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#### Abstract

Let $G$ be simple graph of order $n . A(G)$ is the adjacency matrix of $G$ of order $n \times n$. The matrix $A(G)$ is said to graphical if all its diagonal entries should be zero. The graph Гis said to be the matrix product (mod-2) of $G$ and $\bar{G}$ if $A(G)$ and $A(\bar{G})(\bmod -2)$ is graphical and 「is the realization of $A(G) A(\bar{G})($ mod-2). In this paper, we are going to study the realization of the Petersen graph $G$ and any $k$ - regular subgraph of $\bar{G}$. Also some interesting characterizations and properties of the graphs for each the product of adjacency matrix under (mod-2) is graphical.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a simple graph. The order of $G$ is the number of vertices of $G$. For any vertex $v \in V$ the open neighborhood of $v$ is the set $N(v)=\{u \in V / u v \in E\}$ and the closed Neighborhood of $v$ is the set $N[v]=N(v) \cup\{v\}$. For a set $S \subseteq V$, the open neighborhood of S is $N(S)=U_{v \in S} N(v)$ and the closed Neighborhood of $S$ is $N[S]=$ $N(S) \cup S$

A set $S \subseteq V$ is a dominating set if $N(S)=V-S$, or equivalently, every vertex in $V / S$ is adjacent to at least one vertex in $S$.

Graphs considered in this paper are connected simple and undirected. Let $G$ be any graph its vertices are denoted by $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ two vertices $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}, i \neq j$ are said to be adjacent to each other if there is an edge between them. An adjacency between the vertices $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ is denoted by $v_{i} \sim_{G} v_{j}$ and $v_{i} \sim_{G} v_{j}$ denotes that $v_{i}$ is not adjacent with $v_{j}$ in the graph $G$. The adjacency matrix of $G$ is a Matrix $A(G)=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in M_{n}(R)$ in which $a_{i j}=1$ if $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ are adjacent, and $a_{i j}=0$ otherwise, given two graphs $G$ and $H$ have the same set of vertices $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}, G \cup H$ represents the union of graphs $G$ and $H$ having the same vertex set and two vertics are adjacent in $G \cup H$ if they are adjacent in at least one of $G$ and $H$. Graphs $G$ and $H$ having the same set of vertices are said to be edge disjoint, if $u \sim{ }_{G} v$ implies that $u x_{H} v$ equivalently, $H$ is a subgraph of G and G is a sub graph of $H$.

## 2. MATRIX PRODUCT (MODULO-2) OF PETERSEN GRAPHS

Definition: 2.1 Let $G$ be a graph with $n$ vertices, $m$ edges, the incidence matrix A of $G$ is an $n \times m$ matrix $\mathrm{A}=\left(a_{i j}\right)$, where $n$ represents the number of rows correspond to the vertices and $m$ represents the columns correspond to edges such that

$$
\left(a_{i j}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \text { if } v_{i} \text { and } v_{j} \text { are adjacent in } G \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is also called vertex-edge incidence matrix and is denoted by $\Lambda(G)$.
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Definition: 2.2 A symmetric $(0,1)$ - Matrix is said to be graphical if all its diagonal entries equal Zero.
If B is a graphical matrix such that $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{A}(G)$ for some graph G , Then we often say that G is the realization of graphical matrix $B$.

Definition: 2.3 Let us Consider any two graphs $G$ and $H$ having same set of vertices. $A$ graph $\Gamma$ is said to be the matrix product of G and $H$. If $A(G) A(H)$ is graphical and $\Gamma$ is the realization of $A(G) A(H)$. We shall extend the above definition of matrix product of graphs when the matrix multiplications is considered over the integers modulo-2.

Definition: 2.4 The graph $\Gamma$ is said to be a matrix product (mod-2) of graphs $G$ and $\bar{G}$ if $A(G) A(\bar{G})(m o d-2)$ is graphical and $\Gamma$ is the realization of $A(G) A(\bar{G})$ (mod-2).

Definition: 2.5 Given graphs $G$ and $H$ on the same set of vertices $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots v_{n}\right\}$, two vertices $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}(i \neq j)$ are said to have a $G H$ path if there exists a vertex $v_{k}$, different from $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ such that $v_{i} \sim_{G} v_{k}$ and $v_{k} \sim_{H} v_{j}$.

Definition: 2.6 A graph is a parity graph if for any two induced paths joining the same pair of vertices the path lengths have the same parity (odd or even).

Theorem: 2.7 Let $G$ be Petersen graph and $\bar{G}$ be any $k$ - regular sub graph of $\bar{G}(k=1,2,3,4,5,6)$. Then $A(G) A(\bar{G})$ is a graphical matrix.

Proof: Let $C_{n}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\} . v_{i}$ is adjacent with $v_{i-1}$ and $v_{i+1}$ such that $v_{n}=v_{o}$. Let $\left(a_{i j}\right)$ is the adjacent matrix of $G$ and $\left(b_{i j}\right)$ is the adjacent matrix of $\bar{G}$.

Then, each

$$
\left(a_{i j}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \text { if } j=i+1(\text { or }) j=i-1 \\
0 \quad \text { otherwise }
\end{array} \quad\right. \text { and }
$$

$$
\left(b_{i j}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \quad \text { if } j=i+1(\text { or }) j=i-1 \\
0 \quad \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then, $\quad A(G) \mathrm{A} \overline{\mathrm{G}}=\left\{\left(c_{i j}\right)=0\right.$ if $\left.i=j, i=1,2 \ldots, n\right\}$
Hence all diagonal entries of $A(G) A(\bar{G})$ is zero. So Peterson graph is graphical matrix.
Theorem: 2.8 The diagonal entries of the matrix product $A(G) A(H)$ are zeros if and only if for each vertex $v_{i} \in G$ the cardinality of the set of vertices $\left\{v_{k}: v_{k} \sim_{G} v_{i}, v_{k} \sim_{H} v_{i}\right\}$ is even.

Proof: Let $\quad A(G)=\left(a_{i j}\right) ; A(H)=\left(b_{i j}\right)$

$$
A(G) A(H)=\left(c_{i j}\right) i=1,2, \ldots, 10 ; j=1,2, \ldots, 10 \quad \text { [Since the adjacency matrices are symmetric] }
$$

We have $\quad b_{k j}=b_{j k} ; c_{i j}=\sum_{k} a_{i k} b_{k j}$

$$
=\sum_{k} a_{i k} b_{j k}(\bmod -2)
$$

Taking $i=j$, we get that $c_{i i}=0$ iff $a_{i k} b_{i k} \neq 0$ for even number of cases. The proof of the theorem follows immediately by noting that $a_{i k} b_{i k} \neq 0$ is equivalent to say that the $i^{t h}$ and $k^{t h}$ vertices are adjacent in both the graphs.

Lemma: 2.9 The $(i, j)^{t h}(i \neq j)$ entry of the matrix product $A(G) A(H)$ is either 0 or 1 depending on whether the number of $G H$ paths from $v_{i}$ to $v_{j}$ is even or odd respectively.

Lemma: 2.10 The product $A(G) A(\bar{G})$ (G is a Petersen graph and $\bar{G}$ is any $K$-regular sub graph of G ) is graphical if and only if the following statements are true
i) For every i $(1 \leq i \leq n)$, there are even number of vertices $v_{k}$ such that $v_{i} \sim_{G} v_{k}$ and $v_{k} \sim_{\bar{G}} v_{i}$,
ii) For each pair of vertices $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}(i \neq j)$ the number of $G H$ paths and $H G$ paths from $v_{i}$ to $v_{j}$ have same parity.

Example: 2.11 Consider a Petersen graph $G$ and a 2 regular sub graph of its complement is shown in figure 1.2

$$
A(G) A(\bar{G})=\left(\begin{array}{llllllllll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

and the cocktail parity graph shown in figure 1.3 is the graph realizing $\mathrm{A}(G) A(\bar{G})$ is graphical.


Figure-1.1
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Figure-1.3

A $H$ be any 2-regular sub graph of $\bar{G}$ on 10 vertices for which $\Gamma$ is the graph realizing $A(G) A(\bar{G})$
Theorem: 2.12 For any graph $G$ and its compliment $\bar{G}$ on the set of vertices $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ the following statements are equivalent
(i) The matrix product $A(G) \mathrm{A}(\bar{G})$ is graphical.
(ii) For every $i$ and $j, 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \operatorname{deg}_{G} v_{i}-\operatorname{deg}_{G} v_{j}=0(\bmod -2)$
(iii) The graph $G$ is parity regular.

Proof: Note that (ii) <=> (iii) follows from the definition of parity regular graphs. Now, we shall prove $(i)<=>$ (ii).

Let $(A(G))_{i j}=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, n ; j=1,2, \ldots, n$ from the definitions of the complement of a graph and GH path,

$$
\begin{align*}
& H=\bar{G} \text { implies that } \\
& d e g_{G} v_{i}=\text { Number of walks of length } 2 \text { from } v_{i} \text { to } v_{j} \text { in } G+\text { Number of } G \bar{G} \text { paths from } v_{i} \text { to } v_{j}+a_{i j} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, $\operatorname{de} g_{G} v_{j}=$ Number of walks of length 2 from $v_{j}$ to $v_{i}$ in $G+$ Number of $G \bar{G}$ paths from $v_{j}$ to $v_{i}+a_{j i}$ for every distinct pair of vertices $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$.

Since $G \bar{G}$ path from $v_{j}$ to $v_{i}$ is a $\bar{G} G$ path from $v_{i}$ to $v_{j}$.
Comparing the right hand sides of (1) to (2), we get that $A(G) A(\bar{G})$ is graphical if and only if $\operatorname{deg}_{G} v_{i} \equiv d e g_{G} v_{j}$ ( $\bmod$ 2)

Remark: 2.13 It is also possible for one to prove $(i)=>$ (ii), by taking $A(\bar{G})=J-A(G)-I$ in the matrix products $A(G) A(\bar{G})$ and $A(\bar{G}) A(G)$, where $J$ is the $n \times n$ matrix with all 1 's and I is the $n \times n$ identity matrix.

Theorem: 2.14 Consider a graph $G$ and its complement $\bar{G}$ defined on the set of vertices $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots v_{n}\right\}$. Then $A(G) A(\bar{G})=0$ and the diagonal value of $[A(G)]^{2}=1$ if $i=j$.

Theorem: 2.15 Let $G$ be a graph and its complement $\bar{G}$ defined on the set of vertices $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots v_{n}\right\}$.
Then $A(G) A(\bar{G})=A(G)$ iff $[A(G)]^{2}$ is either a null matrix or the matrix $J$ with all entries equal to 1 .
Proof: Let, $A(G)=\left(a_{i j}\right)$, we have
$d e g_{G} v_{i} \equiv$ number of walks of length 2 from $v_{i}$ to $v_{j}$ in $G(\bmod -2)$ for $i \neq j$
Now, $G$ is a parity regular and therefore, $\operatorname{deg}_{G} v_{i}-d e g_{G} v_{j} \equiv 0(\bmod -2)$
So, from (A) we get that $(A(G))^{2}$ is either 0 or $J$.
Conversely, Suppose that $(A(G))^{2}$ is either $O$ or $J$. If $(A(G))^{2}=0$ we get that the degree of all the vertices in $G$ are even and $(A(G))^{2}=J$ would mean that degree of all the vertices are odd. By taking $A(\bar{G})=J-A(G)-I$
$=J+A(G)+I \quad$ [since we know that the minus $(-)$ is the same as the plus $(+)$ under modulo-2)]
Therefore, we get $A(G) A(\bar{G})=A(G)(J+A(G)+I)$

$$
=A(G) J+(A(G))^{2}+A(G)
$$

In each case, $(A(G))^{2}$ is $O$ or $J$, we get that the right hand side of the above reduces to $A(G)$. Which also characterizes the graphs $G$ with property $A(G) A(\bar{G})=A(G)$ in terms of characteristics of $\bar{G}$.

Theorem: 2.16 Let $G$ be a graph with adjacency matrix $A(G)$. Then the following statements are equivalent.
i) $(A(G))^{2}=A(G)$ i.e., $A(G)$ is idempotent
ii) $A(G) A(\bar{G})=0$ and the degree of every vertex in $G$ is even.
iii) The number of $G \bar{G}$ paths of length 2 between every pair of vertices is even and the degree of every vertex in $G$ is even.

Proof: $(i)=>(i i) .(A(G))^{2}$ is graphical implies that the diagonal entries of $(A(G))^{2}$ are zeros, we get that degree of each vertex in $G$ is zero (modulo-2).

In other words, degree of each $A(G) J=0$.
Therefore, $A(G) A(\bar{G})=A(G)(J-A(G)-I)=-(A(G))^{2}-A(G)=0$
Whenever $(A(G))^{2}=A(G)$
(ii) $=>$ (iii) follows from Theorem 2.15
(iii) $=>$ (i) follows from Theorem 2.12

The graph $G$ as shown in figure 1.1 such that $(A(G))^{2}=A(G)$ and the degree of every vertex of $G$ is even. Further, $A(G) A(\bar{G})=0$.
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