

CR- SUBMANIFOLD OF NEARLY HYPERBOLIC COSYMPLECTIC MANIFOLD
WITH A QUARTER SYMMETRIC NON METRIC CONNECTION

NIKHAT ZULEKHA*¹, SHADAB AHMAD KHAN², MOBIN AHMAD³

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics,
Integral University, Kursi Road Lucknow-226026, India.

³Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science, Jazan University, Jazan-2069, Saudi Arabia.

(Received On: 30-08-15; Revised & Accepted On: 23-09-15)

ABSTRACT

In present paper, we study some properties of CR-submanifold of a nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold with a quarter symmetric non metric connection, obtain some result on ξ -horizontal and ξ -vertical CR-submanifold of a nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold with a quarter symmetric non metric connection. We also find the integrability conditions of some distributions and study parallel distributions (horizontal & vertical distributions) on CR-submanifold of a nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold with a quarter symmetric non metric connection.

Keywords and Phrases: CR-submanifold, nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold with a quarter symmetric non metric connection, parallel distribution, and integrability condition.

INTRODUCTION

The notion of CR-submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold was introduced and studied by A. Bejancu in ([1], [2]). Since then, several paper on Kaehler manifold were published. CR-submanifolds of Sasakian manifold was studied by C.J.Hsu in [3] and M.Kobayashi in [4]. Later, several geometers (see, [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10]) enrich the study of CR-submanifolds of almost contact manifolds. On the other hand, almost hyperbolic (f, g, η, ξ) -structure was defined and studied by M.D.Upadhyay and K.K.Dube in [11]. L.Bhatt and K.K.Dube studied CR-submanifolds of a trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold in [12]. Ahmad M. and Ali K. study CR-submanifold of a nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold [13]. In this paper, we study some properties of CR- Submanifold of nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold with a quarter symmetric non metric connection.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we give a brief description of nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold with a quarter symmetric non metric connection. In section 3, some properties of CR- Submanifold of nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold with a quarter symmetric non metric connection are investigated. In section 4, some result on parallel distribution on ξ -horizontal and ξ -vertical CR- Submanifold of nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold with a quarter symmetric non metric connections are obtained.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let \bar{M} be an n -dimensional almost hyperbolic contact metric manifold with the almost hyperbolic contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) , where a tensor ϕ of type (1,1) a vector field ξ , called structure vector field and η , the dual 1-form of ξ satisfying the following

$$(2.1) \quad \phi^2 X = X + \eta(X)\xi, \quad g(X, \xi) = \eta(X),$$

$$(2.2) \quad \eta(\xi) = -1, \quad \phi(\xi) = 0, \quad \eta\phi = 0,$$

$$(2.3) \quad g(\phi X, \phi Y) = -g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

For any X, Y tangent to \bar{M} [9, 6]. In this case

$$(2.4) \quad g(\phi X, Y) = -g(X, \phi Y).$$

**Corresponding Author: Nikhat Zulekha*¹, ^{1,2}Department of Mathematics,
Integral University, Kursi Road Lucknow-226026, India.**

An almost hyperbolic contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) on \bar{M} is called hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold [12] if and only if

$$(2.5) \quad (\nabla_X \phi)Y + (\nabla_Y \phi)X = 0 \text{ for all } X, Y \text{ tangent to } \bar{M}.$$

A hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold \bar{M} is called nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold, if

$$(2.6) \quad \nabla_X \xi = 0 \text{ for a Riemannian Connection } \bar{\nabla}.$$

Now, Let M be a submanifold immersed in \bar{M} . The Riemannian metric induced on M is denoted by the same symbol g . Let TM and $T^\perp M$ be the Lie algebra of vector fields tangential to M and normal to M respectively and ∇ be the induced Levi-Civita connection on N , then the Gauss and Weingarten formulas are given respectively by

$$(2.7) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y).$$

Now we define a quarter symmetric non-metric connection

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \eta(Y)\phi X$$

Putting $Y = N$

$$\bar{\nabla}_X N = \nabla_X N + \eta(N)\phi X$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_X N = \nabla_X N$$

$$(2.8) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X N = -A_N X + \nabla_X^\perp N \text{ for any } X, Y \in TM \text{ and } N \in T^\perp M, \text{ where } \nabla^\perp \text{ is a connection on the normal bundle } T^\perp M, h \text{ is the second fundamental form and } A_N \text{ is the Weingarten map associated with } N \text{ as}$$

$$(2.9) \quad g(A_N X, Y) = g(h(X, Y), N) \text{ for any } X \in M \text{ and } X \in T_x M. \text{ We write}$$

$$(2.10) \quad X = PX + QX$$

where $PX \in D$ and $QX \in D^\perp$.

Similarly, for N normal to M , we have

$$(2.11) \quad \phi N = BN + CN$$

where BN (resp. CN) is the tangential component (resp. normal component) of ϕN .

Now we define a quarter symmetric non-metric connection

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \eta(Y)\phi X$$

Putting $Y = \phi Y$

$$\bar{\nabla}_X \phi Y = \nabla_X \phi Y + \eta(\phi Y)\phi X$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_X \phi Y = \nabla_X \phi Y$$

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y + \phi(\bar{\nabla}_X Y) = (\nabla_X \phi)Y + \phi(\nabla_X Y)$$

Interchanging X and Y

$$(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X + \phi(\bar{\nabla}_Y X) = (\nabla_Y \phi)X + \phi(\nabla_Y X)$$

Adding above two equations

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y + (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X + \phi(\bar{\nabla}_X Y - \nabla_X Y) + \phi(\bar{\nabla}_Y X - \nabla_Y X) = (\nabla_X \phi)Y + (\nabla_Y \phi)X$$

From (2.5) and quater symmetric non-metric connection

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y + (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X + \phi(\eta(Y)\phi X) + \phi(\eta(X)\phi Y) = 0$$

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y + (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = -\eta(Y)\phi^2 X - \eta(X)\phi^2 Y$$

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y + (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = -\eta(Y)(X + \eta(X)\xi) - \eta(X)(Y + \eta(Y)\xi)$$

$$(2.12) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y + (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = -\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi$$

Quarter symmetric non-metric connection

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \eta(Y)\phi X$$

Putting $Y = \xi$

$$\bar{\nabla}_X \xi = \nabla_X \xi + \eta(\xi)\phi X$$

$$(2.13) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X \phi \xi = -\phi X$$

Definition 1: An m-dimensional submanifold M of \bar{M} is called a CR-Submanifold of almost nearly hyperbolic contact manifold \bar{M} , if there exists a differentiable distribution $D: x \rightarrow D_x$ on M satisfying the following conditions:

- D is invariant, that is $\phi D_x \subset D_x$ for each $x \in M$.
- The complementary orthogonal distribution D^\perp of D is anti-invariant, that is $\phi D_x^\perp \subset T_x^\perp M$. If $\dim D_x^\perp = 0$ (resp., $\dim D_x = 0$), then the CR-Submanifold is called an invariant (resp., anti-invariant) submanifold. The distribution D (resp., D^\perp) is called the horizontal (resp., vertical) distribution. Also, the pair (D, D^\perp) is called ξ - horizontal (resp., vertical) if $\xi_x \in D_x$ (resp., $\xi_x \in D_x^\perp$).

3. SOME BASIC LEMMAS

Lemma 3.1: Let M be a CR- submanifold of a nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold \bar{M} then

$$(3.1) \quad -\eta(Y)PX - \eta(X)PY - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)P\xi + \phi P(\nabla_X Y) + \phi P(\nabla_Y X) = P\nabla_X(\phi PY) + P\nabla_Y(\phi PX) - PA_{\phi QY}X - PA_{\phi QX}Y$$

$$(3.2) \quad -\eta(Y)QX - \eta(X)QY - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)Q\xi + 2Bh(X, Y) = Q\nabla_X(\phi PY) + Q\nabla_Y(\phi PX) - QA_{\phi QY}X - QA_{\phi QX}Y$$

$$(3.3) \quad \phi Q(\nabla_X Y) + \phi Q(\nabla_Y X) + 2Ch(X, Y) = h(X, \phi PY) + h(Y, \phi PX) + \nabla_X^\perp \phi QY + \nabla_Y^\perp \phi QX \text{ for any } X, Y \in TM.$$

Proof: Using (2.4), (2.5), (2.6), we get

$$Y = PY + QY.$$

$$\phi Y = \phi PY + \phi QY.$$

Differentiating covariantly

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Left side: } \bar{\nabla}_X \phi Y &= (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y + \phi(\bar{\nabla}_X Y) \\ &= (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y + \phi(\nabla_X Y + h(X, Y)) \\ &= (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y + \phi \nabla_X Y + \phi h(X, Y) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Right side: } \bar{\nabla}_X(\phi PY + \phi QY) &= \bar{\nabla}_X(\phi PY) + \bar{\nabla}_X(\phi QY). \\ \bar{\nabla}_X(\phi PY + \phi QY) &= \nabla_X(\phi PY) + h(X, \phi PY) - A_{\phi QY}X + \nabla_X^\perp \phi QY. \end{aligned}$$

From Left and Right side

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y + \phi(\nabla_X Y) + \phi h(X, Y) = \nabla_X(\phi PY) + h(X, \phi PY) - A_{\phi QY}X + \nabla_X^\perp \phi QY.$$

Interchanging X & Y ,

$$(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X + \phi(\nabla_Y X) + \phi h(Y, X) = \nabla_Y(\phi PX) + h(Y, \phi PX) - A_{\phi QX}Y + \nabla_Y^\perp \phi QX.$$

Adding above two equations

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y + (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X + \phi(\nabla_X Y) + \phi(\nabla_Y X) + 2\phi h(X, Y) &= \nabla_X(\phi PY) + \nabla_Y(\phi PX) + h(X, \phi PY) + h(Y, \phi PX) \\ &\quad - A_{\phi QY}X - A_{\phi QX}Y + \nabla_X^\perp \phi QY + \nabla_Y^\perp \phi QX \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &-\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi + \phi(\nabla_X Y) + \phi(\nabla_Y X) + 2\phi h(X, Y) \\ &= \nabla_X(\phi PY) + \nabla_Y(\phi PX) + h(X, \phi PY) + h(Y, \phi PX) - A_{\phi QY}X - A_{\phi QX}Y + \nabla_X^\perp \phi QY + \nabla_Y^\perp \phi QX \\ &-\eta(Y)PX - \eta(X)QY - \eta(X)PY - \eta(X)QY - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)P\xi - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)Q\xi + \phi P(\nabla_X Y) + \phi Q(\nabla_Y X) \\ &+ P\phi(\nabla_Y X) + Q\phi(\nabla_Y X) + 2Bh(X, Y) + 2Ch(X, Y) = P\nabla_X(\phi PY) + Q\nabla_X(\phi PY) + P\nabla_Y(\phi PX) + Q\nabla_Y(\phi PX) \\ &+ h(X, \phi PY) + h(Y, \phi PX) - PA_{\phi QY}X - QA_{\phi QY}X - PA_{\phi QX}Y - QA_{\phi QX}Y + \nabla_X^\perp \phi QY + \nabla_Y^\perp \phi QX \end{aligned}$$

Comparing horizontal, vertical and normal components, we get

Tangential Component:

$$\begin{aligned} &-\eta(Y)PX - \eta(X)PY - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)P\xi + \phi P(\nabla_X Y) + \phi P(\nabla_Y X) \\ &= P\nabla_X(\phi PY) + P\nabla_Y(\phi PX) - PA_{\phi QY}X - PA_{\phi QX}Y \end{aligned}$$

Vertical Component:

$$-\eta(Y)QX - \eta(X)QY - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)Q\xi + 2Bh(X, Y) = Q\nabla_X(\phi PY) + Q\nabla_Y(\phi PX) - QA_{\phi QY}X - QA_{\phi QX}Y$$

Normal Component:

$$\phi Q(\nabla_X Y) + \phi Q(\nabla_Y X) + 2Ch(X, Y) = h(X, \phi PY) + h(Y, \phi PX) + \nabla_X^\perp \phi QY + \nabla_Y^\perp \phi QX$$

Hence the Lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 3.2: Let M be a CR- submanifold of a nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold \bar{M} then

$$2(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = -\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi + \nabla_X \phi Y - \nabla_Y \phi X + h(X, \phi Y) - h(Y, \phi X) - \phi[X, Y]$$

$$2(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = -\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi - \nabla_X \phi Y + \nabla_Y \phi X + h(Y, \phi X) - h(X, \phi Y) + \phi[X, Y]$$

for any $X, Y \in D$.

Proof: From Gauss formula (2.7), we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y).$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_X \phi Y = \nabla_X \phi Y + h(X, \phi Y).$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi X = \nabla_Y \phi X + h(\phi X, Y).$$

$$(3.6) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X \phi Y - \bar{\nabla}_Y \phi X = \nabla_X \phi Y + h(X, \phi Y) - \nabla_Y \phi X - h(Y, \phi X).$$

Also, we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_X \phi Y = (\nabla_X \phi)Y + \phi \bar{\nabla}_X Y.$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi X = (\nabla_Y \phi)X + \phi \bar{\nabla}_Y X.$$

Subtracting above,

$$\bar{\nabla}_X \phi Y - \bar{\nabla}_Y \phi X = (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y - (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X + \phi(\bar{\nabla}_X Y - \bar{\nabla}_Y X)$$

$$(3.7) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X \phi Y - \bar{\nabla}_Y \phi X = (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y - (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X + \phi[X, Y].$$

From (3.6) and (3.7), we get

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y - (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X + \phi[X, Y] = \nabla_X \phi Y + h(X, \phi Y) - \nabla_Y \phi X - h(Y, \phi X).$$

$$(3.8) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y - (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = \nabla_X \phi Y + h(X, \phi Y) - \nabla_Y \phi X - h(Y, \phi X) - \phi[X, Y].$$

Adding (3.8) and (2.12), we obtain

$$2(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = -\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi + \nabla_X \phi Y + h(X, \phi Y) - \nabla_Y \phi X - h(Y, \phi X) - \phi[X, Y]$$

Subtracting (3.8) from (2.12), we obtain

$$2(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = -\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi - \nabla_X \phi Y - h(X, \phi Y) + \nabla_Y \phi X + h(Y, \phi X) + \phi[X, Y]$$

Hence the Lemma is proved. \square

Corollary 3.3: If M be a ξ -vertical CR-submanifold of a CR- submanifold of a nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold \bar{M} with quarter symmetric metric connection. Then

$$2(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = \nabla_X \phi Y + h(X, \phi Y) - \nabla_Y \phi X - h(Y, \phi X) - \phi[X, Y]$$

and

$$2(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = \nabla_Y \phi X - \nabla_X \phi Y - h(X, \phi Y) + h(Y, \phi X) + \phi[X, Y] \text{ for any } X, Y \in D.$$

Lemma 3.4: Let M be a CR- submanifold of a nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold \bar{M} then

$$2(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = -\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi + A_{\phi X}Y - A_{\phi Y}X + \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y - \nabla_Y^\perp \phi X - \phi[X, Y]$$

and

$$2(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = -\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi - A_{\phi X}Y + A_{\phi Y}X - \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y + \nabla_Y^\perp \phi X + \phi[X, Y] \text{ for any } X, Y \in D^\perp.$$

Proof: From Weingarten formula (2.8), we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_X N = -A_N X + \nabla_X^\perp N$$

Putting $N = \phi Y$

$$\bar{\nabla}_X \phi Y = -A_{\phi Y}X + \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y.$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi X = -A_{\phi X}Y + \nabla_Y^\perp \phi X.$$

$$(3.10) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X \phi Y - \bar{\nabla}_Y \phi X = A_{\phi X}Y - A_{\phi Y}X + \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y - \nabla_Y^\perp \phi X.$$

Also,

$$(3.11) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X \phi Y - \bar{\nabla}_Y \phi X = (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y - (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X + \phi[X, Y].$$

From (3.10) and (3.11), we get

$$(3.12) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y - (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = A_{\phi X}Y - A_{\phi Y}X + \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y - \nabla_Y^\perp \phi X - \phi[X, Y].$$

$$(2.12) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y + (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = -\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi$$

Adding (3.12) and (2.12), we obtain

$$2(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = -\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi + A_{\phi X}Y - A_{\phi Y}X + \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y - \nabla_Y^\perp \phi X - \phi[X, Y]$$

Subtracting (3.12) from (2.12), we obtain

$$2(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = -\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi - A_{\phi X}Y + A_{\phi Y}X - \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y + \nabla_Y^\perp \phi X + \phi[X, Y]$$

Hence the Lemma is proved.

Corollary 3.5: If M be a ξ – horizontal CR-submanifold of of a CR- submanifold of a nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold \bar{M} with quarter symmetric metric connection. Then

$$2(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = A_{\phi X}Y - A_{\phi Y}X + \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y - \nabla_Y^\perp \phi X - \phi[X, Y].$$

and

$$2(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = A_{\phi Y}X - A_{\phi X}Y + \nabla_Y^\perp \phi X - \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y + \phi[X, Y]. \text{ for any } X, Y \in D^\perp.$$

Lemma 3.6: Let M be a CR- submanifold of a nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold \bar{M} then

$$2(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = -\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi - A_{\phi Y}X + \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y - \nabla_Y^\perp \phi X - h(Y, \phi X) - \phi[X, Y]$$

$$2(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = -\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi + A_{\phi Y}X - \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y + \nabla_Y^\perp \phi X + h(Y, \phi X) + \phi[X, Y]$$

for any $X \in D$ and $Y \in D^\perp$.

Proof: Using Gauss and Weingarten formula for $X \in D$ and $Y \in D^\perp$ respectively, we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_X \phi Y = -A_{\phi Y}X + \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y.$$

and $\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi X = \nabla_Y^\perp \phi X + h(Y, \phi X).$

$$(3.14) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X \phi Y - \bar{\nabla}_Y \phi X = -A_{\phi Y}X + \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y - \nabla_Y^\perp \phi X - h(Y, \phi X).$$

Also, we have

$$(3.15) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X \phi Y - \bar{\nabla}_Y \phi X = (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y - (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X + \phi[X, Y].$$

By virtue of (3.14) and (3.15), we get

$$(3.16) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y - (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = -A_{\phi Y}X + \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y - \nabla_Y^\perp \phi X - h(Y, \phi X) - \phi[X, Y].$$

$$(2.12) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y + (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = -\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi$$

Adding (3.16) and (2.12), we obtain

$$2(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = -\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi - A_{\phi Y}X + \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y - \nabla_Y^\perp \phi X - h(Y, \phi X) - \phi[X, Y]$$

Subtracting (3.16) from (2.12), we obtain

$$2(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = -\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi + A_{\phi Y}X - \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y + \nabla_Y^\perp \phi X + h(Y, \phi X) + \phi[X, Y]$$

Hence the Lemma is proved. \square

4. PARALLEL DISTRIBUTION

Definition 2: The horizontal (resp., vertical) distribution D (resp., D^\perp) is said to be parallel [13] with respect to the connection on M if $\nabla_X Y \in D$ (resp., $\nabla_Z W \in D^\perp$) for any vector field $X, Y \in D$ (resp., $W, Z \in D^\perp$).

Theorem 4.1: Let M be a ξ – vertical CR-submanifold of a nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold \bar{M} . If the horizontal distribution D is parallel, Then

$$(4.1) \quad h(X, \phi Y) = h(Y, \phi X). \text{ for any } X, Y \in D$$

Proof: Using parallelism of horizontal distribution D , we have

$$(4.2) \quad \nabla_X(\phi Y) \in D \text{ and } \nabla_Y \phi X \in D \text{ for any } X, Y \in D.$$

From Vertical component,

$$-\eta(Y)QX - \eta(X)QY - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)Q\xi + 2Bh(X, Y) = Q\nabla_X(\phi PY) + Q\nabla_Y(\phi PX) - QA_{\phi QY}X - QA_{\phi QX}Y$$

As Q is a projection operator on D^\perp , We have

$$(4.3) \quad Bh(X, Y) = 0.$$

We know,

$$\phi N = BN + CN$$

Putting $N = h(X, Y)$

$$\phi h(X, Y) = Bh(X, Y) + Ch(X, Y)$$

From (4.3)

$$(4.4) \quad 2\phi h(X, Y) = 2Ch(X, Y)$$

From normal component,

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi Q(\nabla_X Y) + \phi Q(\nabla_Y X) + 2Ch(X, Y) &= h(X, \phi PY) + h(Y, \phi PX) + \nabla_X^\perp \phi QY + \nabla_Y^\perp \phi QX. \\ 2Ch(X, Y) &= h(X, \phi PY) + h(Y, \phi PX) \\ 2Ch(X, Y) &= h(X, \phi Y) + h(Y, \phi X), \text{ for any } X, Y \in D. \end{aligned}$$

Applying (4.5) in (4.4)

$$(4.6) \quad 2\phi h(X, Y) = h(X, \phi Y) + h(Y, \phi X)$$

Replacing X by ϕX

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} 2\phi h(\phi X, Y) &= h(\phi X, \phi Y) + h(Y, \phi^2 X) \\ 2\phi h(\phi X, Y) &= h(\phi X, \phi Y) + h(Y, X + \eta(X)\xi) \\ 2\phi h(\phi X, Y) &= h(\phi X, \phi Y) + h(Y, X) + h(Y, \eta(X)\xi) \\ 2\phi h(\phi X, Y) &= h(\phi X, \phi Y) + h(Y, X) \end{aligned}$$

Now, replacing $Y \rightarrow \phi Y$ in (4.6), we get

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} h(X, \phi^2 Y) + h(\phi Y, \phi X) &= 2\phi h(X, \phi Y). \\ h(X, Y + \eta(Y)\xi) + h(\phi Y, \phi X) &= 2\phi h(X, \phi Y). \\ h(X, Y) + h(\phi Y, \phi X) &= 2\phi h(X, \phi Y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus from (4.7) and (4.8), we find

$$2\phi h(\phi X, Y) = 2\phi h(X, \phi Y).$$

Operating ϕ on both sides, we get

$$h(X, \phi Y) = h(Y, \phi X).$$

Hence the Theorem is proved. \square

Theorem 4.2: Let M be a CR-submanifold of a nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold \bar{M} . If the distribution D^\perp is parallel with respect to the connection on M , then

$$(4.9) \quad A_{\phi Y}X + A_{\phi X}Y \in D^\perp \text{ for any } X, Y \in D^\perp.$$

Proof: Let, $X, Y \in D^\perp$. then using Weingarten Formula .

We have,

$$\bar{\nabla}_X N = -A_N X + \nabla_X^\perp N$$

Putting $N = \phi Y$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}_X \phi Y &= -A_{\phi Y} X + \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y \\ (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y + \phi(\bar{\nabla}_X Y) &= -A_{\phi Y} X + \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y \end{aligned}$$

Using Gauss formula

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y &= -A_{\phi Y} X + \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y - \phi(\nabla_X Y + h(X, Y)) \\ (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y &= -A_{\phi Y} X + \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y - \phi \nabla_X Y - \phi h(X, Y) \end{aligned}$$

Interchanging X and Y

$$(4.12) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = -A_{\phi X} Y + \nabla_Y^\perp \phi X - \phi \nabla_Y X - \phi h(Y, X)$$

Adding (4.11) and (4.12), we get

$$(4.13) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y + (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = -A_{\phi Y} X - A_{\phi X} Y + \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y + \nabla_Y^\perp \phi X - \phi \nabla_X Y - \phi \nabla_Y X - 2\phi h(X, Y)$$

From (2.13) and (4.13)

$$-\eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi = -A_{\phi Y} X - A_{\phi X} Y + \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y + \nabla_Y^\perp \phi X - \phi \nabla_X Y - \phi \nabla_Y X - 2\phi h(X, Y)$$

Taking inner product w.r.to $Z \in D$

$$\begin{aligned} -\eta(X)g(Y, Z) - \eta(Y)g(X, Z) - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)g(\xi, Z) &= -g(A_{\phi Y} X, Z) - g(A_{\phi X} Y, Z) + g(\nabla_X^\perp \phi Y, Z) \\ &\quad + g(\nabla_Y^\perp \phi X, Z) - g(\phi \nabla_X Y, Z) - g(\phi \nabla_Y X, Z) - 2\phi g(h(X, Y), Z) \end{aligned}$$

$$g(A_{\phi Y}X + A_{\phi X}Y, Z) = 0$$

This implies that

$$(A_{\phi Y}X + A_{\phi X}Y) \in D^\perp$$

for any $X, Y \in D^\perp$.

Hence theorem is proved.

Definition 4.3: A CR-submanifold is said to be mixed-totally geodesic if $h(X, Z) = 0$, for all $X \in D$ and $Z \in D^\perp$.

Lemma 4.4: Let M be a CR-submanifold of a nearly trans-hyperbolic Cosymplectic manifold \bar{M} . Then M is mixed totally geodesic if and only if $A_N X \in D$ for all $X \in D$.

Definition 4.5: A Normal vector field $N \neq 0$ is called D - parallel normal section if $\nabla_X^\perp N = 0$, for all $X \in D$.

Theorem 4.6: Let M be a mixed totally geodesic CR-submanifold of a nearly trans- hyperbolic Sasakian manifold \bar{M} . Then the normal section $N \in \phi D^\perp$ is D parallel if and only if $\nabla_X \phi N \in D$ for all $X \in D$.

Proof: Let $N \in \phi D^\perp$, then from (3.2) we have

$$-\eta(Y)QX - \eta(X)QY - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)Q\xi + 2Bh(X, Y) = Q\nabla_X(\phi PY) + Q\nabla_Y(\phi PX) - QA_{\phi QY}X - QA_{\phi QX}Y$$

As Q is a projection operator on D^\perp , then

$$(4.15) \quad 2Bh(X, Y) = Q\nabla_Y(\phi X) - QA_{\phi Y}X.$$

Using definition of mixed geodesic CR-submanifold,

$$h(X, Y) = 0, \text{ if } X \in D \text{ and } Y \in D^\perp$$

$$(4.16) \quad Q\nabla_Y(\phi X) = QA_{\phi Y}X.$$

As $A_{\phi Y}X \in D$, for $X \in D$.

Therefore, $QA_{\phi Y}X = 0$

$$(4.17) \quad Q\nabla_Y(\phi X) = 0$$

By normal component

$$\phi Q(\nabla_X Y) + \phi Q(\nabla_Y X) + 2Ch(X, Y) = h(X, \phi PY) + h(Y, \phi PX) + \nabla_X^\perp \phi QY + \nabla_Y^\perp \phi QX$$

As Q is a projection operator on D^\perp , then

$$\phi Q(\nabla_X Y) = \nabla_X^\perp \phi QY$$

$$\phi Q(\nabla_Y X) = \nabla_Y^\perp \phi QX$$

Putting, $Y = \phi N$

$$(\phi Q)\nabla_X \phi N = \nabla_X^\perp \phi^2 N$$

$$(\phi Q)\nabla_X \phi N = \nabla_X^\perp (N + \eta(N)\xi)$$

$$(4.20) \quad (\phi Q)\nabla_X \phi N = \nabla_X^\perp N$$

Then by Definition of Parallelism of N , We have

$$(\phi Q)\nabla_X \phi N = 0$$

$$Q\nabla_X \phi N = 0$$

Consequently, we get

$$\nabla_X(\phi N) \in D, \text{ for all } X \in D.$$

Converse part is easy consequence of (4.20)

This completes the Proof.

REFERENCES

1. A.Bejancu, CR-submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold I, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 69 (1978), 135-142.
2. CR-submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold II, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 250 (1979), 333-345.
3. C.J.Hsu, On CR-submanifolds of Sasakian manifolds I, Math. Research Center Reports, Symposium Summer 1983, 117-140.

4. M.Kobayash, CR-submanifolds of Sasakian manifold, Tensor N.S. 35 (1981), 297-307.
5. A. Bejancu and N.Papaghuic, CR-submanifolds of Kenmotsu manifold, Rend. Math. 7 (1984), 607-622.
6. Lovejoy S.K. Das and M. Ahmad, CR-submanifolds of LP-Sasakian manifolds with quarter symmetric non-metric connection, Math. Sci.J. 13 (7), 2009, 161-169.
7. C. Ozgur, M.Ahmad and A.Haseeb, CR-submanifolds of LP-Sasakian manifolds with semi symmetric metric connection, Hacettepe J. Math. And Stat. vol. 39 (4)(2010), 489-496.
8. K.Matsumoto, On CR-submanifolds of locally conformal Kaehler manifolds, J.Korean Math.Soc. 21(1984), 49-61.
9. M Ahmad and J.P.Ojha, CR-submanifolds of LP-Sasakian manifolds with the canonical semi symmetric semi-metric connection, Int. J.Contemt. Math. Science, vol.5 (2010), no. 33, 1637-1643.
10. M. Ahmad, M.D.Siddiqi and S. Rizvi, CR-submanifolds of a nearly hyperbolic Sasakian manifolds admitting semi symmetric semi metric connection, International J. Math. Sci & Engg Appls., vol 6(2012), 145-155.
11. M.D.Upadhyay and K.K.Dube, Almost contact hyperbolic $(\emptyset, \xi, \eta, g)$ -structure, Acta. Math. Acad. Scient. Hung. Tomus 28(1976), 1-4.
12. L.Bhatt and K.K.Dube, CR-submanifolds of a trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold, ActaCienciaIndica 31 (2003), 91-96.
13. Ahmad M. and Ali K. "CR- Submanifold of nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold" IOSR-JM, e-ISSN: 2278-5728, p-ISSN: 2319-765X, vol. X, Issue X (May –June, 2013).

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared

[Copy right © 2015. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]