International Journal of Mathematical Archive-8(7), 2017, 91-94
Available online through www.ijma.info ISSN 2229 – 5046

PRIME GAMMA RINGS WITH CENTRALIZING AND COMMUTING LEFT GENERALIZED DERIVATIONS

A. SIVAKAMESHWARA KUMAR[1], C. JAYA SUBBA REDDY*[2]

[1]Research Scholar, Rayalaseema University, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh, India.

[2]Department of Mathematics, S. V. University, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh, India.

(Received On: 20-06-17; Revised & Accepted On: 22-07-17)

ABSTRACT

Let M be a prime Γ-ring satisfying a certain assumption and D a nonzero derivation on M. Let $f: M \to M$ be a left generalized derivation such that f is centralizing and commuting on a left ideal J of M. Then we prove that M is commutative.

Key words: *Prime Γ-ring, Centralizing and Commuting, Derivation, Left derivation, Generalized derivations, Left generalized derivations.*

PRELIMINARIES

Let M and Γ be additive abelian groups. If there exists a mapping $(x, \alpha, y) \to x\alpha y$ of $M \times \Gamma \times M \to M$, which satisfies the conditions

(i) $x\alpha y \in M$
(ii) $(x + y)\alpha z = x\alpha z + y\alpha z, x(\alpha + \beta)z = x\alpha z + x\beta z, x\alpha(y + z) = x\alpha y + x\alpha z$
(iii) $(x\alpha y)\beta z = x\alpha(y\beta z)$ for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, then M is called a Γ-ring.

Every ring M is a Γ-ring with $M = \Gamma$. However a Γ-ring need not be a ring. Let M be a Γ-ring. Then an additive subgroup U of M is called a left (right) ideal of M if $M\Gamma U \subset U (U\Gamma M \subset U)$. If U is both a left and a right ideal, then we say U is an ideal of M. Suppose again that M is a Γ-ring. Then M is said to be a 2-torsion free if $2x = 0$ implies $x = 0$ for all $x \in M$. An ideal P_1 of a Γ-ring M is said to be prime if for any ideals A and B of M, $A\Gamma B \subseteq P_1$ implies $A \subseteq P_1$ or $B \subseteq P_1$. An ideal P_2 of a Γ-ring M is said to be semiprime if for any ideal U of M, $U\Gamma U \subseteq P_2$ implies $U \subseteq P_2$. A Γ-ring M is said to be prime if $a\Gamma M\Gamma b = (0)$ with $a, b \in M$, implies $a = 0$ or $b = 0$ and semiprime if $a\Gamma M\Gamma a = (0)$ with $a \in M$ implies $a = 0$. Furthermore, M is said to be commutative Γ-ring if $x\alpha y = y\alpha x$ for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Moreover, the set $Z(M) = \{x \in M: x\alpha y = y\alpha x$ for all $y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma\}$ is called the centre of the Γ-ring M. If M is a Γ-ring, then $[x, y]_\alpha = x\alpha y - y\alpha x$ is known as the commutator of x and y with respect to α, where $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. We make the basic commutator identities:
$[x\alpha y, z]_\beta = [x, z]_\beta \alpha y + x\alpha[y, z]_\beta$ and $[x, y\alpha z]_\beta = [x, y]_\beta \alpha z + y\alpha[x, z]_\beta$, for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. We consider the following assumption:
(A)..............$x\alpha y\beta z = x\beta y\alpha z$, for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. An additive mapping $D: M \to M$ is called a derivation if $D(x\alpha y) = D(x)\alpha y + x\alpha D(y)$ holds for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. A mapping f is said to be commuting on a left ideal J of M if $[f(x), x]_\alpha = 0$ for all $x \in J$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and f is said to be centralizing if $[f(x), x]_\alpha \in Z(M)$for all $x \in J$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. An additive mapping $f: M \to M$ is said to be a generalized derivation on M, if $f(x\alpha y) = f(x)\alpha y + x\alpha D(y)$ holds for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, where D is a derivation on M. An additive mapping $f: M \to M$ is called a left generalized derivation on M, if $f(x\alpha y) = x\alpha f(y) + D(x)\alpha y$ holds for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, where D is a derivation on M.

Corresponding Author: C. Jaya Subba Reddy[2]*
[2]Department of Mathematics, S. V. University, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh, India.

INTRODUCTION

The concept of the Γ-ring was first introduced by Nobusawa[13] and also shown that Γ-rings, more general than rings. Bernes [1] weakened slightly the conditions in the definition of Γ-ring in the sense of Nobusawa. Bresar[2] studied centralizing mappings and derivations in prime rings. Kyuno[9], Luh[10], Hoque and Paul[5], [6] and others were obtained a large numbers of important basic properties of Γ-rings in various ways and determined some more remarkable results of Γ-rings. Ceven[3] studied on Jordan left derivations on completely prime Γ-rings. Mayne[12] have developed some remarkable result on prime rings with commuting and centralizing. Jaya subba reddy.C *et.al* [8] studied centralizing and commutating left generalized derivation on prime ring is commutative. Hoque and paul [7] studied prime gamma rings with centralizing and commuting generalized derivations is a commutative. In this paper, we extended some results on prime gamma rings with centralizing and commuting left generalized derivations is a commutative.

Some preliminary results

We have to make some use of the following well-known results

Remark 1: Let M be a prime Γ-ring. If $a\alpha b \in Z(M)$ with $0 \neq a \in Z(M)$, then $b \in Z(M)$.

Remark 2: Let M be a prime Γ-ring and J a nonzero left ideal of M. If D is a nonzero derivation on M, then D is also a nonzero on J.

Remark 3: Let M be a prime Γ-ring and J a nonzero left ideal of M. If J is commutative, then M is also commutative.

Lemma 1: Suppose M is a prime Γ-ring satisfying the assumption (A) and $D: M \to M$ be a derivation. For an element $a \in M$, if $a\alpha D(x) = 0$, for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, then either $a = 0$ or $D = 0$.

Proof: By our assumption, $a\alpha D(x) = 0$, for all $x \in M$, and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Replacing x by $x\beta y$ in above equation, we get

$a\alpha D(x\beta y) = 0$

$a\alpha(D(x)\beta y + x\beta D(y)) = 0$

$a\alpha D(x)\beta y + a\alpha x\beta D(y) = 0$

$a\alpha x\beta D(y) = 0$, for all $x, y \in M$, and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

If D is not a zero, that is, if $D(y) \neq 0$, for some $y \in M$.

By definition of prime Γ-ring, then $a = 0$. Hence proved.

Lemma 2: Suppose M is a prime Γ-ring satisfying the assumption (A) and J a nonzero left ideal of M. If M has a derivation D which is zero on J, then D is zero on M.

Proof: By the hypothesis, $D(J) = 0$

Replacing J by $M\Gamma J$ in above equation then, we get

$D(M\Gamma J) = 0$

$D(M)\Gamma J + M\Gamma D(J) = 0$

$D(M)\Gamma J = 0.$

By Lemma 1, D must be zero, since J is nonzero.

Lemma 3[7]: Suppose M is a prime Γ-ring satisfying the assumption (A) and J a nonzero left ideal of M. If J is commutative on M, then M is commutative.

Lemma 4: Suppose M is a prime Γ-ring and $f: M \to M$ be a additive mapping. If f is centralizing on a left ideal J of M, then $f(a) \in Z(M)$, for all $a \in J \cup Z(M)$.

Proof: f is a centralizing a on left ideal J of M, we have $[f(a), a]_\alpha \in Z(M)$ for all $a \in J$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

By linearization, we have

$a, b \in J \Longrightarrow a + b \in J$, for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

$[f(a + b), a + b]_\alpha \in Z(M)$

© 2017, IJMA. All Rights Reserved

f is a additive mapping then

$$[f(a)+f(b),a+b]_\alpha \in Z(M)$$
$$[f(a),a]_\alpha+[f(a),b]_\alpha+[f(b),a]_\alpha+[f(b),b]_\alpha \in Z(M)$$

f is a centralizing on left ideal J of M then, we get

$$[f(a),a]_\alpha=0,\ [f(b),b]_\alpha=0$$
$$[f(a),b]_\alpha+[f(b),a]_\alpha \in Z(M). \qquad (1)$$

If $a \in Z(M)$, then equation (1) becomes

$$[f(a),b]_\alpha \in Z(M).$$

Replacing b by $f(a)\beta b$ in above equation then, we get

$$[f(a),f(a)\beta b]_\alpha \in Z(M)$$
$$[f(a),f(a)]_\alpha\beta b+\ f(a)\beta[f(a),b]_\alpha \in Z(M)$$
$$f(a)\beta[f(a),b]_\alpha \in Z(M).\ \text{ If }\ [f(a),b]_\alpha=0.$$

Then $f(a) \in C_{\Gamma M}(J)$.

The centralizer of J in M and hence $f(a) \in Z(M)$. Otherwise, if $[f(a),b]_\alpha \neq 0$, remark 1 follows that $f(a) \in Z(M)$. Hence the lemma.

Theorem 1: Let M be a prime Γ-ring satisfying the assumption (A) and D a nonzero derivation on M. If f is a left generalized derivation on a left ideal J of M such that f is commuting on J, then M is commutative.

Proof: Since f is commuting on J, we have

$$[f(a),a]_\alpha=0, \text{ for all } a\in J \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

Replacing a by $a+b$ in above equation, we get

$$[f(a+b),a+b]_\alpha=0$$
$$[f(a)+f(b),a+b]_\alpha=0$$
$$[f(a),a]_\alpha+\ [f(a),b]_\alpha+\ [f(b),a]_\alpha+\ [f(b),b]_\alpha=0$$
$$[f(a),b]_\alpha+\ [f(b),a]_\alpha=0 \qquad (2)$$

Replacing b by $a\beta b$ in equation (2), we get

$$[f(a),a\beta b]_\alpha+\ [f(a\beta b),a]_\alpha=0$$
$$[f(a),a]_\alpha\beta b+a\beta\ [f(a),b]_\alpha+[a\beta f(b)+D(a)\beta b,a]_\alpha=0$$
$$[f(a),a]_\alpha\beta b+a\beta\ [f(a),b]_\alpha+\ [a\beta f(b),a]_\alpha+\ [D(a)\beta b,a]_\alpha=0$$
$$[f(a),a]_\alpha\beta b+a\beta\ [f(a),b]_\alpha+[a,a]_\alpha\beta f(b)+\ a\beta[f(b),a]_\alpha+\ [D(a)\beta b,a]_\alpha=0$$

f is centralizer then, $[f(a),a]_\alpha\beta b=0,\ [a,a]_\alpha\beta f(b)=0.$

$$a\beta\ [f(a),b]_\alpha+\ a\beta[f(b),a]_\alpha+\ [D(a)\beta b,a]_\alpha=0$$
$$a\beta(\ [f(a),b]_\alpha+\ [f(b),a]_\alpha)+\ [D(a)\beta b,a]_\alpha=0$$

Using equation (2) in above equation, we get

$$[D(a)\beta b,a]_\alpha=0. \qquad (3)$$

Replacing b by $a\gamma r$ in above equation (3), we get

$$[D(a)\beta a\gamma r,a]_\alpha=0$$
$$[D(a),a]_\alpha\beta a\gamma r+D(a)\beta[a\gamma r,a]_\alpha=0$$
$$[D(a),a]_\alpha\beta a\gamma r+D(a)\beta\ [a,a]_\alpha\gamma r+D(a)\beta a\gamma\ [r,a]_\alpha=0$$
$$D(a)\beta a\gamma\ [r,a]_\alpha=0, \text{ for all } a\in J, r\in M \text{ and } \alpha,\beta,\gamma,\in\Gamma.$$

Since M is prime Γ-ring, thus $D(a)=0$ or $[r,a]_\alpha=0$.

Since D is nonzero derivation on M, then by lemma 2, D is nonzero on J.

Suppose $D(a)\neq 0$ for some $a\in J$, then $a\in Z(M)$.

Let $c\in J$ with $c\neq Z(M)$. Then $D(c)=0$ and $a+c\notin Z(M)$, that is, $D(a+c)=0$ and so $D(a)=0$, which is a contradiction. Thus $c\in Z(M)$ for all $c\in J$. Hence J is commutative and hence by lemma 3, M is commutative. Hence the theorem.

© 2017, IJMA. All Rights Reserved

Theorem 2: Let M be a prime Γ-ring satisfying the assumption (A) and J a left ideal of M with $J \cap Z(M) \neq 0$. If f is a left generalized derivation on M with associated nonzero derivation D such that f is commuting on J, then M is commutative.

Proof: we claim that, $Z(M) \neq 0$ because of f is commuting on J and the proof is complete.

Now from equation (1), we get

$$[f(a), b]_\alpha + [f(b), a]_\alpha \in Z(M)$$

We replace a by $b\beta c$ with $0 \neq c \in Z(M)$, then we get

$$[f(b\beta c), b]_\alpha + [f(b), b\beta c]_\alpha \in Z(M)$$
$$[b\beta f(c) + D(b)\beta c, b]_\alpha + [f(b), b]_\alpha \beta c + b\beta[f(b), c]_\alpha \in Z(M)$$
$$[b\beta f(c), b]_\alpha + [D(b)\beta c, b]_\alpha + b\beta[f(b), c]_\alpha \in Z(M)$$
$$[b, b]_\alpha \beta f(c) + b\beta\, [f(c), b]_\alpha + [D(b), b]_\alpha \beta c + D(b)\beta\, [c, b]_\alpha + [f(b), b]_\alpha \beta c + b\beta\, [f(b), c]_\alpha \in Z(M)$$
$$c \in Z(M) \Longrightarrow [c, b]_\alpha = 0 \text{ for all } b \in J,\ [b, b]_\alpha = 0$$

Since $c \in Z(M) \Rightarrow f$ is a centralizer on J.

$$f(b) \in Z(M) \Rightarrow [f(b), c]_\alpha = 0.$$
$$b\beta\, [f(c), b]_\alpha + [D(b), b]_\alpha \beta c + [f(b), b]_\alpha \beta c \in Z(M)$$

From lemma 1, $f(c) \in Z(M)$ and hence $[D(b), b]_\alpha \beta c + [f(b), b]_\alpha \beta c \in Z(M)$. Since f is a centralizing on J, we have $[f(b), b]_\alpha \beta c \in Z(M)$ and consequently $[D(b), b]_\alpha \beta c \in Z(M)$. As c is nonzero, remark 1 follows that $[D(b), b]_\alpha \in Z(M)$. This implies D is centralizing on J and hence we conclude that M is commutative.

REFERENCES

1. Bernes.W.E: On the Γ-rings of Nobusawa, Pacific J.Math., Vol.18, (1966), 411-422.
2. Bresar.M: Centralizing mappings and derivations in prime rings, Journal of Algebra, Vol. 156, (1993), 385-394.
3. Ceven.Y: Jordan left derivations on completely prime gamma rings, C.U. Fen-Edebiyat Fakultesi, Fen Bilimleri Dergisi (2002) Cilt 23 Sayi 2.
4. Hoque.M.F and Paul.A.C: On centralizers of semiprime gamma rings, International Mathematical Forum, Vol. 6, 13(2011), 627-638.
5. Hoque.M.F and Paul.A.C: An equation related to centralizers in semiprime gamma rings, Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 1,1 (2012), 84-90.
6. Hoque.M.F and Paul.A.C: Centralizers on semiprime gamma rings, Italian J. Of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 30, (2013), 289-302.
7. Hoque.M.F and Paul.A.C: Prime gamma rings with centralizing and commuting generalized derivations. International Journal of Algebra, Vol.7, 13(2013), 645-651.
8. Jaya Subba Reddy.C et.al: Centralizing and commuting left generalized derivations on prime rings, Bulletin of Mathematical Science and Applications, Vol.11, (2015), 1-3.
9. Kyuno.S: On prime gamma ring, Pacific J.Math., Vol. 75, (1978), 185-190.
10. Luh.J: On the theory of simple gamma rings, Michigan Math.J.Vol.16, (1969), 65-75.
11. Luh.J: A note on commuting automorphism of rings, Amer. Math. Monthly, Vol. 77, (1970), 61-62.
12. Mayne.J: Centralizing automorphism of prime rings, Canad. Math. Bull.Vol.19,(1976), 113-115.
13. Nobusawa.N: On the generalization of the ring theory, Osaka J.Math., Vol. 1, (1964), 81-89.
14. Vukman.J: Centralizers in prime and semiprime rings, Comment. Math.Univ. Carolinae Vol. 38, (1997), 231-240.
15. Zalar.B: On centralizers of semiprime rings, Comment.Math. Univ. Carolinae. Vol. 32, (1991), 609-614.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared.

[Copy right © 2017. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]