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#### Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the uniqueness problem of $q$-shift difference polynomials sharing a small functions. With the notion of weakly weighted sharing and relaxed weighted sharing we extend some well known previous results.


## 1. INTRODUCTION, DEFINITIONS AND RESULTS

By a meromorphic function we shall always mean a meromorphic function in the complex plane. Let k be a positive integer or infinity and $a \in C \cup\{\infty\}$. Set $E(a, f)=\{z: f(z)-a=0\}$, where a zero point with multiplicity $k$ is counted k times in the set. If these zeros points are only counted once, then we denote the set by $\bar{E}(a, f)$. Let $f$ and $g$ be two nonconstant meromorphic functions. If $E(a, f)=E(a, g)$, then we say that $f$ and $g$ share the value a CM; if $\bar{E}(a, f)=\bar{E}(a, g)$, then we say that $f$ and $g$ share the value a IM. We denote by $E_{k)}(a, f)$ the set of all a-points of $f$ with multiplicities not exceeding $k$, where an a-point is counted according to its multiplicity. Also we denote by $\bar{E}_{k)}(a, f)$ the set of distinct a-points of $f$ with multiplicities not greater than $k$. It is assumed that the reader is familiar with the notations of Nevanlinna theory such as $T(r, f), m(r, f), N(r, f), \bar{N}(r, f), S(r, f)$ and so on, that can be found, for instance, in [4], [12]. We denote by $N_{k)}\left(r, \frac{1}{(f-a)}\right)$ the counting function for zeros of $f-a$ with multiplicity less or equal to $k$, and by $\bar{N}_{k)}\left(r, \frac{1}{(f-a)}\right)$ the corresponding one for which multiplicity is not counted. Let $N_{(k}\left(r, \frac{1}{(f-a)}\right)$ be the counting function for zeros of $f-a$ with multiplicity atleast $k$ and $\bar{N}_{(k}\left(r, \frac{1}{(f-a)}\right)$ the corresponding one for which multiplicity is not counted.

Set

$$
N_{k}\left(r, \frac{1}{(f-a)}\right)=\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)+\cdots+\bar{N}_{(k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) .
$$

Let $N_{E}(r, a ; f, g)\left(\bar{N}_{E}(r, a ; f, g)\right)$ be the counting function (reduced counting function) of all common zeros of $f-a$ and $g-a$ with the same multiplicities and $N_{0}(r, a ; f, g)\left(\bar{N}_{0}(r, a ; f, g)\right)$ the counting function (reduced counting function) of all common zeros of $f-a$ and $g-a$ ignoring multiplicities. If

$$
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g-a}\right)-2 N_{E}(r, a ; f, g)=S(r, f)+S(r, g),
$$

then we say that $f$ and $g$ share $a$ "CM". On the other hand, if

$$
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g-a}\right)-2 \bar{N}_{0}(r, a ; f, g)=S(r, f)+S(r, g)
$$

then we say that $f$ and $g$ share $a$ "IM".
We now explain in the following definition the notion of weakly weighted sharing which was introduced by Lin and Lin [8].

Definition 1 ([8]): Let $f$ and $g$ share $a$ "IM" and $k$ be a positive integer or $\infty . \bar{N}_{k)}^{E}(r, a ; f, g)$ denotes the reduced counting function of those $a$-points of $f$ whose multiplicities are equal to the corresponging a-points of $g$, and both of their multiplicities are not greater than $k$.
$\bar{N}_{(k}^{0}(r, a ; f, g)$ denotes the reduced counting function of those a-points of $f$ which are a-points of $g$, and both of their multiplicities are not less than $k$.

Definition 2 ([8]): For $a \in C \cup\{\infty\}$, if $k$ is a positive integer or $\infty$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \bar{N}_{k)}\left(r, \frac{1}{(f-a)}\right)-\bar{N}_{k)}^{E}(r, a ; f, g)=S(r, f), \\
& \\
& \bar{N}_{k)}\left(r, \frac{1}{(g-a)}\right)-\bar{N}_{k)}^{E}(r, a ; f, g)=S(r, g), \\
& \\
& \bar{N}_{(k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{(f-a)}\right)-\bar{N}_{(k+1}^{0}(r, a ; f, g)=S(r, f), \\
& \\
& \bar{N}_{(k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{(g-a)}\right)-\bar{N}_{(k+1}^{0}(r, a ; f, g)=S(r, g), \\
& \text { or of } k=0 \text { and } \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)-\bar{N}_{0}(r, a ; f, g)=S(r, f), \quad \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g-a}\right)-\bar{N}_{0}(r, a ; f, g)=S(r, g),
\end{aligned}
$$

then we say $f$ and $g$ weakly share $a$ with weight $k$. Here we write $f, g$ share " $(a, k)$ " to mean that $f, g$ weakly share $a$ with weight $k$.

Now it is clear from Definition 2 that weakly weighted sharing is a scaling between IM and CM.
Recently, A. Banerjee and S. Mukherjee [1] introduced another sharing notion which is also a scaling between IM and CM but weaker than weakly weighted sharing.

Definition 3 ([1]): We denote by $\bar{N}(r, a ; f|=p ; g|=q)$ the reduced counting function of common a-points of $f$ and $g$ with multiplicities $p$ and $q$, respectively.

Definition 4 ([1]): Let $f, g$ share $a$ "IM". Also let $k$ be a positive integer or $\infty$ and $a \in C \cup\{\infty\}$. If

$$
\sum_{p, q \leq K} \bar{N}(r, a ; f|=p ; g|=q)=S(r)
$$

then we say $f$ and $g$ share a with weight $k$ in a relaxed manner. Here we write $f$ and $g$ share $(a, k)^{*}$ to mean that $f$ and $g$ share a with weight $k$ in a relaxed manner.
W.K Hayman proposed the following well-known conjecture in [5].

Hayman's conjecture: If an entire function $f$ satisfies $f^{n} f^{\prime} \neq 1$ for all positive integers $n \in N$, then $f$ is a constant.
It has been verified by Hayman himself in [6] for the case $n>1$ and Clunie in [3] for the case $n \geq 1$, respectively.
It is well-known that if $f$ and $g$ share four distinct values CM, then $f$ is Mobius transformation of $g$. In 2011, Liu and Cao [10], have obtained results on the uniqueness and value distribution of $q$-shift difference polynomials. Some of them are stated below.

Theorem A. [10, Theorem 1.1]: Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental meromorphic (resp. entire) function with zero order, and let $m, n$ be positive integers and $a, q$ be non-zero complex constants. If $n \geq 6$ (resp. $n \geq 2$ ), then $f^{n}(z)\left(f^{m}(z)-a\right) f(q z+c)-\alpha(z)$ has infinitely many zeros, where $\alpha(z)$ is a non-zero small function with respect to $f$. In particular, if $f(z)$ is a transcendental entire function and $\alpha(z)$ is a non-zero rational function, then $m$ and $n$ can be any positive integers.

Theorem B [10, Theorem 1.5]: Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be a transcendental entire functions with zero order. If $n \geq m+5$, and $f^{n}(z)\left(f^{m}(z)-a\right) f(q z+c)$ and $g^{n}(z)\left(g^{m}(z)-a\right) g(q z+c)$ share a non-zero polynomial $p(z)$ CM, then $f(z) \equiv g(z)$.

In 2015, on the basis of Theorems A and B, Q. Zhao and J. Zhang [14] study the k-th derivative of q-shift difference polynomials and proved the following results.

Theorem C: Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental meromorphic function with zero order, and let $n, k$ be positive integers. If $n>k+5$, then $\left(f^{n}(z) f(q z+c)\right)^{(k)}-1$ has infinitely many zeros.

Theorem D: Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental entire function with zero order, and let $n, k$ be positive integers, then $\left(f^{n}(z) f(q z+c)\right)^{(k)}-1$ has infinitely many zeros.

Theorem E: Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental entire functions with zero order, and let $n, k$ be positive integers. If $n>2 k+5$, and $\left(f^{n}(z) f(q z+c)\right)^{(k)}$ and $\left.g^{n}(z) g(q z+c)\right)^{(k)}$ share z CM, then $f=t g$ for a constant $t$ with $t^{n+1}=1$.

Theorem F: Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental entire functions with zero order, and let $n, k$ be positive integers. If $n>2 k+5$, and $\left(f^{n}(z) f(q z+c)\right)^{(k)}$ and $\left.g^{n}(z) g(q z+c)\right)^{(k)}$ share 1 CM , then $f=t g$ for a constant $t$ with $t^{n+1}=1$.

When sharing a single value IM, and obtain the following theorems.
Theorem G: Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be transcendental entire functions with zero order, and let $n, k$ be positive integer. If $n>5 k+11$, and $\left(f^{n}(z) f(q z+c)\right)^{(k)}$ and $\left.g^{n}(z) g(q z+c)\right)^{(k)}$ share z IM, then $f=t g$ for a constant $t$ with $t^{n+1}=1$.

Theorem H: Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be transcendental entire functions with zero order, and let $n, k$ be positive integer. If $n>5 k+11$, and $\left(f^{n}(z) f(q z+c)\right)^{(k)}$ and $\left.g^{n}(z) g(q z+c)\right)^{(k)}$ share 1 IM , then $f=t g$ for a constant $t$ with $t^{n+1}=1$.
In this paper by introducing the small function $\alpha(z)$, we prove the following results.
Theorem 1: Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be a transcendental entire functions of finite order, and $\alpha(z)$ be a small function with respect to both $f(z)$ and $g(z)$. Suppose that $c$ is a non-zero complex constant and $n \geq 4 k+m+6$ is an integer. If $\left(f^{n}(z)\left(f^{m}(z)-1\right) f(q z+c)\right)^{(k)}$ and $\left(g^{n}(z)\left(g^{m}(z)-1\right) g(q z+c)\right)^{(k)}$ share " $(\alpha(z), 2)$ ", then $f(z) \equiv g(z)$.

Theorem 2: Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be a transcendental entire functions of finite order, and $\alpha(z)$ be a small function with respect to both $f(z)$ and $g(z)$. Suppose that $c$ is a non-zero complex constant and $n>6 k+3 m+8$ is an integer. If $\left(f^{n}(z)\left(f^{m}(z)-1\right) f(q z+c)\right)^{(k)}$ and $\left(g^{n}(z)\left(g^{m}(z)-1\right) g(q z+c)\right)^{(k)}$ share $(\alpha(z), 2)^{*}$, then $f(z) \equiv g(z)$.

Without the notions of weakly weighted sharing and relaxed weighted sharing we prove the following theorem.
Theorem 3: Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be a transcendental entire functions of finite order, and $\alpha(z)$ be a small function with respect to both $f(z)$ and $g(z)$. Suppose that $c$ is a non-zero complex constant and $n>10 k+5 m+12$ is an integer. If $\overline{\mathrm{E}}_{2}\left(\alpha(z), f^{n}(z)\left(f^{m}(z)-1\right) f(q z+c)\right)=\overline{\mathrm{E}}_{2}\left(\alpha(z), g^{n}(z)\left(g^{m}(z)-1\right) g(q z+c)\right)$ then $f(z) \equiv g(z)$.

## 2. LEMMAS

In this section, we present some lemmas which play an important role in the proof of the main results. We will denote by $H$ the following function;

$$
H=\left(\frac{F^{\prime \prime}}{F^{\prime}}-\frac{2 F^{\prime}}{F-1}\right)-\left(\frac{G^{\prime \prime}}{G^{\prime}}-\frac{2 G^{\prime}}{G-1}\right)
$$

Lemma 1 ([1]): $H$ be defined as above. If $F$ and $G$ share " $(1,2)$ " and $H \not \equiv 0$, then

$$
T(r, F) \leq N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+N_{2}(r, F)+N_{2}(r, G)-\sum_{p=3}^{\infty} \bar{N}_{(p}\left(r, \frac{G}{G^{\prime}}\right)+S(r, F)+S(r, G)
$$

and the same inequality holds for $T(r, G)$.
Lemma 2 ([1]): Let $H$ be defined as above. If $F$ and $G$ share (1,2)* and $H \not \equiv 0$, then

$$
T(r, F) \leq N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+N_{2}(r, F)+N_{2}(r, G)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+\bar{N}(r, F)-m\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)+S(r, F)+S(r, G)
$$

and the same inequality holds for $T(r, G)$.
Lemma 3 ([13]): Let $H$ be defined as above. If $H \equiv 0$ and

$$
\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+\bar{N}(r, F)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+\bar{N}(r, G)}{T(r)}<1, r \in I
$$

where $T(r)=$ maxit $T(r, F), T(r, G)\}$ and $I$ is a set with infinite linear measure then $F \equiv G$ or $F G \equiv 1$.
Lemma 4 ([2]): Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function in the complex plane of finite order $\sigma(f)$, and let $\eta$ be a fixed non-zero complex number. Then for each $\epsilon>0$, one had

$$
T(r, f(z+\eta))=T(r, f(z))+O\left(r^{\sigma(f)-1+\epsilon}\right)+O(\log r)
$$

Lemma 5 ([11]): Let $f(z)$ be an entire function of finite order $\sigma(f)$, c is a fixed non-zero complex number, and

$$
P(z)=a_{n} f^{n}(z)+a_{n-1} f^{n-1}(z)+\cdots+a_{1} f(z)+a_{0}
$$

where $a_{j}(j=0,1, \ldots, n)$ are constants. If $F(z)=P(z) f(z+c)$, then

$$
T(r, F)=(n+1) T(r, f)+O\left(r^{\sigma(f)-1+\epsilon}\right)+O(\log r)
$$

Lemma 6 ([9]): Let $F$ and $G$ be two nonconstant entire functions, and $p \geq 2$ an integer. If $\bar{E}_{p)}(1, F)=\bar{E}_{p)}(1, G)$ and $H \not \equiv 0$, then

$$
T(r, F)=N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+2 \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+S(r, F)+S(r, G)
$$

Lemma 7 ([7]): Let $f(z)$ be a nonconstant meromorphic function, and let $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{k}$ be two positive integers. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{s}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) \leq T\left(r, f^{(k)}\right)-T(r, f)+N_{s+k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& N_{s}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) \leq k \bar{N}(r, f)+N_{s+k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+S(r, f)
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, $\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right)=N_{1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right)$.

## 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Let $\quad F(z)=\frac{\left[f^{n}\left(f^{m}-1\right) f(q z+c)\right]^{(k)}}{\alpha(z)}, \quad G(z)=\frac{\left[g^{n}\left(g^{m}-1\right) g(q z+c)\right]^{(k)}}{\alpha(z)}$, Then $F(z)$ and $G(z)$ share " $(1,2)$ " except the zeros or poles of $\alpha(z)$. By Lemma 5, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& T(r, F(z))=T\left(r, f^{n}\left(f^{m}-1\right) f(q z+c)\right)+k \bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f)  \tag{1}\\
& T(r, G(z))=T\left(r, g^{n}\left(g^{m}-1\right) g(q z+c)\right)+k \bar{N}(r, g)+S(r, g) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Also from Lemma 7, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) & \leq N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{n}\left(f^{m}-1\right) f(q z+c)}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq(k+2) N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{m}-1}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f(q z+c)}\right)+k \bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq(2 k+m+3) T(r, f)+S(r, f)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) \leq(2 k+m+3) T(r, g)+S(r, g) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $H \not \equiv 0$, then by Lemma 1 and Lemma 4, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& T(r, F)+T(r, G) \leq 2 N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+2 N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+S(r, f)+S(r, g) \\
& (n+m+1)[T(r, f)+T(r, g)] \leq(4 k+2 m+6)[T(r, f)+T(r, g)]+S(r, f)+S(r, g) \\
& (n-4 k-m-5)[T(r, f)+T(r, g)] \leq O\left(r^{\sigma(f)-1+\epsilon}\right)+O\left(r^{\sigma(g)-1+\epsilon}\right)+S(r, f)+S(r, g) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

which contradicts with $n>4 k+m+6$. Thus we have $H \equiv 0$. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) & \leq(2 k+m+2) T(r, f)+(2 k+m+2) T(r, g)+S(r, f)+S(r, g) \\
& \leq T(r)
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $T(r)=\max \{T(r, F), T(r, G)\}$. By Lemma 3, we deduce that either $F \equiv G$ or $F G \equiv 1$. Next we will consider the following two cases, respectively.

Case-1: $F \equiv G$, thus $f^{n}\left(f^{m}-1\right) f(q z+c) \equiv g^{n}\left(g^{m}-1\right) g(q z+c)$. Let $\varphi(z)=\frac{f(z)}{g(z)}$. If $\varphi^{n+m}(z)(q z+c) \not \equiv 1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{m}(z)=\frac{\varphi^{n}(z) \varphi(q z+c)-1}{\varphi^{n+m}(z) \varphi(q z+c)-1} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\varphi(z)$ is a transcendental meromorphic function of finite order since $g(z)$ is transcendental. By Lemma 4, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(r, \varphi(q z+z))=T(r, \varphi(z))+S(r, \varphi) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\varphi^{n+m}(z) \varphi(z+c)=k(\neq 1)$, where $k$ is a constant, the Lemma 4 and (7) imply that
$(n+m) T(r, \varphi(z))=T(r, \varphi(z+c))+O(1)=T(r, \varphi(z))+O\left(r^{\sigma(\varphi(z))-1+\epsilon}\right)+O(\log r)$
which contradicts with $n \geq 4 k+m+6$. Thus $\varphi^{n+m}(z) \varphi(q z+c)$ is not a constant.
Suppose that there exists a point $z_{0}$ such that $\varphi^{n+m}\left(z_{0}\right) \varphi\left(q z_{0}+c\right)=1$. Then $\varphi^{n}\left(z_{0}\right) \varphi\left(q z_{0}+c\right)=1$ since $g(z)$ is an entire functions. Hence $\varphi^{m}\left(z_{0}\right)=1$ and

$$
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi^{n+m}(z) \varphi(z+c)-1}\right) \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi^{m}(z)-1}\right) \leq m T(r, \varphi(z))+O(1)
$$

We apply the second Nevanlinna fundamental theorem to $\varphi^{n+m}(z) \varphi(q z+c)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
T\left(r, \varphi^{n+m}(z) \varphi(q z+c)\right) & \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \varphi^{n+m}(z) \varphi(z+c)\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi^{n+m}(z) \varphi(z+c)}\right) \\
& +\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi^{n+m}(z) \varphi(q z+c)-1}\right)+S(r, \varphi) \\
& \leq(m+5) T(r, \varphi(z))+S(r, \varphi)
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 5 we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n-m-4) T(r, \varphi(z)) \leq O\left(r^{\sigma(\varphi(z))-1+\epsilon}\right)+S(r, \varphi) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which contradicts with $n \geq 4 k+m+6$. So $\varphi^{n+m}(z) \varphi(q z+c) \equiv 1$. Thus $\varphi(z) \equiv 1$, that is $f(z) \equiv g(z)$.
Case-2: $F(z) G(z) \equiv 1$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{n}\left(f^{m}-1\right) f(q z+c) g^{n}\left(g^{m}-1\right) g(q z+c) \equiv \alpha^{2}(z) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f$ and $g$ are transcendental entire functions, we can deduce from (9) that $N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)=S(r, f), N(r, f)=S(r, f)$ and $N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right)=S(r, f)$. Then $\delta(0, f)+\delta(\infty, f)+\delta(1, f)=3$, which contradicts the deficiency relation. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

## 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Let $\quad F(z)=\frac{\left[f^{n}\left(f^{m}-1\right) f(q z+c)\right]^{(k)}}{\alpha(z)}, \quad G(z)=\frac{\left[g^{n}\left(g^{m}-1\right) g(q z+c)\right]^{(k)}}{\alpha(z)}$,

Then $F(z)$ and $G(z)$ share $(1,2)^{*}$ except the zeros or poles of $\alpha(z)$. Obviously

$$
\begin{align*}
2 N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) & +2 N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+S(r, F)+S(r, G) \\
\leq & (6 k+3 m+8) T(r, f)+(6 k+3 m+8) T(r, g)+S(r, f)+S(r, g) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

According to (10) and Lemma 2, we can prove Theorem 2 in a similar way as in Section 3.

## 5. PROOF OF THEOREM 3

Let $\quad F(z)=\frac{\left[f^{n}\left(f^{m}-1\right) f(q z+c)\right]^{(k)}}{\alpha(z)}, G(z)=\frac{\left[g^{n}\left(g^{m}-1\right) g(q z+c)\right]^{(k)}}{\alpha(z)}$,
Then $\overline{\mathrm{E}}_{2)}\left(\alpha(z),\left[f^{n}\left(f^{m}-1\right) f(q z+c)\right]^{(k)}\right)=\overline{\mathrm{E}}_{2)}\left(\alpha(z),\left[g^{n}\left(g^{m}-1\right) g(q z+c)\right]^{(k)}\right)$ except the zeros or poles of $\alpha(z)$. Obviously

$$
\begin{align*}
2 N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) & +2 N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+3 \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+3 \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+S(r, F)+S(r, G) \\
\leq & (10 k+5 m+12) T(r, f)+(10 k+5 m+12) T(r, g)+S(r, f)+S(r, g) \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (11) and Lemma 6, we can prove Theorem 3 in a similar way as in Section 3.
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