamon Press, 1964.
- 12. Beard, D. W., Walters, K.: Elastico-viscous boundary-layer flows, I. Two- dimensional flow near a stagnation point, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 60, (1964), 667-671.
- 13. Singh, A., Singh, J.: Magnetohydrodynamic flow of a viscoelastic fluid past an accelerated plate, Nat. Acad. Sci. Lett. 6, (1983) 233-241.
- 14. Othman, M. I. A.: Elactrohydrodynamic instability of a rotating layer of a visco- elastic fluid heated from below, ZAMP, 55, (2004) 1-15.
- 15. Othman, M. I. A., Zaki, S. A.: The effect of thermal relaxation time on a elactro-hydrodynamic viscoelastic fluid layer heated from below, Can. J. Phys., 81, (5) (2003) 779-787.
- 16. Chandrasekhar, S.: Hydrodynamic and hydromagnetic stability, Oxford Univ. Press, London, 1961.
- 17. Othman, M. I. A.: Electrohydrodynamic stability in a horizontal viscoelastic fluid layer in the presence of a vertical temperature gradient, Int. J. Engng. Sci. 39, (2001) 1217-1232.
- 18. Landau, L., Lifshitz, E.: Elactrohydrodynamics of continuous media, Pergamon Press, New York, 1960.
- 19. Bhattacharyya, S. P., Abbas, M.: On the stability of a hot rotating layer of micro-polar fluid, Lett. Appl. Engng. Sci. 23, (1985) 371.
- 20. Turnbull, R. J.: Effect of dielectrophoretic forces on the Benard

## 8. FIGURES CAPTIONS



Fig.1 Represents the critical Rayleigh heat number  $R_{HC}$  as a function of  $\Omega$  for various values of  $\tau_o$  and  $R_E$  at  $P_r = 100$ , A = 0.2,  $\ell = 1$ , K = 1,  $\overline{\delta} = 1$ ,  $\omega = 5$  and  $K_o^* = 0.1$ .



Fig.2 Represents the critical Rayleigh heat number  $R_{HC}$  as a function of  $\Omega$  for various values of  $\tau_o$  and A at  $P_r$  =100,  $K_o^*$  = 0.1,  $\ell$  =1, K =1,  $\overline{\delta}$  =1,  $\omega$  = 5 and  $R_E$  =1000.  $\omega$  =0 represents the onset of stationary convection .



Fig.3 Represents the critical Rayleigh heat number  $R_{HC}$  as a function of  $\Omega$  for various values of  $\tau_o$  and  $K_o^*$  at  $P_r$  =100, A = 0.2,  $\ell$  =1, K =1,  $\overline{\delta}$  =1,  $\omega$  = 5 and  $R_E$  =1000.  $\omega$  =0 represents the onset of stationary convection .



Fig.4 Represents the critical Rayleigh heat number  $R_{HC}$  as a function of  $\Omega$  for various values of  $\tau_o$  and  $\overline{\delta}$  at  $P_r = 100$ ,  $K_o^* = 0.1$ ,  $\ell = 1$ , K = 1, A = 0.2,  $\omega = 5$  and  $R_E = 1000$ .  $\omega = 0$  represents the onset of stationary convection.

Sayed A. Zaki<sup>\*</sup>, Mohamed I. Othman and Adel Y. Elmekawy/ Thermal Instability in a Rotating Micropolar Viscoelastic Fluid Layer Under Effect of Electric Flied / IJMA- 5(3), March-2014.





Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared