

## CERTAIN NEW CLASSES CONTAINING COMBINATION OF RUSCHEWEYH DERIVATIVE AND A NEW GENERALIZED MULTIPLIER DIFFERENTIAL OPERATOR

HARSHITH S R<sup>\*1</sup>, KISHORE P V<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Palmpower India Handheld Applications Private Limited, #15, Yamuna, Goodwill Apartments,  
III Main, Attiguppe, Vijayanagar, Bengaluru-560 040, Karnataka, India.

<sup>2</sup>Citrix R&D India Pvt. Ltd, #33, Ulsoor Road, Bengaluru- 560042, Karnataka, India.

(Received On: 12-12-16; Revised & Accepted On: 10-01-17)

### ABSTRACT

*Certain new classes containing the linear operator obtained as a linear combination of Ruscheweyh derivative and a new generalized multiplier differential operator have been considered. Sharp results concerning coefficients, distortion theorems of functions belonging to these classes are discussed.*

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 30C45, 30A20, 34A40.

**Key words and phrases:** Analytic function, differential operator, multiplier transformation.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Denote by  $U$  the open unit disc of the complex plane,  $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ . Let  $H(U)$  be the space of holomorphic functions in  $U$ . Let  $A$  denote the family of functions in  $H(U)$  of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k. \quad (1.1)$$

In [19], S R Swamy has introduced the following new generalized multiplier differential operator (See [17] also).

**Definition 1.1:** Let  $m \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$ ,  $\gamma \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha$  a real number such that  $\alpha + \beta > 0$ . Then for  $f \in A$ , a new generalized multiplier operator  $I_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^m$  was defined by

$$I_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^0 f(z) = f(z), I_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^1 f(z) = \frac{\alpha f(z) + \beta z f'(z) + \gamma z^2 f''(z)}{\alpha + \beta}, \dots, I_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^m f(z) = I_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(I_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^{m-1} f(z)).$$

**Remark 1.2:** Observe that for  $f(z)$  given by (1.1), we have

$$I_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^m f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, m) a_k z^k, \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$\Phi_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, m) = \left( \frac{\alpha + k\beta + k(k-1)\gamma}{\alpha + \beta} \right)^m. \quad (1.3)$$

**Corresponding Author: Harshith S R<sup>\*1</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Palmpower India Handheld Applications Private Limited, #15, Yamuna, Goodwill Apartments, III  
Main, Attiguppe, Vijayanagar, Bengaluru-560 040, Karnataka, India.

We note that:

- i)  $I_{\alpha,\beta,0}^m f(z) = I_{\alpha,\beta}^m f(z)$  ([18])
- ii)  $I_{1-\beta,\beta,0}^m f(z) = D_{\beta}^m f(z)$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$  ([1]),
- iii)  $I_{l+1-\beta,\beta,0}^m f(z) = I_{l,\beta}^m f(z)$ ,  $l > -1$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$  ([3] and it has been considered for  $l \geq 0$ ) and
- iv)  $I_{1-\lambda+\mu,\lambda-\mu,\lambda\mu}^m f(z) = D_{\lambda,\mu}^m f(z)$ ,  $\lambda > (\mu/(\mu+1))$ ,  $\mu \geq 0$  ([7] and they have examined for  $\lambda \geq \mu \geq 0$ ).

**Remark 1.3:** i)  $I_{1-\lambda+\mu,\lambda-\mu,\lambda\mu}^m f(z) = D_{\lambda,\mu}^m f(z)$ ,  $\lambda \geq \mu \geq 0$ , was also studied by Raducanu in [8]. ii)  $D_1^m f(z)$  was introduced by Salagean [10] and was considered for  $m \geq 0$  by Bhoosnurmath and Swamy in [2].

**Definition 1.4:** ([9]) For  $m \in N_0$ ,  $f \in A$ , the operator  $R^m$  is defined by  $R^m : A \rightarrow A$ ,

$$R^0 f(z) = f(z), R^1 f(z) = z f'(z), \dots, (m+1)R^{m+1} f(z) = z(R^m f(z))' + mR^m f(z), z \in U.$$

**Remark 1.5:** If  $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in A$ , then  $R^m f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Omega_k(m) a_k z^k$ ,  $z \in U$ , where

$$\Omega_k(m) = \frac{(m+k-1)!}{m!(k-1)!}. \quad (1.4)$$

We now state the following new operator, introduced by us in [6]:

**Definition 1.6:** Let  $f \in A$ ,  $m \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}$ ,  $\delta \geq 0$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$ ,  $\gamma \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha$  a real number such that

$\alpha + \beta > 0$ . Denote by  $RI_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}^m$ , the operator given by  $RI_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}^m : A \rightarrow A$ ,

$$RI_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}^m f(z) = (1-\delta)R^m f(z) + \delta I_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}^m f(z), z \in U.$$

Clearly i)  $RI_{\alpha,\beta,0,\delta}^m = RI_{\alpha,\beta,\delta}^m$  [13], [14], [15] and [16], ii)  $RI_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,0}^m = R^m$  [10] and iii)  $RI_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,1}^m = I_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}^m$  [19].

**Remark 1.7:** If  $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ , then from (1.2) and Remark 1.4, we have

$$RI_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}^m f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \{(1-\delta)\Omega_k(m) + \delta\Phi_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, m)\} a_k z^k, z \in U,$$

where  $\Phi_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, m)$  and  $\Omega_k(m)$  are as defined in (1.3) and (1.4), respectively.

Motivated by a paper of Swamy [12] we now introduce new classes, shown below:

**Definition 1.8:** Let  $f \in A$ ,  $m \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}$ ,  $\delta \geq 0$ ,  $\rho \in [0,1)$ ,  $\sigma \in (0,1]$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$ ,  $\gamma \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha$  a real number such that  $\alpha + \beta > 0$ . Then  $f(z)$  is in the class  $S_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}^m(\sigma, \rho)$  if and only if

$$\left| \frac{\frac{z(RI_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}^m f(z))'}{RI_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}^m f(z)} - 1}{\frac{z(RI_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}^m f(z))'}{RI_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}^m f(z)} + 1 - 2\rho} \right| < \sigma, z \in U. \quad (1.5)$$

**Definition 1.9:** Let  $f \in A$ ,  $m \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}$ ,  $\delta \geq 0$ ,  $\rho \in [0,1)$ ,  $\sigma \in (0,1]$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$ ,  $\gamma \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha$  a real number such that  $\alpha + \beta > 0$ . Then  $f(z)$  is in the class  $K_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}^m(\sigma, \rho)$  if and only if

$$\left| \frac{\frac{[z^2(RI_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}^m f(z))']}{(zRI_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}^m f(z))'} - 1}{\frac{[z^2(RI_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}^m f(z))']}{(zRI_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}^m f(z))'} + 1 - 2\rho} \right| < \sigma, z \in U. \quad (1.6)$$

**Definition 1.10:** Let  $f \in A, m \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}, \delta \geq 0, \rho \in [0,1), \sigma \in (0,1], \beta \geq 0, \gamma \geq 0, \alpha$  a real number such that  $\alpha + \beta > 0$ . Then  $f(z)$  is in the class  $C_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m(\sigma, \rho)$  if and only if

$$\left| \frac{\frac{[z(RI_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m f(z))']}{(RI_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m f(z))} - 1}{\frac{[z(RI_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m f(z))']}{(RI_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m f(z))} + 1 - 2\rho} \right| < \sigma, z \in U. \quad (1.7)$$

**Definition 1.11:** Let  $f \in A, m \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}, \lambda \geq 0, \delta \geq 0, \rho \in [0,1), \sigma \in (0,1], \beta \geq 0, \gamma \geq 0, \alpha$  a real number such that  $\alpha + \beta > 0$ . Then  $f(z)$  is in the class  $P_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, \delta}^m(\sigma, \rho)$  if and only if

$$\left| \frac{(1-\lambda)\frac{RI_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m f(z)}{z} + \lambda(RI_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m f(z))' - 1}{(1-\lambda)\frac{RI_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m f(z)}{z} + \lambda(RI_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m f(z))' + 1 - 2\rho} \right| < \sigma, z \in U. \quad (1.8)$$

**Definition 1.12:** Let  $f \in A, m \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}, \lambda \geq 0, \delta \geq 0, \rho \in [0,1), \sigma \in (0,1], \beta \geq 0, \gamma \geq 0, \alpha$  a real number such that  $\alpha + \beta > 0$ . Then  $f(z)$  is in the class  $H_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, \delta}^m(\sigma, \rho)$  if and only if

$$\left| \frac{(RI_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m f(z))' + \lambda z(RI_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m f(z))'' - 1}{(RI_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m f(z))' + \lambda z(RI_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m f(z))'' + 1 - 2\rho} \right| < \sigma, z \in U. \quad (1.9)$$

Let  $T$  denote the subclass of  $A$  consisting of functions whose non-zero coefficients, from second on, are negative; that is, an analytic function  $f$  is in  $T$  if and only if it can be expressed as

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, a_k \geq 0, z \in U.$$

If  $f \in T$ , then  $RI_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \zeta_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m) a_k z^k$ , where

$$\zeta_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m) = (1 - \delta)\Omega_k(m) + \delta\Phi_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, m), \quad (1.10)$$

$\Omega_k(m)$  and  $\Phi_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, m)$  are as defined in (1.3) and (1.4), respectively. We denote by  $TS_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m(\sigma, \rho)$ ,  $TK_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m(\sigma, \rho)$ ,  $TC_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m(\sigma, \rho)$ ,  $TP_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, \delta}^m(\sigma, \rho)$  and  $TH_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, \delta}^m(\sigma, \rho)$ , the classes of functions  $f(z) \in T$  satisfying (1.5), (1.6) (1.7), (1.8) and (1.9) respectively.

In this paper, sharp results concerning coefficients and distortion theorems for the classes  $TS_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m(\sigma, \rho)$ ,  $TK_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m(\sigma, \rho)$ ,  $TC_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m(\sigma, \rho)$ ,  $TP_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, \delta}^m(\sigma, \rho)$  and  $TH_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, \delta}^m(\sigma, \rho)$  are determined. Throughout this paper, unless otherwise mentioned we shall assume that  $\zeta_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)$  is as defined in (1.10).

## 2. COEFFICIENT BOUNDS

In this section we study the characterization properties for functions in the classes  $TS_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m(\sigma, \rho)$ ,  $TK_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m(\sigma, \rho)$ ,  $TC_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m(\sigma, \rho)$ ,  $TP_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, \delta}^m(\sigma, \rho)$  and  $TH_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, \delta}^m(\sigma, \rho)$  are determined, following the papers of V. P. Gupta and P. K. Jain [4], [5] and H. Silverman[11].

**Theorem 2.1:** A function  $f$  is in  $TS_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m(\sigma, \rho)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \{k - 1 + \sigma(k + 1 - 2\rho)\} \zeta_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m) a_k \leq 2\sigma(1 - \rho). \quad (2.1)$$

The result is sharp.

**Proof:** Suppose  $f$  satisfies (2.1). Then for  $|z| < 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| z(RI_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m f(z))' - RI_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m f(z) \right| - \sigma \left| z(RI_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m f(z))' + (1-2\rho)RI_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m f(z) \right| \\ &= \left| -\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-1)\zeta_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)a_k z^k \right| - \sigma \left| 2(1-\rho) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k+1-2\rho)\zeta_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)a_k z^k \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-1)\zeta_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)a_k - 2\sigma(1-\rho) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sigma(k+1-2\rho)\zeta_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)a_k \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \{(k-1+\sigma(k+1-2\rho))\zeta_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)a_k - 2\sigma(1-\rho)\} < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by using the maximum modulus theorem and (1.5),  $f \in TS_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m(\sigma, \rho)$ .

For the converse, assume that

$$\left| \frac{\frac{z(RI_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m f(z))' - 1}{RI_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m f(z)}}{\frac{z(RI_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m f(z))' + 1-2\rho}{RI_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m f(z)}} \right| = \left| \frac{-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-1)\zeta_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)a_k z^k}{2\sigma(1-\rho) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sigma(k+1-2\rho)\zeta_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)a_k z^k} \right| < \sigma, z \in U.$$

Since  $\operatorname{Re}(z) \leq |z|$  for all  $z \in U$ , we obtain

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-1)\zeta_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)a_k z^k}{2\sigma(1-\rho) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sigma(k+1-2\rho)\zeta_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)a_k z^k} \right) < \sigma. \quad (2.2)$$

Choose values of  $z$  on the real axis so that  $(z(RI_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m f(z))' / RI_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m f(z))$  is real. Upon clearing the denominator in (2.2) and letting  $z \rightarrow 1$  through real values, we have the desired inequality (2.1). The function

$$f_1(z) = z - \frac{2\sigma(1-\rho)}{(k-1+\sigma(k+1-2\rho))\zeta_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)} z^k, k \geq 2, \quad (2.3)$$

is an extremal function for the theorem.

**Theorem 2.2:** i) A function  $f$  is in  $TK_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m(\sigma, \rho)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k+1)(k-1+\sigma(k+1-2\rho))\zeta_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)a_k \leq 4\sigma(1-\rho). \quad (2.4)$$

ii) A function  $f$  is in  $TC_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m(\sigma, \rho)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(k-1+\sigma(k+1-2\rho))\zeta_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)a_k \leq 2\sigma(1-\rho). \quad (2.5)$$

The results (2.4) and (2.5) are sharp.

The proof of Theorem 2.2 is similar to that of Theorem 2.1 and so omitted. Extremal functions are given by

$$f_2(z) = z - \frac{4\sigma(1-\rho)}{(k+1)(k-1+\sigma(k+1-2\rho))\zeta_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)} z^k, k \geq 2, \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$f_3(z) = z - \frac{2\sigma(1-\rho)}{k(k-1+\sigma(k+1-2\rho))\zeta_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)} z^k, k \geq 2, \quad (2.7)$$

respectively.

**Theorem 2.3:** i) A function  $f(z) \in TP_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, \delta}^m(\sigma, \rho)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1+\lambda(k-1))(1+\sigma)\zeta_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)a_k \leq 2\sigma(1-\rho). \quad (2.8)$$

ii) A function  $f(z) \in TH_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, \delta}^m(\sigma, \rho)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(1 + \lambda(k-1))(1 + \sigma)\zeta_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)a_k \leq 2\sigma(1 - \rho). \quad (2.9)$$

The results (2.8) and (2.9) are sharp.

The proof of Theorem 2.3 is similar to that of Theorem 2.1 and so omitted. Extremal functions are given by

$$f_4(z) = z - \frac{2\sigma(1 - \rho)}{(1 + \lambda(k-1))(1 + \sigma)\zeta_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)} z^k, k \geq 2, \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$f_5(z) = z - \frac{2\sigma(1 - \rho)}{k(1 + \lambda(k-1))(1 + \sigma)\zeta_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)} z^k, k \geq 2, \quad (2.11)$$

respectively.

**Corollary 2.4:** i) If  $f \in TS_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m(\sigma, \rho)$  then  $a_k \leq \frac{2\sigma(1 - \rho)}{(k-1 + \sigma(k+1-2\rho))\zeta_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)}, k \geq 2$ , with

equality only for the functions of the form  $f_1(z)$ , which is as defined in (2.3).

ii) If  $f \in TK_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m(\sigma, \rho)$ , then  $a_k \leq \frac{4\sigma(1 - \rho)}{(k+1)(k-1 + \sigma(k+1-2\rho))\zeta_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)}, k \geq 2$ , with

equality only for the functions of the form  $f_2(z)$ , which is as defined in (2.6).

iii) If  $f \in TC_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m(\sigma, \rho)$ , then  $a_k \leq \frac{2\sigma(1 - \rho)}{k(k-1 + \sigma(k+1-2\rho))\zeta_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)}, k \geq 2$ , with

equality only for the functions of the form  $f_3(z)$ , which is as defined in (2.7).

iv) If  $f(z) \in TP_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, \delta}^m(\sigma, \rho)$ , then  $a_k \leq \frac{2\sigma(1 - \rho)}{(1 + \lambda(k-1))(1 + \sigma)\zeta_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)}, k \geq 2$ , with

equality only for the functions of the form  $f_4(z)$ , which is as defined in (2.10).

v) If  $f(z) \in TH_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, \delta}^m(\sigma, \rho)$ , then  $a_k \leq \frac{2\sigma(1 - \rho)}{k(1 + \lambda(k-1))(1 + \sigma)\zeta_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)}, k \geq 2$ , with

equality only for the functions of the form  $f_5(z)$ , which is as defined in (2.11).

### 3. DISTORTION THEOREMS

**Theorem 3.1:** If a function  $f(z) \in T$  is in  $TS_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m(\sigma, \rho)$  then

$$|f(z)| \geq |z| - \frac{2\sigma(1 - \rho)}{(1 + \sigma(3-2\rho))\zeta_2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)} |z|^2, z \in U$$

and

$$|f(z)| \leq |z| + \frac{2\sigma(1 - \rho)}{(1 + \sigma(3-2\rho))\zeta_2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)} |z|^2, z \in U,$$

with equalities for  $f(z) = z - \frac{2\sigma(1 - \rho)}{(1 + \sigma(3-2\rho))\zeta_2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)} z^2, (z \pm r)$ .

**Proof:** In view of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$(1 + \sigma(3-2\rho))\zeta_2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-1 + \sigma(k+1-2\rho))\zeta_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)a_k \leq 2\sigma(1 - \rho).$$

Thus  $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \leq \frac{2\sigma(1 - \rho)}{(1 + \sigma(3-2\rho))\zeta_2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)}$ . So we get for  $z \in U$ ,

$$|f(z)| \leq |z| + |z|^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \leq |z| + \frac{\sigma(1-\rho)}{(1+\sigma(3-2\rho))\zeta_2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)} |z|^2.$$

On the other hand

$$|f(z)| \geq |z| - |z|^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \geq |z| - \frac{2\sigma(1-\rho)}{(1+\sigma(3-2\rho))\zeta_2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)} |z|^2.$$

**Theorem 3.2:** i) If a function  $f(z) \in T$  is in  $T\ell_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m(\sigma, \rho)$  then

$$|f(z)| \geq |z| - \frac{4\sigma(1-\rho)}{3(1+\sigma(3-2\rho))\zeta_2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)} |z|^2, z \in U$$

and

$$|f(z)| \leq |z| + \frac{4\sigma(1-\rho)}{3(1+\sigma(3-2\rho))\zeta_2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)} |z|^2, z \in U.$$

ii) If a function  $f(z) \in T$  is in  $T\Re_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^m(\sigma, \rho)$  then

$$|f(z)| \geq |z| - \frac{\sigma(1-\rho)}{(1+\sigma(3-2\rho))\zeta_2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)} |z|^2, z \in U$$

and

$$|f(z)| \leq |z| + \frac{\sigma(1-\rho)}{(1+\sigma(3-2\rho))\zeta_2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)} |z|^2, z \in U.$$

The proof of Theorem 3.2 is similar to that of Theorem 3.1.

**Remark 3.3:** The bounds of Theorem 3.2 are sharp since the equalities are attained for the

functions  $f(z) = z - \frac{4\sigma(1-\rho)}{3(1+\sigma(3-2\rho))\zeta_2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)} z^2$  ( $z = \pm r$ ) and

$$f(z) = z - \frac{\sigma(1-\rho)}{(1+\sigma(3-2\rho))\zeta_2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)} z^2$$
 ( $z = \pm r$ ), respectively

**Theorem 3.4:** i) If a function  $f(z) \in T$  is in  $TP_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, \delta}^m(\sigma, \rho)$  then

$$|f(z)| \geq |z| - \frac{2\sigma(1-\rho)}{(1+\lambda)(1+\sigma)\zeta_2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)} |z|^2, z \in U$$

and

$$|f(z)| \leq |z| + \frac{2\sigma(1-\rho)}{(1+\lambda)(1+\sigma)\zeta_2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)} |z|^2, z \in U.$$

ii) If a function  $f(z) \in T$  is in  $TH_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, \delta}^m(\sigma, \rho)$  then

$$|f(z)| \geq |z| - \frac{\sigma(1-\rho)}{(1+\lambda)(1+\sigma)\zeta_2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)} |z|^2, z \in U$$

and

$$|f(z)| \leq |z| + \frac{\sigma(1-\rho)}{(1+\lambda)(1+\sigma)\zeta_2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)} |z|^2, z \in U.$$

The proof of Theorem 3.2 is similar to that of Theorem 3.1.

**Remark 3.5:** The bounds of Theorem 3.2 are sharp since the equalities are attained for the functions

$$f(z) = z - \frac{2\sigma(1-\rho)}{(1+\lambda)(1+\sigma)\zeta_2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)} z^2$$
 ( $z = \pm r$ ) and

$$f(z) = z - \frac{\sigma(1-\rho)}{(1+\lambda)(1+\sigma)\zeta_2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, m)} z^2$$
 ( $z = \pm r$ ), respectively

## REFERENCES

1. F. M. Al-Oboudi, On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 27(2004), 1429-1436.
2. S. S. Bhoosnurmath and S. R. Swamy, On certain classes of analytic functions, Soochow J. Math., 20 (1) (1994), 1-9.
3. A. Catas, On certain class of p-valent functions defined by new multiplier transformations, Proceedings book of the an international symposium on geometric function theory and applications, August, 20-24, 2007, TC Istanbul Kultur Univ., Turkey, 241-250.
4. V. P. Gupta and P. K. Jain, Certain classes of univalent functions with negative coefficients, Bull .Austral. Math. Soc., 14(1976),409-416.
5. V. P. Gupta and P. K. Jain, Certain classes of univalent functions with negative coefficients II, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 15(1976),467- 473.
6. Harshith S. R and Kishore P. V, New classes of analytic functions involving generalized linear operator, American International Journal of Research in Science, Technology, Engineering & Mathematics, 16(2), September-November, 2016, 153-159.
7. D. Raducanu and H. Orhan, Subclasses of analytic functions defined by a generalized differential operator, Int. J. Math. Anal., 4(1-2)(2010), 1-15.
8. D. Raducanu. On a subclass of univalent functions defined by a generalized differential operator, Math. Reports, 13(63), 2(2011), 197-203.
9. St. Ruscheweyh, New criteria for univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 49(1975), 109-115.
10. G. St. Salagean, Subclasses of univalent functions, Proc. Fifth Rou. Fin. Semin. Buch. Complex Anal., Lect. notes in Math., Springer -Verlag, Berlin, 1013(1983), 362-372.
11. H. Silverman, Univalent functions with negative coefficients, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 51(1) (1975), 109-116.
12. S.R.Swamy, Certain new classes containing combination of Ruscheweyh derivative and a new generalized multiplier differential operator, Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 11(1), (2016), 29-37.
13. S.R.Swamy, New classes containing combination of Ruscheweyh derivative and a new generalized multiplier differential operator, American International Journal of Research in Science, Technology, Engineering & Mathematics, 12(1), September-November, 2015, 74-78.
14. S.R. Swamy, New classes containing Ruscheweyh derivative and a new generalized multiplier differential operator, American International Journal of Research in Science, Technology, Engineering & Mathematics, 11(1) June-August, 2015, 65-71.
15. S.R. Swamy, Corrigendum of the paper: "New classes containing Ruscheweyh derivative and a new generalized multiplier differential operator, American International Journal of Research in Science, Technology, Engineering & Mathematics, 11(1) June-August, (2015),65-71", published in ResearchGate, (2015).
16. S.R. Swamy, On analytic functions defined by a new generalized multiplier differential operator and Ruscheweyh derivative, Inter. J. Math. Arch., 6(7) (2015), 168-177.
17. S. R. Swamy, On analytic functions defined by a new generalized multiplier differential operator, J. Global Res. Math. Arch., 1(5) (2013), 8-17.
18. S. R. Swamy, Inclusion properties of certain subclasses of analytic functions, Int. Math. Forum, 7(36) (2012), 1751-1760.
19. S. R. Swamy, On univalent functions defined by a new generalized multiplier differential operator, J. Math. Computer Sci., 2(5) (2012), 1233-1240.

**Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared.**

**[Copy right © 2016. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]**