

μ – Best One-Sided Approximation of Unbounded Functions in the Space $L_{p,\mu}(X)$

SAHEB K.AL-SAIDY¹, NAGHAM ALI HUSSEN*²

¹Al-Mustansiriyah University,
College of Science, Department of Mathematics, Baghdad, Iraq.

²Tikrit University, College of Education, Department of Mathematics, Tikrit, Iraq.

(Received On: 17-03-16; Revised & Accepted On: 12-04-16)

ABSTRACT

The aim of this paper is to study the μ -best one-sided approximation of unbounded functions in the space $L_{p,\mu}[a, b]$, $(1 \leq p < \infty)$ by Spline polynomials, we consider the point wise estimates in terms of Ditzian-Totik modulus of smoothness are true for spline approximation in the space $L_{p,\mu}[a, b]$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $X = [a, b]$, Consider the space

$\mathbb{P}_n = \{p(x): p(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n c_i x^{i-1}, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n \text{ are reals}\}$
of polynomials of order n which has the attractive features [6]

Let $a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_k < x_{k+1} = b$ and write $\Delta = \{x_i\}_{i=0}^{k+1}$. The Δ partitions of the interval $[a, b]$ into $k+1$ subintervals $I_i = [x_i, x_{i+1}]$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$ and $I_k = [x_k, x_{k+1}]$.

Let

$$\mathcal{P}_n(\Delta) = \left\{ f: \text{there exist polynomials } p_0, p_1, \dots, p_k \text{ in } \mathbb{P} \text{ with } \right. \\ \left. f(x) = p_i(x) \text{ for } x \in I_i, i = 0, 1, \dots, k \right\} \quad (1.1)$$

We call $\mathcal{P}_n(\Delta)$ the space of piecewise polynomials of order n with knots x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k . The terminology in (1.1) is perfectly descriptive-an element $f \in \mathcal{P}_n(\Delta)$ consists of $k+1$ polynomial pieces [9].

Let Δ be a partition of the interval $[a, b]$ as in (1.1) and let n be a positive integer.

Let $\mathcal{S}_n(\Delta) = \mathcal{P}_n(\Delta) \cap C^{n-2}[a, b]$. We call $\mathcal{S}_n(\Delta)$ the space of polynomial splines of order n with simple knots at the points x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k .

Let $L_\infty(X)$, $(1 \leq p < \infty)$ be the space of all bounded measurable functions with usual norm [8].

$$\|f\|_{L_\infty} = \|f\|_\infty = \sup\{|f(x)|, x \in X\} \leq \infty, \quad (1.2)$$

$L_p(X)$ be the space of all of all bounded measurable function f on X , for which [3]

$$\|f\|_{L_p} = \|f\|_p = \left\{ \left(\int_X |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\}, \quad (1.3)$$

the locally global norm for $\delta > 0$ and $(1 \leq p < \infty)$ of f is defined by

$$\|f\|_{\delta,p} = \left(\int_X (\sup\{|f(y)|^p: y \in [x - \frac{\delta}{2}, x + \frac{\delta}{2}]\}) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (1.4)$$

Let $L_{p,\mu}(X)$, $(1 \leq p < \infty)$ be the space of all bounded μ -measurable functions f on X , for which [1]

$$\|f\|_{L_{p,\mu}} = \|f\|_{p,\mu} = \left\{ \left(\int_X |f(x)|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\}, \quad (1.5)$$

where μ is the non-negative measureable function on set X .

For $\delta > 0$, the modulus of continuity of the function f on X [10] is defined by

$$\omega(f, \delta) = \sup\{|f(x_1) - f(x_2)|: |x_1 - x_2| < \delta, x_1, x_2 \in X\} \quad (1.6)$$

Corresponding Author: Nagham Ali Hussien*²

²Tikrit University, College of Education, Department of Mathematics, Tikrit, Iraq.

The moduli of smoothness form a natural generalization of the modulus of continuity.

For every function f we define the k^{th} difference with step h at a point x as follows:

$$\Delta_h^k f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k+i} \binom{k}{i} f(x + ih), x, x + ih \in X \quad (1.7)$$

For $\delta > 0$, the modulus of smoothness of order k of function f is following function [10]

$$\omega_k(f, \delta) = \sup_{|h| < \delta} \{ |\Delta_h^k f(x)|, x, x + kh \in X \} \quad (1.8)$$

The k^{th} ordinary modulus of continuity for $f \in L_p(X)$ and $f \in L_{p,\mu}(X)$ respectively by

$$\omega_k(f, \delta)_p = \sup_{|h| < \delta} \{ \|\Delta_h^k f(\cdot)\|_p \}, \delta > 0 \quad (1.9)$$

$$\omega_k(f, \delta)_{p,\mu} = \sup_{|h| < \delta} \{ \|\Delta_h^k f(\cdot)\|_{p,\mu} \}, \delta > 0 \quad (1.10)$$

The local modulus of smoothness of function f of order k at a point $x \in X$ is following function of $\delta > 0$ [10]

$$\omega_k(f, x, \delta) = \sup \{ |\Delta_h^k f(t)|, t, t + kh \in [x - \frac{\delta}{2}, x + \frac{\delta}{2}] \cap X \} \quad (1.11)$$

The k^{th} averaged modulus of smoothness of function f of order k (or τ -modulus) of the function $f \in L_p(X)$ is following function of $\delta > 0$ is given by [11]:

$$\tau_k(f, \delta)_p = \|\omega_k(f, \cdot, \delta)\|_p = \left(\int_X |\omega_k(f, x, \delta)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (1.12)$$

Further the k^{th} averaged modulus of smoothness for $f \in L_{p,\mu}(X)$ is given by

$$\tau_k(f, \delta)_{p,\mu} = \|\omega_k(f, \cdot, \delta)\|_{p,\mu} \quad (1.13)$$

The K -functional for $f \in X_0$ and $g \in X_1$ is given by

$$K(f, \delta) = K(f, \delta, X_0, X_1) = \inf_{g \in X_1} \{ \|f - g\|_{X_0} + \delta \|g\|_{X_1}, \delta > 0 \} \quad (1.14)$$

Where X_0 and X_1 be two Banach spaces with $X_1 \subset X_0$. [6]

The inequality $K(f, \delta) < \epsilon$ for some $\delta > 0$, ϵ is a positive real number, implies that f has approximated with error $\|f - g\| < \epsilon$ in X_0 by an element $g \in X_1$, whose norm is not too large ($\|g\|_{X_1} < \epsilon \delta^{-1}$).

The K -functional in $L_p(X)$ space is given by [6]

$$K_r(f, \delta^r)_p = \inf_{g \in W_p^r} \{ \|f - g\|_p + \delta^r \|g^{(r)}\|_p, \delta > 0 \} \quad (1.15)$$

Where $X_0 = L_p(X)$ and $X_1 = W_p^r$ and $X_1 \subset X_0$,

Now, we introduce K -functional of a function $f \in L_{p,\mu}(X)$ such that [2]

$$K_r(f, \delta^r)_{p,\mu} = \inf_{g \in W_p^r} \{ \|f - g\|_{p,\mu} + \delta^r \|g^{(r)}\|_{p,\mu}, \delta > 0 \} \quad (1.16)$$

The Ditzian-Totik modulus of smoothness for $f \in L_p(X)$ as [4]

$$\omega_k^\varphi(f, \delta)_p = \sup_{|h| < \delta} \|\Delta_{h\varphi}^k f(\cdot)\|_p \quad (1.17)$$

$$\text{Where } \Delta_{h\varphi}^k f(x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k+i} \binom{k}{i} f(x + i\varphi h), & x + \varphi h \in X \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Also, the locally μ -Ditzian-Totik modulus of smoothness for $f \in L_{p,\mu}(X)$ is defined by

$$\omega_k^\varphi(f, \delta)_{p,\mu} = \sup_{|h| < \delta} \|\Delta_{h\varphi}^k f(\cdot)\|_{p,\mu}, \text{ where } \varphi(x) = (1 - x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (1.18)$$

The degree of best approximation to a given continuous function with respect to a polynomial spline on interval X is given by [5]:

$$E_n(f)_\infty = \inf \{ \|f - s\|_\infty; s \in \mathcal{S}_n(\Delta) \}. \quad (1.19)$$

While the degree of best approximation of a function $f \in L_p(X)$ with respect to a polynomial spline of degree $\leq n$ on X is given by

$$E_n(f)_p = \inf\{\|f - s\|_p; s \in \mathcal{S}_n(\Delta)\}. \quad (1.20)$$

Also, the degree of μ - best approximation to a given function $f \in L_{p,\mu}(X)$ with respect to polynomial spline of degree $\leq n$ on X is defined by

$$E_n(f)_{p,\mu} = \inf\{\|f - s\|_{p,\mu}; s \in \mathcal{S}_n(\Delta)\}. \quad (1.21)$$

The degree of best one-sided approximation of function $f \in L_p(X)$ with respect to polynomial spline of degree $\leq n$ on interval X is given by

$$\tilde{E}_n(f)_p = \inf\left\{\|\bar{s} - \bar{s}\|_p; \bar{s}, \bar{s} \in \mathcal{S}_n(\Delta) \text{ and } \bar{s}(x) \leq f(x) \leq \bar{s}(x)\right\}. \quad (1.22)$$

The degree of μ -best one-sided approximation of function $f \in L_{p,\mu}(X)$ with respect to polynomial spline of degree $\leq n$ on interval X is given by

$$\tilde{E}_n(f)_{p,\mu} = \inf\left\{\|\bar{s} - \bar{s}\|_{p,\mu}; s, \bar{s} \in \mathcal{S}_n(\Delta) \text{ and } \bar{s}(x) \leq f(x) \leq \bar{s}(x)\right\}. \quad (1.23)$$

2. AUXILIARY LEMMAS

Lemma I [7]: For $f \in L_p(X)$, $(0 < p \leq \infty)$, we have

$$\omega_k(f, \delta)_p \leq c(p) \omega_k^\varphi(f, \delta)_p \quad (2.1)$$

where c is constant depending on p .

Lemma II [7]: For $f \in L_p(X)$, $(0 < p \leq \infty)$, we have

$$\omega_k(f, \delta)_p \leq \omega_k(f, \delta)_\infty, \quad \delta > 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Lemma III [9]: If f is a bounded measurable function on the interval $[a, b]$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx \approx \frac{b-a}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) \quad (2.3)$$

where $x_i = a + \frac{(b-a)(2i-1)}{2n}$.

Lemma IV [1]: Let f be a bounded μ –measurable function and $(1 \leq p < \infty)$, then we have

$$\|f\|_p \leq c(p) \|f\|_{p,\mu} \quad (2.4)$$

3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we will get an estimation for $\tilde{E}_n(f)_{p,\mu}$. The estimation will be given in terms of k^{th} local modulus of continuity and Ditzian-Totic modulus of smoothness.

Now, we need the following lemmas:

Lemma 1: Let $f \in L_{p,\mu}(X)$, $(1 \leq p < \infty)$ Then

$$\omega_k(f, \delta)_{\infty,\mu} \leq c(p) \omega_k^\varphi(f, \delta)_{p,\mu}. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof: From (2.3) and (2.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_k(f, \delta)_{\infty,\mu}^p &= \sup_{|h| < \delta} \|\Delta_h^k f(\cdot)\|_{\infty,\mu}^p = \sup_{|h| < \delta} \|\Delta_h^k f(\cdot) d\mu(\cdot)\|_\infty^p \\ &= \sup_{|h| < \delta} \left\{ \sup |\Delta_h^k f(x) d\mu(x)|^p, x \in X \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |\Delta_h^k f(x_i) d\mu(x_i)|^p \\ &\cong \int_X |\Delta_h^k f(x_i)|^p d\mu(x_i) \end{aligned}$$

Implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_k(f, \delta)_{\infty,\mu} &\leq \left(\int_X |\Delta_h^k f(x_i)|^p d\mu(x_i) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \|\Delta_h^k f(\cdot) d\mu(\cdot)\|_p \leq \sup \|\Delta_h^k f(\cdot) d\mu(\cdot)\|_p = \omega_k(f d\mu, \delta)_p \\ &\leq c(p) \omega_k^\varphi(f d\mu, \delta)_p = c(p) \omega_k^\varphi(f, \delta)_{p,\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1: Let $f \in L_{p,\mu}(X)$, $(1 \leq p < \infty)$. Then

$$E_n(f)_{p,\mu} \leq c(p)\omega_k(f, \delta)_{p,\mu}. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof: Consider $s \in \mathcal{S}_n(\Delta)$ is a best approximation of a function f .

From (1.21), we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_n(f)_{p,\mu} &= \|f - s\|_{p,\mu} \\ &= \left(\int_X |f - s|(x)^p d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_X \sup |f - s|(x)^p d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq c(p) \sup \left(\int_X |f(x) - s(x)|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= c(p) \sup \left(\int_X |\Delta_h^k f(x)|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= c(p) \sup \|\Delta_h^k f(\cdot)\|_{p,\mu} = c(p)\omega_k(f, \delta)_{p,\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we want to find a relation between μ -best approximation and μ -best one-sided approximation.

Theorem 2: Let $f \in L_{p,\mu}(X)$, $(1 \leq p < \infty)$ and $\Delta = \{0 = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = 1\}$. Then

$$E_n(f)_{p,\mu} \leq c(p)\tilde{E}_n(f)_{p,\mu} \leq c(p)E_n(f)_{p,\mu} \quad (3.3)$$

where c constant depending on p .

Proof: Consider $s \in \mathcal{S}_n(\Delta)$ is the best approximation of $f \in L_{p,\mu}(X)$ and $\bar{s}, \bar{\bar{s}} \in \mathcal{S}_n(\Delta)$ are the best one-sided approximation of $f \in L_{p,\mu}(X)$ such that $\bar{\bar{s}}(x) \leq f(x) \leq \bar{s}(x); x \in X$

We want to prove

$$\begin{aligned} E_n(f)_{p,\mu} &\leq c(p)\tilde{E}_n(f)_{p,\mu} \\ E_n(f)_{p,\mu} &= \|f - s\|_{p,\mu} \\ &= \left(\int_X |f - s|(x)^p d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left(\int_X |f(x) - s(x)|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left(\int_X |f(x) - \bar{s}(x) + \bar{s}(x) - \bar{\bar{s}}(x) + \bar{\bar{s}}(x) - s(x)|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_X |f(x) - \bar{s}(x)|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\int_X |\bar{s}(x) - \bar{\bar{s}}(x)|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\int_X |\bar{\bar{s}}(x) - s(x)|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \|f - \bar{s}\|_{p,\mu} + \|\bar{s} - \bar{\bar{s}}\|_{p,\mu} + \|\bar{\bar{s}} - s\|_{p,\mu} \\ &\leq \|f - s\|_{p,\mu} + c(p)\tilde{E}_n(f)_{p,\mu} \\ &= E_n(f)_{p,\mu} + c(p)\tilde{E}_n(f)_{p,\mu} \\ &\leq \tilde{E}_n(f)_{p,\mu} + c(p)\tilde{E}_n(f)_{p,\mu} \\ &= c(p)\tilde{E}_n(f)_{p,\mu} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $E_n(f)_{p,\mu} \leq c(p)\tilde{E}_n(f)_{p,\mu}$

Now to prove $\tilde{E}_n(f)_{p,\mu} \leq c(p)E_n(f)_{p,\mu}$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}_n(f)_{p,\mu} &= \|\bar{s} - \bar{\bar{s}}\|_{p,\mu} = \left(\int_X |(\bar{s} - \bar{\bar{s}})(x)|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left(\int_X |\bar{s}(x) - \bar{\bar{s}}(x)|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_X |s(x) + (f(x) - s(x)) - (s(x) - (f(x) - s(x)))|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left(\int_X |f(x) - 2s(x) + f(x)|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= 2 \left(\int_X |f(x) - s(x)|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq c(p)\|f - s\|_{p,\mu} = c(p)E_n(f)_{p,\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\tilde{E}_n(f)_{p,\mu} \leq c(p)E_n(f)_{p,\mu}$

Then we get

$$E_n(f)_{p,\mu} \leq c(p) \tilde{E}_n(f)_{p,\mu} \leq c(p) E_n(f)_{p,\mu}$$

Theorem 3: If $f \in L_{p,\mu}(X)$, $(1 \leq p < \infty)$, then

$$\tilde{E}_n(f)_{p,\mu} \leq \tau_k(f, \Delta_n)_{p,\mu}.$$

Proof: Let $\Delta = \{0 = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = 1\}$, $\Delta_n = \max |x_i - x_{i-1}|$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$

Set $\bar{s}_k(x) = \sup f(t)$, $x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$, $t \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$

$$\bar{\bar{s}}_k(x) = \inf f(t), \quad x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i], \quad t \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$$

and $\bar{S}(f, x, \delta) = \sup f(t)$ where $|t - x| \leq \delta/2$

$$\bar{\bar{S}}(f, x, \delta) = \inf f(t) \quad \text{where } |t - x| \leq \delta/2$$

then, $\bar{\bar{S}}(f, x, \delta) \leq \bar{\bar{s}}(x) \leq \bar{s}(x) \leq \bar{S}(f, x, \delta)$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}_n(f)_{p,\mu} &= \|\bar{s}_k - \bar{\bar{s}}_k\|_{p,\mu} = \left(\int_X |\bar{s}_k - \bar{\bar{s}}_k|(x)^p d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left(\int_X |\bar{s}_k - \bar{\bar{s}}_k|(x)^p d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_X |\bar{S}(f, x, \delta) - \bar{\bar{S}}(f, x, \delta)|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \sup \left(\int_X |\bar{S}(f, x, \delta) - \bar{\bar{S}}(f, x, \delta)|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \tau_k(f, \Delta_n)_{p,\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4: Let $f \in L_{p,\mu}(X)$, $(1 \leq p < \infty)$. Then

$$\tilde{E}_n(f)_{p,\mu} \leq c(p) \omega_k^\varphi(f, \delta)_{p,\mu}.$$

Proof: By using (2.1), (2.2), (3.1), (2.4) and (3.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}_{n,k}(f)_{p,\mu} &= \inf \|\bar{s} - \bar{\bar{s}}\|_{p,\mu} \\ &\leq \inf \|\bar{s} - \bar{\bar{s}}\|_p \\ &= \tilde{E}_n(f)_p \\ &\leq \omega_k^\varphi(f, \delta)_p \\ &= \sup \|\Delta_{h\varphi}^k f(\cdot)\|_p \\ &\leq c(p) \sup \|\Delta_{h\varphi}^k f(\cdot)\|_{p,\mu} \\ &= c(p) \omega_k^\varphi(f, \delta)_{p,\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

1. Al-Abdulla, A. H. (2005), "On Equiapproximation of bounded μ -Measurable Functions in $L_p(\mu)$ -Space", Ph.D., thesis, Education College, Baghdad, Iraq.
2. Abdul Naby, Z.E. (2008), "Integral average modulus with applications in approximation of functions in the $L_{p,\mu}$ spaces", M.Sc. thesis Education college, Al-Mustansiriy university, Iraq.
3. Al-Asady, E.H. (2007), "A Study on the beat approximation of function in the spaces $L_p(\mu)$; $(0 < p < \infty)$ ", M.Sc. ,thesis Education college, Baghdad University.
4. Ditzian, Z. and Totik, (1987), "Moduli of smoothness", New York, Springer verlag.
5. Fadil,H. (2010) "On the constrained spline approximation", M.Sc. ,thesis, Education college, Babylon university, Iraq.
6. Kasim, N.M. (2004), "On monotone and comonotone approximation", M.Sc. thesis, Education college, Kufa university.
7. Kopotun, K.A. (1998), "Approximation of K-monotone function", Journal of approximation theory, Vol. 481-493.
8. Lorentz, G.G. (1966), "Approximation of functions", printed in the United States of America.
9. Schumaker,L.L.,(2007), "Spline Function Basic Theory", Cambridge mathematical library.

10. Sendov, Bl. and Popov, V.A. (1988), "The averaged modulus of smoothness", Publ. nouse of Bulg. Acad. of Science.
11. Zygmund, A. (2002), "Trigonometric series", Third edition, volumes I&II Combined with a forword by Robert Fefferman, Combridge university press.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared

[Copy right © 2016. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]