International Journal of Mathematical Archive-8(4), 2017, 101-104 MAAvailable online through www.ijma.info ISSN 2229 - 5046

SOME RESULTS OF FIXED POINT THEOREM IN NON-NEWTONIAN METRIC SPACES

ANITA DAHIYA*1, ASHA RANI²

^{1,2}S. R. M. University Haryana, India.

(Received On: 02-03-17; Revised & Accepted On: 27-04-17)

ABSTRACT

T he purpose of this paper is to study of fixed point theorems in non-Newtonian -metric spaces and obtains new results in it.

Keywords: non-Newtonian metric spaces, fixed point, Fixed point theorem, Continuous Mapping, Complete metric space.

1. INTRODUCTION

Banach [1992] Proved a fixed point theorem for contraction mapping in complete Metric space. It is well known as a Banach Fixed point theorem. Every contraction mapping of a complete metric space X into itself has a unique fixed point (Bonsall 1962). Aage and Salunke [3] proved the result on fixed point in Dislocated and Dislocated Quasi-Metric space. Dass and Gupta [1] generalized Banach's contraction principle in Metric Space. Rohades [2] introduced a partial ordering for various definitions contractive mappings. The study of non newtonian calculi have been started in 1972 by Grossman and Katz [6]. These provide an alternative to the classical calculus and they include the geometric, anageometric and bigeometric calculi, etc. In 2002 Cakmac and Basar [4], have introduced the concept of non Newtonian metric space. Also they have given the triangle and Minkowski's inequalities in the sense of non-Newtonian calculus. Recently, Binbasioglu, *et al.* [5] discussed some topological properties of the non newtonian calculi are alternatives to the classical calculus of Newtonian metric space and also introduced the concept of fixed point theory for the non newtonian Metric Space. The non-Newtonian calculi are alternatives to the classical calculus of Newton and Leibnitz. They provide a wide variety of mathematical tools for use in science, engineering and mathematics.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Proposition 2.1 [4]: The triangle inequality with respect to non-Newtonian distance $|\cdot|_N$, for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}(N)$ is given by $|x+y|_N \le |x|_N + |y|_N$.

The non-Newtonian metric spaces provide an alternative to the metric spaces introduced in [4].

Definition 2.2 [4]: Let $X \neq \emptyset$ be a set. If a function $d_N: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+(N)$ satisfies the following axioms for all $x, y, z \in X$: **(NM1)** $d_N(x, y) = \beta(0) = \dot{0}$ if and only if x = y, **(NM2)** $d_N(x, y) = d_N(y, x)$, **(NM3)** $d_N(x, y) \leq d_N(x, z) + d_N(z, y)$,

then it is called a non-Newtonian metric on X and the pair (X, d_N) is called a non-Newtonian metric space.

Proposition 2.3 [4]: Suppose that the non-Newtonian metric d_N on $\mathbb{R}(N)$ is such that $d_N(x, y) = |x - y|_N$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}(N)$, then $(\mathbb{R}(N), d_N)$ is a non-Newtonian metric space.

Proposition 2.4 [5]: Let (X, d_N) be a non-Newtonian metric space. Then we have the following inequality: $|d_N(x, z) - d_N(y, z)|_N \leq d_N(x, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Definition 2.5 [4]: Let (X, d_N^N) and (Y, d_N^Y) be two non-Newtonian metric spaces and let $f : X \to Y$ be a function. If f satisfies the requirement that, for every $\varepsilon \ge 0$, there exists $\delta \ge 0$ such that $f(B_{\delta}^N(x)) \subset B_{\varepsilon}^N(f(x))$, then f is said to be non-Newtonian continuous at $x \in X$.

Corresponding Author: Anita Dahiya*, S. R. M. University Haryana, India.

Example 2.6: Given a non-Newtonian metric space (X, d_N) , define a non-Newtonian metric on $X \times X$ by $p((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) = d_N(x_1, y_1) + d_N(x_2, y_2)$. Then the non-Newtonian metric $d_N : X \times X \to (\mathbb{R}^+(N), |\cdot|_N)$ is non-Newtonian continuous on $X \times X$. To show this, let $(y_1, y_2), (x_1, x_2) \in X \times X$.

Since we have $|d_N(y_1, y_2) - d_N(x_1, x_2)|_N \leq d_N(x_1, y_2) + d_N(x_2, y_2)$, it is clear that d_N is non-Newtonian continuous on $X \times X$. Now, we emphasize some properties of convergent sequences in a non-Newtonian metric space.

Definition 2.7 [4]: A sequence (x_n) in a metric space $X = (X, d_N)$ is said to be convergent if for every given $\varepsilon \ge 0$ there exist an $n_0 = n_0(\varepsilon) \in N$ and $x \in X$ such that $d_N(x_n, x) \le \varepsilon$ for all $n > n_0$, and it is denoted by $x_n \xrightarrow{N} x$, as $n \to \infty$.

Definition 2.8 [5]: A sequence (x_n) in a non-Newtonian metric space $X = (X, d_N)$ is said to be non-Newtonian Cauchy if for every $\varepsilon \ge 0$ there exists an $n_0 = n_0(\varepsilon) \in N$ such that $d_N(x_n, x_m) \ge \varepsilon$ for all $m, n > n_0$. Similarly, if for every non-Newtonian open ball $B_{\varepsilon}^N(x)$, there exists a natural number n_0 such that $n > n_0$, $x_n \in B_{\varepsilon}^N(x)$, then the sequence (x_n) is said to be non-Newtonian convergent to x.

The space X is said to be non-Newtonian complete if every non-Newtonian Cauchy sequence in X converges [4].

Lemma 2.9 [5]: Let (X, d_N) be a non-Newtonian metric space, (x_n) a sequence in X and $x \in X$. Then $x_n \stackrel{N}{\to} x$ $(n \to \infty)$ if and only if $d_N(x_n, x) \stackrel{N}{\to} \dot{0}$ $(n \to \infty)$.

Theorem 2.10 [5]: Let (X, d_N^X) and (Y, d_N^Y) be two non-Newtonian metric spaces, $f : X \to Y$ a mapping and (x_n) any sequence in X. Then f is non-Newtonian continuous at the point $x \in X$ if and only if $f(x_n) \xrightarrow{N} f(x)$ for every sequence (x_n) with $x_n \xrightarrow{N} x$ $(n \to \infty)$.

Theorem 2.11 [5]: Let (X, d_N) be a non-Newtonian metric space and $S \subset X$. Then

- (i) a point $x \in X$ belongs to \overline{S} if and only if there exists a sequence (x_n) in S such that $x_n \xrightarrow{N} x (n \to \infty)$,
- (ii) the set S is non-Newtonian closed if and only if every non-Newtonian convergent sequence in S has a non-Newtonian limit point that belongs to S.

We now define the fixed point theorem on non-Newtonian metric spaces and give some examples.

Definition 2.12 [5]: Let X be a set and T a map from X to X. A fixed point of T is a point $x \in X$ such that Tx = x. In other words, a fixed point of T is a solution of the functional equation $Tx = x, x \in X$.

Definition 2.13 [5]: Suppose that (X, d_N) is a non-Newtonian complete metric space and $T : X \to X$ is any mapping. The mapping *T* is said to satisfy a non-Newtonian Lipchitz condition with $k \in \mathbb{R}(N)$ if $d_N(T(x), T(y)) \leq k \times d_N(x, y)$ holds for all $x, y \in X$.

If $k \leq 1$, then T is called a non-Newtonian contraction mapping.

Theorem 2.14 [5]: Let T be a non-Newtonian contraction mapping on a non-Newtonian complete metric space X. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Theorem 2.15 [5]: Let *T* be a mapping on a non-Newtonian complete metric space *X* into itself. Let *T* be a non-Newtonian contraction on a closed ball $\bar{B}_{\dot{r}}^N(x_0) = \{x \in X : d_N(x, x_0) \leq \dot{r}\}.$

Suppose that $d_N(x_0, Tx_0) \leq (\dot{1} - k)\dot{r}$. Then the iterative sequence defined by $x_n = T^n x_0 = Tx_{n-1}$ converges to an $x \in \bar{B}^N_r(x_0)$ and this x is the unique fixed point of T.

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1: Let (X, d_N) be a complete non-Newtonian metric space and suppose there exist non negative constants $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ with $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 < 1$. Let f: $X \to X$ be a continuous mapping satisfying $d_N(fx, fy) \leq \alpha_1 \dot{x} d_N(x, y) + \alpha_2 \dot{x} d_N(x, fx) + \alpha_3 \dot{x} d_N(y, fy)$ (3.1)

For all $x, y \in X$. Then f has a unique fixed point.

Proof: Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X, defined as follows. Let $x_0 \in X$, $f(x_0) = x_1$, $f(x_1) = x_2$, ..., $f(x_n) = x_{n+1}$, ...

Consider

$$d_{N}(x_{n}, x_{n+1}) = d_{N}(fx_{n-1}, fx_{n})$$

$$\leq \alpha_{1}\dot{x}d_{N}(x_{n-1}, x_{n}) + \alpha_{2}\dot{x}d_{N}(x_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}) + \alpha_{3}\dot{x}d_{N}(x_{n}, fx_{n})$$

$$= \alpha_{1}\dot{x}d_{N}(x_{n-1}, x_{n}) + \alpha_{2}\dot{x}d_{N}(x_{n-1}, x_{n}) + \alpha_{3}\dot{x}d_{N}(x_{n}, x_{n+1})$$

Therefore,

$$d_{N}(x_{n}, x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2}}{1 - \alpha_{3}} d_{N}(x_{n-1}, x_{n})$$

= $\lambda d_{N}(x_{n-1}, x_{n}),$

Where $\lambda = \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{1 - \alpha_3}$.

Similarly, we have $d_N(x_{n-1}, x_n) \leq \lambda d_N(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})$. In this way, we get $d_N(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \lambda^n d_N(x_0, x_1)$.

Since $\dot{0} \leq \lambda < \dot{1}$, so for $n \to \infty$, $\lambda^n \to \infty$ we have $d_N(x_n, x_{n+1}) \to \dot{0}$.

Hence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the complete non nutonian metric space X, so there is a point $t_0 \in X$, such that $x_n \to t_0$. Since f is continuous

 $f(t_0) = limf(x_n) = limx_{n+1} = \ t_0$

Thus $f(t_0) = t_0$, so f has a fixed point.

Uniqueness: If $x \in X$ is a fixed point of f, then x = f(x), by (3.1) $d_N(x,x) = d_N(fx,fx) \leq (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)d_N(x,x)$ which is true only if $d_N(x,x) = 0$, since $0 \leq \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 < 1$ and $d_N(x,x) \geq 0$. Thus $d_N(x,x) = 0$ for a fixed point x of f

Let x, y be fixed points f. Then by (3.1) $d_N(x, y) = d_N(fx, fy)$ $\leq \alpha_1 d_N(x, y) + \alpha_2 d_N(x, x) + \alpha_3 d_N(y, y)$

i.e. $d_N(x, y) \leq \alpha_1 d_N(x, y)$ and from this it follows that $d_N(x, y) = 0$, since $d_N(x, y) \geq 0$, $0 \leq \alpha_1 < 1$,

Similarly $d_N(x, y) = \dot{0}$.

Hence x = y, i.e. Uniqueness of the fixed point follows

Theorem 3.2: Let (X, d_N) be a complete non-Newtonian metric space and let $f: X \to X$ be a continuous mapping satisfying

 $d_N(fx, fy) \leq \alpha \max\{d_N(x, y), d_N(x, fx), d_N(y, fy)\}$ For all x, y ϵ X. If $\dot{0} \leq \alpha < \dot{1}$, then f has a unique fixed point. (3.2)

Proof: Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X, defined as follows.

Let $x_0 \in X$, $f(x_0) = x_1$, $f(x_1) = x_{2}$, $f(x_n) = x_{n+1}$,...

Consider

 $d_N(x_n, x_{n+1}) = d_N(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)$ $\leq \alpha \max\{d_N(x_{n-1}, x_n), d_N(x_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}), d_N(x_n, fx_n)\}$ $= \alpha \max\{d_N(x_{n-1}, x_n), d_N(x_{n-1}, x_n), d_N(x_n, x_{n+1})\}$

Hence

$$d_N(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \alpha \{d_N(x_{n-1}, x_n)\}$$

Similarly we will show that

 $d_N(x_{n-1},x_n) \leq \alpha \, d_N(x_{n-2},x_{n-1})$

And

$$d_N(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \alpha^2 d_N(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})$$

Thus

$$d_N(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \alpha^n d_N(x_0, x_1)$$

Since $\dot{0} \leq \alpha < \dot{1}$, as $n \to \infty$, $\alpha^n \to \infty$. Hence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X. Thus $\{x_n\}$ converges to some t_0 . Since f is continuous, we have

 $f(t_0) = \lim f(x_n) = \lim x_{n+1} = t_0$

© 2017, IJMA. All Rights Reserved

Uniqueness: Let x be a fixed point of f, then by (3.2) $d_{N}(x, x) = d_{N}(f x, f x) \leq \alpha \max\{d_{N}(x, x)\}$

i.e. $d_N(x, x) \leq \alpha d_N(x, x)$, which gives $d_N(x, x) = \dot{0}$, Since $\dot{0} \leq \alpha < \dot{1}$ and $d_N(x, x) \geq \dot{0}$. Thus $d_N(x, x) = \dot{0}$ if x is a fixed point of f.

Let, x, y \in X be fixed points of f. That is, fx = x, fy = y. Then by (3.2), $d_N(x, y) = d_N(fx, fy)$ $\leq \max\{d_N(x, y), d_N(x, x), d_N(y, y)\}$ $= \alpha d_N(x, y)$

which is true only if $d_N(x, y) = \dot{0}$ (since $d_N(x, x) = \dot{0} = d_N(y, y), \dot{0} \leq \alpha < \dot{1}$.

Similarly $d_N(y, x) = \dot{0}$ and hence x = y. Thus x is a fixed point of f is unique.

REFERENCES

- 1. Dass, B.K., Gupta, S., An extension of Banach Contraction principles through rational expression, *Indian journal of pure and applied mathematics*, 6: 1455-1458(1975).
- 2. Rohades, B.E., A comparison of various definition d of contractive mapping, transfer, *Amer. Soc.* 226: 257-290 (1977).
- 3. Aage, C.T. and Salunke J. N., The results on fixed points in dislocated and dislocated quasi-metric space, *Appl. Math, Sci.*, 2(59): 2941-2948(2008).
- 4. C_akmak, AF, Ba_ssar, F, Some new results on sequence spaces with respect to non-Newtonian calculus, J. Inequal. Appl., 2012, 2012, 17 pages.
- 5. Binba, sto`glu, D, Demiriz, S, Tu¨rkog`lu, D, Fixed points of non-Newtonian contraction mappings on non-Newtonian metric spaces, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2016, 18, 213–224.
- 6. Grossman, M, Katz, R, Non-Newtonian Calculus, Lowell Technological Institute, 1972. Jungck, G, Commuting mappings and fixed point, Amer. Math. Monthly 1976, 83, 261-263.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared.

[Copy right © 2017. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]