International Journal of Mathematical Archive-7(7), 2016, 124-130 MA Available online through www.ijma.info ISSN 2229 - 5046

COMMON FIXED POINTS OF MAPPINGS SATISFYING TRIANGLE INEQUALITY OF INTEGRAL TYPE

U. C. GAIROLA*, A. S. RAWAT**

*Department of Mathematics, Pauri Campus of H. N. B. Garhwal University, Pauri 246001, India.

**Shiboo Nagar, Tariyal Chauk, Devi Road, Kotdwar 246149, Uttarakhand, India.

(Received On: 17-07-16; Revised & Accepted On: 31-07-16)

ABSTRACT

T he purpose of this paper is to consider a new approach for obtaining common fixed point theorems in metric spaces by subjecting the triangle inequality to a contractive condition of integral type. We use the concept of property (E.A) and R-weak commutativity there, without the assumption of completeness of the space and the continuity of the mappings. Our results generalize and extend the results of Pant et.al [14] and others.

Ams(Mos) Subject Classifications: 54H25, 47H10.

Key Words: Noncompatible maps, contractive condition, property (E.A). R-weak commutativity.

1. INTRODUCTION

With the advent of the notion of compatible maps due to Jungck [5]. The study of common fixed point theorems for contractive type maps emerged as an area of vigorous research centered around the study of compatible maps and its weaker forms. However the study of common fixed points of noncompatible maps is equally interesting. Pant [9-13] initiated work along these lines by using the notion of pointwise R-weakly commuting mappings.

Definition 1.1[9]: Self-maps f and g of a metric space (X, d) are R-weakly commuting at a point $x \in X$ if $d(ASx, SAx) \le Rd(Ax, Sx)$ for some R > 0. They are pointwise R-weakly commuting on X if given $x \in X$ there exists R > 0 such that $d(ASx, SAx) \le Rd(Ax, Sx)$.

Describing the importance of pointwise R - weakly commuting maps in fixed point consideration. Pant [11] proved that the notion of pointwise R - weak commutativity is equivalent to commutativity at coincidence points. Junck [6] defines the commutativity at coincidence point with the notion of weakly compatible maps.

Definition 1.2 [6]: Self-maps f and g of a metric space (X, d) are weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence point, that is fgu = gfu whenever fu = gu for $u \in x$.

Thus two maps are weakly compatible if and only if they are pointwise R - weakly commuting mappings. However pointwise R - weakly commuting maps need not be compatible as shown in Example 1 of [14].

Further generalizing the concept of noncompatible maps Amri and Moutawakil [2] have introduced a new property called property (E.A). So that compatible and noncompatible maps may be studied together.

Corresponding Author: U. C. Gairola^{*}, *Department of Mathematics, Pauri Campus of H. N. B. Garhwal University, Pauri 246001, India. **Definition 1.3 [2]:** Let f and g be two self-maps of a metric space (X, d). Then they are said to satisfy the property (E.A), if there exists a sequence x_n in X such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} fx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} gx_n = t$$
, for some $t \in X$

If two maps are noncompatible then they satisfy the property (E.A). The converse however is not necessarily true.

Example 1.1: Let $X = [0, +\infty)$. Define $f, g: X \to X$ by $fx = \frac{x}{2}$, $gx = \frac{3x}{2}$, $\forall x \in X$. Consider the sequence $\{x_n\} = \frac{1}{n}, n \in N$. Clearly $\lim_{n \to \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} gx_n = 0$. Thus f and g satisfy the property (E.A), and also they are weakly compatible since they commute at their coincidence point 0 and pointwise R-weakly commuting, but f and g are not necessarily noncompatible.

Example 1.2: Let $X = \mathbb{R}$. Define $f, g: X \to X$ by $fx = \frac{x}{3}$, $x \in X$, $gx = x^2$, $x \in X$. here 0 and $\frac{1}{3}$ are two coincidence points for the maps f and g. Note that f and g commute at 0 i.e. fg(0) = gf(0) = 0, but $fg(\frac{1}{3}) = \frac{1}{27}$ and $gf(\frac{1}{3}) = \frac{1}{8}$. So f and g are not weakly compatible. Consider the sequence $\{x_n\} = \left\{\frac{1}{n}: n \in N\right\}$ we have $\lim_{n \to \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} gx_n = 0$. Thus f and g satisfy property (E.A).

Example 1.3: Let $X = [2, \infty)$. Define $f, g: X \to X$ by $fx = \frac{x}{2} + 1$, $gx = \frac{2x+2}{3}$, $\forall x \in [2, \infty)$. Here 2 is the coincidence point for the maps f and g. Note that f and g commute at 2 since fg(2) = gf(2) = 2. So f and g are weakly compatible. Suppose that property(E.A) holds. Then, there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X satisfying $\lim_{n\to\infty} fx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} gx_n = t$ for some $t \in X$. Therefore $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = 2t - 1$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = \frac{3t-2}{2}$. Then t = 0 which is a contradiction since $0 \notin X$. Hence, f and g do not satisfy property (E.A).

Notice that from the Example 1.2-1.3 we can see that weakly compatible and property(E.A) are independent to each other.

In 2002 Branciari [3] obtained the fixed point theorem for a mapping satisfying an analogue of Banach contraction principle for integral type inequality stated as follows.

Define $\Phi = \{\phi : R^+ \to R^+ \text{ is a lebesgue integrable mapping which is summable, non-negative}\}$ and φ satisfies the following inequality

$$\int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \varphi(t) dt > 0 \quad \text{for each } \varepsilon > 0.$$
(1.1)

Theorem 1.1 [3]: Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, $c \in [0, 1)$ and if $f : X \to X$ be a mapping such that for each $x, y \in X$, $\int_{0}^{d(fx, fy)} \varphi(t) dt \leq c \int_{0}^{d(x, y)} \varphi(t) dt ,$

where $\varphi \in \Phi$ and satisfy the condition (1.1) then f has a unique fixed point $a \in X$, such that for each $x \in X$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} f^n x = a$

This result was further generalized by Rhoades [8], Aliouche [1], Phatak *et.al* [15], Gairola-Rawat [4] and others and also see the references thereof.

© 2016, IJMA. All Rights Reserved

In the present paper using the notion of pointwise R- weak commutativity we demonstrate that triangle inequality can be used to establish common fixed point theroem by subjecting it to contractive condition of integral type. We use the concept of property(E.A) and pointwise R- weak commutativity there, without the assumption of completeness of the space and the continuity of the mappings. Our result generalize and extend the results of Pant *et.al* [14] and others.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Now we state our main theorem.

Theorem 2.1: Let f and g be pointwise R-weakly commuting selfmappings of a metric space (X, d) satisfying the property (E.A) and the following conditions hold:

(2.1) $fX \subseteq gX$, where fX is the closure of the range of fand (2.2) $\int_{0}^{d(fx,fy)} \varphi(t)dt \le k \int_{0}^{[d(fx,gx)+d(gx,gy)+d(gy,fy)]} \varphi(t)dt$, where $o \le k < 1$, $\varphi \in \Phi$ and satisfy the condition (1.1).

Then f and g have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: Since f and g satisfy the property (E.A), then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_n fx_n = \lim_n gx_n = t$ for some $t \in X$. Since $t \in \overline{fX}$ and $\overline{fX} \subset gX$ then there exists a $u \in X$ such that t = gu. Using (2.2) we get $\int_0^{d(fx_n, fu)} \varphi(t) dt \le k \int_0^{[d(fx_n, gx_n) + d(gx_n, gu) + d(gu, fu)]} \varphi(t) dt$.

By taking the limit, as $n \to \infty$ we have

$$\int_{0}^{d(fu,gu)} \varphi(t)dt \le k \int_{0}^{[0+0+d(gu,fu)]} \varphi(t)dt = k \int_{0}^{d(gu,fu)} \varphi(t)dt.$$

So

$$(1-k)\int_0^{d(fu,gu)}\varphi(t)dt=0.$$

Since $(1-k) \neq 0$, then using (1.1) we get fu = gu. Pointwise R – weak commutativity of f and g implies for R > 0 that

$$d(fgu, gfu) \leq Rd(fu, gu)$$
.

So

$$\int_{0}^{d(fgu,gfu)} \varphi(t)dt \leq \int_{0}^{Rd(fu,gu)} \varphi(t)dt = 0$$

Using (1.1) we have fgu = gfu. Also ffu = fgu = gfu = ggu. Using (2.2) again we get

$$\int_0^{d(fu,ffu)} \varphi(t)dt \le k \int_0^{[d(fu,gu)+d(gu,gfu)+d(gfu,ffu)]} \varphi(t)dt$$
$$= k \int_0^{d(fu,ffu)} \varphi(t)dt$$
$$(1-k) \int_0^{d(fu,ffu)} \varphi(t)dt = 0.$$

Since $(1-k) \neq 0$, then using (1.1) we get fu = ffu. Hence fu = fgu = gfu and fu is a common fixed point of f and g. Now to show the uniqueness of the common fixed point of f and g, let f and g have two distinct common fixed point v and w. Using (2.2) we have

$$\int_{0}^{d(v,w)} \varphi(t)dt = \int_{0}^{d(fv,fw)} \varphi(t)dt \le k \int_{0}^{[d(fv,gv)+d(gv,gw)+d(gw,fw)]} \varphi(t)dt = k \int_{0}^{d(v,w)} \varphi(t)dt$$

Since k < 1 so we have a contradiction. Thus v = w.

Now we give an example to illustrate the above theorem.

ſ

Example 2.1: Let X = [0, 1] and d be the usual metric on X. Define $f, g: X \to X$ by

$$fx = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if} & x = 0\\ \frac{1}{2n} & \text{if} & \frac{1}{n+1} < x \le \frac{1}{n}, n \in N \end{cases}$$
$$gx = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if} & x = 0\\ \frac{1}{n} & \text{if} & \frac{1}{n+1} < x \le \frac{1}{n}, n \in N \end{cases}$$

Now to check the property(E.A) we consider the sequence $\{y_n\} = \left\{\frac{1}{n} : n \in N\right\}$, which satisfies

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(fy_n, 0) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(\frac{1}{2n}, 0) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2n} = 0$$

and
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(gy_n, 0) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(\frac{1}{n}, 0) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} = 0.$$

Hence $\lim_{n\to\infty} fy_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} gy_n = 0 \in X$ so the pair (f,g) satisfies property (E.A). However one can see that $\lim_{n\to\infty} fgy_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} gfy_n$ so the pair (f,g) is not noncompatible. Also $fX = \{0\} \cup \left\{\frac{1}{2n} : n \in N\right\}$ and $gX = \{0\} \cup \left\{\frac{1}{n} : n \in N\right\}$ and $\overline{fX} = \{0\} \cup \left\{\frac{1}{2n} : n \in N\right\}$. Thus $\overline{fX} \subset gX$.

Define a map $\varphi \in \Phi$ by $\varphi(t) = 3t^2$ for t > 0 and $\varphi(0) = 0$, where φ satisfies the condition (1.1). Then for any $\tau > 0$,

$$\int_0^\tau \varphi(t) dt = \tau^3$$

Now we consider the following four cases.

Case-I: If
$$x = 0$$
 and $y \in \left(\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n}\right]$ then
 $d(fx, gx) + d(gx, gy) + d(gy, fy) = 0 + \frac{1}{n} + \left|\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{2n}\right|$
 $= \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{2n}$
 $= \frac{3}{2n}$
 $d(fx, fy) = \frac{1}{2n}$.

© 2016, IJMA. All Rights Reserved

So we have

$$\int_{0}^{d(fx,fy)} \varphi(t) dt = \left[\frac{1}{2n}\right]^{3} = \left[\frac{1}{3}\frac{3}{2n}\right]^{3}$$
$$= \frac{1}{27} \int_{0}^{d(fx,gx) + d(gx,gy) + d(gy,fy)} \varphi(t) dt.$$

Case-II: If $x \in \left(\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n}\right)$ and $y \in \left(\frac{1}{m+1}, \frac{1}{m}\right)$, m > n, then $d(fx, gx) + d(gx, gy) + d(gy, fy) = \left|\frac{1}{2n} - \frac{1}{n}\right| + \left|\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{m}\right| + \left|\frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{2m}\right|$ $d(fx, fy) = \left|\frac{1}{2n} - \frac{1}{2m}\right|$ $=\frac{m-n}{2mn}$.

So

$$\int_{0}^{d(fx,fy)} \varphi(t)dt = \left[\frac{m-n}{2mn}\right]^{3} = \left[\frac{3m-n}{2mn} \cdot \frac{m-n}{3m-n}\right]^{3}$$
$$= \left[\frac{m-n}{3m-n}\right]^{3} \int_{0}^{d(fx,gx)+d(gx,gy)+d(gy,fy)} \varphi(t)dt.$$

Case-III: If $x \in \left(\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n}\right]$ and $y \in \left(\frac{1}{m+1}, \frac{1}{m}\right]$, m < n then similarly as in Case (ii) we have $\int_{0}^{d(fx,fy)} \varphi(t)dt = \left[\frac{n-m}{2}\right]^{3} = \left[\frac{3n-m}{2}, \frac{n-m}{2}\right]^{3}$

$$\varphi(t)dt = \left[\frac{2mn}{2mn}\right] = \left[\frac{2mn}{3n-m}\right]$$
$$= \left[\frac{n-m}{3n-m}\right]^3 \int_0^{d(fx,gx)+d(gx,gy)+d(gy,fy)} \varphi(t)dt.$$

Case-IV: If $x, y \in \left(\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n}\right)$, then

$$d(fx, gx) + d(gx, gy) + d(gy, fy) = \left|\frac{1}{2n} - \frac{1}{n}\right| + 0 + \left|\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{2n}\right|$$
$$= \frac{1}{2n} + \frac{1}{2n}$$
$$= \frac{1}{n}$$

d(fx, fy) = 0.

So

$$\int_{0}^{d(fx,fy)} \varphi(t) dt = 0 < \int_{0}^{d(fx,gx) + d(gx,gy) + d(gy,fy)} \varphi(t) dt$$

Hence in all the above cases there exists a $0 \le k = \max\left\{\frac{1}{27}, \left[\frac{m-n}{3m-n}\right]^3 or\left[\frac{n-m}{3n-m}\right]^3\right\} < 1$ for which the contractive

condition (2.2) is satisfied. Thus all the conditions of the above theorem are satisfied and 0 is the unique fixed point of fand g.

© 2016, IJMA. All Rights Reserved

In the next theorem we further improve Theorem 1.1 by replacing condition (2.2) with much general inequality and using the strict triangle inequality of integral type.

Theorem 2.2: Let f and g be noncompatible pointwise R-weakly communing selfmappings of a metric space (X, d) satisfying the property (E.A), (2.1) and the following conditions hold:

(2.3)
$$\int_{0}^{d(fx,fy)} \varphi(t)dt \leq a \int_{0}^{d(fx,gx)} \varphi(t)dt + b \int_{0}^{d(gx,gy)} \varphi(t)dt + c \int_{0}^{d(gy,fy)} \varphi(t)dt,$$
$$0 \leq a, c < 1, b \geq 0,$$

(2.4)
$$\int_{0}^{d(fx,f^{2}x)} \varphi(t) dt < \int_{0}^{d(fx,gx)+d(gx,gfx)+d(gfx,f^{2}x)} \varphi(t) dt,$$

where $\varphi \in \Phi$ and satisfy the condition (1.1).

Whenever the right hand side is nonzero, then f and g have a common fixed point.

Proof: Since f and g satisfy the property (E.A), then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_n fx_n = \lim_n gx_n = t$ for some $t \in X$. Since $t \in \overline{fX}$ and $\overline{fX} \subset gX$, then there exists $u \in X$ such that t = gu. Using (2.3) we get $\int_0^{d(fx_n, fu)} \varphi(t) dt \le a \int_0^{d(fx_n, gx_n)} \varphi(t) dt + b \int_0^{d(gx_n, gu)} \varphi(t) dt + c \int_0^{d(gu, fu)} \varphi(t) dt$.

By taking the limit, as $n \to \infty$ we have

$$\int_{0}^{d(gu,fu)} \varphi(t)dt \le 0 + 0 + c \int_{0}^{d(gu,fu)} \varphi(t)dt = c \int_{0}^{d(gu,fu)} \varphi(t)dt.$$

So

$$(1-c)\int_0^{d(fu,gu)}\varphi(t)dt=0.$$

From (1.1) we get fu = gu. Pointwise R – weak commutativity of f and g implies for R > 0, that

 $d(fgu, gfu) \leq Rd(fu, gu).$

So

$$\int_0^{d(fgu,gfu)} \varphi(t)dt \leq \int_0^{Rd(fu,gu)} \varphi(t)dt = 0.$$

Thus fgu = gfu. Also ffu = fgu = gfu = ggu. Using (2.4) again we get

$$\int_{0}^{d(fu,ffu)} \varphi(t)dt < \int_{0}^{[d(fu,gu)+d(gu,gfu)+d(gfu,ffu)]} \varphi(t)dt$$
$$= c \int_{0}^{[0+d(fu,ffu)+0]} \varphi(t)dt$$

$$(1-c)\int_0^{d(fu,ffu)}\varphi(t)dt=0.$$

Thus from (1.1) we get fu = ffu. Hence fu = fgu = gfu and fu is a common fixed point of f and g. This completes the proof.

Remark 2.1: If we take $\varphi(t) = t$ in Theorem 2.1-2.2 we get the results of Pant *et.al* [12], which extend the results of Rhoades [7].

REFERENCES

- 1 A.Aliouche, A common fixed point theorem for weakly compatible mappings in symmetric spaces satisfying a contractive condition of integral type, J.Math.Anal.Appl. 322 (2006), 796-802.
- 2 M.Amari and D.El.Moutawakil, Some new common fixed point theorem under strict contractive conditions, J.Math.Anal.Appl. 270 (2002), 181-188.
- 3 A.Branciari, A common fixed point theorem for mapping satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type, Internat.J.Math.Math.Sci. 29 (9) (2002), 531-536.
- 4 U.C.Gairola and A.S.Rawat, A fixed point theorem for two pair of maps satisfying a new contractive condition of integral type revisited, Preprint.
- 5 G.Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points, Internat.J.Math.Math.Sci. 9 (1986), 771-779.
- 6 G.Junck and B.E.Rhoades, Fixed point for set valued functions without continuity, Indian J.Pure Appl. Math. 29 (3) (1998), 227-238.
- 7 B.E.Rhoades, Contractive definitions and continuity, Contemporary Math. 72 (1998), 233-245.
- 8 B.E.Rhoades, Two fixed point theorems for mapping satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type, Internat.J.Math.Math.Sci. 63 (2003), 4007-4013.
- 9 R.P.Pant, Common fixed points of noncommuting mappings, J.Math.Anal.Appl. 188 (1994), 436-440.
- 10 R.P.Pant, Common fixed point theorems for contractive maps, J.Math.Anal.Appl. 226 (1998), 251-258.
- 11 R.P.Pant, *R*-weak commutativity and common fixed points of noncompatible maps, Ganita 49 (1998), 19-27.
- 12 R.P.Pant, Common fixed points for four mappings, Bull. Calcutta Math.Soc. 9 (1998), 281-286.
- 13 R.P.Pant, Discontinuity and fixed points, J.Math.Anal.Appl. 240 (1999), 284-289.
- 14 V.Pant, S.Padaliya and R.P.Pant, Common fixed points of mappings not satisfying contractive condition, Fasciculi Mathematici, Nr40 (2008), 71-77.
- 15 H.K.Pathak, RosanaRodriguez-Lopez and R.K.Verma, A common fixed point theorem using implicit relation and property (E.A) in metric spaces, Filomat 21 (2) (2007), 211-234.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared

[Copy right © 2016. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]