International Journal of Mathematical Archive-7(1), 2016, 125-136 MA Available online through www.ijma.info ISSN 2229 - 5046

SUM OF ANNIHILATOR NEAR-FIELD SPACES OVER NEAR-RING IS ANNIHILATOR NEAR-FIELD SPACE (SA-NFS-ONR-ANFS)

Dr. N V NAGENDRAM*

Professor of Mathematics, Department of Science & Humanities, Kakinada Institute of Technology & Science Tirupathi (v)., Divili 533 433, East Godavari District, Andhra Pradesh. India.

(Received On: 16-01-16; Revised & Accepted On: 31-01-16)

ABSTRACT

We call a near-field space N over a near-ring R a right SA-near-field space if for any sub near-field spaces I and J of N there is an ideal K of N such that r(I) + r(J) = r(K). This class of near-field spaces is exactly the class of near-field spaces for which the lattice of right annihilator near-field spaces is a sub-lattice of the lattice of near-field spaces. The class of right SA-near-field spaces includes all quasi-Baer (hence all Baer) near-field spaces and all right IN-near-field spaces (hence all right self-injective near-field spaces). This class is closed under direct products, full and upper triangular matrix near-field spaces over near-rings, certain polynomial near-field space over near-ring property is a Morita invariant. For a semi-prime near-field space over near-ring R, it is shown that R is a right SA-near-field spaces I and J of N if and only if Spec(N) is extremally disconnected. Examples are provided to illustrate and delimit our results.

Key Words: Annihilator-near-field space; Extremally disconnected near-field space; IN-near-field space over near-ring; Quasi-Baer near-field space over near-ring; SA-near-field space over near-ring.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 16D25; 16D70; 54G05.

INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, N denotes near-field space over near-ring R and R denotes a nonzero associative near-ring with identity. In this paper, we introduce and investigate the concept of a right SA-near-field space over near-ring. We call N a right SA-near-field space over near-ring, if for any sub near-field spaces I and J of N over near-ring R there is a sub near-field space K of N over a near-ring R such that r(I) + r(J) = r(K), where r(I) (resp., l(J)) denotes the right annihilator sub near-field space (resp., left annihilator sub near-field space) of I.

Section 1: Introduction

Throughout this paper, N denotes a nonzero associative near-field over a near-ring R with identity. In this paper, I introduce and investigate the concept of a *right SA*-near-field space over near-*ring*. We call N a *right SA*-near-field space over near-*ring* R, if for any near-field sub spaces I and J of N there is an near-field sub space K of N such that r(I) + r(J) = r(K), where r(I) (resp., l(J) denotes the right annihilator near-field space (respectively left Annihilator near-field space) of I.

In Section 2, we show that all quasi-Baer near-field spaces over regular δ -near-rings and all left IN-near-field spaces over regular δ -near-rings are right SA- near-field spaces over regular δ -near-rings. Moreover, I provide examples of right SA- near-field spaces over regular δ -near-rings. Theorem 2.6 yields that the right SA- near-field spaces over regular δ -near-rings condition is exactly the condition which ensures that the lattice of right annihilator near-field spaces over regular δ -near-rings is a sub- ϕ lattice of the lattice of near-field spaces over regular δ -near-rings of a near-ring R. Also in this theorem, we prove that N is a right SA- near-field spaces over regular δ -near-rings if and only rII + rIJ = rII \cap IJ for all ideals I and J of N. The section concludes with the result that the class of right SA- near-field spaces over regular δ -near-rings is closed under direct products.

Corresponding Author: Dr. NV Nagendram*

In Section 3, we consider the closure of the class of right SA- near-field spaces over regular δ -near-rings with respect to various near-field space extensions including matrix, polynomial, and dense near-field space extensions. The right SA- near-field spaces over regular δ -near-rings is shown to be a Mortia invariant in theorem 3.4.

Semi-prime right SA- near-field spaces over regular δ -near-rings are the focus of **Section 4**, in theorem 4.4, for a semiprime near-field space N, we show that N is a right SA- near-field spaces over regular δ -near-rings if and only if N is a quasi – Baer near-field spaces over regular δ -near-rings of prime ideals. Spec (N), is extremally disconnected. Various corollaries and examples illustrating this result are provided.

Let $\phi \neq X \subseteq N$, then $X \leq N$ and $X \leq N$ denote that X is a right ideal of near-field spaces over regular δ -near-ring and X is an ideal respectively. For subset S of N, l(S) and r(S) denote left annihilator near-field space and the right annihilator near-field space of S in N a near-field space over regular δ -near-ring.

An independent of e of N is a left (or right) semi-central idempotent annihilator near-field space if Ne = eNe (eN = eNe), and we use $S_1(N)$ ($S_r(N)$) to denote the set of left (or right) semi-central idempotent annihilator near-field spaces of N. The annihilator of near-field space of $n \times n$ (upper triangular) matrices over N is denoted by $(T_n(N))M_n(N)$.

A near-field space N is called a right lkeda-nakayama or a right IN-near-field space if the left annihilator of the intersection of any two ideals is the sum of the left annihilators. i.e., if $l(I \cap J) = l(I) + l(J)$ for all I, $J \leq N$; and we say N is an IN- annihilator near-field space if N is a left and a right IN- annihilator near-field space.

A near-field space N is called a quasi–Baer near-field space if the left annihilator of every (ideal) non-empty near-field space of N is generated, as a left ideal, by an idempotent. The quasi – Baer near-field space if and only if $M_n(N)$ is quasi-Baer near-field space if and only if $T_n(N)$ is a quasi–Baer near-field space.

Section 2: Preliminary Results and Examples

An ideal I of N is a right (or left) annihilator near-field space ideal if $r(l(I)) = I \ l(r(I)) = I$; equivalently, $l(I) \subseteq l(x) \ (r(I) \subseteq r(x))$ and $x \in N, \Rightarrow x \in I$.

Definition 2.1: N be a right SA-near-field space over regular δ -near-ring. If for any two $I, J \leq N$ there is a $K \leq N$ such that r(I) + r(J) = r(K) = r(K). Since r(X) = r(RX) for all $X \leq N$, N is a right SA \Leftrightarrow for all X, $Y \leq N$ there exists $V \leq N$ such that r(X) + r(Y) = r(V).

Definition 2.1(a): A sub-near-field space S of a near-field space N is called right intrinsic extension of N if every non-zero right sub-near-field space of S has non-zero intersection with N.

Definition 2.1(b): If S is an essential over sub-near-field space of a near-field space N i.e., $N_N \leq^{ess} S_N$, then S is a right intrinsic extension of Z, but it is not an essential over sub-near-field space of Z.

Proposition 2.2: The following statements hold good (i) A left IN-near-firld space is a right SA-near-field space. (ii) A quasi – Baer near-field space is a right SA-near-field space.

Proof: To prove (i): Assume N is a left IN-near-field space and $I, J \leq N$. Then $r(I) + r(J) = r(I \cap J)$, by definition. Therefore, N is a right SA-near-field space. Proved (i).

To prove (ii): Let $I, J \leq N$. Then there exists $e, f \in S_1(N)$ such that r(I) = eN and r(J) = fN and by known [5, proposition 1.3(ix, x)] r(I) + r(J) = eN + fN = (e + f - ef)N and $e + f - ef \in S_1(N)$. Let c = e + f - ef. Then r(N(1 - c)) = cN. Also $N(1-c) \leq N$. Therefore, N is a right SA – near-field space. Hence proved (ii). This completes the proof of the proposition.

Example 2.3: Let N be a commutative universal near-field space which is not a domain ($N = Z_p^n$, where n > 1 and p is prime). Then N is an IN-near-field space and hence a SA-sub near-field space of N but N is not a quasi-Baer near-field space. By corollary 3.6, $T_n(N)$, where n > 1, is a right (or a left) SA-sub-near-field which is neither a left nor a right IN-near-field space, and is not a quasi-Baer near-field space of N.

Example 2.4: Let *F* be a set of all near-field spaces over a Baer-ideals. $N = \begin{pmatrix} F & F \oplus F \\ 0 & F \end{pmatrix}$, $I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F \oplus 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \oplus F \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then *I*, $J \leq N$ and $r(I) + r(J) = \begin{pmatrix} F & F \oplus F \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \neq r(I \cap J)$. Moreover, $l(I) + l(J) = \begin{pmatrix} F & F \oplus F \\ 0 & F \end{pmatrix} \neq N = l(I \cap J)$. Hence N is neither left nor right IN-near-field space. N is a quasi – Baer

near-field space.

Example 2.5: Every left self-injective near-filed space is a left IN-near-field space. A left self injective near-field space is a right SA-sub-near-field space. Thus any QF-near-field space is a right SA-near-field space. However, any QF-nearfield space which is not semi-prime is not quasi – Baer near-field space.

Note 2.6: A near-field space N the set of right annihilator near-field spaces of N. $\{r(I) : I \leq N\}$, partially ordered by set inclusion. Forms a lattice with inf $(r(I), r(J)) = r(I) \cap r(J)$, sup (r(I), r(J)) = rl((I) + r(J)) for all ideals of I, J of a nearfield space N.

Note 2.7: In general, this lattice is not a sub lattice of the lattice of near-field spaces of a near-field space N.

Note 2.8: the following result shows that right SA-sub-near-field space of a near-field space N condition is the exactly the condition needed to ensure that the lattice of right annihilator near-field spaces is a sub lattice of the lattice of nearfield spaces over a near-field space N.

Theorem 2.9: The following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) N is a right SA-near-field space
- (b) $\forall I, J \triangleleft N, r(I) + r(J) = r(l(r(I)) \cap l(r(J)))$
- (c) The lattice of right annihilator near-field spaces is a sub lattice of the lattice of near-field spaces of N.
- (d) $\forall X, Y \triangleleft N$, $r(I(X)) + r(J(Y)) = r(I(X) \cap J(Y))$.

Proof: we prove this theorem by the method of cyclic.

To prove (a) \Rightarrow (b):

Given N is a right SA-near-field space.

Let $\forall I, J \leq N$, r(I) + r(J) = r(K) for some $K \leq N$.

Now $r(K) = r(l(r(K))) = r(l(r(I)) \cap l(r(J))) = r(l(r(I)) \cap l(r(J)))$. Hence (a) \Rightarrow (b).

To prove (b) \Leftrightarrow (c): Given $\forall I, J \triangleleft N$, $r(I) + r(J) = r(l(r(I)) \cap l(r(J)))$.

 \Rightarrow This equivalence follows from the comment immediately that the lattice of right annihilator near-field spaces is a sub lattice of the lattice of near-field spaces of N. Hence (b) \Leftrightarrow (c).

To prove (b) \Rightarrow (d): Given that $\forall I, J \leq N$, $r(I) + r(J) = r(l(r(I)) \cap l(r(J)))$.

Let X, $Y \triangleleft N$, in (b) take I = l(X) and J = l(Y).

Then r(I(X)) + r(J(Y)) = r(I) + r(J). $= r(l(r(I)) \cap l(r(J)))$ $= r(l(r(I(X))) \cap l(r(l(J(Y)))))$ = $r(I(X) \cap J(Y))$. Hence (b) \Rightarrow (d).

To prove (d) \Rightarrow (a): Given $\forall X, Y \triangleleft N$, $r(I(X)) + r(J(Y)) = r(I(X) \cap J(Y))$.

Let $X, Y \triangleleft N$. By assumption, $r(l(r(X))) + r(l(r(Y))) = r(l(r(X)) \cap l(r(Y)))$.

Take $K = l(r(X)) \cap l(r(Y))$. Since, r(X) + r(Y) = r(l(r(X))) + r(l(r(Y))). r(X) + r(Y) = r(K). Therefore, N is a right SA-near-field space. Hence $(d) \Rightarrow (a)$.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Note 2.10: N is a right SA-near-field space if and only if for any two left annihilator near-field spaces I and J of N, $r(I \cap J) = r(I) + r(J)$.

Note 2.11: Direct product of near-field spaces the right annihilator near-field spaces are products of right annihilator near-field spaces of each of the components in the product.

Section 3: Extensions of right SA-near-field spaces

In this section, I, Dr N V Nagendram investigate the behaviour of the right SA-near-field space property with respect to various extensions including matrix, polynomial and dense near-field space extensions.

I construct this behaviour with that of left IN-near-field spaces. Here I show that the right SA-near-field space property is a Morita invariant whereas this is not so far the right (or left) IN-near-field space property.

Lemma 3.1: Let N be a near-field space and $T = M_n(N)$.

- (i) Then $I \leq T$ if and only if $I = M_n(J)$ for some $J \leq N$.
- (ii) If $J \leq N$, then $r_3(M_n(J)) = M_n(r_N(J))$.

Proof: Proof is obvious and routine.

Lemma 3.2: If N is a right SA-near-field space and e is an idempotent of N, then eNe is a right SA-near-field space.

Proof: Let J = eJe and I = eIe be two right sub near-filed spaces of eNe. Then JN and IN are two right sub near-field spaces of N, so there is a right sub-near-field space K of N such that r(JN) + r(IN) = r(K). We know that $eNe = \{n \in N : n = en = ne\}$. Firstly, we show that $r_{eNe}(J) = {}_{er}(JN)_e$, and then we prove that $r_{eNe}(J) + r_{eNe}(I) = r_{eNe}(eKe)$. Assume that $x \in r_{eNe}(J)$. Hence we have $JNx = JeNex \subseteq eJex = 0$ so $x \in r(JN)$. Now let $x \in er(JN)e$ and $y \in J$. Therefore, we have $r_{eNe}(J) + r_{eNe}(I) = {}_{er}(JN)_e + {}_{er}(IN)_e = {}_{er}(JN) + {}_{r}(IN))e = {}_{er}(K)_e = {}_{eNr}(rKe)$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Theorem 3.3: N is a right SA-near-field space if and only if $M_n(N)$ is a right SA-near-field space.

Proof: Let J, $I \leq M_n(N)$. Then, by lemma 3.1, there are J_1 , $I_1 \leq N$ such that $J = M_n(J_1)$, $I = M_n(I_1)$. Hence by hypothesis and lemma 3.1, there is $K \leq N$ such that

 $r(J) + r(I) = r(M_n(J_1)) + r(M_n(I_1)) = M_n(r(J_1)) + M_n(r(I_1)) = M_n(r(J_1) + M_n(r(I_1)) = M_n(r(K)) = r(M_n(K)).$

Conversely, let $M_n(N)$ is a right SA-near-field space. Clear that $EM_n(N)E \cong N$, where in matrix E, $E_{11} = 1$ and for each $i \neq 1$ and $j \neq 1$ $E_{ii} = 0$ so by lemma 3.2,N is a right SA-near-field space. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 3.4: The right SA-near-field space property is a Morita invariant.

Proof: This result is a consequence of lemma 3.2 and theorem 3.3. Obvious.

Theorem 3.5: the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) N is a right SA-near-field space
- (ii) $S_m(N)$ is a right SA-near-field space, for some +ve integer m;
- (iii) $S_m(N)$ is a right SA-near-field space, for every +ve integer m;

Proof: We prove this theorem by cyclic method of proof as below:

To Prove (iii) \Rightarrow (ii): this implication is obvious.

To prove (ii) \Rightarrow (i):

Let $e \in S_m(N)$ be matrix with 1 in (1,1) – position and 0 elsewhere.

Then $eS_m(N)e$ is a near-field space isomorphism to N.

By lemma 3.2, N is a right SA-near-field space. Proved (ii) \Rightarrow (i).

To prove (i) \Rightarrow (iii):

Let
$$e \in X$$
, $Y \leq S_m(N)$. Then $X = \begin{pmatrix} X_{11} & X_{12} & . & . & X_{1m} \\ 0 & X_{22} & . & . & X_{2m} \\ . & . & . & . & . \\ 0 & 0 & . & . & . \\ 0 & 0 & . & . & X_{nm} \end{pmatrix}$ where $\forall X_{ij} \leq N, X_{ij} = \{0\}$ $\forall i > j, X_{ij} \subseteq X_{ik} \forall k \ge j$,

and $X_{hj} \subseteq X_{ij} \forall h \ge i$. Similarly, Y has such a matrix form.

Let
$$S = S_{m}(N)$$
. Then $r_{S}(X) = r_{S}\begin{pmatrix} X_{11} & X_{12} & \dots & X_{1m} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} r_{N}(X_{11}) & r_{N}(X_{11}) & \dots & r_{N}(X_{11}) \\ 0 & r_{N}(X_{12}) & \dots & r_{N}(X_{12}) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & r_{N}(X_{1m}) \end{pmatrix}$

Similarly, $r_S(Y)$ has such a matrix form. Then $r_N(X_{1j}) + r_N(Y_{1j}) = r_N(K_{1j})$ for some K_{1j} and $\forall j = 1, 2, ..., m$.

So
$$r_{S}(X) + r_{S}(Y) = r_{S}(K)$$
, where $K = \begin{pmatrix} K_{11} & K_{12} & \dots & K_{1m} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Proved (i) \Rightarrow (iii).

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Corollary 3.6: Let m be a positive integer and $S = S_m(N)$.

- (i) For m > 1, $S_m(N)$ is neither a left nor a right IN-near-field space.
- (ii) For every m, $S_m(N)$ is a quasi-Baer near-field space if and only if N is a quasi-Baer near-field space.
- (iii) For every m, $S_m(N)$ is a right SA-near-field space if and only if N is right SA- near-field space.

Proof: To prove (i):

Let J = cS, where $c \in S$ with 1 in the (m, m) – position and 0 elsewhere. Let I = eS, where $e \in S$ with 1 in the (1, j) –

position for j = 1,2,3,...,m and 0 elsewhere. Then $l(J) + l(I) \subseteq \begin{pmatrix} N & N & . & . & N \\ 0 & N & . & . & N \\ . & . & . & . & N \\ 0 & 0 & . & . & 0 \end{pmatrix} \neq S = l(J \cap I).$

Hence S is not a right IN-near-field space. Similarly, S is not a left IN-near-field space.

To prove (ii): is obvious and refer [20, Prop.9, 16] or [7, Th.3.2]

To prove (iii): refer theorem 3.5.

This completes the proof of the corollary.

Here I extended the Nagendram's near-field space upon regular delta near-rings under ring theory.

Definition 3.7: A near-field space N is called an Nagendram's near-field space if whenever polynomial near-field spaces $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_mx^m$, $g(x) = b_0 + b_1x + \dots + b_nx^n \in N[x]$ satisfy f(x)g(x) = 0. Then $a_ib_j = 0$ for each i, j. It is clear that if N is an Nagendram near-field space, and N[x] is a right IN-near-field space. Then N is a right IN-near-field space.

Note 3.8(i): Apart from the definition of Nagendram near-field space or Armendariz near-field space we prove the following result.

© 2016, IJMA. All Rights Reserved

Note 3.8(ii): If N is an IN-near-field space, S_n(N) need not be an IN-near-field space. For example, if F is a near-field

space and $N = \begin{pmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & F \end{pmatrix}$. Then N is not a left IN-near-field space. But, we see that N is right SA-near-field space. So

the class of all right SA-near-field spaces behaves better than the class of left IN-near-field spaces, for triangular matrix near-field space extensions.

Proposition 3.9: If N[x] is a right IN-near-field space, then N is a right IN-near-field space.

Proof: let J and I be two right sub-near-field spaces of a near-field space N. Then J[x] and I[x] are two right sub-near-field spaces of N[x], so by hypothesis, $l_{N[x]}(J[x]) + l_{N[x]}(I[x]) = l_{N[x]}(J[x]) \cap (I[x])$. We know that $l(J) + l(I) \subseteq l(J \cap I)$. Now let $t \in l(J \cap I)$. Then $t \in l_{N[x]}(J[x]) \cap (I[x])$. Hence there is $f(x) \in l_{N[x]}(J[x])$ and $g(x) \in l_{N[x]}(I[x])$, such that t = f(x) + g(x). i.e., $t = f_0 + g_0$. It can be seen that $f_0 \in l(J)$ and $g_0 \in l(I)$. Thus $t \in l(J) + l(I)$. This completes the proof of the proposition.

Note 3.10: Let N be a trivial near-field space extension of Z and the $Z - \text{module } Z_2^{\infty}$. Then N is a Nagendram, right IN-near-field space, but N[x] is not a right IN-near-field space.

Note 3.11: Let N be a reduced near-field space. Then N is a right SA-near-field space if and only if N[x] is a right SA-near-field space.

Definition 3.12: A sub-near-field space module N of N-module M is said to be an essential sub-near-field space module if for every sub-near-field space module H of M, $H \cap N = \{0\} \Rightarrow H = \{0\}$.

Definition 3.13: A sub-near-field space module N of right N-module M is said to be a dense sub-near-field space module if for every x and y in M with $x \neq 0$, there exists an element $n \in N$ such that $xn \neq 0$ and $yn \in N$. for X, $Y \leq N$, $X \leq^{den} Y$ ($X \leq^{den} Y$) denotes that X is essential (dense) in Y as right N-near-field space modules.

Theorem 3.14: The following statements hold:

- (i) If N[x] is a right SA-near-field space, then N is a right SA-near-field space.
- (ii) If N is a Nagendram near-field space, then N is a right SA-near-field space if and only if N[x] is a right SA-near-field space.

Proof: To prove (i): Let J, $I \leq N$. Then f(x), $f[x] \leq N[x]$.

So there is $K \leq N[x] \ni r_N[x] |l[x]| + r_N[x] (f[x]) = r_{N[x]}(K)$.

Now let $K_0 = \bigcup_{f \in K} C_i$, where C_i denotes the set of co-efficients of f(x).

Then it follows that $K_0 \leq N$. We prove that $r(J) + r(I) = r(K_0)$.

For that Suppose that $b \in r(J)$ and $c \in r(I)$.

Then $b \in r_{N[x]}(l[x])$ and $c \in r_N[x](f[x])$. So $b + c \in r_{N[x]}(K)$.

Now let $a \in K_0$.

Then there is $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_mx^k + \dots + a_nx^n \in K$ such that $a = a_m$, where m is some integer such that $0 \le m \le n$.

Then (b + c)f(x) = 0, so (b + c)a = 0 and hence $b + c \in r(K_0)$. Then therefore $r_N(J) + r_N(I) \subseteq r(K_0)$.

Now let $d \in r(K_0)$. Then $d \in r_N(K)$. So there are $h(x) \in r_N(f[x])$ and $g(x) \in r_N(f[x])$ such that $d = h_0 + g_0$.

Then $h_0 \in r_N(J)$ and $g_0 \in r_N(I)$. So $d \in r_N(J) + r_N(I)$. Hence $r_N(K_0) \subseteq r_N(J) + r_N(I)$. Therefore N is a right SA-near-field space. Hence proved(i).

To prove (ii):

The necessary is evident by (i). Now let N be a nagendram right SA-near-field space and $J, I \leq N[x]$. Then $J_0 = \bigcup_{f \in I} C_j$, $I_0 = \bigcup_{f \in I} C_i \leq N$.

© 2016, IJMA. All Rights Reserved

So there is a sub-near-field space $K \leq N$ such that $r(J_0) + r(I_0) = r(K)$.

Now we prove that $r_{N[x]}(J) + r_{N[x]}(I) = r_{N[x]}(K[x])$.

Let $f(x) = f_0 + f_1 x + \dots + f_n x^n \in r_{N[x]}(J)$, $g(x) = g_0 + g_1 x + \dots + g_k x^k \in r_{N[x]}(I)$ and $a \in J_0$.

Then there is $h(x) \in J$ such that $a \in C_h$, i.e., $h_j = a$ for some j and h(x)f(x) = 0.

Hence by hypothesis, $af_{i=1} \forall 0 \le i \le n$. So $f_i \in r(J_0)$, $\forall 0 \le i \le n$ and similarly, $g_i \in r(I_0) \forall 0 \le i \le k$. So $f_i + g_i \in r(K)$.

Thus $f(x) + g(x) \in r_{N[x]}(K[x])$. Now let $h(x) = h_0 + h_1x + \dots + h_k x^k \in r_{N[x]}(K[x])$.

Thus then $h_i \in r(K)$, $\forall 1 \le i \le k$.

Therefore $\forall 1 \leq i \leq k$, there are $a_i \in r(J_0)$, $b_i \in r(J_0)$ such that $h_i = a_i + b_i$, so h(x) = f(x) + g(x), where $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_mx^k + \cdots + a_nx^n \in r_{N[x]}(J)$ and $g(x) = g_0 + g_1x + \cdots + g_kx^k \in r_{N[x]}(I)$.

Hence proved (ii).

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Proposition 3.15: Let N be a sub-near-field space of a near-field space S, is a sub-near-field space of the maximal right near-field space of quotients of N. If N is a right SA-near-field space and S is also a sub-near-field space of the maximal left near-field spaces of quotients of N, then S is a right SA-near-field space.

Proof: Let $J, I \leq S$. Then there is $K \leq N$ such that $r_N(J \cap N) + r_N(I \cap N) = r_N(K)$.

We claim that $r_{\gamma}(J) + r_{\gamma}(I) \subseteq r_{\gamma}(KN)$.

To see this, let $a \in r_{\gamma}(J)$ such that there exists $k \in K$ and $t \in S$ with $kta \neq 0$.

Since N is dense in S, there exists $x \in N$ such that $ktax \neq 0$ and $tax \in N$.

Hence tax $\in N \cap r_{\gamma}(J) = r_N(J)$.

Since S is also a left near-field space of quotient near-field spaces, yields that $r_N(J) = r_N(J \cap N)$.

Then tax $\in r_N(J \cap N) \subseteq r_N(K)$, which is a contradiction. So, $r_{\gamma}(J) \subseteq r_{\gamma}(KS)$.

Similarly, $r_{\gamma}(I) \subseteq r_{\gamma}(KS)$.

Now we claim that $r_{\gamma}(KS) \subseteq r_{\gamma}(J) + r_{\gamma}(I)$.

To see this, assume that $b \in r_{\gamma}(KS)$ and there exists $j \in J$ such that $jb \neq 0$.

Since N is dense in S a sub-near-field space of a near-field space N, there exists $t \in N$ such that $jbt \neq 0$ and $bt \in N$.

Then bt \in r_N(K). So bt \in r_N(J \cap I). R_N(J \cap I) = r_N(J).

So bt \in r_N(J), which is a contradiction. Hence the claim is proved. Therefore, S is a right SA-near-field space.

This completes the proof of the proposition.

Section 4: Semi-prime SA-Near-field spaces

In this section, we show that for a semi-prime near-field space N the right SA-near-field space condition is equivalent to various other well-known near-field space conditions including the quasi-Baer near-field space condition, the condition that $r(J) + r(I) = r(J \cap I) \forall J, I \leq N$ and the condition that the set of prime sub-near-field spaces of N i.e., Spec(N) with the hull-kernel topology is extremally disconnected near-field space. When N is reduced near-field space i.e., N has no non-zero nilpotent elements the condition becomes much stronger.

A topological near-field space X is called an extremally disconnected near-Field space, if the closure of any open subnear-field space is open equivalently, the interior of any closed sub-near-field space of a near-field space is closed.

We deonote by Int(A) the interior points of a sub-near-field space A of topological near-field space X (the largest open sub-near-field space in A) and by clA we mean the closure points of A the smallest closed sub-near-field space containing A. For $a \in N$, let supp(a) = {P \in Spec(N) / a \notin P}. \forall near-field space N, {supp(a) / a \in N} forms a basis of open near-field spaces on Spec(N).

This topology is called the extension to hull-kernel topology. We use V(J)(V(a)) to denote the set of $P \in \text{Spec}(N)$, where $J \subseteq P(a \in P)$.

Note 4.1: $V(J) = \bigcap_{a \in J} V(a)$ and $V(a) = \text{Spec}(N) \setminus \text{supp}(a)$.

Lemma 4.2: Let N be a semi-prime near-field space with $J, I \leq N$.

- (i) If $J_N \leq^{ess} I_N$, then r(J) = r(I)
- (ii) $J_N \leq^{ess} I_N$ if and only if $J_N \leq^{den} I_N$.

Proof: Obvious.

Lemma 4.3: Let N be a semi-prime near-field space.

- (i) $\forall a \in N \text{ and any sub-near-field space } J \text{ of a near-field space } N, supp(a) \cap supp(J) = supp(a)$
- $(ii) \ \ If \ J \ and \ I \ are \ two \ sub-near-field \ spaces \ of \ a \ near-field \ space \ N, \ then \ r(J) \subseteq r(I) \Leftrightarrow int V(J) \subseteq int V(I).$
- $(iii) \ A \subseteq Spec(N) \ is \ a \ clopen \ sub-near-field \ space \Leftrightarrow \exists \ an \ idempotent \ e \in N \ such \ that \ A = V(e).$

Proof: To prove

(i): Let us observe that $P \in \text{Supp}(a) \cap \text{Supp}(J) \Leftrightarrow a \notin P$ and $J \not\subset P \Leftrightarrow Ja \not\subset P$. Thus $\text{Supp}(a) \cap \text{Supp}(J) = \text{Supp}(Ja)$.

(ii)Let J, I be two sub-near-field spaces of a near-field space N and P \in intV(J). Then there is a \in N such that $P \in Supp(a) \subseteq V(J)$. Hence $supp(Ja) = supp(J) \cap supp(a) = \phi$. $\Rightarrow Ix = 0, i.e., x \in r(I)$.

(iii) Let A be a clopen sub-near-field space, $J = 0_A := \{x \in N: A \subseteq V(x)\}$ and $I = O_x := \{x \in N: A^c \subseteq V(x)\}$. Then $A = clA = V(O_A) = V(J)$ and $A^c = V(O_A^c) = V(I)$. Hence $V(J + I) = V(J) \cap V(I) = \phi$, so there are $a \in J$ and $b \in I$ such that J = a+b. But $V(a) \cup V(b) = Spec(N)$, and thus we have ab = 0, which implies that $a = a^2$ and V(J) = V(a). The converse is evident.

Hence completes the proof of the lemma.

Theorem 4.4: Let N be a semi-prime near-field space. Then $(r(J) + r(I))_N \leq^{den} r(J \cap I)_N \forall J, I \leq N$.

Proof: There exists $X \leq N$ such that $(r(J) + r(I)) \cap X = 0$ and $[(r(J) + r(I)) \oplus X]_N \leq e^{ss} r(J \cap I)_N$.

Then $X \subseteq l(r(J)) = l(l(J))$ and $X \subseteq l(l(J))$. ([10], 2.2(i), Lemma 2.3). $(X \cap J)_N \leq^{ess} X$. If $X \neq 0$, then $0 \neq X \cap J \cap I \subseteq (J \cap I) \cap r(J \cap I)$.

On the contrary to N being semi-prime near-field space.

Hence $(r(J) + r(I))_N \leq^{den} r(J \cap I)_N \forall J, I \leq N.$

Theorem 4.5: Let N be a semi-prime near-field space. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) N is a quasi-Baer near-field space.
- (ii) N is a FJ-extending near-field space.
- (iii) N is an JJLAS-near-field space.
- (iv) N is a right SA-near-field space.
- (v) \forall J, I \triangleleft N, r(J) + r(I) = r(J \cap I).
- (vi) The near-field space of all prime sub-near-field spaces, Spec(N) is extremally disconnected near-field space.

Proof: We prove this by the method of cyclic By ([2], Th. 2.2) extended and follows implication that (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii). Proposition 2.2 \Rightarrow (i) \Leftrightarrow (iv).

© 2016, IJMA. All Rights Reserved

To prove (iv) \Rightarrow (v): Let sub-near-field spaces J, I \leq N. Since N is a right SA-near-field space, there exists K \leq N such that r(J) + r(I) = r(K). But r(K) is essentially closed sub-near-field space i.e., r(K) has no essential extension in N. From theorem 4.4, $r(J) + r(I) = r(J \cap I)$. Hence proved (iv) \Rightarrow (v).

To prove $(v) \Rightarrow (i)$: Let $J \leq N$. Since N is semi-prime near-field space. $J \cap r(J) = 0 = r(J) \cap r(r(J))$. Then $r(J) \oplus r(r(J)) = r(J \cap r(J)) = r(0) = N$. Therefore, r(J) = eN for some $e = e^2 \in N$. So N is a quasi-Baer near-field space. Proved $(v) \Rightarrow (i)$.

To prove (vi) \Rightarrow (i): Let $J \leq N$. By hypothesis, intV(J) is closed near-field space. By lemma 4.3(iii), there is an idempotent $e \in N$ such that intV(J) = V(e). And also by Lemma 4.3(ii), r(J) = r(e) = (1 - e)N. Hence N is quasi-Baer near-field space. Proved (vi) \Rightarrow (i).

To prove (i) \Rightarrow (vi): Let A be a closed sub-near-field space of Spec(N). Since {V(a) : $a \in N$ } is a base for closed subnear-field spaces in Spec(N), a near-field space of N. Then there exists $T \subseteq N$ such that $A = \bigcap_{a \in S} V(a)$. J = NTN. Then A = V(J). By hypothesis, there exists $e = e^2 \in N$ such that r(J) = eN. From lemma 4.3(ii), int(A) = int(V(J)) = V(e) is closed near-field space. Thus Spec(N) is an essentially disconnected near-field space of N. proved (i) \Rightarrow (vi).

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Corollary 4.6: let N be a reduced near-field space. Consider the following statements:

- (i) N is a Baer near-field space.
- (ii) N is a JLAS-near-field space.
- (iii) N is a right SA-near-field space.
- (iv) The near-field space of all prime sub-near-field spaces, Spec(N) is extremally disconnected near-field space.
- (v) N is an IN-near-field space.
- (vi) N is a quasi-continuous near-field space.

Then (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) \Leftrightarrow (iv) and (v) \Rightarrow (i). If N is also a duo near-field space

i.e., every one-sided sub-near-field space is two sided sub-near-field space of a near-field space N, then (v) \Leftrightarrow (vi) \Leftrightarrow (i).

Proof: We prove this by cyclic method of proof that (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) \Leftrightarrow (iv).

These equivalences are a consequences of theorem 4.5 and the fact that in a reduced near-field space if X is a nonempty near-field space of N, then $r(X) = l(X) \triangleleft N$.

(iv) \Leftrightarrow (vi) [19, Th. 6.32] yields this implication extended and is follows.

(vi) \Leftrightarrow (i) [13, Th. 2.1] yields this implication extended and is follows.

If N is also a duo near-field space then theorem 4.5 yields that $(v) \Leftrightarrow (vi) \Leftrightarrow (i)$. This completed the proof of the corollary.

Corollary 4.7: Let N be a semi-prime right SA-near-field space and S is a right intrinsic extension of a near-field space N. Then S is a semi-prime right SA-near-field space.

Proof: [9, Th. (3.3, 3.15)] and Theorem 4.5, N is a quasi-Baer near-field space. Then S is a semi-prime quasi-Baer near-field space. Therefore, S is a right SA-near-field space. This completes the proof of the corollary.

Example 4.8(i): Let $N[C_2]$ be the N-group near-field of the cyclic N-group of order two over a commutative near-field domain N such that $char(N) \neq 2$ is not invertible. Then $N(C_2)$ is a commutative reduced near-field space that is not a Baer near-field space so that is not a right SA-near-field space. To observe this, note that $r(1 + g) = (1 - g)N[C_2]$ is not generated by an idempotent where $C_2 = \{1,g\}$ with g of order 2. If *F* is the near-field of fractions of N, then $F[C_2]$ is the maximal near-field of quotients of n and is a Baer near-field space. Thus the right SA-near-field space condition does not transfer from an essential over near-field space to its base near-field space. Since a reduced near-field space is a sub direct product of sub-near-field spaces of domains it cannot be extended to sub direct products. Moreover, this leads to that cannot be replace \leq^{den} in theorem 4.4.

Example 4.8(ii): Every semi-prime near-field space has a semi-prime quasi-Baer hull, and the local multiplier algebra of each C^* -algebras is a semi-prime quasi-Baer near-field space whereas all commutative AW^{*}-algebras are examples of reduced Baer C^{*}-algebras thereby SA-near-field spaces.

Example 4.8(iii): If Q(N) i.e., the maximal right near-field space of all quotients of N is a right IN-near-field space, then N need not be a right IN-near-field space. For that let $N = M_2(Z)$. Then $Q(N) = M_2(Q)$ we have Q(N) is an IN-near-field space but N is not a right IN-near-field space.

Note 4.9: If N is a near-field space such that $J \cap I = 0$ implies that r(J) + r(I) = N for left annihilator sub-near-field spaces J, I of a near-field space N, must be a right SA-near-field space can be the affirmative for semi-prime near-field spaces in final result as we derived.

Lemma 4.10: Let N be a semi-prime near-field space. Then N is a right SA-near-field space if and only if $J \cap I = 0$ implies that r(J) + r(I) = N for left annihilator sub-near-field spaces J, I of a near-field space N.

Proof: (**IF PART** \Rightarrow) this implication follows from that N is a right SA-near-field space if and only if for any two left annihilator near-field spaces J and I of N, $r(J \cap I) = r(J) + r(I)$.

(\leftarrow IFF PART) Let J, I \leq N. Since N is a semi-prime near-field space. R(J) = l(J). Hence, r(J) and r(r(J)) are both left annihilator sub-near-field spaces of a near-field space N.

Also, by using that N is a semi-prime near-field space, $r(J) \cap r(r(J)) = 0$. Now, assuming that, N = r(r(r(J))) + r(r(J)) = r(J) + r(r(J)) is generated sub-near-field space of a near-field space N by an idempotent, So N is a quasi-Baer near-field space. Hence N is a right SA-near-field space. This completes the proof of the lemma.

REFERENCES

- 1. Armendariz E P (1974) A note on extensions of Baer and p-p-rings. J Astral. Math. Soc. (18.470-473)
- 2. Birkenmeier G F (1983) Idempotents and completely semiprime ideals, comm.. Algebra 11:567-580.
- 3. Birkenmeier G F (1989) A generalization of FPF rings. Comm.. Algebras 17:1395-1415.
- 4. Birkenmeier G F kim J Y Park (2000) Quasi-Baer ring extensions and bi regualar rings, Bull. Math. Soc. 16:39-52.
- 5. Birkenmeier G F kim J Y Park J K (2001) semi central reduced algebras. In: Birkenmeier G F kim J Y Park Y S eds. International symposium on ring theory. Trends in mathematics. Boston. Birkhauser. Pp. 67 84.
- 6. Birkenmeier G F Muller B J Rizvi S T (1989) Modules in which every fully invariant sub module is essential in a direct summand, comm. algebra. 17:1395-1415.
- 7. Birkenmeier G F Park, J K Rizvi S T (2009) Generalized triangular matrix rings and the fully invariant extending property. Rockey Mountain J Math. 32:1299-1319.
- 8. Birkenmeier G F Park Rizvi S T (2006) Ring Hulls and Applications, J. algebra. 304:633-665.
- 9. Birkenmeier G F Muller B J Rizvi S T (2009) Hulls of semi prime rings with application to C* algebra J. Algebra 321:327-357.
- 10. Birkenmeier G F Park, J K Rizvi S T (2009) the structure of rings of quotients J Algebra. 321:2545-3566.
- 11. Berbarian S K (1972) Baer*-rings Berlin springer verlag.
- 12. Camilo V Nicholson W K Yousif M F (2000) Ikeda Nakayama rings. J Algebra 226: 1001-1010.
- 13. Chatters A W. Khuri S M. (1980) Endomorphism rings of modules over non-singular CS rings. J London Math. Soc. 21:434-444.
- 14. Clark V (1967) Twisted matrix units semigroup algebra. Duke Math. J. 34; 417-424.
- 15. Faith C Utumi Y (1964) Intrinsic extensions of rings. Pacific J. Math. 14:505-512.
- 16. Gillman L jerison M (1976) Rings of continuous functions London Springer.
- 17. Lam T Y (1991) A first course in non-commutative rings. New Yark Springer.
- 18. Lam T Y (1991) Lecture on modules and rings. New York Springer.
- 19. Nicholson W K Yousif M F (2003) Quasi-Forbenius Rings. Cambridge Cambridge University Press.
- 20. Pollingher A Zaks A (1970) On Baer and quasi Baer rings. Dule Math J 32:127-138.
- 21. Rege M B Chhawchharia S (1997) Aemendariz rings. Proc. Japan Acad. Sec. A Math. Sci. 73:14-17.
- 22. Shin. G (1973) Prime ideals and shaf representation of a pseudo symmetric ring Trans. Amer. Math.Soc.43-60.
- 23. Tamer Kosan M (2006) The Armendariz Module and its applications to the Ikeda Nakayama Module Intl. J. Of Math. Sci. Vol 2006, Article ID 35238, pp. 1-7.
- 24. N V Nagendram, T V Pradeep Kumar and Y V Reddy On "Semi Noetherian Regular Matrix δ–Near Rings and their extensions", International Journal of Advances in Algebra (IJAA), Jordan, ISSN 0973-6964, Vol.4, No.1, (2011), pp.51-55.
- 25. N V Nagendram, T V Pradeep Kumar and Y V Reddy "A Note on Bounded Matrices over a Noetherian Regular Delta Near Rings", (BMNR-delta-NR) published in International Journal of Contemporary Mathematics, Vol.2, No.1, June 2011, Copyright@MindReader Publications, ISSNNo:0973-6298, pp.13-19.

- 26. N V Nagendram, T V Pradeep Kumar and Y V Reddy "A Note on Boolean Regular Near-Rings and Boolean Regular δ–Near Rings", (BR-delta-NR) published in International Journal of Contemporary Mathematics, IJCM Int. J. of Contemporary Mathematics, Vol. 2, No. 1, June 2011, Copyright @ Mind Reader Publications, ISSN No: 0973-6298, pp. 29 34.
- N V Nagendram, T V Pradeep Kumar and Y V Reddy "on p-Regular δ–Near-Rings and their extensions", (PR-delta-NR) accepted and to be published in int. J. Contemporary Mathematics (IJCM),0973-6298,vol.1, no.2, pp.81-85,June 2011.
- N V Nagendram, T V Pradeep Kumar and Y V Reddy "On Strongly Semi –Prime over Noetherian Regular δ– Near Rings and their extensions",(SSPNR-delta-NR) published in International Journal of Contemporary Mathematics, Vol.2, No.1, June 2011, pp.83-90.
- 29. N V Nagendram, Dr T V Pradeep Kumar and Dr Y V Reddy "On Structure Theory and Planar of Noetherian Regular δ-Near–Rings (STPLNR-delta-NR)", International Journal of Contemporary Mathematics, IJCM ,published by IJSMA, pp.79-83, Dec, 2011.
- N V Nagendram, Dr T V Pradeep Kumar and Dr Y V Reddy "On Matrix's Maps over Planar of Noetherian Regular δ-Near–Rings (MMPLNR-delta-NR)", International Journal of Contemporary Mathematics, IJCM, published by IJSMA, pp.203-211, Dec, 2011.
- N V Nagendram, Dr T V Pradeep Kumar and Dr Y V Reddy "On IFP Ideals on Noetherian Regular-δ- Near Rings(IFPINR-delta-NR)", Int. J. of Contemporary Mathematics, Copyright @ Mind Reader Publications, ISSN No: 0973-6298, Vol. 2, No. 1, pp.53-58, June 2011.
- 32. N V Nagendram, B Ramesh paper "A Note on Asymptotic value of the Maximal size of a Graph with rainbow connection number 2*(AVM-SGR-CN2*)" published in an International Journal of Advances in Algebra(IJAA) Jordan @ Research India Publications, Rohini , New Delhi , ISSN 0973-6964 Volume 5, Number 2 (2012), pp. 103-112.
- 33. N V Nagendram and B Ramesh on "Polynomials over Euclidean Domain in Noetherian Regular Delta Near Ring Some Problems related to Near Fields of Mappings(PED-NR-Delta-NR & SPR-NF)" Accepted and published in an International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), An International Peer Review Journal for Mathematical, Science & Computing Professionals ISSN 2229-5046,vol.3,no.8,pp no. 2998-3002,2012.
- 34. N V Nagendram research paper on "Near Left Almost Near-Fields (N-LA-NF)" communicated to for 2nd intenational conference by International Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Applications, IJMSA@ mindreader publications, New Delhi on 23-04-2012 also for publication.
- 35. N V Nagendram, T Radha Rani, Dr T V Pradeep Kumar and Dr Y V Reddy "A Generalized Near Fields and (m, n) Bi-Ideals over Noetherian regular Delta-near rings (GNF-(m, n) BI-NR-delta-NR)", published in an International Journal of Theoretical Mathematics and Applications (TMA), Greece, Athens, dated 08-04-2012.
- 36. N V Nagendram, Smt.T.Radha Rani, Dr T V Pradeep Kumar and Dr Y V Reddy "Applications of Linear Programming on optimization of cool freezers(ALP-on-OCF)" Published in International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, IJPAM-2012-17-670 ISSN-1314-0744 Vol-75 No-3(2011).
- 37. N V Nagendram "A Note on Algebra to spatial objects and Data Models(ASO-DM)" Published in international Journal American Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, AJMMS,USA, Copyright @ Mind Reader Publications, Rohini, New Delhi, ISSN. 2250-3102, Vol.1, No.2 (Dec. 2012),pp. 233 247.
- 38. N V Nagendram, Ch Padma, Dr T V Pradeep Kumar and Dr Y V Reddy "A Note on Pi-Regularity and Pi-S-Unitality over Noetherian Regular Delta Near Rings(PI-R-PI-S-U-NR-Delta-NR)" Published in International Electronic Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, IeJPAM-2012-17-669 ISSN-1314-0744 Vol-75 No-4(2011).
- 39. N V Nagendram, Ch Padma, Dr T V Pradeep Kumar and Dr Y V Reddy "Ideal Comparability over Noetherian Regular Delta Near Rings(IC-NR-Delta-NR)" Published in International Journal of Advances in Algebra(IJAA,Jordan),ISSN 0973-6964 Vol:5,NO:1(2012),pp.43-53@ Research India publications, Rohini, New Delhi.
- 40. N. V. Nagendram, S. Venu Madava Sarma and T. V. Pradeep Kumar, "A NOTE ON SUFFICIENT CONDITION OF HAMILTONIAN PATH TO COMPLETE GRPHS (SC-HPCG)", IJMA-2(11), 2011, pp.1-6.
- 41. N V Nagendram, Dr T V Pradeep Kumar and Dr Y V Reddy "On Noetherian Regular Delta Near Rings and their Extensions(NR-delta-NR)", IJCMS, Bulgaria, IJCMS-5-8-2011, Vol.6, 2011, No.6, 255-262.
- 42. N V Nagendram, Dr T V Pradeep Kumar and Dr Y V Reddy "On Semi Noehterian Regular Matrix Delta Near Rings and their Extensions(SNRM-delta-NR)", Jordan,@ResearchIndia Publications, Advancesin Algebra ISSN 0973-6964 Volume 4, Number 1 (2011), pp.51-55© Research India Publicationspp.51-55
- 43. N V Nagendram, Dr T V Pradeep Kumar and Dr Y V Reddy "On Boolean Noetherian Regular Delta Near Ring(BNR-delta-NR)s", International Journal of Contemporary Mathematics, IJCM Int. J. of Contemporary Mathematics, Vol. 2, No. 1-2, Jan-Dec 2011, Mind Reader Publications, ISSN No: 0973-6298, pp. 23-27.
- 44. N V Nagendram, Dr T V Pradeep Kumar and Dr Y V Reddy "On Bounded Matrix over a Noetherian Regular Delta Near Rings(BMNR-delta-NR)", Int. J. of Contemporary Mathematics, Vol. 2, No. 1-2, Jan-Dec 2011 , Copyright @ Mind Reader Publications, ISSN No: 0973-6298, pp.11-16
- N V Nagendram, Dr T V Pradeep Kumar and Dr Y V Reddy "On Strongly Semi Prime over Noetherian Regular Delta Near Rings and their Extensions(SSPNR-delta-NR)", Int. J. of Contemporary Mathematics, Vol. 2, No. 1, Jan-Dec 2011 ,Copyright @ Mind Reader Publications, ISSN No: 0973-6298,pp.69-74.

- 46. N V Nagendram, Dr T V Pradeep Kumar and Dr Y V Reddy "On IFP Ideals on Noetherian Regular Delta Near Rings(IFPINR-delta-NR)", Int. J. of Contemporary Mathematics, Vol. 2, No. 1-2, Jan-Dec 2011, Copyright @ Mind Reader Publications, ISSN No: 0973-6298, pp.43-46.
- 47. N V Nagendram, Dr T V Pradeep Kumar and Dr Y V Reddy "On Structure Theory and Planar of Noetherian Regular delta-Near–Rings (STPLNR-delta-NR)", International Journal of Contemporary Mathematics, IJCM ,accepted for Ist international conference conducted by IJSMA, New Delhi December 18,2011, pp:79-83, Copyright @ Mind Reader Publications and to be published in the month of Jan 2011.
- 48. N V Nagendram, Dr T V Pradeep Kumar and Dr Y V Reddy "On Matrix's Maps over Planar of Noetherian Regular delta-Near–Rings (MMPLNR-delta-NR)",International Journal of Contemporary Mathematics ,IJCM, accepted for Ist international conference conducted by IJSMA, New Delhi December 18,2011,pp:203-211,Copyright @ Mind Reader Publications and to be published in the month of Jan 2011.
- 49. N V Nagendram, Dr T V Pradeep Kumar and Dr Y V Reddy "Some Fundamental Results on P- Regular delta-Near–Rings and their extensions (PNR-delta-NR)",International Journal of Contemporary Mathematics ,IJCM,Jan-December'2011,Copyright@MindReader Publications, ISSN:0973-6298, vol.2,No.1-2,PP.81-85.
- 50. N V Nagendram, Dr T V Pradeep Kumar and Dr Y V Reddy "A Generalized ideal based-zero divisor graphs of Noetherian regular Delta-near rings (GIBDNR- d-NR)", International Journal of Theoretical Mathematics and Applications (TMA)accepted and published by TMA, Greece, Athens,ISSN:1792- 9687 (print),vol.1, no.1, 2011, 59-71, 1792-9709 (online), International Scientific Press, 2011.
- 51. N V Nagendram, Dr T V Pradeep Kumar and Dr Y V Reddy "Inversive Localization of Noetherian regular Delta-near rings (ILNR- Delta-NR)", International Journal of Pure And Applied Mathematics published by IJPAM-2012-17-668, ISSN.1314-0744 vol-75 No-3, SOFIA, Bulgaria.
- 52. N V Nagendram, S V M Sarma, Dr T V Pradeep Kumar "A note on Relations between Barnette and Sparse Graphs" publishd in an International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), An International Peer Review Journal for Mathematical, Science & Computing Professionals, 2(12),2011, pg no.2538-2542, ISSN 2229 5046.
- 53. N V Nagendram "On Semi Modules over Artinian Regular Delta Near Rings(S Modules-AR-Delta-NR) Accepted and published in an International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA)", An International Peer Review Journal for Mathematical, Science & Computing Professionals ISSN 2229-5046, IJMA-3-474, 2012.
- 54. N VNagendram1, N Chandra Sekhara Rao2 "Optical Near field Mapping of Plasmonic Nano Prisms over Noetherian Regular Delta Near Fields (ONFMPN-NR-Delta-NR)" accepted for 2nd international Conference by International Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Applications, IJMSA @ mind reader publications, New Delhi going to conduct on 15 – 16 th December 2012 also for publication.
- 55. N V Nagendram, K V S K Murthy (Yoga), "A Note on Present Trends on Yoga Apart From Medicine Usage and Its Applications (PTYAFMUIA)" Published by the International Association of Journal of Yoga Therapy, IAYT 18 th August, 2012.
- 56. N V Nagendram, B Ramesh, Ch Padma, T Radha Rani and S V M Sarma research article "A Not on Finite Pseudo Artinian Regular Delta Near Fields (FP AR-Delta-NF)" communicated to International Journal of Advances in Algebra, IJAA, Jordan on 22 nd August 2012.
- 57. N VNagendram "divided near-field spaces and φ-pseudo valuation near-field spaces over regular δ-near-rings (dnf-φpvnfs-o-δ-nr)" published by International Journal of Mathematical Archive, IJMA 2014.
- 58. N VNagendram "Amenability for dual concrete complete near-field spaces over a regular delta near-rings (ADC-NFS-R-δ-NR)" accepted for 3nd international Conference by International Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Applications, IJMSA @ mind reader publications, New Delhi going to conduct on 15 – 16 th December 2014 also for publication.
- 59. N VNagendram "Characterization of near-field spaces over Baer-ideals" accepted for 4th international Conference by International Journal Conference of Mathematical Sciences and Applications, IJCMSA @ mind reader publications, New Delhi going to conduct on 19 20 th December 2015 at Asian Institute of Technology AIT, Klaung Lange 12120, Bangkok, Thailand.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared

[Copy right © 2016. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]