ON AN UPPER BOUND FOR STRUCTURE GRACEFUL INDEX OF COMPLETE GRAPHS

R. B. Gnanajothi

Associate Professor, Vanniaperumal College for Women, Virudhunagar-626 001, India.

R. Ezhil Mary*

Assistant Professor, V. H. N. Senthikumara Nadar College, Virudhunagar-626001, India.

(Received On: 07-07-14; Revised & Accepted On: 23-07-14)

ABSTRACT

A graph structure $G = (V, R_1, R_2, ..., R_k)$ consists of a non-empty set V together with relations $R_1, R_2, ..., R_k$ on V which are mutually disjoint such that each R_i, $1 \le i \le k$, is symmetric and irreflexive. If $(u, v) \in R_i$ for some i, $1 \le i \le k$, we call it a R_iedge and write it as uv. The structure graceful index of a graph G is defined as the minimum k for which G is kstructure graceful. Let us denote it by SGI(G). In our previous paper, we prove that the SGI(K_n)=2, for 4 < n < 11. In this paper we obtain the upper bound for the SGI(K_n), for n > 10.

INTRODUCTION

In many real life situations, we are using complete graphs. Also, graceful labeling plays a vital role. But the complete graph K_n is not graceful for n > 4.

A graph G = (V,E) is said to be k-structure graceful if E can be partitioned into k disjoint subsets E_1, E_2, \dots, E_k such that the graph structure (V(G), $E_1, E_2, ..., E_k$) is graceful. The structure graceful index of a graph G is defined as the minimum k for which G is k-structure graceful. Let us denote it by SGI(G).

In our previous paper, we proved SGI(K_n) = 2, 4 < n < 11. In the course of the proof, we found a graph G_n , which is graceful for n > 4. A G_n graph has V(G_n) = { $v_1, v_2, ..., v_n$ } and E(G_n) = { $v_1v_i/i > 1$ } \bigcup { $v_2v_i/i > 2$ } \bigcup { $v_3v_i/i > 3$ } \bigcup $\{v_i v_n / 5 \le j \le n\}$, for n > 4. Using this G_n graph, we find the upper bound for the structure graceful index of K_n, n > 10.

Definitions:

- 1. A graph structure $G=(V,R_1,R_2,...,R_k)$ consists of a non-empty set V together with relations $R_1,R_2,...,R_k$ on V which are mutually disjoint such that each R_i , $1 \le i \le k$, is symmetric and irreflexive.
- 2. A graph G = (V,E) is said to be k-structure graceful if E can be partitioned into k disjoint subsets E_1, E_2, \dots, E_k such that the graph structure $(V(G), E_1, E_2, ..., E_k)$ is graceful.
- The structure graceful index of a graph G is defined as the minimum k for which G is k-structure graceful. Let 3. us denote it by SGI(G).

Theorem

$$SGI(K_n) \leq \begin{cases} \left[\frac{n-5}{4}\right] + 1, \text{ when } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ \left[\frac{n-6}{4}\right] + 1, \text{ when } n \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \\ \left[\frac{n-7}{4}\right] + 2, \text{ when } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \\ \left[\frac{n-8}{4}\right] + 2, \text{ when } n \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

~ 7

where n > 10.

Corresponding author: R. Ezhil Mary*

To prove this theorem we need the following lemma.

Lemma: One point union of K_m and $K_{1,n}$ is graceful for 2 < m < 7.

Proof: Let G be a one point union of K_m and $K_{1,n}$, n > 0. Let $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{m+n}\}$ and $E(G) = \{v_1v_1 / 2 \le i \le m+n\} \cup \{v_1v_k / 2 \le j \le k \le m\}.$

Case - (i): When m = 3

Define
$$f(v_i) = \begin{cases} 0, i = 1 \\ i, i > 1 \end{cases}$$

f is injective:

 $f(v_1) \neq f(v_i)$, since $f(v_1)$ is 0 and $f(v_i)$ is a positive integer for i > 1.

Also $f(v_i) \neq f(v_j)$ if $i \neq j$. Therefore f is an injective function.

Let
$$A_1 = \{ \ell(v_1v_i) / 2 \le i \le 3+n \} = \{ |\ell(v_1) - \ell(v_i)| / 2 \le i \le 3+n \}$$

= $\{ |\ell(v_1) - \ell(v_2)| \} \cup \{ |\ell(v_1) - \ell(v_3)| \cup \dots \cup \{ |\ell(v_1) - \ell(v_{3+n})| \}$
= $\{2, 3, \dots, 3+n \}$ (1)

$$A_{2} = \{ \ell(v_{2}v_{3}) \} = \{ |\ell(v_{2}) - \ell(v_{3})| \} = \{ |2 - 3| \} = \{ 1 \}$$
(2)

From (1) & (2) $A_1 \cup A_2 = \{1, 2, \dots, 3+n\}$

Thus f: V (G) $\rightarrow Z_{3+n}$ is an injective function and the edges receive the labels from {1, 2,... 3+n}. Hence one point union of K₃ and K_{1,n} is graceful.

Case - (ii): When m = 4

Define f(v_i) =
$$\begin{cases} 0, i = 1 \\ 6, i = 2 \\ 5, i = 3 \\ 2, i = 4 \\ i + 2, 5 \le i \le 4 + n \end{cases}$$

f is injective:

 $f(v_1) \neq f(v_i)$, for i>1, since $f(v_1)$ is 0 and $f(v_i)$ is not 0 for i > 1.

For $i \ge 5$, $f(v_i) \ge 7$. Therefore $f(v_i) \ne f(v_i)$ when $i \ge 5$ and $1 \le j \le 4$.

Clearly, $f(v_i) \neq f(v_j)$ for $5 \le i, j \le 4+n$. Therefore f is an injective function.

Let
$$A_3 = \{ \ell(v_1v_i) / 2 \le i \le 4+n \} = \{ | \ell(v_1) - \ell(v_i)| / 2 \le i \le 4+n \}$$

 $= \{ | \ell(v_1) - \ell(v_2)|, | \ell(v_1) - \ell(v_3)|, \dots, | \ell(v_1) - \ell(v_{4+n})| \}$
 $= \{ |0-6|, |0-5|, |0-2|, |0-7|, |0-8|, \dots, |0-(n+6)| \}$
 $= \{ 6, 5, 2, 7, 8, \dots, n+6 \}$
(3)
 $A_4 = \{ \ell(v_2v_i) / 3 \le i \le m \} = \{ | \ell(v_2) - \ell(v_i)| / 3 \le i \le m \}$
 $= \{ | \ell(v_2) - \ell(v_3)|, | \ell(v_2) - \ell(v_4)| \}$
 $= \{ |6-5|, |6-2| \} = \{ 1, 4 \}$
(4)

$$A_{5} = \{ \ell(v_{3}v_{4}) \} = \{ | \ell(v_{3}) - \ell(v_{4}) | \} = \{ |5 - 2| \} = \{3\}$$
(5)

From (3), (4) & (5) $A_3 \cup A_4 \cup A_5 = \{1, 2, ..., n+6\}$

Thus f: V (G) \rightarrow Z _{6+n} is an injective function and the edges receive the labels from {1, 2,... 6+n}. Hence one point union of K₄ and K_{1,n} is graceful.

Case - (iii): When m = 5

$$Define \ f(v_i) = \begin{cases} 0, \ i = 1 \\ 11, \ i = 2 \\ 10, \ i = 3 \\ 2, \ i = 4 \\ 7, \ i = 5 \\ 6, \ i = 6 \\ i + 5, \ 7 \leq i \leq 5 + n \end{cases}$$

f is injective:

 $f(v_1) \neq f(v_i)$, since $f(v_1)$ is 0 and $f(v_i)$ is a positive integer for i > 1.

Also $f(v_i) \neq f(v_j)$, for $2 \le i < j \le 5+n$. Therefore f is an injective function.

Let
$$A_6 = \{ \ell(v_1v_i) / 2 \le i \le 5+n \} = \{ | \ell(v_1) - \ell(v_i)| / 2 \le i \le 5+n \}$$

 $= \{ | \ell(v_1) - \ell(v_2)|, | \ell(v_1) - \ell(v_3)|,, | \ell(v_1) - \ell(v_{5+n})| \}$
 $= \{ |0-11|, |0-10|, |0-2|, |0-7|, |0-6|, |0-12|, |0-13|, ..., |0-(n+10)| \}$
 $= \{ 11, 10, 2, 7, 6, 12, 13, ..., n+10 \}$
(6)
 $A_7 = \{ \ell(v_iv_i) / 2 \le i \le j \le 5 \} = \{ | \ell(v_i) - \ell(v_i)| / 2 \le i \le j \le 5 \}$

$$= \{ |\ell(v_2) - \ell(v_3)|, |\ell(v_2) - \ell(v_4)|, |\ell(v_2) - \ell(v_5)| \} \cup \{ |\ell(v_3) - \ell(v_4)|, (v_3) - \ell(v_5)| \} \cup \{ |\ell(v_4) - \ell(v_5)| \} \\= \{ |11 - 10|, |11 - 2|, |11 - 7 \} \cup \{ |10 - 2|, |10 - 7| \} \cup \{ |2 - 7| \} = \{ 1, 9, 4, 8, 3, 5 \}$$

$$(7)$$

From (6) & (7) $A_6 \cup A_7 = \{1, 2, ..., n+10\}$

Thus f: V (G) \rightarrow Z $_{10+n}$ is an injective function and the edges receive the labels from {1, 2,... 10+n}. Hence one point union of K₅ and K_{1,n} is graceful.

Case - (iv): When m = 6

$$Define \ f(v_i) = \begin{cases} 0, \ i = 1 \\ 17, \ i = 2 \\ 16, \ i = 3 \\ 2, \ i = 4 \\ 13, \ i = 5 \\ 7, \ i = 6 \\ 8, \ i = 7 \\ 12, \ i = 8 \\ i + 9, \ 9 \le i \le 6 + n \end{cases}$$

f is injective:

 $f(v_1) \neq f(v_i)$, since $f(v_1)$ is 0 and $f(v_i)$ is a positive integer for i > 1.

Also $f(v_i) \neq f(v_j)$ if $i \neq j$, for $2 \le i, j \le 6+n$. Therefore f is an injective function.

Let $A_8 = \{ \ell(v_1v_i) / 2 \le i \le 6+n \} = \{ | \ell(v_1) - \ell(v_i) | / 2 \le i \le 6+n \}$ $= \{ | \ell(v_1) - \ell(v_2) |, | \ell(v_1) - \ell(v_3) |,, | \ell(v_1) - \ell(v_{6+n}) | \}$ $= \{ |0-17|, |0-16|, |0-2|, |0-13|, |0-7|, |0-8|, |0-12|, |0-18|, |0-19|, ..., |0-(n+15)| \}$ $= \{ 17, 16, 2, 13, 7, 8, 12, 18, 19, ..., n+15 \}$ (8) $A_9 = \{ \ell(v_iv_i) / 2 \le i, j \le 6, i < j \} = \{ | \ell(v_i) - \ell(v_i) | / 2 \le i, j \le 6, i < j \}$ $= \{ | \ell(v_2) - \ell(v_3) |, | \ell(v_2) - \ell(v_4) |, ..., | \ell(v_2) - \ell(v_6)| \} \cup \{ | \ell(v_3) - \ell(v_4) |, | \ell(v_3) - \ell(v_5) |, | \ell(v_3) - \ell(v_5) |, | \ell(v_3) - \ell(v_6) | \} \cup \{ | \ell(v_3) - \ell(v_6) | \} \cup \{ | \ell(v_4) - \ell(v_5) |, | \ell(v_4) - \ell(v_6) | \} \cup \{ | \ell(v_5) - \ell(v_6) | \}$ $= \{ | 17-16|, |17-2|, |17-13|, |17-7 | \} \cup \{ |16-2|, |16-13|, |16-7 | \} \cup \{ |2-13|, |2-7 | \} \cup \{ |13-7 | \}$ $= \{ 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9, 10, 11, 14, 15 \}$ (9)

From (8) & (9) $A_8 \cup A_9 = \{1, 2, ..., n+15\}$

Thus f: V (G) \rightarrow Z _{15+n} is an injective function and the edges receive the labels from {1, 2,... 15+n}. Hence one point union of K₆ and K_{1,n} is graceful.

Proof for the theorem: Partition the edges of K_n ie) $E(K_n)$ into two sets namely, $E(G_n)$ and $E(K_n \setminus G_n)$, then $E(K_n \setminus G_n)$ into $E(G_{n-4})$ and $E(\overline{K_{13} \setminus G_{13}} \setminus G_{n-4})$, then $E(\overline{K_n \setminus G_n} \setminus G_{n-4})$ into $E(G_{n-8})$ and $(E(\overline{K_n \setminus G_n \setminus G_{n-4}} \setminus G_{n-8}), (E(\overline{K_n \setminus G_{n-4}} \setminus G_{n-8}))$ into $E(G_{n-12})$ and $\overline{K_n \setminus G_n \setminus G_{n-4}} \setminus G_{n-8}$ and so on.

From this partition, in the last step we arrive the following cases:

- (i) E(G₉) and edges in one point union of K₅ and $K_{1,\lfloor\frac{n}{4}\rfloor-1}$, when $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$
- (ii) E(G₁₀) and edges in one point union of K_6 and $K_{1,\left[\frac{n}{4}\right]-1}$, when $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$
- (iii) E(G₇) and edges in one point union of K_3 and $K_{1,\lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor}$, when $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$

(iv) E(G₈) and edges in one point union of K_4 and $K_{1,\left|\frac{n}{4}\right|}$, when $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$

When $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$:

We have subgraphs which contain the edges of G_n , G_{n-4} , G_{n-8} , ..., G_9 and one point union of K_5 and $K_{1,\lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor^{-1}}$.

Let
$$G_n^{(j)} = \langle A_j \rangle, j = 1, 2, ..., m + 1$$
, where $m = \left[\frac{n-5}{4}\right]$

where $\langle A_1 \rangle$ is the subgraph of K_n induced by the edges in G_n .

 $< A_2 > is$ the subgraph of $K_n \setminus G_n$ induced by the edges in G_{n-4} .

 $< A_m > is$ the subgraph of $K_n \setminus G_n \setminus G_{n-4} \setminus ... \setminus G_{13}$ induced by the edges in G_9 and

 $< A_{m+1} >$ is the subgraph of $K_n \setminus G_n \setminus G_{n-4} \setminus \dots \setminus G_{13}$ induced by the edges in one point union of K_5 and $K_{1,\lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor - 1}$

We have already proved that the graph G_n is graceful for n > 4. Therefore $G_n^{(j)}$, j = 1, 2, ..., m is graceful. By case (iii), $G_n^{(j)}$, j = m + 1 is graceful. Totally we have $m + 1 = \left[\frac{n-5}{4}\right] + 1$ graceful subgraphs. \therefore SHI $(K_n) \le \left[\frac{n-5}{4}\right] + 1$.

When $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$:

We have sub graphs which contain the edges of G_n , G_{n-4} , G_{n-8} , ..., G_{10} and one point union of K_6 and $K_{1,\lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor^{-1}}$.

Let
$$G_n^{(j)} = \langle A_j \rangle, j = 1, 2, ..., m + 1$$
, where $m = \left[\frac{n-6}{4}\right]$

where $\langle A_1 \rangle$ is the subgraph of K_n induced by the edges in G_n .

 $< A_2 >$ is the subgraph of $K_n \setminus G_n$ induced by the edges in G_{n-4} .

 $< A_m >$ is the subgraph of $K_n \setminus G_n \setminus G_{n-4} \setminus ... \setminus G_{14}$ induced by the edges in G_{10} and

 $< A_m > 15$ the subgraph of $K_n \setminus G_n \setminus G_{n-4} \setminus \dots \setminus G_{14}$ induced by the edges in one point union of K_6 and $K_1 \setminus \frac{n}{4} = 1$.

Again $G_n^{(j)}$, j = 1, 2, ..., m are graceful and by case (iv), $G_n^{(j)}$, j = m + 1 is also graceful. we have m + 1 = $\left[\frac{n-6}{4}\right]$ +1 graceful subgraphs.

$$\therefore \text{ SHI } (\mathbf{K}_{n}) \leq \left[\frac{n-6}{4}\right] + 1.$$

When $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$:

We have sub graphs which contain the edges of G_n , G_{n-4} , G_{n-8} , ..., G_7 and one point union of K_3 and $K_{1,\lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor}$.

Let
$$G_n^{(j)} = \langle A_j \rangle, j = 1, 2, ..., m + 2$$
, where $m = \left[\frac{n-7}{4}\right]$

where $\langle A_1 \rangle$ is the subgraph of K_n induced by the edges in G_n .

 $< A_2 >$ is the subgraph of $K_n \setminus G_n$ induced by the edges in G_{n-4} .

..... $< A_{m+1} > \text{ is the subgraph of } K_n \setminus G_n \setminus G_{n-4} \setminus \ldots \setminus G_{11} \text{ induced by the edges in } G_7 \text{ and }$

 $< A_{m+1} > 1s$ the subgraph of $K_n \setminus G_n \setminus G_{n-4} \setminus \dots \setminus G_{11}$ induced by the edges in one point union of K_3 and $K_{1, \lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor}$.

Here also $G_n^{(j)}$, j = 1, 2, ..., m + 1 are graceful and by case (i), $G_n^{(j)}$, j = m + 2 is graceful. And we have

m + 2 =
$$\left[\frac{n-6}{4}\right]$$
 +2 graceful subgraphs.
∴ SHI (K_n) ≤ $\left[\frac{n-7}{4}\right]$ + 2

When $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$:

In this form we have subgraphs which contain the edges of G_n , G_{n-4} , G_{n-8} , ..., G_8 and one point union of K_4 and $K_{1, \lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor}$.

Again let $G_n^{(j)} = \langle A_j \rangle, j = 1, 2, ..., m + 2$, where $m = \left| \frac{n-8}{4} \right|$

where $\langle A_1 \rangle$ is the subgraph of K_n induced by the edges in G_n .

 $< A_2 >$ is the subgraph of $K_n \setminus G_n$ induced by the edges in G_{n-4} .

..... $< A_{m+1} >$ is the subgraph of $K_n \setminus G_n \setminus G_{n-4} \setminus ... \setminus G_{12}$ induced by the edges in G_8 and

 $\langle A_{m+1} \rangle$ is the subgraph of $K_n \setminus G_n \setminus G_{n-4} \setminus ... \setminus G_{12}$ induced by the edges in one point union of K_4 and $K_{1, \lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor}$.

 $G_n^{(j)}$, j = 1, 2, ..., m + 1 are graceful and by case (ii), $G_n^{(j)}$, j = m + 2 is graceful. And we have m + 2 = $\left|\frac{n-6}{4}\right|$ +2

graceful subgraphs.

$$\therefore \text{ SHI } (\mathbf{K}_{n}) \leq \left[\frac{n-8}{4}\right] + 2.$$

Hence the proof.

Illustration: The 3–structure graceful labeling of K_{13} is shown below:

Here we have $13 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

Hence by the above result, SGI (K₁₃) = $\left\lceil \frac{n-5}{4} \right\rceil + 1 = 2 + 1 = 3.$

R. B. Gnanajothi and R. Ezhil Mary*/ On An Upper Bound for Structure Graceful Index of Complete Graphs/ IJMA-5(7), July-2014.

For, partition the edges of K_{13} into $E(G_{13})$ and $E(K_{13} \setminus G_{13})$. We have



Partition the edges of $K_{13} \setminus G_{13}$ into $E(G_{n-4} = G_9)$ and $E(\overline{K_{13} \setminus G_{13}} \setminus G_9)$



Hence SGI $(K_{13}) = 3$.



R. B. Gnanajothi and R. Ezhil Mary*/ On An Upper Bound for Structure Graceful Index of Complete Graphs/ IJMA-5(7), July-2014.



Fig. 5

CONCLUSION

Decomposition of complete graphs K_n into graceful subgraphs has been got for n > 10. This work may contribute much on application side. The sharpness of upper bounds for SGI (K_n) is yet to be tested. The extension of this sort of work to other important families of graphs such as Petersen graphs, etc. is our next target.

REFERENCES

- [1] Rose. A, On certain valuations of the vertices of a graph, Theory of graphs Proceedings of the symposium, Rome, (July 1966), Gordon and Breach, New York and Dunod, Paris (1967), pp 349-355.
- [2] SampathKumar. E., Generalized Graph Structures, Lecture Notes.
- [3] Gnana Jothi. R.B., Graceful Graph Structures, International Journal of Algorithms Computing and Mathematics, Volume III, no.1, Feb2010.
- [4] Gnana Jothi. R. B and Ezhil Mary. R., Some Properties of Generalized Graph Structures, Proceedings of International Conference on Mathematics and Computer Science (ICMCS 2011).

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared

[Copy right © 2014. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]