International Journal of Mathematical Archive-7(1), 2016, 47-52 MA Available online through www.ijma.info ISSN 2229 - 5046

CONNECTED ENTIRE DOMINATION IN GRAPHS

V. R. KULLI*

Department of Mathematics, Gulbarga University, Gulbarga 585 106, India.

S. C. SIGARKANTI

Department of Mathematics, Government Science College, Bengaluru, India.

(Received On: 13-01-16; Revised & Accepted On: 31-01-16)

ABSTRACT

The vertices and edges of a graph G are called the elements of G. A set X of elements in G is an entire dominating set if every element not in X is an entire dominating set if every element not in X is either adjacent or incident to at least one element in X. An entire dominating set X of G is a connected entire dominating set if the induced subgraph $\langle X \rangle$ is connected. The connected entire domination number $\varepsilon_c(G)$ of G is the minimum cardinality of a connected entire dominating set in G. In this paper, we initiate a study of this parameter and present some bounds and some exact values for $\varepsilon_c(G)$. Also Nordhaus Gaddum type results are obtained.

Keywords: entire dominating set, connected entire dominating set, connected entire domination number.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C.

1. INTRODUCTION

All graphs considered here are finite, undirected without loops and multiple edges. Any undefined term in this paper may be found in Kulli [1].

Let G = (V, E) be a graph with |V| = p vertices and |E| = q edges. A set *D* of vertices in a graph *G* is a dominating set if every vertex in V - D is adjacent to some vertex in *D*. The domination number $\gamma(G)$ of *G* is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set. Recently many new domination parameters are given in the books by Kulli [2, 3, 4].

The vertices and edges of a graph *G* are called the elements of *G*. A set *X* of elements in *G* is an entire dominating set if every element not in *X* is either adjacent or incident to at least one element of *X*. The entire domination number $\varepsilon(G)$ of *G* is the minimum cardinality of an entire dominating set in *G*. This concept was introduced by Kulli [5] and was studied, for example, in [6, 7, 8, 9]. Many other domination parameters in dominating theory were studied, for example, in [10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26].

Let *D* be a subset of the vertex set of *G*. The induced subgraph $\langle D \rangle$ is the maximal subgraph of *G* with the vertex set *D*. A dominating set *D* of *G* is a connected dominating set if the induced subgraph $\langle D \rangle$ is connected. The connected domination number $\gamma_c(G)$ of *G* is the minimum cardinality of a connected dominating set in *G*. Many other connected domination parameters in domination theory were studied, for example, in [27, 28, 29, 30].

Let *F* be a subset of the edge set of *G*. The induced subgraph $\langle F \rangle$ is the subgraph of *G* with the vertex set V_1 and edge set *F*, where V_1 is the set of all vertices incident with the edges of *F*. An edge dominating set *F* of *G* is a connected edge dominating set if the induced subgraph $\langle F \rangle$ is connected. The connected edge domination number $\gamma'_c(G)$ of *G* is the minimum cardinality of a connected edge dominating set in *G*. This concept was introduced by Kulli and Sigarkanti in [31] and was studied, for example, in [32].

Let |x| denote the least integer less than as equal to x. Let \overline{G} be the complement of G.

In [33], Kulli and Sigarkanti introduced the concept of connected entire domination. In this paper, we study this parameter.

We note that $\varepsilon_c(G)$ is defined only for connected graphs *G*.

Corresponding Author: V. R. Kulli*

2. CONNECTED ENTIRE DOMINATION NUMBER

We need the following definition.

Definition 1 [32]: Let G = (V, E) be a graph. Let $D \subseteq V$ and $F \subseteq E$. The subgraph $\langle X \rangle$ induced by $X = D \cup F$ is the subgraph of *G* with the vertex set $D \cup D_1$ where D_1 is the set of all vertices incident with the edges in *F* and the edge set $F \cup F_1$ where $F_1 = E(\langle D \rangle)$.

We now define the concept of connected entire domination in graphs.

Definition 2: An entire dominating set *X* of *G* is a connected entire dominating set if the induced subgraph $\langle X \rangle$ is connected. The connected entire domination number $\varepsilon_c(G)$ of *G* is the minimum cardinality of a connected entire dominating set in *G*.

3. EXACT VALUES

We obtain exact values of $\varepsilon_c(G)$ for some standard graphs.

Proposition 3: For any complete graph K_p with $p \ge 2$ vertices, $\varepsilon_c(K_p) = p - 1$.

Proof: Let *V* be the vertex set of K_p and *v* be a vertex of K_p . Then $V - \{v\}$ is a minimum connected entire dominating set of K_p . Thus $\varepsilon_c(K_p) = |V - \{v\}| = p - 1$.

Proposition 4: For any cycle C_p with $p \ge 3$ vertices, $\varepsilon_c(C_p) = p - 1$.

Proof: Let *V* be the vertex set of C_p and $v \in V$. Then $V - \{v\}$ is a minimum connected entire dominating set of C_p . Thus $\varepsilon_c(C_p) = |V - \{v\}|$ = p - 1.

Proposition 5: For any complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$ with $m \le n$,

 $\varepsilon_c(K_{m,n}) = 1, \quad \text{if} \qquad m = 1,$ = m+1 if $m \ge 1.$

Proof: Let $V=V_1\cup V_2$ be the vertex set of $K_{m,n}$ such that $|V_1| = m$ and $|V_2| = n$. If m=1, then $V_1=\{v\}$ and $\{v\}$ is the minimum connected entire dominating set of $K_{m,n}$. Thus

$$\varepsilon_c(K_{m,n}) = 1,$$
 if $m = 1.$
If $m \ge 2$, then for any vertex $v \in V_2$, the set $V_1 \cup \{v\}$ is a minimum connected entire dominating set of $K_{m,n}$. Thus
 $\varepsilon_c(K_{m,n}) = |V_1 \cup \{v\}|$

$$= m + 1,$$
 if $m \ge 2$

Proposition 6: For any wheel W_p with $p \ge 4$ vertices,

$$\varepsilon_c(W_p) = \left\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \right\rfloor + 1.$$

Proof: Let $v(W_p) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p\}$ and let deg $v_p = p - 1$ and deg $v_i = 3, 1 \le i \le p - 1$. We consider the following two cases.

Case-1: Suppose *p* is odd. Then $X = \{v_2, v_4, \dots, v_{p-1}\} \cup \{v_p\}$ is a minimum connected entire dominating set of W_p . Thus

$$\varepsilon_c(W_p) = |X|$$
$$= \frac{p-1}{2} + 1$$
$$= \left\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \right\rfloor + 1$$

Case-2: Suppose *p* is even. Then $Y = \{v_1, v_3, \dots, v_{p-3}, v_{p-2}\} \cup \{v_p\}$ is a minimum connected entire dominating set of W_p . Thus

$$\varepsilon_c(W_p) = |Y|$$
$$= \frac{p}{2} + 1$$

© 2016, IJMA. All Rights Reserved

From Case 1 and Case 2, we have

$$\varepsilon_c(W_p) = \left\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \right\rfloor + 1.$$

Proposition 7: For any tree *T* with $p \ge 3$ vertices, $\varepsilon_c(T) = p - n$ where *n* is the number of endvertices in *T*.

Proof: Clearly the set of all nonend vertices is a minimum connected entire dominating set of *T*. Hence $\varepsilon_c(T) = p - n$.

Corollary 8: For any path P_p with $p \ge 3$ vertices, $\varepsilon_c(P_p) = p - 2$.

Proof: This follows from Proposition 7.

4. BOUNDS

Theorem 9: For any connected graph *G* with $p \ge 2$ vertices, $\varepsilon(G) \le \varepsilon_c(G)$ and this bound is sharp.

Proof: Clearly every connected entire dominating set is an entire dominating set. Thus (1) holds.

The graphs $K_{1,p}$, $p \ge 2$ and K_3 achieve this bound.

Theorem 10: For any connected graph G with
$$p \ge 2$$
 vertices,
 $\varepsilon_c(G) \le p - 1$ (2)
and this bound is sharp.

Proof: Let $v \in V$ be a noncutvertex of *G*. Then $V - \{v\}$ is a connected entire dominating set of *G*. Hence $\varepsilon_c(G) \le |V - \{v\}| = p - 1$.

Equality holds in (2) if $G = K_p$ or C_p .

We obtain another upper bound on $\varepsilon_c(G)$.

Theorem 11: For any connected graph *G* with $p \ge 2$ vertices, $\varepsilon_c(G) \le p - \min(n) + 1$ where *n* is the number of endvertices in a spanning tree *T* of *G*.

Proof: Let *T* be a spanning tree of *G* with minimum number of endvertices. If no two endvertices of *T* are adjacent in *G*, then the set of all nonendvertices of *T* is a connected entire dominating set of *G*. If for every spanning tree *T*, there is an edge uv in *G* joining two endvertices u and v of *T*, then the set of all nonendvertices together with u is a connected entire dominating set of *G*. Thus

 $\varepsilon_c(G) \leq p - \min(n) + 1.$

We now obtain a lower bound and an upper bound of $\varepsilon_c(G)$ in terms of $\gamma_c(G)$ and $\gamma'_c(G)$.

Theorem 12: For any nontrivial connected graph *G*,

 $\left[\gamma_{c}(G)+\gamma'_{c}(G)\right]/2 \leq \varepsilon_{c}(G) \leq \gamma_{c}(G)+\varepsilon'_{c}(G).$

Proof: We obtain the upper bound. Let *D* be a minimum connected dominating set of *G* and *F* be a minimum connected edge dominating set of *G*. Then $D \cup F$ is a connected entire dominating set of *G*. Thus

 $\epsilon_c(G) \le |D \cup F|$ or $\epsilon_c(G) \le \gamma_c(G) + \epsilon'_c(G).$

We now establish the lower bound. Let $D \cup F$ be a minimum connected entire dominating set of G where $D \subseteq V$ and $F \subseteq E$. For each edge e = uv in F, choose a vertex either u or v or both. Let F' denote the set of all such vertices such that $\langle D \cup F' \rangle$ is connected. Then $D \cup F'$ is a connected dominating set of G.

(1)

Thus

$$\begin{array}{l} \gamma_c(G) \leq |D \cup F'| \\ \text{or} \qquad \gamma_c(G) \leq |D \cup F| \\ \text{or} \qquad \gamma_c(G) \leq \varepsilon_c(G). \end{array} \tag{3}$$

Similarly, for each vertex v in D, choose exactly one edge e = uv. Let D' denote the set of all such edges such that $\langle D' \cup F \rangle$ is connected. Then $D' \cup F$ is a connected edge dominating set of G. Thus

$$\begin{array}{l} \gamma'_{c}(G) \leq |D' \cup F| \\ \text{or} \qquad \gamma'_{c}(G) \leq |D \cup F| \\ \text{or} \qquad \gamma'_{c}(G) \leq \varepsilon_{c}(G). \end{array}$$

$$\tag{4}$$

From (3) and (4) we have

$$\left[\gamma_{c}\left(G\right)+\gamma'_{c}\left(G\right)\right]/2\leq\varepsilon_{c}\left(G\right).$$

Theorem 13: Let *D* be a maximal independent set of *G*. If every vertex of V - D is a cutvertex and $\langle V - D \rangle$ is connected, then $\gamma_c(G) = \varepsilon_c(G)$.

Proof: Let *D* be a maximal independent set in *G*. Then every vertex of *D* is adjacent to at least one vertex in V - D. Hence V - D is a minimum connected dominating set of *G*. Since *D* is independent, it implies that V - D is a connected entire dominating set of *G*. Thus $\varepsilon_c(G) \le \gamma_c(G)$ and since by (3), $\gamma_c(G) \le \varepsilon_c(G)$, it implies that $\gamma_c(G) = \varepsilon_c(G)$.

Theorem 14: Let *G* be a connected graph with $p \ge 3$ vertices. If $\varepsilon_c(G) = p - 1$, then *G* is hamiltonian.

Proof: Suppose *G* is a tree. Then by Proposition 7,

$$\varepsilon_c(G) = p - n \le p - 2$$

which is a contradiction. Thus G contains at least one cycle. We now prove that G does not contain a cutvertex. On the contrary, assume G has a cutvertex v. Without loss of generality, let G_1 and G_2 be the components of G - v. If both G_1 and G_2 are nontrivial, then $X = X_1 \cup X_2 \cup \{v\}$ is a connected entire dominating set of G, where X_1 and X_2 are minimum connected entire dominating sets of G_1 and G_2 respectively. Thus

or $\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon_c(G) \leq |X| \\ \varepsilon_c(G) \leq |X_1 \cup X_2 \cup \{\nu\}| \\ \varepsilon_c(G) \leq p-2 \end{aligned}$

which is a contradiction.

Since G contains at least one cycle, it implies that at least one of G_1 and G_2 is nontrivial. Suppose G_1 is nontrivial and G_2 is trivial. Then $X = X_1 \cup \{v\}$ is a connected entire dominating set of G, where X_1 is a minimum connected entire dominating set of G_1 .

Thus

or $\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_c(G) &\leq |X| \\ \varepsilon_c(G) &\leq |X_1 \cup \{v\}| \\ \varepsilon_c(G) &\leq p-2 \end{aligned}$

which is a contradiction. Hence G contains no cutvertices.

Let C_k be a largest cycle in G. Suppose C_k contains fewer than p vertices. Since G contains no cutvertices, it implies that there exists a cycle C_n having at least two vertices in common with C_k . Let $u \in V(C_k)$ and $v \in V(C_n)$ for some $u, v \in V(G)$. Then these two vertices u, v are nonadjacent. If for each u and v, there is an edge $uv \in E(G)$, then $C_k \cup C_n$ contains a cycle of order greater than the order of C_k , which is a contradiction. Thus for some $u \in V(C_k)$ and $v \in V(C_n)$, $uv \notin E(G)$. Therefore $V(G) - \{u, v\}$ is a connected entire dominating set of G, which is a contradiction. Thus C_k contains all the vertices of G. Hence G is hamiltonian.

5. NORDHAUS-GADDUM TYPE RESULTS

Nordhaus-Gaddum type results were obtained for many dominating parameters, for example, in [34, 35, 36, 37].

We now obtain Nordhaus-Gaddum type results.

Theorem 15: For connected graphs G and \overline{G} ,

$$\varepsilon_{c}\left(G\right) + \varepsilon_{c}\left(\overline{G}\right) \le 2\left(p-1\right) \tag{5}$$

$$\varepsilon_c(G)\varepsilon_c(G) \le (p-1)^2.$$
(6)

Further the bounds are attained if $G = C_5$.

Proof: By Theorem 10, $\varepsilon_c(G) \le p - 1$. Since \overline{G} is connected and by Theorem 10, $\varepsilon_c(\overline{G}) \le (p-1)$. Therefore the inequalities (5) and (6) hold.

Clearly if $G = C_5$, then $\varepsilon_c(G) = 4$ and $\varepsilon_c(\overline{G}) = 4$. Therefore both bounds in (5) and (6) are attained.

Theorem 16: For connected trees T and \overline{T} ,

$$\varepsilon_{c}\left(T\right) + \varepsilon_{c}\left(\overline{T}\right) \le p\left(p-3\right). \tag{7}$$

Furthermore the equality in (7) holds if and only if $T=P_4$.

Proof: By Proposition 7, $\varepsilon_c(T) \le p - 2 = 2(p - 1) - p = 2q - p$. Similarly, $\varepsilon_c(\overline{T}) \le 2\overline{q} - p$. Thus $\varepsilon_c(T) + \varepsilon_c(\overline{T}) \le 2(q + \overline{q}) - 2p = p(p - 1) - 2p = p(p - 3)$.

Suppose equality in (7) holds. Thus it implies that $\varepsilon_c(T) = 2p - p$ and $\varepsilon_c(\overline{T}) = 2\overline{q} - p$. This implies that q, $\overline{q} < p$. Thus $G = P_4$.

Theorem 17: For connected trees T and \overline{T} ,

$$\varepsilon_{c}(T) \cdot \varepsilon_{c}(\overline{T}) \leq (p-2)^{2}$$
.

Furthermore, equality holds if $T=P_4$.

Proof: By Proposition 7, $\varepsilon_c(T) \le p-2$, and also $\varepsilon_c(\overline{T}) \le p-2$. Therefore equality (8) holds.

Clearly if $T = P_4$, then $\varepsilon_c(T) = 2$ and $\varepsilon_c(\overline{T}) = 2$. Therefore equality in (8) holds.

REFERENCES

- 1. V.R.Kulli, College Graph Theory, Vishwa International Publications, Gulbarga, India (2012).
- 2. V. R. Kulli, Theory of Domination in Graphs, Vishwa International Publications, Gulbarga. India (2010).
- 3. V.R.Kulli, Advances in Domination Theory I, Vishwa International Publications, Gulbarga, India (2012).
- 4. V.R.Kulli, Advances in Domination Theory II, Vishwa International Publications, Gulbarga, India (2013).
- 5. On entire domination number, Second Conf. Ramanujan Math. Soc. Madras (1987).
- J. Gimbel, M. Maheo and C. Virlouvet, Double total domination in graphs, Discrete Mathematics, V. 165-166, 343-351 (1997).
- 7. V.R. Kulli, Edge entire domination in graphs, *International J. of Mathematical Archive*, 5(10), 275-278 (2014).
- 8. V.R.Kulli and S.C.Sigarkanti, *Total entire domination in graphs*. In Advances in Domination Theory I, V.R.Kulli, ed., Vishwa International Publications, Gulbarga, India, 53-62 (2012).
- 9. V.R.Kulli, S.C.Sigarkanti and N.D.Soner, *Entire domination in graphs*. In Advances in Graph Theory, Vishwa International Publications, Gulbarga, India 237-243 (1991).
- 10. V.R. Kulli, On n-total domination number of a graph. In Proc. 2nd China-USA International Conf. in Graph Theory, Combinatorics, Algorithms and Applications, SIAM, 319-324 (1991).
- 11. V.R.Kulli and R.R.Iyer, *Inverse total domination in graphs, Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography*, 10(5), 613-620 (2007).
- 12. V.R.Kulli and R.R.Iyer, Inverse vertex covering number of a graph, Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography, 15(6), 389-393 (2012).
- 13. V.R.Kulli and B. Janakiram, The total global domination number of a graph, *Indian, J. Pure Appl. Math.* 27, 537-542 (1996).
- 14. V. R. Kulli and B. Janakiram, The cobondage number of a graph, Discuss. Math. 16, 111-117 (1996).
- 15. V.R.Kulli and B Janakiram, The maximal domination number of a graph, *Graph Theory Notes of New York,* New York Academy of Sciences, 33, 11-13 (1997).
- 16. V.R.Kulli and B. Janakiram The strong nonsplit domination number of a graph, *International Journal of Management Systems*, 19, 145-156 (2003).

(8)

- 17. V.R.Kulli and B. Janakiram The block nonsplit domination number of a graph, *International Journal of Management Systems*, 20, 219-228 (2004).
- 18. V.R.Kulli and B. Janakiram The strong split domination number of a graph, *Acta Cienica Indica*, 32M, 715-720 (2006).
- 19. V.R.Kulli and B. Janakiram The regular set domination number of a graph, *Nat. Acad. Sci. Lett.*, 32, 351-355 (2009).
- 20. V.R.Kulli, B.Janakiram and R.R.Iyer, The cototal domination number of a graph, *Journal Discrete Methamatical Sciences and Cryptography*, 2, 179-184 (1999).
- 21. V.R. Kulli and M. B.Kattimani, The inverse neighbourhood number of a graph, *South East Asian J. Math. and Math. Sci*, 6(3), 23-28 (2008).
- 22. V.R. Kulli and M. B. Kattimani, *Accurate domination in graphs*. In Advances in Domination Theory I, V.R.Kulli, ed., Vishwa International Publications, Gulbarga, India, 1-8 (2012).
- 23. V.R. Kulli and M. B. Kattimani, *Accurate total domination in graphs*. In Advances in Domination Theory I, V.R.Kulli, ed., Vishwa International Publications, Gulbarga, India, 9-14 (2012).
- 24. V.R. Kulli and S.C. Sigarkanti, Inverse domination in graphs, Nat. Acad. Sci. Lett., 14, 473-475 (1991).
- 25. V.R. Kulli and N.D. Soner, The independent neighbourhood number of a graph, *Nat. Acad. Sci. Lett.*, 19, 159-161 (1996).
- 26. V.R.Kulli and N.D.Soner, Complementary edge domination in graphs, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 28, 917-920 (1997).
- 27. V.R. Kulli and M. B. Kattimani, Connected accurate domination in graphs, *Journal of Computer and Mathematical Sciences*, 6(12), 682-687 (2015).
- 28. V.R. Kulli and M. B. Kattimani, *Connected maximal domination in graphs*. In Advances in Domination Theory I, V.R.Kulli, ed., Vishwa International Publications, Gulbarga, India, 79-85 (2012).
- 29. V.R. Kulli, B. Janakiram and N.D. Soner, The connected efficient domination number of a graph, *International Journal of Management and Systems*, 19(1), 37-42 (2003).
- 30. V.R. Kulli and N.D. Soner, The connected total domination number of a graph, *Journal of Analysis and Computation*, 2(2), 183-189 (2006).
- 31. V.R.Kulli and S.C.Sigarkanti, The connected edge domination number, Proc. R.C. Bose Mem. Conf. Abstract (1988).
- 32. V.R.Kulli and S.C.Sigarkanti, The connected edge domination number of a graph, Technical Report, 8801, Dept. of Math. Gulbarga University, (1988).
- 33. V.R.Kulli and S.C.Sigarkanti, Connected entire domination in graphs, Technical Report, 9201, Dept. Math. Gulbarga University, Gulbarga, (1992).
- 34. V.R. Kulli, On nonbondage numbers of a graph, *International J. of Advanced Research in Computer Sci. and Technology*, 1(1), 42-45 (2013).
- 35. V. R. Kulli and B. Janakiram, The cobondage number of a graph, Discuss. Math. 16, 111-117 (1996).
- 36. V. R. Kulli, B. Janakiram and R. R. Iyer, Regular number of a graph, J. Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography, 4(1) 57-64 (2001).
- 37. V. R. Kulli and S. C. Sigarkanti, On the tree and star numbers of a graph, *Journal of Computer and Mathematical Sciences*. 6(1), 25-32 (2015).

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared

[Copy right © 2016. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]