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ABSTRACT

The motion of a two dimensional MHD Poiseuille Flow between two infinite parallel plates in porous media with heat and mass transfer under the influence of inclined magnetic field, is studied here. The flow is considered unsteady. The work is divided into two parts, one with both plates non porous while the other with lower plate porous. The governing equations of motion are solved by analytical method. The velocity profile is discussed with the help of graphs drawn for different MHD parameters, and the numerical values of skin-friction have been tabulated.

Key Words: Magnetohydrodynamic flow, MHD, inclined magnetic field, porous plate.

INTRODUCTION

The study of MHD flow problems associated with heat and mass transfer play important roles in different areas of science and technology, like chemical engineering, mechanical engineering, biological science, petroleum engineering, biomechanics, irrigation engineering and aerospace technology. Such problems also frequently occur in petro-chemical industry, chemical vapour deposition on surfaces, cooling of nuclear reactors, heat exchanger design, forest fire dynamics and geophysics. The influence of magnetic field on viscous, incompressible and electrically conducting fluid is of great importance in many applications such as magnetic material processing, glass manufacturing control processes and purification of crude oil. The response of laminar skin friction and heat transfer to fluctuations in the stream velocity was studied by Lighthill [1]. Free convection effects on the oscillating flow past an infinite vertical porous plate with constant Suction, was studied by Soundalgekar [6] which was further improved by Vajravelu et al. [7]. The work on radiation effects on MHD flow through porous media past an impulsively started vertical plate with variable heat and mass transfer was done by Rajput and Kumar [4]. The same authors have also studied Radiation effects on MHD flow past an impulsively started infinite vertical porous plate with heat transfer [3]. Further, Magnetohydrodynamic steady flow of liquid between two parallel plates was studied by Singh [5]. Hall effects on heat and mass transfer flow through porous medium was studied by Ram et al. [2]. In this paper we have considered unsteady MHD Poiseuille flow between two infinite plates through porous media and an inclined magnetic field with heat and mass transfer. The model has been solved analytically and the results are shown with the help of graphs.

MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS

Consider the unsteady flow of a viscous, incompressible and electrically conducting fluid. The plates considered are parallel. The x axis has been considered along the flow and y normal to it. An inclined magnetic field $B_0$ of uniform strength is applied along to the plate at angle $\alpha$ from horizontal. The viscous dissipation and induced magnetic field have been neglected due to their small effects. Initially it has been considered that the plate as well as the fluid is at the same temperature $T_\infty$ and the concentration level $C_\infty$ everywhere in the fluid is same in stationary condition. The governing flow models for two cases are as under.
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Case-1: Both plates are non porous:

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \nu \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \frac{-\sigma B_0^2 \sin^2 \alpha u}{\rho} - \frac{\nu u}{K},
\]

(1)

\[
\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial z^2},
\]

(2)

\[
\rho C_p \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = k \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z^2}.
\]

(3)

Initial and boundary conditions are as under:

\[
t \leq 0 : u = 0, T = T_w, C = C_w, \text{ for all } y,
\]

\[
t > 0 : u = 1, T = T_w, C = C_w \text{ at } y = 1.
\]

(4)

Where \(u\) - is the velocity of the fluid, \(t\) - time, \(T\) - temperature of the fluid, \(l\) - distance between plates, \(\nu\) - the kinematic viscosity, \(y\) - the coordinate axis normal to the plates, \(\rho\) - the density, \(C_p\) - the specific heat at constant pressure, \(k\) - thermal conductivity of the fluid, \(B_0\) - the magnetic field, \(\sigma\) - electrically conductivity and \(K\) - the permeability parameter.

Using the following dimensionless quantities:

\[
\bar{x} = \frac{x}{l}, \quad \bar{y} = \frac{y}{l}, \quad \bar{u} = \frac{ul}{\nu}, \quad \bar{K} = \frac{K}{l^2}, \quad S_c = \frac{\nu}{D}, \quad \bar{t} = \frac{tl^2}{\nu}, \quad P_r = \frac{\mu l}{k}, \quad \frac{\sigma B_0^2 l^2}{\mu}, \quad \bar{p} = \frac{pl^2}{\rho \nu},
\]

\[
\bar{\theta} = \frac{T - T_w}{T_w - T_w}, \quad \bar{C} = \frac{C - C_w}{C_w - C_w}.
\]

(5)

Here the symbols used are:

\(P_r\) - the Prandtl number, \(S_c\) - the Schmidt number, \(\bar{t}\) - dimensionless time, \(\bar{\theta}\) - the dimensionless temperature, \(\bar{C}\) - the dimensionless Concentration of fluid, \(Ha\) - the Hartmann number, \(\mu\) - the coefficient of viscosity, \(\bar{K}\) - the permeability parameter.

Using non dimensional quantities (5), the equations (1), (2) and (3) reduce to

\[
\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial \bar{t}} = \frac{\partial^2 \bar{u}}{\partial \bar{y}^2} - Ha^2 \sin^2 \alpha \bar{u} - \frac{\bar{u}}{\bar{K}},
\]

(6)

\[
\frac{\partial \bar{C}}{\partial \bar{t}} = \frac{1}{S_c} \frac{\partial^2 \bar{C}}{\partial \bar{y}^2},
\]

(7)

\[
\frac{\partial \bar{\theta}}{\partial \bar{t}} = \frac{1}{P_r} \frac{\partial^2 \bar{\theta}}{\partial \bar{y}^2}.
\]

(8)

With the corresponding initial and boundary conditions:

\[
\bar{t} \leq 0 : \bar{u} = 0, \bar{\theta} = 0, \bar{C} = 0, \text{ for all } \bar{y},
\]

\[
\bar{t} > 0 : \bar{u} = 1, \bar{\theta} = 1, \bar{C} = 1 \text{ at } \bar{y} = 1,
\]

(9)

\[
\bar{t} > 0 : \bar{u} = 1, \bar{\theta} = 1, \bar{C} = 1 \text{ at } \bar{y} = -1.
\]

Dropping the bars in above equations, we get

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} - Ha^2 \sin^2 u - \frac{u}{K},
\]

(10)

\[
\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{S_c} \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2},
\]

(11)
The corresponding boundary conditions become:

\[
\begin{align*}
t &\leq 0: u = 0, \theta = 0, C = 0, \text{ for all } y, \\
t &> 0: u = 1, \theta = 1, C = 1 \quad \text{at } y = 1, \\
t &> 0: u = 1, \theta = 1, C = 1 \quad \text{at } y = -1.
\end{align*}
\]  

(13)

Solving the above equations analytically, we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
u(y,t) &= e^{-\frac{\lambda^2}{2}} \left( e^{-\lambda} - e^{(\lambda+2)y} \right) \\
\theta(y,t) &= e^{\frac{\lambda^2}{2}} (\cos \lambda y + \sin \lambda y) \\
C(y,t) &= e^{\frac{\lambda^2}{2}} (\cos \lambda y + \sin \lambda y).
\end{align*}
\]

Here \( \zeta = \sqrt{Ha^2 \sin^2 u + \frac{1}{K} - \lambda^2} \), \( \lambda \) is an assumed parameter in the solution.

**Case-2:** Lower plate is porous:

Let \( v_0 \) be the characteristic velocity of fluid moving perpendicular to the flow due to lower plate being porous.

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} &= -v_0 \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \mu \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} - \frac{\sigma}{\rho} B_0^2 \sin^2 \alpha - \frac{\nu u}{K}, \\
\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} &= D \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2}, \\
\rho C_p \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} &= k \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2}.
\end{align*}
\]

(14), (15) and (16) reduce to

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial \bar{t}} &= -A \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial \bar{y}} + \frac{\partial^2 \bar{u}}{\partial \bar{y}^2} - Ha^2 \sin^2 \alpha \bar{u} - \frac{\bar{u}}{K}, \\
\frac{\partial \bar{C}}{\partial \bar{t}} &= S \frac{\partial^2 \bar{C}}{\partial \bar{y}^2}, \\
\frac{\partial \bar{\theta}}{\partial \bar{t}} &= \frac{\partial^2 \bar{\theta}}{\partial \bar{y}^2}.
\end{align*}
\]

(17), (18), (19)

The initial and boundary conditions remain unchanged, i.e. (9).

Dropping the bars in equations, we get

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} &= -A \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} - Ha^2 \sin^2 \alpha u - \frac{u}{K}, \\
\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} &= S \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2}, \\
\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial y^2}.
\end{align*}
\]

(20)
\[
\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{S_c} \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2}, \quad (21)
\]
\[
\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{P_r} \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial y^2}, \quad (22)
\]

with boundary conditions as given in equation (13).

Here \( A = \frac{R_e}{\rho} \).

Solving the above equations analytically, we obtain

\[
u(y, t) = e^{\lambda y} \left( e^{-\eta y} - e^{2\eta y} \right),
\]
\[
\theta(y, t) = \frac{e^{\mu y}}{2} \left( \frac{\cos \lambda y \sin \lambda y}{\cos \lambda y} + \frac{\sin \lambda y \cos \lambda y}{\sin \lambda y} \right),
\]
\[
C(y, t) = \frac{e^{\nu y}}{2} \left( \frac{\cos \lambda y \sin \lambda y}{\cos \lambda y} + \frac{\sin \lambda y \cos \lambda y}{\sin \lambda y} \right).
\]

Here \( \eta = \sqrt{\frac{A^2}{4} + Ha^2 \sin^2 \alpha + \frac{1}{K} - \lambda^2} \) with \( \lambda' \) as an assumed parameter.

**SKIN FRICTION**

**Case-1:** Both plates are non-porous

\[
\tau = \left[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right]_{y=0} = e^{2\lambda y} \frac{e^{\mu y} - e^{2\eta y}}{\frac{\cos \lambda y \sin \lambda y}{\cos \lambda y} + \frac{\sin \lambda y \cos \lambda y}{\sin \lambda y}}.
\]

**Case-2:** Lower plate is porous

\[
\tau = \left[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right]_{y=0} = e^{4\lambda y} \frac{e^{\mu y} - e^{2\eta y}}{2(e^{\eta y} - e^{2\eta y})}.
\]

**DISCUSSION AND RESULTS**

The velocity profiles for different parameters \( Ha, K, \alpha \) and \( t \) are given by the figures 1 to 8. Further the temperature and concentration profile are shown by Figures 9 and 10. The observations for both the cases: both plates non porous and lower plate porous are similar, which are as under.

When the angle of inclination of applied magnetic field is increased, the velocity is decreased. It is shown by figure 1 and 5. Further it is deduced from figure 2 and 6 that velocity decreases when Hartmann number is increase. From figure 3, 4, 7 and 8 it is observed that velocity is increased when permeability and time are increased.

Skin friction increase when Prandtl number is increase and Skin friction decreases with increase in angle of magnetic field, Hartmann number and time. It is shown by tables.
Figure 1: Velocity Profile

Figure 2: Velocity Profile

Figure 3: Velocity Profile

Figure 4: Velocity Profile

Figure 5: Velocity Profile

Figure 6: Velocity Profile

Figure 7: Velocity Profile

Figure 8: Velocity Profile
Table of skin friction for ordinary plates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>α</th>
<th>Ha</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>λ</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>τ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15°</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.946212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30°</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.937676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45°</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.910802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60°</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.86831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30°</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.937676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30°</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.813251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30°</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.470547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30°</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.608504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30°</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.9377667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30°</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.10126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30°</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.77704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30°</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.628543</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table of Skin Friction for lower plate is porous.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>α</th>
<th>Ha</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>λ</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>τ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15°</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.74064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30°</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.618918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45°</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.383607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60°</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30°</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.742065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30°</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.618918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30°</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.331117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30°</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.618918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30°</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.751773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30°</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.807683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30°</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30°</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.503928</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONCLUSION

In this paper a theoretical analysis has been done for unsteady MHD poiseuille flow between two infinite parallel plates through porous media and an inclined magnetic field with heat and mass transfer. Solutions for the model have been solved analytically by an analytical method. The observations of the study are as below:

(i) Velocity increases with the increase in permeability parameter.
(ii) Velocity decreases with the increase in the angle of magnetic field, Hartmann number and time.
(iii) Concentration increases with the increase in Schmidt number.
(iv) Temperature increases with increase in Prandtl number.
(v) Skin Friction increases with the increase in permeability parameter.
(vi) Skin Friction decreases with the increase in the angle of magnetic field, Hartmann number and time.
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