DIVISIBILITY RULES BY RD-ALGORITHM

H. Khosravi* and P. Jafari

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mashhad Branch, Islamic Azad University, Mashhad, P. Box 91735-413, Iran.

(Received On: 24-06-14; Revised & Accepted On: 24-07-14)

ABSTRACT

Let $z=a_n10^{n-1}+a_{n-1}10^{n-2}+\cdots+a_1$ and $w=b_m10^{m-1}+b_{m-1}10^{m-2}+\cdots+b_1$ are dividend and odd divisor respectively. In this paper, we introduce many divisibility rules of special numbers with RD-Algorithm and we show that which there is a direct relationship between X and the speed of algorithm and calculations. X is n right digits of odd divisor.

AMS Subject Classification: 13AXX, 13F15.

Keywords: Divisibility of Numbers, Prime Factors, RD-Algorithm.

1. INTRODUCTION

In various section of number theory, different and difficult methods are considered for dividing special numbers. Also, some algorithms are introduced because of importance of this matter. A divisibility rule is a shorthand way of determining whether a given number is divisible by a fixed divisor without performing the division, usually by examining its digits. We introduced a new algorithm entitled "RD-Algorithm" in [1] for the first time in the world which we can represent the dividing of numbers to each other in a simple and rapid way. This algorithm could be useful in a mathematics competition such as mathcounts. In the other words, this algorithm reduced the number of digits of dividend. In this paper, in order to fasten dividing numbers, we fixed the 6 right digits of odd divisor, and use the extracted methods of the algorithm for the numbers which it's the 6 right digits of them are 000001, 857143, 666667, 888889. The more the X is higher, the more the speed of algorithm is high(X is the n right digits of odd divisor). A lot of study on divisibility of methods have been conducted for many years. In number theory, divisibility methods of whole numbers are very useful because they help us to quickly determine if a number can be divided by n. There are several different methods for divisibility of numbers with many variants and some of them can be found in [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13]. For example, in [14, 15] presented that numbers which are dividable to 11 should have the (sum of the odd numbered digits) - (sum of the even numbered digits) is divisible by 11. Similarly some studies are presented for special numbers such as 15, 17, 19, etc. In this paper, we suppose that $z=a_n 10^{n-1}+a_{n-1} 10^{n-2}+\cdots+a_1=$ $a_n a_{n-1} \dots a_1$ and $w = b_m 10^{m-1} + b_{m-1} 10^{m-2} + \dots + b_1 = b_m b_{m-1} \dots b_1$ are dividend and odd divisor respectively. To test for divisibility by w, where w ends in 1, 3, 7, or 9, the following method can be used. (RD-Algorithm)

Theorem 1.1: If $z=a_na_{n-1}...a_1$ and $w=b_mb_{m-1}...b_1$ are dividend and prime divisor respectively then:

- 1. If $w||(b_mb_{m-1}\dots b_2)a_1-(a_na_{n-1}\dots a_2)|$ and $b_1=1$, then w|z.
- 2. If $w||((7w-1)/10)a_1 + (a_n a_{n-1} ... a_2)|$ and $b_1 = 3$, then w|z.
- 3. If $w||((3w-1)/10)a_1 + (a_n a_{n-1} \dots a_2)|$ and $b_1 = 7$, then w|z.
- 4. If $w||((9w 1)/10)a_1 + (a_n a_{n-1} ... a_2)|$ and $b_1 = 9$, then w|z.
- 5. If w = 5 is prime divisor then the proof of w|z is clear.
- 6. If $w=b_m b_{m-1} ... b_1$ is composite divisor, then with using of fundamental theorem of arithmetic, the proof of w|z is obvious. ([1, 2])

Corresponding author: H. Khosravi*
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mashhad Branch,
Islamic Azad University, Mashhad, P. Box 91735-413, Iran.

Corollary 1.1: (Divisibility by 11 with RD-Algorithm) If $z=a_na_{n-1}...a_1$ is dividend and w=11 is odd divisor, then w|z if $w||(1)a_1-(a_na_{n-1}...a_2)|$.

Proof: With using above theorem we have $w||(a_na_{n-1}...a_2) - (1)a_1| = |(a_na_{n-1}...a_3 \times 10 + (a_2 - a_1)|$. We should apply the theorem again. Therefore, we have $w||(a_na_{n-1}...a_3) - (1)(a_2 - a_1)|$. With using above theorem we have $w||(a_na_{n-1}...a_4) - (1)(a_3 - a_2 + a_1)|$. By resumption this algorithm, we have divisibility condition by 11.

Theorem 1.2: If $z=a_na_{n-1}...a_1$ and $w=b_mb_{m-1}...b_1$ are dividend and odd divisor respectively then:

- 1. If $z=a_na_{n-1}...a_1$ is dividend and $w=b_mb_{m-1}...b_1$ is odd divisor such that $b_1=1,b_2=0$, then w|z| if $w||(b_mb_{m-1}...b_3)a_2a_1-a_na_{n-1}...a_3|$.
- 2. If $z=a_na_{n-1}...a_1$ is dividend and $w=b_mb_{m-1}...b_1$ is odd divisor such that $b_1=3$, $b_2=4$, then w|z if $w||((7w-1)/100)a_2a_1-(a_na_{n-1}...a_3)|$.
- 3. If $z=a_na_{n-1}...a_1$ is dividend and $w=b_mb_{m-1}...b_1$ is odd divisor such that $b_1=7$, $b_2=6$, then w|z if $w||((3w-1)/100)a_2a_1-(a_na_{n-1}...a_3)|$.
- 4. If $z=a_na_{n-1}...a_1$ is dividend and $w=b_mb_{m-1}...b_1$ is odd divisor such that $b_1=9,b_2=8$, then $w|z| = w|((9w-1)/100)a_2a_1 (a_na_{n-1}...a_3)|$. ([3])

Theorem 1.3: If $z=a_n \dots a_1$ and $w=b_m b_{m-1} \dots b_1$ are dividend and odd divisor respectively then:

- 1. If $z=a_na_{n-1}\dots a_1$ is dividend and $w=b_mb_{m-1}\dots b_1$ is odd divisor such that $b_1=1,b_2=0,b_3=0$, then w|z| if $w||(b_mb_{m-1}\dots b_4)a_3a_2a_1-a_na_{n-1}\dots a_4|$.
- 2. If $z=a_na_{n-1}...a_1$ is dividend and $w=b_mb_{m-1}...b_1$ is odd divisor such that $b_1=3,b_2=4,b_3=1$, then w|z| if $w||((7w-1)/1000)a_3a_2a_1-(a_na_{n-1}...a_4)|$.
- 3. If $z=a_na_{n-1}\dots a_1$ is dividend and $w=b_mb_{m-1}\dots b_1$ is odd divisor such that $b_1=7,b_2=6,b_3=6$, then $w|z| = w|(3w-1)/1000a_3a_2a_1-(a_na_{n-1}\dots a_4)|$.
- 4. If $z=a_na_{n-1}...a_1$ is dividend and $w=b_mb_{m-1}...b_1$ is odd divisor such that $b_1=9, b_2=8, b_3=8$, then $w|z| = w|((9w-1)/1000)a_3a_2a_1 (a_na_{n-1}...a_4)|$. ([4])

Theorem 1.4: If $z=a_n a_{n-1} \dots a_1$ and $w=b_m b_{m-1} \dots b_1$ are dividend and odd divisor respectively then:

- 1. If $z=a_na_{n-1}...a_1$ is dividend and $w=b_mb_{m-1}...b_1$ is odd divisor such that $b_4b_3b_2b_1=0001$, then w|z| if $w||(b_mb_{m-1}...b_5)a_4a_3a_2a_1-a_na_{n-1}...a_5|$.
- 2. If $z=a_na_{n-1}\dots a_1$ is dividend and $w=b_mb_{m-1}\dots b_1$ is odd divisor such that $b_4b_3b_2b_1=7143$, then w|z| = 7143, then w|z| = 7143
- 3. If $z=a_na_{n-1}...a_1$ is dividend and $w=b_mb_{m-1}...b_1$ is odd divisor such that $b_4b_3b_2b_1=6667$, then w|z| if $w||((3w-1)/10000)a_4a_3a_2a_1-(a_na_{n-1}...a_5)|$.
- 4. If $z=a_na_{n-1}\dots a_1$ is dividend and $w=b_mb_{m-1}\dots b_1$ is odd divisor such that $b_4b_3b_2b_1=8889$, then w|z|((9w-1)/10000) $a_4a_3a_2a_1-(a_na_{n-1}\dots a_5)|$. ([5])

Theorem 1.5: If $z=a_na_{n-1}...a_1$ and $w=b_mb_{m-1}...b_1$ are dividend and odd divisor respectively then:

- 1. If $z=a_na_{n-1}\dots a_1$ is dividend and $w=b_mb_{m-1}\dots b_1$ is odd divisor such that $b_5b_4b_3b_2b_1=00001$, then w|z| if $w||(b_mb_{m-1}\dots b_6)a_5a_4a_3a_2a_1-a_na_{n-1}\dots a_6|$.
- $2. \quad \text{If } z = a_n a_{n-1} \dots a_1 \text{ is dividend and } w = b_m b_{m-1} \dots b_1 \text{ is odd divisor such that } b_5 b_4 b_3 b_2 b_1 = 57143, \text{ then } w | z \text{ if } w | |((7w-1)/100000) a_5 a_4 a_3 a_2 a_1 a_n a_{n-1} \dots a_6|.$
- 3. If $z=a_na_{n-1}\dots a_1$ is dividend and $w=b_mb_{m-1}\dots b_1$ is odd divisor such that $b_5b_4b_3b_2b_1=66667$, then w|z| if $w||((3w-1)/100000)a_5a_4a_3a_2a_1-a_na_{n-1}\dots a_6|$.
- 4. If $z=a_na_{n-1}\dots a_1$ is dividend and $w=b_mb_{m-1}\dots b_1$ is odd divisor such that $b_5b_4b_3b_2b_1=88889$, then w|z=1 if $w|((9w-1)/100000)a_5a_4a_3a_2a_1-a_na_{n-1}\dots a_6|$.

Now, we introduce many divisibility rules of special numbers with RD-Algorithm and we show that which there is a direct relationship between X and the speed of algorithm. In this paper, X is the n right digits of odd divisor. In the other hand, we fixed the 6 right digits of odd divisor.

2. Divisibility Rules by RD-Algorithm

Theorem 2.1: If $z = a_n a_{n-1} \dots a_1$ is dividend and $w = b_m b_{m-1} \dots b_1$ is odd divisor such that $b_6 b_5 b_4 b_3 b_2 b_1 = 000001$, then w|z if $w||(b_m b_{m-1} \dots b_7) a_6 a_5 a_4 a_3 a_2 a_1 - a_n a_{n-1} \dots a_7|$.

Proof: If $w||(b_mb_{m-1}\dots b_7)a_6a_5a_4a_3a_2a_1-a_na_{n-1}\dots a_7|$, then there exists an integer k such that $kw=(b_mb_{m-1}\dots b_7)a_6a_5a_4a_3a_2a_1-a_na_{n-1}\dots a_7.$ Therefore, $10000000kw=(b_mb_{m-1}\dots b_7)1000000a_6a_5a_4a_3a_2a_1-a_na_{n-1}\dots a_7.$ Hence, we have $(10000000kw-a_6a_5a_4a_3a_2a_1)w=-z$, so w|z.

Remark 2.2: In this paper, with using theorems for dividend and odd divisor, we can see the new numbers (as same as $\underline{0}$ in follow Example). If we don't know whether a new number is divisible by odd divisor, we should apply the theorems again.

Example 2.3: Is 2184000039 divisible by 56000001? By using above theorem $|(56 \times 39) - 2184| = \underline{0}$. Therefore, 2184000039 is divisible by 56000001.

Theorem 2.4: If $z=a_na_{n-1}...a_1$ is dividend and $w=b_mb_{m-1}...b_1$ is odd divisor such that $b_6b_5b_4b_3b_2b_1=857143$, then w|z if $w||((7w-1)/1000000)a_6a_5a_4a_3a_2a_1-a_na_{n-1}...a_7|$.

Proof: If $w||((7w-1)/1000000)a_6a_5a_4a_3a_2a_1 - a_na_{n-1}...a_7|$, then there exists an integer k such that $kw=((7w-1)/1000000)a_6a_5a_4a_3a_2a_1 - (a_na_{n-1}...a_7)$. Therefore, $(10000000k-7a_6a_5a_4a_3a_2a_1)w = -z$, so w|z.

Example 2.5: Is 5066071483285 divisible by 17857143? By using above theorem $(125\times483285)-5066071|=55344554$. But the divisibility 55344554 by 17857143 is not clear. Therefore, by using above theorem for 55344554 to 17857143, we have $|(125\times344554)-55|=43069195$. But the divisibility 43069195 by 17857143 is not clear. Therefore, by using above theorem for 43069195 to 17857143, we have $|(125\times69195)-43|=8649332<17857143$. Therefore, 5066071483285 is not divisible by 17857143.

Theorem2.6: If $z=a_na_{n-1}...a_1$ is dividend and $w=b_mb_{m-1}...b_1$ is odd divisor such that $b_6b_5b_4b_3b_2b_1=666667$, then w|z if $w||((3w-1)/1000000)a_6a_5a_4a_3a_2a_1-a_na_{n-1}...a_7|$.

Proof: If $w||((3w-1)/1000000)a_6a_5a_4a_3a_2a_1-a_na_{n-1}\dots a_7|$, then there exists an integer k such that $kw=((3w-1)/1000000)a_6a_5a_4a_3a_2a_1-a_na_{n-1}\dots a_7.$ Therefore, $(10000000k-3a_6a_5a_4a_3a_2a_1)w=-z$, so w|z.

Example 2.7: Is 19630333443 divisible by 59666667? By using above theorem $|(179 \times 333443) - 19630| = 59666667$. Therefore, 19630333443 is divisible by 59666667.

Remark 2.8:
$$3 \times \underbrace{66 \dots 6}_{n-th} 7 - 1 \stackrel{10}{=}^{n+1} 0.$$
 ([4])

Corollary 2.9: If $z=a_na_{n-1}...a_1$ is dividend and $w=b_mb_{m-1}...b_1$ is odd divisor such that $b_1=7$, $b_2=b_3=...=b_j=6$, then w|z if $w||((3w-1)/10^j)a_j...a_2a_1-(a_na_{n-1}...a_{j+1})|: 2 \le j \le m$. ([4])

Theorem 2.10: If $z=a_na_{n-1}...a_1$ is dividend and $w=b_mb_{m-1}...b_1$ is odd divisor such that $b_6b_5b_4b_3b_2b_1=888889$, then w|z if $w||((9w-1)/1000000)a_6a_5a_4a_3a_2a_1-a_na_{n-1}...a_7|$.

Proof: If $w||((9w-1)/1000000)a_6a_5a_4a_3a_2a_1 - a_na_{n-1} \dots a_7|$, then there exists an integer k such that $kw=((9w-1)/1000000)a_6a_5a_4a_3a_2a_1 - (a_na_{n-1} \dots a_7)$. Therefore, $(10000000k-9a_6a_5a_4a_3a_2a_1)w = -z$, so w|z.

Example 2.11: Is 3157321157978264 divisible by 2888889? By using above theorem | $(26 \times 978264) - 3157321157$ | = 3131886293. But the divisibility 3131886293 by 2888889 is not clear. Therefore, by using above theorem for 3131886293 to 2888889, we have | $(26 \times 886293) - 3131 = 23040487$. But the divisibility 23040487 by 2888889 is not clear. Therefore, by using above theorem for 23040487 to 2888889, we have | $(26 \times 40487) - 23 = 1052639 < 2888889$. Therefore, 3157321157978264 is not divisible by 2888889.

Remark 2.12:
$$9 \times \underbrace{88 \dots 8}_{n-\text{th}} 9 - 1 \stackrel{10^{n+1}}{=} 0. ([5])$$

Corollary 2.13: If $z=a_na_{n-1}...a_1$ is dividend and $w=b_mb_{m-1}...b_1$ is odd divisor such that $b_1=9$, $b_2=b_3=...=b_i=8$, then w|z if $w||((9w-1)/10^j)a_i...a_2a_1-(a_na_{n-1}...a_{i+1})|$. ([5])

3. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank the research council of Mashhad Branch (Islamic Azad University). Also, we would like to thank the referee for his/her many helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Khosravi, P. Jafari, V. T. Seifi, a New Algorithm for Divisibility of Numbers, World. App. Sci. J, Vol 18(6), (2012), 786-787.
- [2] H. Khosravi, P. Jafari, E. Faryad, Extension of Reduce Digits Algorithm For Divisibility of Numbers, World. App. Sci. J, Vol 18(12), (2012), 1760-1763.
- [3] H. Khosravi, P. Jafari, H. Golmakani, Reduce Digits Algorithm For Divisibility of Odd Numbers, Global. J. Pure. Appl. Math, Vol 8(4), (2012), 379-381.

H. Khosravi* and P. Jafari / Divisibility Rules by RD-Algorithm / IJMA- 5(7), July-2014.

- [4] H. Khosravi, P. Jafari, E. Faryad, Application of Reduce Digits Algorithm in Divisibility of Numbers, Res. J. Pure. Algebra, Vol 2(9), (2012), 864-867.
- [5] H. Khosravi, F. Pouladi. N, H. Golmakani, P. Jafari, Application of RD-Algorithm in Divisibility of Special Numbers, Res. J. Pure. Algebra, Vol 3(4), (2013), 143-145.
- [6] H. Khosravi, H. Golmakani, divisibility of Number, Proceeding of the 5th Math Conference of Payame Noor University Shiraz, Oct 2012.
- [7] P. Pollack, Not Always Buried Deep, A Second Course in Elementary Number Theory, Amer. Math. Soc, Providence, 2009.
- [8] W. E. Clark, Elementary Number Theory, University of South Florida, 2002.
- [9] G. Everest, T. Ward, an Introduction to Number Theory, Graduate Text 232, Springer, 2005.
- [10] W. Stein, Elementary Number Theory, Springer, 2009.
- [11] www.mathgoodies.com/lessons/vol3/divisibility.html.
- [12] www.mathsisfun.com/divisibility-rules.html.
- [13] www.mathwarehouse.com/arithmetic/.../divisibility-rules-and-tests.
- [14] Richmond, Bettina, Richmond, A Discrete Transition to Advanced Mathematics, Pure and Applied Undergraduate Texts 3, Amer. Math. Soc, ISBN 978-0-8218-4789-3, 2009.
- [15] Dunkels, Andrejs, A Generalized Test For Divisibility, Mathematical Gazette, 84, March (2000), 79-81.

Source of support: Research Council of Mashhad Branch (Islamic Azad University), Iran. Conflict of interest: None Declared

[Copy right © 2014. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]