International Journal of Mathematical Archive-8(1), 2017, 1-7 MA Available online through www.ijma.info ISSN 2229 - 5046

NONSINGULAR PQ-INJECTIVE MODULES

S. WONGWAI*, N. PARINYUD AND C. KHAMPARAT

Faculty of Architecture,
Rajamangala University of Technology Thanyaburi, Pathumthani 12110, Thailand.

(Received On: 11-11-16; Revised & Accepted On: 09-01-17)

ABSTRACT

Let M be a right R-module. A right R-module N is called nonsingular principally M-injective (briefly, nonsingular PM-injective) if, for each $m \in M \setminus Z(M)$, any R-homomorphism from $m \in M$ to $n \in M$ is called nonsingular principally quasi—injective (briefly, nonsingular $n \in M$ injective) if, it is nonsingular $n \in M$ -injective. In this paper, we give some characterizations and properties of nonsingular $n \in M$ -injective modules.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13C10, 13C11, 13C60.

Key words and phrases: Nonsingular PO-injective Modules and Endomorphism Rings.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let R be a ring. A right R-module M is called *principally injective* (or P-injective), if every R-homomorphism from a principal right ideal of R to M can be extended to an R-homomorphism from R to M. Equivalently, $1_M r_R(a) = Ma$ for all $a \in R$ where 1 and r are left and right annihilators, respectively. This notion was introduced by Camillo [2] for commutative rings. In [8], Nicholson and Yousif studied the structure of principally injective rings and gave some applications. Nicholson, Park, and Yousif [9] extended this notion of principally injective rings to the one for modules. In [5], R is called right R is called R to R can be extended to an R homomorphism from R to R a right R -module R is called almost mininjective R as left R -modules. A ring R is called R of R, there exists an R -submodule R is almost mininjective. In this note we introduce the definition of nonsingular R in almost mininjective modules and give some characterizations and properties.

Throughout this paper, R will be an associative ring with identity and all modules are unitary right R – modules. For right R – modules M and N, $Hom_R(M,N)$ denotes the set of all R – homomorphisms from M to N and $S = End_R(M)$ denotes the endomorphism ring of M. If X is a subset of M the right (resp. left) annihilator of X in R (resp. S) is denoted by $r_R(X)$ (resp. $l_S(X)$). By notation $N \subset^{\oplus} M$ ($N \subset^{e} M$) we mean that N is a direct summand (an essential submodule) of M. We denote the singular submodule of M by Z(M).

2. NONSINGULAR PM -INJECTIVE MODULES

Recall that a submodule K of a right R – module M is essential (or large) in M if, every nonzero submodule L of M, we have $K \cap L \neq 0$. An element $m \in M$ is called singular if $r_R(m) \subset^e R$. M is called nonsingular if it contains no nontrivial singular element.

Definition 2.1: Let M be a right R -module. A right R -module N is called *nonsingular principally* M -injective (briefly, *nonsingular PM -injective*) if, for each $m \in M \setminus Z(M)$, any R -homomorphism from mR to N can be extended to an R -homomorphism from M to N.

Lemma 2.2: Let M and N be right R – modules. Then N is nonsingular PM -injective if and only if for each $m \in M \setminus Z(M)$,

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, N)m = 1_{N} r_{R}(m).$$

Proof: Clearly, $Hom_R(M, N)m \subset l_N r_R(m)$.

Let $x \in l_N r_R(m)$. Define $\phi: mR \to xR$ by $\phi(mr) = xr$ for every $r \in R$. Since $r_R(m) \subset r_R(x)$, ϕ is well-defined. It is clear that ϕ is an R-homomorphism. Since N is nonsingular PM-injective, there exists an R-homomorphism $\hat{\phi}: M \to N$ such that $\hat{\phi}\iota_1 = \iota_2 \phi$, where $\iota_1: mR \to M$ and $\iota_2: xR \to N$ are the inclusion maps. Hence $x = \phi(m) = \hat{\phi}(m) \in Hom_R(M, N)m$.

Conversely, let $m \in M \setminus Z(M)$, and $\phi: mR \to N$ be an R-homomorphism. Then $\phi(m) \in l_N r_R(m)$ so by assumption, we have $\hat{\phi}(m) = \hat{\phi}(m)$ for some $\hat{\phi} \in Hom_R(M,N)$. This shows that N is nonsingular PM-injective.

Example 2.3: Let $R = \begin{pmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & F \end{pmatrix}$ where F is a field.

(1) If
$$M_R = \begin{pmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $N_R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & F \end{pmatrix}$, then N is not nonsingular PM -injective.

(2) If
$$M_R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F \\ 0 & F \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $N_R = \begin{pmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then N is nonsingular PM -injective.

Proof: (1) It is clear that only $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & F \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & F \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ are nonzero nonessential principal right ideals of

R. Let $m = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M$ with $x \neq 0$ or $y \neq 0$. Then $m \in M \setminus Z(M)$ and that nonzero submodules mR of

$$M \text{ may be } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ or } M. \text{ It is clear that } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & F \end{pmatrix}. \text{ For any } R - \text{homomorphism}$$

$$\phi\!:\!\begin{pmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\!\to\!\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & F \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } \phi\!\begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\!\end{pmatrix}\!=\!\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} \text{ for some } x\in F,$$

$$\varphi\begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ for every } \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \begin{pmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ hence } \quad \phi = 0.$$

Then N is not nonsingular PM-injective.

(2) For
$$M_R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F \\ 0 & F \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $N_R = \begin{pmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, let $m = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix} \in M$ where $x \neq 0$ or $y \neq 0$. Then

$$r_{_{\!R}}(m) = \begin{pmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ is a nonessential right ideal of } R \text{ and } mR \text{ may be } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & F \end{pmatrix} \text{ or } M.$$

Let
$$\alpha:\begin{pmatrix} 0 & F \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 be an R -homomorphism.

Then there exists $x_1, x_2 \in F$ such that $\alpha \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Hence

$$\begin{split} \alpha & \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \alpha \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \\ & = \alpha \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

It follows that $x_1 = 0$.

Define
$$\hat{\alpha}: M \to N$$
 by $\hat{\alpha} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & ax_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for every $a, b \in F$.

It is clear that $\widehat{\alpha}$ is an R-homomorphism and

$$\widehat{\alpha} \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \widehat{\alpha} \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 This shows that $\widehat{\alpha}$ is an extension of α .

Then N is nonsingular PM -injective.

Lemma 2.4:

- (1) N is nonsingular PM -injective if and only if N is nonsingular PX -injective for any submodule X of M.
- (2) $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} N_i$ is nonsingular PM -injective if and only if N_i is nonsingular PM injective for all i.
- (3) If $m \in M \setminus Z(M)$ and mR is nonsingular PM -injective, then $mR \subset^{\oplus} M$.

Proof:

- (1) The sufficiency is trivial. For the necessity, let $x \in X \setminus Z(X)$, and $\phi : xR \to N$ be an R -homomorphism. Since $x \in M \setminus Z(M)$, there exists an R -homomorphism $\hat{\phi} : M \to N$ such that $\phi = \hat{\phi}\iota_2\iota_1$ where $\iota_1 : xR \to X$ and $\iota_2 : X \to M$ are the inclusion maps. Then $\hat{\phi}\iota_2$ extends ϕ .
- (2) The necessity is trivial. For the sufficiency, let $m \in M \setminus Z(M)$, and $\phi: mR \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^n N_i$ be an R-homomorphism. Then for each i, there exists R-homomorphisms $\phi_i: M \to N_i$ such that $\phi_i \iota = \pi_i \phi$ where $\pi_i: \bigoplus_{i=1}^n N_i \to N_i$ is the projection map, and $\iota: mR \to M$ is the inclusion map. Put $\hat{\phi} = \iota_1 \phi_1 + ... + \iota_n \phi_n: M \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^n N_i$. Then it is clear that $\hat{\phi}$ extends ϕ .
- (3) Since mR is nonsingular PM-injective, there exists an R-homomorphism $\phi: M \to mR$ such that $\phi\iota = 1_{mR}$ where $\iota: mR \to M$ is the inclusion map. Then by[1, Lemma 5.1], ι is a split monomorphism, therefore $mR \subset^{\oplus} M$.

Theorem 2.5: The following conditions are equivalent for a projective module M.

- (1) Every $m \in M \setminus Z(M)$, mR is projective.
- (2) Every factor module of a nonsingular PM -injective module is nonsingular PM injective.
- (3) Every factor module of an injective R module is nonsingular PM -injective.

Proof:

 $(1) \Longrightarrow (2): \text{ Let } N \text{ be a nonsingular } PM \text{-injective module, } X \text{ a submodule of } N \text{, } m \in M \setminus Z(M), \text{ and } \phi \colon mR \to N / X \text{ be an } R \text{-homomorphism. Then by (1), there exists an } R \text{-homomorphism } \hat{\phi} \colon mR \to N \text{ such that } \phi = \eta \hat{\phi} \text{ where } \eta \colon N \to N / X \text{ is the natural } R \text{-epimorphism. Since } N \text{ is nonsingular } PM \text{-injective, there exists an } R \text{-homomorphism } \beta \colon M \to N \text{ which is an extension of } \hat{\phi} \text{ to } M. \text{ Then } \eta \beta \text{ is an extension of } \phi \text{ to } M.$

- $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$: is clear.
- $(3) \Rightarrow (1) \colon \text{ Let } m \in M \setminus Z(M), \ h \colon A \to B \text{ an } R \text{epimorphism, and let } \alpha \colon mR \to B \text{ be an } R \text{homomorphism. Embed } A \text{ in an injective module } E \ [1, 18.6]. \ \text{Let } \sigma \colon B \to A / \text{Ker}(h) \text{ be an } R \text{isomorphism. Since } E / \text{Ker}(h) \text{ is nonsingular } PM \text{-injective, there exists an } R \text{homomorphism } \widehat{\alpha} \colon M \to E / \text{Ker}(h) \text{ such that } \iota_1 \sigma \alpha = \widehat{\alpha} \iota_2 \text{ where } \iota_1 \colon A / \text{Ker}(h) \to E / \text{Ker}(h) \text{ and } \iota_2 \colon mR \to M \text{ are the inclusion maps. Since } M \text{ is projective, } \widehat{\alpha} \text{ can be lifted to } \beta \colon M \to E. \text{ Let } x \in mR. \text{ Then } \sigma \alpha(x) = a + \text{Ker}(h) \text{ for some } a \in A, \text{ so } \beta(x) + \text{Ker}(h) = \eta \beta(x) = \widehat{\alpha}(x) = \sigma \alpha(x) = a + \text{Ker}(h) \text{ where } \eta \colon E \to E / \text{Ker}(h) \text{ is the natural } R \text{epimorphism. Hence } \beta(x) a \in \text{Ker}(h) \subset A \text{ so } \beta(x) \in A. \text{ This shows that } \beta(mR) \subset A. \text{ Therefore we have lifted } \alpha.$

3. NONSINGULAR PQ -INJECTIVE MODULES

A right R -module M is called nonsingular principally quasi-injective (briefly, nonsingular PQ-injective) if, it is nonsingular PM-injective.

Lemma 3.1: Let M be a right R – module and $S = End_R(M)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) M is nonsingular PQ-injective.
- (2) $l_M r_R(m) = Sm$ for each $m \in M \setminus Z(M)$.
- (3) $r_R(m) \subset r_R(n)$, where $m, n \in M$ with $m \in M \setminus Z(M)$, implies that $Sn \subset Sm$.
- $(4) \quad l_{_M}(r_{_R}(m) \cap aR) = l_{_M}(a) + Sm \quad \text{for all } a \in R \text{ and } m \in M \text{ with } ma \in M \setminus Z(M).$
- (5) If $\alpha : mR \to M$ is an R-homomorphism, $m \in M \setminus Z(M)$, then $\alpha(m) \in Sm$.

Proof:

- $(1) \Leftrightarrow (2)$: by Lemma 2.2
- $(2) \Longrightarrow (3)\colon \text{ If } \quad r_R(m) \subset r_R(n), \quad \text{where } \quad m,n \in M \quad \text{with } m \in M \setminus Z(M), \text{ then } \ l_M r_R(n) \subset l_M r_R(m). \text{ Then } Sn \subset l_M r_R(n) \subset l_M r_R(m) = Sm \quad \text{by (2)}.$
- $(3) \Longrightarrow (4): \text{ Let } a \in R \text{ and } m \in M \text{ with } ma \in M \setminus Z(M) \text{ and let } x \in l_M(r_R(m) \cap aR). \text{ Then } r_R(ma) \subset r_R(xa),$ and hence by (3), $Sxa \subset Sma. \text{ Thus } xa = \phi(ma), \quad \phi \in S \text{ and so } (x \phi(m)) \in l_M(a). \text{ It follows that } x \in l_M(a) + Sm. \text{ The other hand is clear.}$
- $(4) \Longrightarrow (5): \quad \text{Put} \quad a = 1_R \quad \text{in} \quad (4), \quad \text{then} \quad \alpha(m) \in l_M r_R(m) = l_R\left(r_R\left(m\right) \cap 1R\right) = l_M\left(1_R\right) + Sm = Sm \text{ because } m1 \in M \setminus Z(M).$
- (5) \Rightarrow (1): Let $m \in M$ with $m \in M \setminus Z(M)$ and let $\phi: mR \to M$ be an R -homomorphism. Then by (5), $\phi(m) \in Sm$ so there exists an R -homomorphism $\hat{\phi} \in S$ is an extension of ϕ to M.

Theorem 3.2: Let M be a nonsingular PQ -injective module and $m, n \in M$ with $m \in M \setminus Z(M)$.

- (1) If mR embeds into nR, then Sm is an image of Sn.
- (2) If nR is an image of mR, then Sn can be embedded into Sm.
- (3) If $mR \simeq nR$, then $Sm \simeq Sn$.

Proof:

(1) Let $\sigma: mR \to nR$ be an R-monomorphism and let $\iota_1: mR \to M$ and $\iota_2: nR \to M$ be the inclusion maps. Since M is nonsingular PQ-injective, there exists an R-homomorphism $\hat{\sigma}: M \to M$ such that $\hat{\sigma}\iota_1 = \iota_2\sigma$. Let $\phi: Sn \to Sm$ defined by $\phi(\alpha(n)) = \alpha\hat{\sigma}(m)$ for every $\alpha \in S$. Since $\phi(\alpha(n)) = \alpha(\hat{\sigma}(m)) = \alpha(\sigma(m)) \in \alpha(nR)$, ϕ is well-defined. It is clear that ϕ is an S-homomorphism.

- Since σ is monic, $r_R(\sigma(m)) \subset r_R(m)$ and $\sigma(m) \in M \setminus Z(M)$ and hence by Lemma 3.1, $Sm \subset S\sigma(m)$. Then $m \in S\sigma(m) \subset \phi(Sn)$.
- (2) By the same notations as in (1), let $\sigma: mR \to nR$ be an R-epimorphism. Write $\sigma(ms) = n$, $s \in R$. Since M is nonsingular PQ-injective, σ can be extended to $\hat{\sigma}: M \to M$ such that $\hat{\sigma}\iota_1 = \iota_2\sigma$. Define $\phi: Sn \to Sm$ by $\phi(\alpha(n)) = \alpha \hat{\sigma}(ms)$ for every $\alpha \in S$. It is clear that ϕ is an S-homomorphism. If $\alpha(n) \in Ker(\phi)$, then $0 = \phi(\alpha(n)) = \alpha \hat{\sigma}(ms) = \alpha(n)$. This shows that ϕ is an S-monomorphism.
- (3) Follows from (1) and (2).

Recall that a right $\,R$ -module $\,M$ is called $\,C2$ [6] if, every submodule of $\,M$ that is isomorphic to a direct summand of $\,M$ is itself a direct summand of $\,M$ is called $\,C3$ if, whenever $\,N$ and $\,K$ are direct summands of $\,M$ with $\,N\cap K=0$ then $\,N\oplus K$ also a direct summand of $\,M$.

Theorem 3.3: Let M = mR be a principle, nonsingular PQ-injective module.

- (1) If $X \simeq e(mR)$, $e^2 = e \in S$ and $e(m) \in M \setminus Z(M)$, then X = g(mR), for some $g^2 = g \in S$.
- (2) If $e(mR) \cap f(mR) = 0$, $e^2 = e \in S$, $f^2 = f \in S$ and $f(m) \in M \setminus Z(M)$, then $e(M) \oplus f(M) = g(M)$, for some $g^2 = g \in S$.

Proof:

- (1) Let $\sigma: e(mR) \to X$ be an R -isomorphism. Write $\sigma e(m) = x$ where $x \in X$ so xR = X. We must show that xR = g(mR), for some $g^2 = g \in S$. Then by Lemma 2.3, we have e(mR) is nonsingular PM -injective and hence xR is also nonsingular PM -injective. Since $\sigma e(m) \in M \setminus Z(M)$, $xR \subset^{\oplus} mR$ Lemma 2.4.
- $\begin{array}{lll} \text{(2) Let} & e(mR) \cap f(mR) = 0, \ e^2 = e \in S, \ f^2 = f \in S & \text{and} & f(m) \in M \setminus Z(M). \end{array} \end{array}$ Then $e(mR) \oplus f(mR) = e(mR) \oplus (1-e)f(mR). \text{ If } (1-e)f(mR) = 0, \text{ then } e(mR) \oplus f(mR) \text{ is a direct summand of } M. \text{ If } (1-e)f(mR) \neq 0, \text{ then } (1-e)f(mR) \approx f(mR), \text{ and hence } (1-e)f(mR) = g(mR) \text{ for some } g^2 = g \in S \text{ by (1)}. \text{ Let } h = e + g ge, \text{ then } h^2 = h \text{ and } e(M) \oplus f(M) = h(M). \end{array}$

 $\label{eq:lemma 3.4: Let M be a nonsingular PQ -injective module and S = End_R(M). If $\alpha \in S$ with $\alpha(M) \subset M \setminus Z(M)$, then $l_S(Ker(\alpha) \cap mR) = l_S(m) + S\alpha$. }$

Proof: Clearly, $l_s(m) + S\alpha \subset l_s(Ker(\alpha) \cap mR)$. Let $\beta \in l_s(Ker(\alpha) \cap mR)$. Then $r_R(\alpha(m)) \subset r_R(\beta(m))$, so $l_M r_R(\beta(m)) \subset l_M r_R(\alpha(m))$. Since $\alpha(m) \in M \setminus Z(M)$, $S\beta(m) \subset l_M r_R(\beta(m)) \subset l_M r_R(\alpha(m)) = S\alpha(m)$ by Lemma 3.1, so $\beta(m) = s\alpha(m)$ for some $s \in S$. It follows that $(\beta - s\alpha) \in l_s(m)$, and hence $\beta \in l_s(m) + S\alpha$.

Following [8], a right R -module M is called a *principal self-generator*, if every element $m \in M$ has the form $m = \gamma(m_1)$ for some $\gamma: M \to mR$. If $uR \neq 0$ is uniform, we call u a *uniform element* of M. We call a right R -module M is a *duo module* if every submodule of M is fully invariant.

Theorem 3.5: Let M be a principal module which is a principal self-generator. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) M is nonsingular PQ-injective.
- (2) $l_s(Ker(\alpha) \cap mR) = l_s(m) + S\alpha$ for all $m \in M$ and $\alpha \in S$ with $\alpha(M) \in M \setminus Z(M)$.
- (3) $l_s(Ker(\alpha)) = S\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in S$ with $\alpha(M) \in M \setminus Z(M)$.
- (4) $\operatorname{Ker}(\alpha) \subset \operatorname{Ker}(\beta)$, where $\alpha, \beta \in S$ with $\alpha(m) \in M \setminus Z(M)$, implies that $S\beta \subset S\alpha$.

Proof:

- $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$: by Lemma 3.4.
- $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$: If $M = m_0 R$, take $m = m_0$ in (2).

- $(3) \Rightarrow (4) : \operatorname{Ker}(\alpha) \subset \operatorname{Ker}(\beta), \text{ then } l_{S}(\operatorname{Ker}(\beta)) \subset l_{S}(\operatorname{Ker}(\alpha)). \text{ It follows that } S\beta \subset l_{S}(\operatorname{Ker}(\beta)) \subset l_{S}(\operatorname{Ker}(\alpha)) = S\alpha.$
- (4) \Rightarrow (1): Let $m \in M \setminus Z(M)$, $\phi: mR \to M$ be an R -homomorphism.

Since M is a principal self-generator, there exists $\beta \in S$ such that $\beta(m_1) = m$, so $\text{Ker}(\beta) \subset \text{Ker}(\phi\beta)$ and $\beta(M) \subset M \setminus Z(M)$. Then by (4), $S\phi\beta \subset S\beta$ hence $\phi\beta = \hat{\phi}\beta$ for some $\hat{\phi} \in S$. This shows that $\hat{\phi}$ is an extension of ϕ .

Theorem 3.6: Let M be a duo, nonsingular PQ-injective module. If u a uniform element of M with $u \in M \setminus Z(M)$, then $M_u = \left\{ \alpha \in S \mid Ker(\alpha) \cap uR \neq 0 \right\}$ is a unique maximal left ideal of S containing $l_S(u)$.

Proof: Since uR is uniform, M_u is a left ideal of S. It is clear that $l_S(u) \subset M_u \neq S$. Let X be a left ideal of S containing $l_S(u)$ and $X \neq S$. If $\alpha \in X - M_u$, then $Ker(\alpha) \cap uR = 0$. Since M is a duo module, $\alpha(u)R \subset M \setminus Z(M)$ and by Lemma 3.4 we have $S = l_S(Ker(\alpha) \cap uR) = l_S(u) + S\alpha \subset X$ a contradiction. Thus $X \subset M_u$.

Definition 3.7: Let M be a right R-module, $S = End_R(M)$. The module M is called *almost nonsingular* PQ-injective if, for each $m \in M \setminus Z(M)$, there exists an S-submodule X_m of M such that $l_M(r_R(m)) = Sm \oplus X_m$ as left S-modules.

Theorem 3.8: Let M be a right R -module, $S = End_R(M)$ and $m \in M \setminus Z(M)$.

- (1) If $\operatorname{Hom}_R(mR, M) = S \oplus Y$ as left S-modules, then $l_M(r_R(m)) = Sm \oplus X$ as left S-modules, where $X = \{f(m) : f \in Y\}$.
- $(2) \quad \text{If} \quad l_M(r_R(m)) \ = \ Sm \oplus X \quad \text{for some} \quad X \subset M \quad \text{as left S modules, then we have} \\ \quad Hom_R(mR,M) = S \oplus Y \quad \text{as left} \quad S \text{ modules, where} \quad Y = \big\{ f \in Hom_R(mR,M) : f(m) \in X \big\}.$
- (3) Sm is a direct summand of $l_M(r_R(m))$ as left S modules if and only if S is a direct summand of $Hom_R(mR,M)$ as left S modules.

Proof: Define $\theta: \operatorname{Hom}_R(mR, M) \to l_M(r_R(m))$ by $\theta(f) = f(m)$ for every $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(mR, M)$. It is obvious that θ is an S-monomorphism. For $x \in l_M(r_R(m))$, define $g: mR \to M$ by g(mr) = xr for every $r \in R$. Since $r_R(m) \subset r_R(x)$, g is well-defined, so it is clear that g is an R-homomorphism. Then $\theta(g) = g(m) = x$. Therefore θ is an S-isomorphism. Let $\alpha(m) \in Sm$. Since $\alpha(m) \in l_M(r_R(m))$, there exists $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(mR, M)$ such that $\theta(\phi) = \alpha(m)$, so $\phi(m) = \alpha(m)$. Define $\hat{\phi}: M \to M$ by $\hat{\phi}(x) = \alpha(x)$ for every $x \in M$. It is clear that $\hat{\phi}$ is an R-homomorphism and is an extension of ϕ . Then $\alpha(m) = \hat{\phi}(m) = \theta(\hat{\phi})$. This shows that $Sm \subset \theta(S)$. The other inclusion is clear. Then $\theta(S) = Sm$ and $X = \theta(Y) = \{f(m): f \in Y\}$. Then the Lemma follows.

Theorem 3.9: The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) M is almost nonsingular PQ-injective.
- (2) There exists an indexed set $\left\{X_m: m \in M\right\}$ of S-submodules of M with the property that if $mR \subset M \setminus Z(M)$, $m \in M$, then $l_M(r_R(m) \cap aR) = (X_{ma}:a)_1 + Sm$ and $(X_{ma}:a)_1 \cap Sm \subset l_M(a)$ for all $a \in R$, where $(X_{ma}:a)_1 = \left\{n \in M: na \in X_{ma}\right\}$ if $ma \neq 0$ and $(X_{ma}:a)_1 = l_M(aR)$ if ma = 0.

Proof:

 $(1) \Longrightarrow (2) \text{ Let } m \in M \setminus Z(M). \text{ Then there exists an } S \text{ -submodule } X_m \text{ of } M \text{ such that } l_M(r_R(m)) = Sm \oplus X_m \text{ as left } S \text{ -modules. Let } a \in R. \text{ If } ma = 0 \text{, then } aR \subset r_R(m) \text{ so } (2) \text{ follows. If } ma \neq 0 \text{, then any } x \in l_M(r_R(m) \cap aR) \text{ we have } r_R(ma) \subset r_R(xa) \text{ and so } xa \in l_M(r_R(xa)) \subset l_M(r_R(ma)) = Sma \oplus X_{ma} \text{ because } ma \in M \setminus Z(M). \text{ Write } xa = \alpha(ma) + y \text{ where } \alpha \in S \text{ and } y \in X_{ma}. \text{ Then } (x - \alpha(m))a = y \in X_{ma}, \text{ so } x - \alpha(m) \in (X_{ma}:a)_l. \text{ It follows that } x \in (X_{ma}:a)_l + Sm.$

This shows that $1_M(r_R(m) \cap aR) \subset (X_{ma}:a)_1 + Sm$. Conversely, it is clear that $Sm \subset 1_M(r_R(m) \cap aR)$. Let $y \in (X_{ma}:a)_1$. Then $ya \in X_{ma} \subset 1_M(r_R(ma))$. If $as \in r_R(m) \cap aR$, then mas = 0 and so yas = 0. Hence $y \in 1_M(r_R(m) \cap aR)$. This shows that $(X_{ma}:a)_1 \subset 1_M(r_R(m) \cap aR)$. Therefore $1_M(r_R(m) \cap aR) = (X_{ma}:a)_1 + Sm$. If $\beta(m) \in (X_{ma}:a)_1 \cap Sm$, then $\beta(m)a \in X_{ma} \cap Sma = 0$. Hence $\beta(m) \in 1_M(a)$.

 $(2) \Longrightarrow (1) \quad \text{Let} \quad m \in M \setminus Z(M). \quad \text{Then there exists an S-submodule} \quad X_m \quad \text{of} \quad M \quad \text{such that} \\ l_M(r_R(m)) = l_M(r_R(m) \cap R) = (X_m:l)_l + Sm \quad \text{and} \quad (X_m:l)_l \cap Sm \subset l_M(l) = 0. \quad \text{Note that} \quad (X_m:l)_l = X_m. \quad \text{Then (1)} \\ \text{follows.}$

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank the referees for their helpful comments. This research is supported by the Faculty of Architecture, Rajamangala University of Technology Thanyaburi.

REFERENCES

- 1. F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, "Rings and Categories of Modules", Graduate Texts in Math.No.13, Springer-verlag, New York, 1992.
- 2. Camillo V., Commutative rings whose principal ideals are annihilators, Portugal. Math., 46(1989), p. 33-37.
- 3. N. V. Dung, D. V. Huynh, P. F. Smith and R. Wisbauer, "Extending Modules", Pitman, London, 1994.
- 4. Lam T. Y., A First Course in Noncommutative Rings, Graduate Texts in Mathematics Vol. 131, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- 5. W. Junchao, Jcp-Injective Rings International Electronic Journal of Algebra, Volume 6 (2009) 1-22.
- 6. S. H. Mohamed and B. J. Muller, "Continuous and Discrete Modules", London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series 14, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1990.
- 7. W. K. Nicholson and M. F. Yousif, Principally injective rings, J. Algebra, 174(1995), 77--93.
- 8. W. K. Nicholson, J. K. Park and M. F. Yousif, *Principally quasi-injective modules*, Comm. Algebra, 27:4 (1999), 1683--1693.
- 9. R. Wisbauer, "Foundations of Module and Ring Theory", Gordon and Breach London, Tokyo e.a., 1991.
- 10. S. Wongwai, *On the endomorphism ring of a semi-injective module*, Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae, Vol.71, 1(2002), pp. 27-33.
- 11. S. Wongwai, Almost Mininjective Rings, Thai Journal of Mathematics, 4(1) (2006), 245-249.
- 12. S. Wongwai, *Small PQ-Principally Injective Modules*, International Journal of Mathematics Archive 3(3), 2012, Page 962 967.
- 13. S. Wongwai and M. Kaewneam, *NPQ-Injective Modules*, International Journal of Mathematics Archive 7(8), 2016, 148 154.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared.

[Copy right © 2016. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]