International Journal of Mathematical Archive-8(7), 2017, 42-47 MAAvailable online through www.ijma.info ISSN 2229 - 5046

RELATIVE L-RITT ORDER OF ENTIRE DIRICHLET SERIES

DIBYENDU BANERJEE*, SIMUL SARKAR

Department of Mathematics, Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, West Bengal, India.

(Received On: 14-06-17; Revised & Accepted On: 06-07-17)

ABSTRACT

We introduce the idea of relative L-Ritt order of entire Dirichlet series with respect to a meromorphic function and prove sum and product theorems and a theorem on derivative.

Keywords: Entire Dirichlet series, Relative order, L-Ritt order.

AMS Subject Classification: 30B50, 30D99.

1. INTRODUCTION AND DEFINITIONS

For entire function f let $F(r) = \max\{|f(z)|:|z|=r\}$. If f is non constant then F(r) is strictly increasing and a continuous function of r and its inverse

 $F^{-1}: (|f(0)|,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ exists and $\lim_{R \to \infty} F^{-1}(R) = \infty$.

In 1988, Bernal [1] introduced the definition of relative order of f with respect to g denoted by $\rho_{a}(f)$, as

$$\rho_{e}(f) = \inf\{\mu > 0 : F(r) < G(r^{\mu}) \text{ for all } r > r_{0}(\mu) > 0\}$$

Let f(s) be an entire function of the complex variable $s = \sigma + it$ defined by everywhere absolutely convergent Dirichlet series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{s\lambda_n} \tag{1.1}$$

where $0 < \lambda_n < \lambda_{n+1} (n \ge 1)$, $\lambda_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ and $a'_n s$ are complex constants.

Let $F(\sigma) = l.u.b\{|f(\sigma + it)|, -\infty < t < \infty\}$. Then the Ritt order [7] of f(s), denoted by $\rho(f)$ is given by

$$\rho(f) = \limsup_{\sigma \to \infty} \frac{\log \log F(\sigma)}{\sigma}$$

= inf{\mu > 0 : log F(\sigma) < exp(\sigma\mu) for all \sigma > \sigma(\mu)}.

In [5] Lahiri and Banerjee introduced relative Ritt order as follows.

The relative Ritt order of f(s) with respect to an entire g(s) is defined by

$$\rho_{g}(f) = \inf\{\mu > 0 : \log F(\sigma) < G(\sigma\mu) \text{ for all large } \sigma\}$$

where $G(r) = \max\{|g(s)|:|s|=r\}.$

Let $L = L(\sigma)$ be a positive continuous function increasing slowly i.e., $L(a\sigma) \approx L(\sigma)$ as $\sigma \to \infty$ for every constant a.

Corresponding Author: Dibyendu Banerjee*, Department of Mathematics, Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, West Bengal, India. Then L-Ritt order [4] of f(s) is defined as follows:

$$\rho^{L}(f) = \limsup_{\sigma \to \infty} \frac{\log \log F(\sigma)}{\sigma L(\sigma)}.$$

In 2014, A. Kumar and A. Rastogi [4] introduced relative L-Ritt order of an entire Dirichlet series as follows.

The relative L-Ritt order $\rho_g^L(f)$ of f(s) with respect to g(s) is defined as

$$\rho_g^L(f) = \limsup_{\sigma \to \infty} \frac{G^{-1} \log F(\sigma)}{\sigma L(\sigma)}.$$

At this stage it therefore seems reasonable to define suitably the relative L-Ritt order of entire Dirichlet series (1.1) with respect to a meromorphic function and to enquire its basic properties.

First we define characteristic function of an entire function f(s) defined by everywhere absolutely convergent Dirichlet series (1.1) by

$$T_f(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log^+ |f(\sigma e^{i\theta})| d\theta.$$

Clearly $T_f(\sigma) \leq \log^+ F(\sigma)$.

The following definition is now introduced.

Definition 1.1: The relative L-Ritt order $\rho_g^L(f)$ of f(s) with respect to a meromorphic g(s) is defined as

$$\mathcal{O}_{g}^{L}(f) = \inf\{\mu > 0: T_{f}(\sigma) < [T_{g}(\sigma)L(\sigma)]^{\mu} \text{ for all large } \sigma\}$$

where $T_{g}(\sigma)$ is the Nevanlinna Characteristic function of g(s).

Note 1.1: It is clear that $\rho_g^L(f) = \limsup_{\sigma \to \infty} \frac{\log T_f(\sigma)}{\log [T_g(\sigma) L(\sigma)]}$.

Definition 1.2: A non constant meromorphic function g(s) is said to have the property (B) if for any n > 1 and large σ , $T_g(n\sigma) = O(T_g(\sigma))$.

2. SUM AND PRODUCT THEOREMS

In this section we assume that f_1, f_2 etc., are entire functions of *s* defined by everywhere absolutely convergent ordinary Dirichlet series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s}$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_n}{n^s}$ etc. The product of two such series is considered by Dirichlet product method which is also everywhere absolutely convergent {see [3], pp 66}.

Theorem 2.1: Let f_1 and f_2 be entire functions having respective relative L-Ritt orders $\rho_g^L(f_1)$ and $\rho_g^L(f_2)$ with respect to meromorphic g.

Then (i) $\rho_g^L(f_1 \pm f_2) \le \max\{\rho_g^L(f_1), \rho_g^L(f_2)\}\$ and (ii) $\rho_g^L(f_1f_2) \le \max\{\rho_g^L(f_1), \rho_g^L(f_2)\}.$

Proof: We may suppose that $\rho_g^L(f_1)$ and $\rho_g^L(f_2)$ are both finite, because if one of $\rho_g^L(f_1)$, $\rho_g^L(f_2)$ or both are infinite, the inequality are evident.

Let
$$\rho_i = \rho_g^L(f_i)$$
, $i = 1,2$ and $\rho_1 \le \rho_2$.

© 2017, IJMA. All Rights Reserved

For arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ and for all large σ , we have

$$T_{f_1}(\sigma) < \left[T_g(\sigma)L(\sigma)\right]^{\rho_1 + \varepsilon} \le \left[T_g(\sigma)L(\sigma)\right]^{\rho_2 + \varepsilon} \text{ and } T_{f_2}(\sigma) < \left[T_g(\sigma)L(\sigma)\right]^{\rho_2 + \varepsilon}.$$

Now for all large σ ,

$$\begin{split} T_{f_1 \pm f_2}(\sigma) &\leq T_{f_1}(\sigma) + T_{f_2}(\sigma) + \log 2 \\ &< 3 \big[T_g(\sigma) L(\sigma) \big]^{\rho_2 + \varepsilon} \\ &< \big[T_g(\sigma) L(\sigma) \big]^{\rho_2 + 3\varepsilon}. \end{split}$$

So

$$\rho_g^L(f_1 \pm f_2) \le \rho_2 + 3\varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary,

$$\rho_g^L(f_1\pm f_2)\leq \rho_2=\max\{\rho_g^L(f_1),\rho_g^L(f_2)\}$$
 which proves (i).

For (ii), since

$$T_{f_1f_2}(\sigma) \leq T_{f_1}(\sigma) + T_{f_2}(\sigma) < 2[T_g(\sigma)L(\sigma)]^{\rho_2 + \varepsilon} < [T_g(\sigma)L(\sigma)]^{\rho_2 + 2\varepsilon}.$$

So $\rho_g^L(f_1f_2) \le \rho_2 + 2\varepsilon.$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary,

$$\rho_g^L(f_1f_2) \le \rho_2 = \max\{\rho_g^L(f_1), \rho_g^L(f_2)\}.$$

3. RELATIVE L-RITT ORDER ON DERIVATIVE

Theorem 3.1: Let f be an entire function defined by (1.1) and g is transcendental such that g and g' satisfy property (B). Then $\rho_{g'}^L(f) = \rho_g^L(f)$.

We need the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.2 [6]: Let g be a transcendental meromorphic function. Then

 $T_{g'}(\sigma) \leq 2T_g(2\sigma) + o\{T_g(2\sigma)\}$ for all large values of σ .

Lemma 3.3 [2]: Let g be a meromorphic function. Then for all large σ ,

$$T_g(\sigma) < C\{T_{g'}(2\sigma) + \log\sigma\}$$

where C is a constant which is only dependent on g(0).

Proof of the theorem: From Lemmas (3.2) and (3.3) we have for all large σ ,

 $T_{g'}(\sigma) < K_1 T_g(2\sigma) \tag{3.1}$

and $T_g(\sigma) < K_2 T_{g'}(2\sigma)$ (3.2)

where K_1 and K_2 are positive constants.

For arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ and for all large σ ,

$$T_{f}(\sigma) \leq \left[T_{g'}(\sigma)L(\sigma)\right]^{\rho_{g'}^{L}(f)+\varepsilon}$$

So,
$$\log T_f(\sigma) < \left(\rho_g^L(f) + \varepsilon\right) \left[\log K_1 + \log T_g(2\sigma) + \log L(\sigma)\right], \text{ using (3.1)}$$
$$= \left(\rho_{g'}^L(f) + \varepsilon\right) \left[\log(O(T_g(\sigma))) + \log L(\sigma) + O(1)\right], \text{ since } g \text{ has the property (B)}$$
$$= \left(\rho_{g'}^L(f) + \varepsilon\right) \left[\log(T_g(\sigma)L(\sigma)) + O(1)\right]$$

Therefore, $\limsup_{\sigma \to \infty} \frac{\log T_f(\sigma)}{\log [T_g(\sigma)L(\sigma)]} \leq \rho_{g'}^L(f) + \varepsilon.$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary,

$$\rho_g^L(f) \le \rho_{g'}^L(f). \tag{3.3}$$

Also for arbitrary $\mathcal{E} > 0$ and for all large σ

$$T_{f}(\sigma) < \left[T_{g}(\sigma)L(\sigma)\right]^{\rho_{g}^{L}(f)+\varepsilon}$$

So using (3.2) and since g' has property (B), we have

 $\log T_f(\sigma) < \left(\rho_g^L(f) + \varepsilon\right) \left[\log(T_{g'}(\sigma)L(\sigma)) + O(1)\right].$

Therefore, $\limsup_{\sigma \to \infty} \frac{\log T_f(\sigma)}{\log [T_{g'}(\sigma)L(\sigma)]} \leq \rho_g^L(f) + \varepsilon.$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary,

$$\rho_{g'}^L(f) \le \rho_g^L(f). \tag{3.4}$$

Hence from (3.3) and (3.4)

$$\rho_{g'}^L(f) = \rho_g^L(f)$$

4. FINITENESS OF $\rho_g^L(f)$

Definition 4.1: Let f be entire and g be a meromorphic function which is not transcendental.

Let
$$\alpha = \inf \mu$$
 such that $\int_{\sigma_0}^{\infty} \frac{T_f(\sigma)L(\sigma)}{[T_g(\sigma)L(\sigma)]^{\mu+1}} d\sigma$, $\sigma_0 \ge \sigma_0 > 0$ converges.

Lemma 4.1: If
$$\int_{\sigma_0}^{\infty} \frac{T_f(\sigma)L(\sigma)}{[T_g(\sigma)L(\sigma)]^{\mu+1}} d\sigma$$
 is convergent then
$$\lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \frac{T_f(\sigma)}{[T_g(\sigma)L(\sigma)]^{\mu}} = 0$$
 where $0 < \mu < \infty$.

Proof: Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a number $\sigma'(\varepsilon) \ge \sigma_0$ such that

$$\int_{\sigma}^{\infty} \frac{T_f(t)L(t)}{\left[T_g(t)L(t)\right]^{\mu+1}} dt < \varepsilon \text{ whenever } \sigma > \sigma'(\varepsilon)$$

and so
$$\int_{\sigma}^{2\sigma} \frac{T_f(t)L(t)}{\left[T_g(t)L(t)\right]^{\mu+1}} dt < \varepsilon \text{ for } \sigma > \sigma'(\varepsilon).$$

Since $T_f(\sigma), T_g(\sigma)$ and $L(\sigma)$ are non-decreasing, we have for $\sigma > \sigma'(\varepsilon)$

$$\varepsilon > \frac{T_f(\sigma)L(\sigma)}{\left[T_g(2\sigma)L(2\sigma)\right]^{\mu+1}} \int_{\sigma}^{2\sigma} dt$$

© 2017, IJMA. All Rights Reserved

$$=\sigma \frac{T_f(\sigma)L(\sigma)}{\left[T_g(2\sigma)L(2\sigma)\right]^{\mu+1}}.$$

So, $\frac{T_f(\sigma)L(\sigma)}{[T_{\sigma}(\sigma)L(\sigma)]^{\mu}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{\sigma} \cdot \frac{[T_g(2\sigma)L(2\sigma)]^{\mu+1}}{[T_{\sigma}(\sigma)L(\sigma)]^{\mu}}$

$$[T_{g}(\sigma)L(\sigma)]^{\mu} \quad \sigma \quad [T_{g}(\sigma)L(\sigma)]^{\mu}$$

i.e.,
$$\frac{T_{f}(\sigma)}{[T_{g}(\sigma)L(\sigma)]^{\mu}} < \frac{\log 2\sigma}{\sigma} \frac{T_{g}(2\sigma)}{\log 2\sigma} \frac{L(2\sigma)}{L(\sigma)} \left[\frac{T_{g}(2\sigma)L(2\sigma)}{T_{g}(\sigma)L(\sigma)} \right]^{\mu} \mathcal{E}.$$

Since g is not transcendental, so $T_g(\sigma) = O(\log \sigma)$ and hence $T_g(2\sigma) = O(T_g(\sigma))$ and also $L(2\sigma) \approx L(\sigma)$ as $\sigma \to \infty$.

So
$$\lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \frac{T_f(\sigma)}{\left[T_g(\sigma)L(\sigma)\right]^{\mu}} = 0.$$

This proves the lemma.

Theorem 4.2: If $\rho_g^L(f)$ be the relative L-Ritt order of f with respect to g and α is defined by Definition (4.1), then $\rho_g^L(f)$ is finite if α is finite.

Proof: Suppose α is given. Then for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, the integral

$$\int_{\sigma_0}^{\infty} \frac{T_f(\sigma)L(\sigma)}{\left[T_g(\sigma)L(\sigma)\right]^{\alpha+\varepsilon+1}} d\sigma \text{ converges.}$$

So by Lemma (4.1)
$$\lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \frac{T_f(\sigma)}{\left[T_g(\sigma)L(\sigma)\right]^{\alpha+\varepsilon}} = 0.$$

Thus for all sufficiently large values of σ

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{T_f(\sigma)}{\left[T_g(\sigma)L(\sigma)\right]^{\alpha+\varepsilon}} < \varepsilon \\ & \text{i.e.,} \qquad T_f(\sigma) < \varepsilon \left[T_g(\sigma)L(\sigma)\right]^{\alpha+\varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,
$$\log T_f(\sigma) < \log \varepsilon + (\alpha + \varepsilon) \log [T_g(\sigma) L(\sigma)]$$

So,
$$\limsup_{\sigma \to \infty} \frac{\log T_f(\sigma)}{\log [T_g(\sigma) L(\sigma)]} \leq \alpha + \varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, $\rho_g^L(f) \le \alpha$ and this proves the theorem.

REFERENCES

- 1. Bernal, L., Orden relativo de crecimiento de funciones enteras, Collect.Math., 39 (1988), pp. 209-229.
- 2. Dai Chongi and Jin Lu, Number of deficient values of a class of meromorphic functions, Kodai Math J. 10 (1974), pp. 74-82.
- 3. Hardy, G. H. and Riesz, M., The general theory of Dirichlet series, Stechert-Hafner Service agency, New York and London (1964).
- 4. Kumar, A. and Rastogi, A., Relative L-Ritt order of Entire Dirichlet Series, International Journal of Scientific and Engineer Research, 5(11) (2014), pp. 1331-1341.

Dibyendu Banerjee*, Simul Sarkar / Relative L-Ritt Order of Entire Dirichlet Series / IJMA- 8(7), July-2017.

- 5. Lahiri, B. K. and Banerjee, D., Relative Ritt order of an entire Dirichlet series, Int. J. Contemp. Math. 5(44) (2010), pp. 2157-2165.
- 6. Lahiri, I., Generalised order of the derivative of a meromorphic function II, Soochow J. Math., 16(1) (1990), pp. 11-15.
- 7. Rahman, Q. I., The Ritt order of the derivative of an entire function, Annales Polonici Mathematici, 17 (1965), pp. 137-140.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared.

[Copy right © 2017. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]