International Journal of Mathematical Archive-6(8), 2015, 12-20 MA Available online through www.ijma.info ISSN 2229 – 5046

BASIC PROPERTIES OF TOTAL BLOCK-EDGE TRANSFORMATION GRAPHS G^{abc}

B. BASAVANAGOUD*, SHREEKANT PATIL

Department of Mathematics, Karnatak University, Dharwad - 580 003, India.

(Received On: 16-07-15; Revised & Accepted On: 12-08-15)

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we investigate some basic properties such as connectedness, graph equations and diameters of total block-edge transformation graphs.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C40,05C12,

Keywords: line graph, block graph, jump graph, qlick graph, total block-edge transformation graphs G^{abc} .

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the paper we only consider simple graphs without isolated vertices. We refer to [8] for unexplained terminology and notation. A *block* of a graph is connected nontrivial graph having no cutvertices. Let G = (V, E) be a graph with block set $U(G) = \{B_i; B_i \text{ is a block of } G\}$. If a block $B \in U(G)$ with the edge set $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_r; r \ge 1\}$, then we say that an edge e_i and a block B are incident with each other, where $1 \le i \le r$. The *line graph* L(G) of a graph G is the graph with vertex set as the edge set of G and two vertices of L(G) are adjacent whenever the corresponding edges in G have a vertex in common. The *jump graph* J(G) of a graph G is the graph whose the vertex set is the edge set of G and two vertices of J(G) are adjacent if and only if the corresponding edges in G are not adjacent in G. The *block graph* B(G) of a graph G is the graph G is the graph G is the graph G is the graph G and in which two vertices are adjacent whenever the corresponding blocks have a cutvertex in common.

The edges and blocks of G are called *members of* G. The *qlick graph* Q(G) of a graph G is the graph whose set of vertices is the union of the set of edges and blocks of G and in which two vertices are adjacent if and only if the corresponding member of G are adjacent or incident. This concept is introduced by V. R. Kulli [10] and was studied in [4, 5, 12].

In [16], Wu and Meng generalized the concept of total graph and introduced the total transformation graphs and defined as follows:

Definition: Let G = (V, E) be a graph, and x, y, z be three variables taking values + or -. The *transformation graph* G^{xyz} is the graph having $V(G) \cup E(G)$ as the vertex set, and for $\alpha, \beta \in V(G) \cup E(G)$, α and β are adjacent in G^{xyz} if and only if one of the following holds:

- (i) $\alpha, \beta \in V(G)$. α and β are adjacent in G if x = +; α and β are not adjacent in G if x = -.
- (ii) $\alpha, \beta \in E(G)$. α and β are adjacent in G if y = +; α and β are not adjacent in G if y = -.
- (iii) $\alpha \in V(G)$, $\beta \in E(G)$. α and β are incident in G if z = +; α and β are not incident in G if z = -.

In [2], B. Basavanagoud et. al generalized the concept of total block graph and introduced the block-transformation graphs and defined as follows:

Definition: Let G = (V, E) be a graph with block set U(G), and let α , β , γ be three variables taking values 0 or 1. The *block-transformation graph* $G^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ is the graph having $V(G) \cup U(G)$ as the vertex set. For any two vertices x and $y \in V(G) \cup U(G)$ we define α , β , γ as follows:

- (i) Suppose x, y are in V(G). $\alpha=1$ if x and y are adjacent in G. $\alpha=0$ if x and y are not adjacent in G.
- (ii) Suppose x, y are in U(G). $\beta=1$ if x and y are adjacent in G. $\beta=0$ if x and y are not adjacent in G.
- (iii) $x \in V(G)$ and $y \in U(G)$. $\gamma=1$ if x and y are incident with each other in G. $\gamma=0$ if x and y are not incident with each other in G.

Corresponding Author: B. Basavanagoud*

Inspired by the definition of total transformation graphs [16] and block-transformation graphs [2], Basavanagoud [1] generalized the concept of qlick graph and obtained the four pairs of transformation graphs namely total block-edge transformation graphs.

Definition: Let G = (V, E) be a graph with a block set U(G) and a, b, c be three variables taking values + or -. The *total block-edge transformation graph* G^{abc} is a graph whose vertex set is $E(G) \cup U(G)$, and two vertices x and y of G^{abc} are joined by an edge if and only if one of the following holds:

- (i) $x, y \in E(G)$. x and y are adjacent in G if a = +; x and y are not adjacent in G if a = -.
- (ii) $x, y \in U(G)$. x and y are adjacent in G if b = +; x and y are not adjacent in G if b = -.
- (iii) $x \in E(G)$, $y \in U(G)$. x and y are incident with each other in G if c = +; x and y are not incident with each other in G if c = -.

Thus, we obtain eight kinds of total block-edge transformation graphs, in which G^{+++} is the qlick graph Q(G) of G and G^{---} is its complement. Also G^{--+} , G^{+--} and G^{-++} are the complements of G^{++-} , G^{+-+} and G^{+--} respectively. Some other graph valued functions were studied in [2, 3, 6, 7, 9, 11, 13, 14, 16]. The vertex $e'_i(B'_i)$ of G^{abc} corresponding to edge e_i (block B_i) of G and is referred as edge (block)-vertex.

The following will be useful in the proof of our results.

Remark 1.1: L(G) is an induced subgraph of G^{+bc} .

- **Remark 1.2:** J(G) is an induced subgraph of G^{-bc} .
- **Remark 1.3:** B(G) is an induced subgraph of G^{a+c} .

Remark 1.4: $\overline{B(G)}$ is an induced subgraph of G^{a-c} .

Remark 1.5: [7] If a disconnected graph G has no isolated vertices, then J(G) is connected.

Theorem 1.1: [8] If G is connected, then L(G) is connected.

Theorem 1.2: [8] If G is connected, then B(G) is connected.

Theorem 1.3: [17] Let G be a graph of size $q \ge 1$. Then J(G) is connected if and only if G contains no edge that is adjacent to every other edge of G unless $G = K_4$ or C_4 .

In this paper, we investigate some basic properties of these eight kinds of total block-edge transformation graphs.

2. CONNECTEDNESS OF Gabc

The first theorem is obvious from the notion of G^{abc} .

Theorem 2.1: For a given graph G, G^{+++} is connected if and only if G is connected.

Theorem 2.2: For a given graph G, G^{++-} is connected if and only if $G \neq B_i \cup B_j$ is not a block, where B_i and B_j are blocks.

Proof: Suppose $G \neq B_i \cup B_j$ is not a block. Then we consider the following cases:

Case-1. Suppose G is connected. Then it has at least two blocks. Hence by Theorem 1.2 and Remark 1.3, B(G) is a connected induced subgraph of G^{++-} , and also each edge-vertex e'_i in G^{++-} is adjacent to at least one block-vertex B'_x , where B_x is not incident with e_i in G. Therefore for every pair of vertices in G^{++-} are connected. Thus G^{++-} is connected.

Case-2. Suppose G is disconnected. Then it has at least three blocks. If e_i and e_j are adjacent edges in G, then e'_i and e'_j are adjacent in G^{++-} . If e_i and e_j are not adjacent edges in G, then e'_i and e'_j are connected through the block-vertex B'_x , where B_x is not incident with e_i and e_j in G. If B_x and B_y are adjacent blocks in G, then B'_x and B'_y are adjacent in G^{++-} . If B_x and B_y are not adjacent blocks in G, then B'_x and B'_y are adjacent blocks in G, then B'_x and B'_y are adjacent in G^{++-} . If B_x and B_y are not adjacent blocks in G, then B'_x and B'_y are connected through the edge-vertex e'_i , where e_i is not incident with B_x and B_y in G. If e is not incident with B in G, then e' and B' are adjacent in G^{++-} . If e is incident with B in G, then there exists not incident edge e_1 and block B_1 are not incident with B and e respectively such that e' and B' are connected in G^{++-} . Otherwise, there is a block B_1 is not incident with e, and is adjacent to B, such that e' and B' are connected in G^{++-} . Since in such a case, there is a path between any two vertices of G^{++-} . Hence G^{++-} is connected.

© 2015, IJMA. All Rights Reserved

Conversely, suppose G^{++-} is connected. If *G* is a block, then $G^{++-} = L(G) \cup K_1$ is disconnected, a contradiction. If $G = B_i \cup B_j$, then $G^{++-} = (L(B_i) + K_1) \cup (L(B_j) + K_1)$ is a disconnected graph, a contradiction.

Theorem 2.3: G^{+-+} is connected for any graph G.

Proof: If G is connected, then by Remark 1.1 and Theorem 1.1, L(G) is a connected induced subgraph of G^{+-+} , and each block-vertex B'_x in G^{+-+} is adjacent to at least one edge-vertex e'_i , where e_i is incident with B_x in G. Thus G^{+-+} is connected.

If G is disconnected, then $\overline{B(G)}$ is a connected induced subgraph of G^{+-+} , and each edge-vertex e'_i in G^{+-+} is adjacent to exactly one block-vertex B'_x , where B_x is incident with e_i in G. Thus G^{+-+} is connected.

Theorem 2.4: For a given graph G, G^{+--} is connected if and only if G is not a block.

Proof: If G is a connected graph with at least two blocks, then by Remark 1.1 and Theorem 1.1, L(G) is a connected induced subgraph of G^{+--} , and in G^{+--} , each block-vertex B'_x is adjacent to at least one edge-vertex e'_i , where e_i is not incident with B_x in G. Thus G^{+--} is connected.

If G is disconnected, then $\overline{B(G)}$ is a connected induced subgraph of G^{+--} , and in G^{+--} , each edge-vertex e'_i is adjacent to at least one block-vertex B'_x , where B_x not is incident with e_i in G. Thus G^{+--} is connected.

Conversely, if G is a block, then $G^{+--} = L(G) \cup K_1$ is disconnected, a contradiction.

Theorem 2.5: G^{-++} is connected for any graph G.

Proof: If G is connected, then by Remark 1.3 and Theorem 1.2, B(G) is a connected induced subgraph of G^{-++} , and each edge-vertex e'_i in G^{-++} is adjacent to exactly one block-vertex B'_x , where B_x is incident with e_i in G. Thus G^{-++} is connected.

If G is disconnected, then by Remarks 1.2 and 1.5, J(G) is a connected induced subgraph of G^{-++} , and each block-vertex B'_x in G^{-++} is adjacent to at least one edge-vertex e'_i , where e_i is incident with B_x in G. Thus G^{-++} is connected.

Theorem 2.6: For a given graph G, G^{-+-} is connected if and only if G is not a block.

Proof: If G is a connected graph with at least two blocks, then by Remark 1.3 and Theorem 1.2, B(G) is a connected induced subgraph of G^{-+-} , and in G^{-+-} , each edge-vertex e'_i is adjacent to at least one block-vertex B'_x , where B_x is not incident with e_i in G. Thus G^{-+-} is connected.

If G is disconnected, then by Remarks 1.2 and 1.5, J(G) is a connected induced subgraph of G^{+-} , and in G^{+-} , each block-vertex B'_x is adjacent to at least one edge-vertex e'_i , where e_i is not incident with B_x in G. Thus G^{-+-} is connected.

Conversely, if G is a block, then $G^{+-} = J(G) \cup K_1$ is disconnected, a contradiction.

Theorem 2.7: For a given graph G, G^{-+} is connected if and only if G contains no block K_2 that is adjacent to every other edge of G.

Proof: Suppose a graph G contains no block K_2 that is adjacent to every other edge of G. If G is a block, then $G^{--+} = J(G) + K_1$ is connected. If G has more than one block, then we consider the following two cases:

Case-1. Suppose G contains no edge that is adjacent to every other edge of G. Then by Remark 1.2 and Theorem 1.3, J(G) is a connected induced subgraph of G^{--+} , and each block-vertex B'_x is adjacent to at least one edge-vertex e'_i in G^{--+} , where e_i is incident with B_x . Thus G^{--+} is connected.

Case-2. Suppose G contains an edge e that is adjacent to every other edge of G. Then e is incident with a block B of size more than 2 and e' is isolated vertex in J(G) such that e', B', e' is a path in G^{--+} , where e_1 is incident with B. Therefore every pair of edge-vertices are connected in G^{--+} and each block-vertices B'_x is adjacent to at least one edge-vertex e'_i in G^{--+} , where e_i is incident with B_x in G. Thus G^{--+} is connected.

Conversely, suppose G^{-+} is connected. Assume G contains a block K_2 , say e, that is adjacent to every other edge of G. Then it is easy to see that $G^{-+}=(G-e)^{-+} \cup K_2$ is disconnected, a contradiction.

Theorem 2.8: For a given graph G, G^{---} is connected if and only if $G \neq P_3$ is not a block.

Proof: Suppose $G \neq P_3$ is not a block. We consider the following two cases:

Case-1. Suppose G contains no edge that is adjacent to every other edge of G. Then by Remark 1.2 and Theorem 1.3, J(G) is a connected induced subgraph of G^{---} , and each block-vertex B'_x is adjacent to at least one edge-vertex e'_i in G^{---} , where e_i is not incident with B_x in G. Thus G^{---} is connected.

Case-2. Suppose G contains an edge e that is adjacent to all other edge of G. Then by definition of G^{---} , each edge-vertex e'_i is adjacent to edge-vertex e'_k and to at least one block-vertex B'_j , where B_j is not incident with e_i , and e_k is not adjacent to e_i in G. And also each block-vertex B'_x is adjacent to block-vertex B_y and to at least one edge-vertex e'_i , where e_i is not incident with B_x , and B_y not adjacent to B_x in G. Hence there is a path between any two vertices of G^{---} . Therefore G^{---} is connected.

Conversely, suppose G^{---} is connected. If G is a block, then $G^{---} = J(G) \cup K_1$ is disconnected, a contradiction. If $G = P_3$, then $G^{---} = 2K_2$ is disconnected, a contradiction.

3. GRAPH EQUATIONS AND ITERATIONS OF Gabc

For a given graph operator Φ , which graph is fixed under Φ ?, that is $\Phi(G) = G$. It is well known in [15] that for a given graph G, the interchange graph G' = G if and only if G is a 2-regular graph.

For a given total block-edge transformation graph G^{abc} , we define the iteration of G^{abc} as follows: (1). $G^{(abc)^1} = G^{abc}$ (2). $G^{(abc)^n} = [G^{(abc)^{n-1}}]^{abc}$ for $n \ge 2$.

Theorem 3.1: Let G be a connected graph. The graphs G and G^{ab+} are isomorphic if and only if $G = K_2$.

Proof: Suppose $G^{ab+} = G$. Assume G is a connected graph with $p \ge 3$ vertices. We consider the following two cases:

Case-1. Suppose G is not a tree with p vertices. Then G has at least p edges and at least one block. Thus G^{ab+} has at least p + 1 vertices. Hence $G^{ab+} \neq G$, a contradiction.

Case-2. Suppose G is a tree with p vertices. Then it has p-1 edges and p-1 blocks. Thus G^{ab+} has 2p-2 vertices. Hence $|V(G)| < |V(G^{ab+})|$. Therefore $G^{ab+} \neq G$, a contradiction.

Conversely, suppose $G = K_2$. Then it is easy to see that $G^{ab+} = K_2 = G$.

Corollary 3.2: Let G be a connected graph. The graphs G and $G^{(ab+)^n}$ are isomorphic if and only if $G = K_2$.

Theorem 3.3: The graphs G and G^{++-} are isomorphic if and only if $G = 2K_2$.

Proof: Suppose $G^{++-} = G$. Assume $G \neq 2K_2$. We consider the following two cases:

Case-1. Suppose G is a block. Then clearly $G^{++-} = L(G) \cup K_1$ is disconnected. Thus $G^{++-} \neq G$, a contradiction.

Case-2. Suppose G has at least two blocks with q edges. Then G^{++-} has at least 2q - 1 edges. Hence the number of edges in G is less than that in G^{++-} . Thus $G^{++-} \neq G$, a contradiction.

Conversely, suppose $G = 2K_2$. Then it is easy to see that $G^{++-} = 2K_2 = G$.

Corollary 3.4: The graphs G and $G^{(++-)^n}$ are isomorphic if and only if $G = 2K_2$.

Theorem 3.5: For any graph G, $G^{ab-} \neq G$, where $G^{ab-} \neq G^{++-}$.

Proof: If $G = K_2$, then $G^{ab-} = 2K_1 \neq G$. We consider the following two cases:

Case-1. Suppose $G \neq K_2$ is a connected graph. By the definitions of G^{ab+} and G^{ab-} , we have $|V(G^{ab+})| = |V(G^{ab-})|$. By proof of the Theorem 3.1, we have $|V(G)| \neq |V(G^{ab+})|$. Hence $|V(G)| \neq |V(G^{ab-})|$. Therefore $G^{ab-} \neq G$.

Case-2. Suppose G is a disconnected graph with q edges. Then G^{ab-} has at least q + 1 edges. Hence $|E(G)| \neq |E(G^{ab-})|$. Therefore $G^{ab-} \neq G$. From all the above two cases, we have $G^{ab-} \neq G$. © 2015, IJMA. All Rights Reserved

Corollary 3.6: For any graph G, $G^{(ab-)^n} \neq G$, where $G^{(ab-)^n} \neq G^{(++-)^n}$.

4 DIAMETERS OF Gabc

The distance between two vertices v_i and v_j , denoted by $d(v_i, v_j)$, is the length of the shortest path between the vertices v_i and v_j in *G*. The shortest $v_i - v_j$ path is often called *geodesic*. The *diameter* of a connected graph *G*, denoted by diam(G), is the length of any longest geodesic.

In this section, we consider the diameters of G^{abc} .

Theorem 4.1: If G is a connected graph, then $diam(G^{+++}) \leq diam(G) + 1$.

Proof: Let *G* be a connected graph. We consider the following three cases:

Case-1. Assume G is a tree. Then it is easy to see that $diam(G^{+++}) = diam(G)$.

Case-2. Assume G is a cycle C_n for $n \ge 3$. Then $G^{+++} = W_{n+1}$ and $diam(G^{+++}) < diam(G) + 1$.

Case-3. Assume G contains a cycle C_n for $n \ge 3$. Corresponding to cycle C_n , W_{n+1} appears as subgraph in G^{+++} . Therefore $diam(G^{+++}) \le diam(G) + 1$.

From all the above three cases, we have $diam(G^{+++}) \leq diam(G) + 1$.

Theorem 4.2: If G is neither a block nor a union of two blocks, then $diam(G^{++-}) \leq 3$.

Proof: Let e'_1 , e'_2 be the two edge-vertices of G^{++-} . If e_1 and e_2 are adjacent edges in G, then e'_1 and e'_2 are adjacent in G^{++-} . If e_1 and e_2 are not adjacent edges in G, then we have following cases:

Case-1. If e_1 and e_2 are incident with same block, then there exists a block *B* is incident with neither e_1 nor e_2 such that e'_1, B', e'_2 is a path of length 2 in G^{++-} .

Case-2. If e_1 and e_2 are incident with different blocks B_1 and B_2 in G respectively, then we have the following subcases:

Subcase-2.1. If B_1 and B_2 are adjacent in G, then e'_1, B'_2, B'_1, e'_2 is a path of length 3 in G^{++-} .

Subcase-2.2. If B_1 and B_2 are not adjacent in G, then there exists a block B_3 is incident with neither e_1 nor e_2 such that e'_1, B'_3, e'_2 is a path of length 2 in G^{++-} .

Let B'_1 , B'_2 be the two block-vertices of G^{++-} . If B_1 and B_2 are not adjacent blocks in G, then B'_1 and B'_2 are adjacent in G^{++-} . If B_1 and B_2 are adjacent blocks in G, then there exists an edge e is incident with neither B_1 nor B_2 such that B'_1 , e', B'_2 is a path in G^{++-} of length 2.

Let e' and B' be the edge-vertex and block-vertex of G^{++-} respectively. If e is not incident with B in G, then e' and B' are adjacent in G^{++-} . If e is incident with B in G, then there exists not incident edge e_1 and block B_1 are not incident with B and e respectively such that e', B'_1, e'_1, B' is a path in G^{++-} of length 3. Otherwise, there is a block B_1 is not incident with e, and is adjacent to B, such that e', B'_1, B' is a path in G^{++-} of length 2.

Theorem 4.3: For a given graph G, diam $(G^{+-+}) \leq 5$.

Proof: Let e'_1 , e'_2 be the two edge-vertices of G^{+-+} . If e_1 and e_2 are adjacent edges in G, then e'_1 and e'_2 are adjacent in G^{+-+} . If e_1 and e_2 are not adjacent edges in G, then we have the following cases:

Case-1. If e_1 and e_2 are incident with same block B, then e'_1, B', e'_2 is a path of length 2 in G^{+-+} .

Case-2. If e_1 and e_2 are incident with different blocks B_1 and B_2 in G respectively, then we have the following subcases:

Subcase-2.1. If B_1 and B_2 are adjacent, then there exists two adjacent edges e_3 in B_1 and e_4 in B_2 , then $e'_1, B'_1, e'_3, e'_4, B'_2, e'_2$ is a path of length at most 5 in G^{+-+} .

Subcase-2.2. If B_1 and B_2 are not adjacent, then e'_1, B'_1, B'_2, e'_2 is a path of length 3 in G^{+-+} .

© 2015, IJMA. All Rights Reserved

Let B'_1 , B'_2 be the two block-vertices of G^{+-+} . If B_1 and B_2 are not adjacent blocks in G, then B'_1 and B'_2 are adjacent in G^{+-+} . If B_1 and B_2 are adjacent blocks in G, then there exists two adjacent edges e_1 in B_1 and e_2 in B_2 such that B'_1 , e'_2 , B'_2 is a path in G^{+-+} of length 3.

Let e'_1 and B'_2 be the edge-vertex and block-vertex of G^{+-+} respectively. If e_1 is incident with B_2 in G, then e'_1 and B'_2 are adjacent in G^{+-+} . If e_1 in B_1 , is not incident with B_2 in G, then we have the following cases:

Case-1. If B_1 and B_2 are adjacent in G, then there exists two adjacent edges e in B_1 and e_2 in B_2 such that $e'_1, B'_1, e', e'_2, B'_2$ is a path in G^{+-+} of length at most 4.

Case-2. If B_1 and B_2 are not adjacent in G, then e'_1, B'_1, B'_2 is a path in G^{+-+} of length 2.

Theorem 4.4: If G is neither a block nor a connected graph with two blocks, then $diam(G^{+--}) \leq 3$.

Proof: Let e'_1 , e'_2 be the two edge-vertices of G^{+--} . If e_1 and e_2 are adjacent edges in G, then e'_1 and e'_2 are adjacent in G^{+--} . If e_1 and e_2 are not adjacent edges in G, then we have following cases:

Case-1. If e_1 and e_2 are incident with same block, then there exists a block *B* is incident with neither e_1 nor e_2 such that e'_1, B', e'_2 is a path of length 2 in G^{+--} .

Case-2. If e_1 and e_2 are incident with different blocks B_1 and B_2 in G respectively, then we have the following subcases:

Subcase-2.1. If B_1 and B_2 are not adjacent in G, then e'_1, B'_2, B'_1, e'_2 is a path of length 3 in G^{+--} .

Subcase-2.2. If B_1 and B_2 are adjacent in G, then there exists a block B is incident with neither e_1 nor e_2 such that e'_1, B', e'_2 is a path of length 2 in G^{+--} .

Let B'_1 , B'_2 be the two block-vertices of G^{+--} . If B_1 and B_2 are not adjacent blocks in G, then B'_1 and B'_2 are adjacent in G^{+--} . If B_1 and B_2 are adjacent blocks in G, then there exists an edge e is incident with neither B_1 nor B_2 such that B'_1 , e', B'_2 is a path in G^{+--} of length 2.

Let e' and B' be the edge-vertex and block-vertex of G^{+--} respectively. If e is not incident with B in G, then e' and B' are adjacent in G^{+--} . If e is incident with B in G, then we have the following cases:

Case-1. If there is a block B_1 is not adjacent to B, and is not incident with e, then e', e'_1, B' is a path in G^{+--} of length 2.

Case-2. If there is an edge e_1 is adjacent to e, and is not incident with B, then e', B'_1, B' is a path in G^{+--} of length 2.

Lemma 4.5: If a connected graph G has two blocks, then $diam(G^{+--}) \leq 5$.

Proof: Suppose G is a connected graph with two blocks B_1 and B_2 of size q_1 and q_2 respectively. Then K_{1,q_1} and K_{1,q_2} are two edge-disjoint subgraphs of G^{+--} . And there exists at least one edge e' in G^{+--} is incident with exactly one pendant vertex of K_{1,q_1} and K_{1,q_2} . It is easy that see that the diameter of star is at most 2.

Hence $diam(G^{+--}) = diam(K_{1,q_1}) + diam(K_{1,q_2}) + 1 \le 2 + 2 + 1 = 5.$

Theorem 4.6: For a given graph G, $diam(G^{-++}) \leq 3$.

Proof: Let e'_1 , e'_2 be the two edge-vertices of G^{-++} . If e_1 and e_2 are not adjacent edges in G, then e'_1 and e'_2 are adjacent in G^{-++} . If e_1 and e_2 are adjacent edges in G, then we have the following cases:

Case-1. If there is an edge e is not adjacent to both e_1 and e_2 in G, then e'_1, e'_2, e'_2 is a path in G^{-++} of length 2.

Case-2. If e_1 and e_2 are incident with same block *B*, then e'_1, B' , e'_2 is a path of length 2 in G^{-++} .

Case-3. If e_1 and e_2 are incident with different blocks B_1 and B_2 respectively, then e'_1, B'_1, B'_2, e'_2 is a path of length 3 in G^{-++} .

Let B'_1 , B'_2 be the two block-vertices of G^{-++} . If B_1 and B_2 are adjacent blocks in G, then B'_1 and B'_2 are adjacent in G^{-++} . If B_1 and B_2 are not adjacent blocks in G, then we have two cases:

Case-1. If there is a block B is adjacent to both B_1 and B_2 in G, then B'_1, B'_2, B'_2 is a path in G^{-++} of length 2.

Case-2. If there are two not adjacent edges e_1 in B_1 and e_2 in B_2 , then B'_1, e'_1, e'_2, B'_2 is a path in G^{-++} of length 3.

Let e' and B' be the edge-vertex and block-vertex of G^{-++} respectively. If e is incident with B in G, then e' and B'are adjacent in G^{-++} . If e is not incident with B in G, then we consider the following two cases:

Case-1. If there is a block B_1 is incident with e, and is adjacent to B, then e', B'_1, B' is a path in G^{-++} of length 2.

Case-2. If there is an edge e_1 is incident with *B*, and is not adjacent to *e*, then e', e_1', b' is a path in G^{-++} of length 2.

Theorem 4.7: If a graph G is not a block, then $diam(G^{-+-}) \leq 3$.

Proof: Let e'_1 , e'_2 be the two edge-vertices of G^{-+-} . If e_1 and e_2 are not adjacent edges in G, then e'_1 and e'_2 are adjacent in G^{-+-} . If e_1 and e_2 are adjacent edges in G, then we have one of the following case:

Case-1. If e_1 and e_2 are incident with same block, then there exists a block B is incident with neither e_1 nor e_2 such that e'_1, B', e'_2 is a path of length 2 in G^{-+-} .

Case-2. If e_1 and e_2 are incident with different blocks B_1 and B_2 respectively in G, then e'_1, B'_2, B'_1, e'_2 is a path in G^{-+-} of length 3.

Let B'_1 , B'_2 be two block-vertices of G^{-+-} . If B_1 and B_2 are adjacent in G, then B'_1 and B'_2 are adjacent in G^{-+-} . If B_1 and B_2 are not adjacent in G, then there exists two not adjacent edges e_1 and e_2 are incident with B_1 and B_2 respectively such that B'_1, e'_2, e'_1, B'_2 is a path of length 3 in G^{-+-} . Otherwise, there is an edge e is incident with neither B_1 nor B_2 , then B'_1 , e', B'_2 is a path of length 2 in G^{-+-} .

Let e' and B' be the edge-vertex and block-vertex of G^{-+-} respectively. If e is not incident with B in G, then e' and B' are adjacent in G^{-+-} . If e is incident with B in G, then we have the following cases:

Case-1. If there is an edge e_1 is incident with B, and is not adjacent to edge e in G, then e', e'_1, B' is a path in G^{-+-} of length 2.

Case-2. If there is a block B_1 which is incident with B, and is not adjacent to an edge e, then e', B'_2, B' is a path of length 2 in G^{-+-} .

Theorem 4.8: If a graph G contains no block K_2 that is adjacent to other edge, then diam $(G^{-+}) \leq 4$.

Proof: Let e'_1 , e'_2 be the two edge-vertices of G^{--+} . If e_1 and e_2 are not adjacent edges in G, then e'_1 and e'_2 are adjacent in G^{--+} . If e_1 and e_2 are adjacent edges in G, then we have one of the following case:

Case-1. If e_1 and e_2 are incident with same block B, then e'_1, B', e'_2 is a path of length 2 in G^{--+} .

Case-2. If e_1 and e_2 are incident with different blocks B_1 and B_2 respectively, then we have the following subcases:

Subcase-2.1. If there is an edge e which is adjacent to neither e_1 nor e_2 in G, then e'_1, e', e'_2 is a path in G^{--+} of length 2.

Subcase-2.2. If there is an edge e which is incident with B_2 , and is not adjacent to e_1 , then e'_1, e', B'_2, e'_2 is a path in G^{--+} of length 3.

Subcase-2.3. If there are two not adjacent edges e_3 and e_4 , where e_3 and e_4 are not adjacent to e_1 and e_2 respectively, then e'_1, e'_3, e'_4, e'_2 is a path in G^{--+} of length 3.

Let B'_1 , B'_2 be the two block-vertices of G^{--+} . If B_1 and B_2 are not adjacent blocks in G, then B'_1 and B'_2 are adjacent in G^{-+-} . If B_1 and B_2 are adjacent blocks in G and are incident with e_1 and e_2 respectively, then we have the following cases:

Case-1. If e_1 and e_2 are not adjacent in G, then B'_1, e'_1, e'_2, B'_2 is a path of length 3 in G^{--+} . Otherwise, there is an edge e is not adjacent to e_1 and e_2 such that B'_1, e'_1, e'_2, B'_2 is a path of length 4 in G^{--+} .

Case-2. If there is a block B is adjacent to neither B_1 nor B_2 in G, then B'_1, B', B'_2 is a path of length 2 in G^{-+} . Otherwise, there are two not adjacent blocks B_3 and B_4 , are not adjacent to B_2 and B_1 respectively such that B'_1, B'_4, B'_3, B'_2 is a path in G^{--+} of length 3. © 2015, IJMA. All Rights Reserved

Let e'_1 and B'_2 be the edge-vertex and block-vertex of G^{--+} respectively. If e_1 is incident with B_2 in G, then e'_1 and B'_2 are adjacent in G^{--+} . If e_1 is not incident with B_2 in G, then we have the following cases:

Case-1. If there is an edge e_2 is incident with B_2 , where e_2 is not adjacent to e_1 in G, then B'_2, e'_2, e'_1 is a path in G^{-+} of length 2.

Case-2. If there are two not adjacent edges e_{2x} and e_{1x} , where e_{2x} and e_{1x} are incident with B_1 and B_2 respectively, and e_1 is adjacent to e_{2x} and e_{1x} , then $B'_2, e'_{2x}, e'_{1x}, B_1, e'_1$ is a path in G^{--+} of length 4.

Case-3. If there is an edge e_3 is not adjacent to both e_1 and e_2 , where e_1 in B_1 and e_2 in B_2 , then B'_2, e'_2, e'_3, e'_1 is a path in G^{--+} of length 3.

Theorem 4.9: If a graph $G \neq P_3$ is not a block, then $diam(G^{---}) \leq 4$.

Proof: Let e'_1 , e'_2 be the two edge-vertices of G^{---} . If e_1 and e_2 are not adjacent edges in G, then e'_1 and e'_2 are adjacent in G^{---} . If e_1 and e_2 are adjacent edges in G, then we have one of the following case:

Case-1. If e_1 and e_2 are incident with same block, then there exists a block *B* is incident with neither e_1 nor e_2 such that e'_1, B', e'_2 is a path of length 2 in G^{---} .

Case-2. If e_1 and e_2 are incident with different blocks B_1 and B_2 respectively in G, then we have the following subcases:

Subcase-2.1. If there is a block B which is incident with neither e_1 nor e_2 in G, then e'_1, B', e'_2 is a path in G^{---} of length 2.

Subcase-2.2. If there is an edge *e* is incident with block B_2 , and is not adjacent to e_1 , then e'_2, B'_1, e', e'_1 is a path in G^{---} of length 3.

Subcase-2.3. If there is an edge e_3 which is adjacent to neither e_1 nor e_2 , then e'_1, e'_3, e'_2 is a path in G^{---} of length 2.

Let B'_1 , B'_2 be two block-vertices of G^{---} . If B_1 and B_2 are not adjacent blocks in G, then B'_1 and B'_2 are adjacent in G^{---} . If B_1 and B_2 are adjacent blocks in G, then we have the following cases:

Case-1. If there is an edge e is incident with neither B_1 nor B_2 , then B'_1, e', B'_2 is a path of length 2 in G^{---} .

Case-2. If there are two not adjacent edges e_1 and e_2 are incident with B_1 and B_2 respectively, then B'_1, e'_2, e'_1, B'_2 is a path of length 3 in G^{---} .

Let e' and B' be the edge-vertex and block-vertex of G^{---} respectively. If e is not incident with B in G, then e' and B' are adjacent in G^{---} . If e is incident with B in G, then we have the following cases:

Case-1. If there is an edge e_1 is not incident with *B*, and is not adjacent to edge *e* in *G*, then e', e'_1, B' is a path in G^{---} of length 2.

Case-2. If there are not incident edge e_2 and block B_3 , where e_2 is not incident with B, and B_3 is not incident to e, then e', B'_3, e'_2, B' is a path of length 3 in G^{---} .

Case-3. If there is an edge e_1 which is incident with B_1 , and is not adjacent to an edge e_2 , where e_2 is incident with B, then B', e'_1, e'_2, B'_1, e' is a path of length 4 in G^{---} .

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

*This research is supported by UGC-MRP, New Delhi, India: F.No.41-784/2012 dated: 17-07-2012.

¹This research is supported by UGC-UPE (Non-NET)-Fellowship, K. U. Dharwad, No. KU/Sch/UGC-UPE/2014-15/ 897, dated: 24 Nov 2014.

REFERENCES

- 1. B. Basavanagoud, On the total block-edge transformation graphs, Pre-conference Abstract Proceedings of 23rd International Conference of Forum for Interdisciplinary Mathematics(FIM) on Interdisciplinary Mathematical, Statistical and Computational Techniques, 18-20 Dec, 2014 was held at NIT Karnataka, Surathkal, Mangalore-575 025, India.
- 2. B. Basavanagoud, H. P. Patil, Jaishri B. Veeragoudar, *On the block-transformation graphs, graph equations and diameters*, International Journal of Advances in Science and Technology 2(2)(2011), 62-74.
- 3. B. Basavanagoud, V. R. Kulli, *Hamiltonian and eulerian properties of plick graphs*. The Mathematics Student, 73(2005), 175-181.
- 4. B. Basavanagoud, Veena N. Mathad, *Graph equations for line graphs, qlick graphs and plick graphs,* Proceedings of the National Conference on Graphs, Combinatorics, Algorithms and Applications, Narosa Ps. House, NewDelhi (2005).
- 5. B. Basavanagoud, Veena N. Mathad, *On pathos qlick graph of a tree*, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, India sect. A, Vol.78, Pt. III, (2008), 219-223.
- 6. B. Basavanagoud, V. R. Kulli, *Plick graphs with crossing number 1*. International Journal of Mathematical Combinatorics 1(2011), 21-28.
- 7. B. Basavanagoud, Shreekant Patil, On the block-edge transformation graphs G^{ab}, International Research Journal of Pure Algebra 5(5) (2015), 75-80.
- 8. F. Harary, Graph Theory, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass (1969).
- 9. V. R. Kulli, *The semitotal-block graph and the total-block graph of a graph*, J. Pure and Appl. Math. 7(1976), 625-630.
- 10. V. R. Kulli, The plick graph and the qlick graph of a graph, Graph Theory Newsletter 15(1986).
- 11. V. R. Kulli, B. Basavanagoud, *Characterization of planar plick graphs*, Discussiones Mathematicae, Graph theory 24(2004), 41-45.
- 12. V. R. Kulli, B. Basavanagoud, *A criterion for (outer-) planarity of the qlick graph of a graph*, Pure and Applied Mathematika Sciences 48(1-2) (1998), 33-38.
- 13. V. R. Kulli, M. S. Biradar, Point block graphs and crossing numbers, Acta Ciencia Indica, 33(2)(2007), 637-640.
- 14. V. R. Kulli, M. S. Biradar, *The point-block graph of a graph*, J. of Computer and Mathematical Sci. 5(5)(2014), 476-481.
- 15. Van Rooji A C M, Wilf H S, *The interchange graph of a finite graph*, Acta Mate. Acad. Sci. Hungar 16(1965), 163-169.
- 16. B. Wu, J. Meng, Basic properties of total transformation graphs, J. Math. Study, 34(2001), 109-116.
- 17. B. Wu, X. Guo, *Diameters of jump graphs and self complementary jump graphs*, Graph Theory Notes of New York, 40(2001), 31-34.

Source of support: UGC-MRP, New Delhi, India, Conflict of interest: None Declared

[Copy right © 2015. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]