

FG-COUPLED FIXED POINT THEOREMS INVOLVING CONTRACTIVE TYPE MAPPINGS

HANS RAJ*, NAWNEET HOODA

Department of Mathematics,
Deenbandhu Chhotu Ram University of Science and Technology,
Murthal, Sonapat, 131039, India.

(Received On: 28-06-17; Revised & Accepted On: 20-07-17)

ABSTRACT

Here, we prove some result on FG-coupled fixed point. Our result generalize some coupled fixed point results.

AMS Subject Classification: 47H10, 54F05.

Keywords: FG-coupled fixed point, Partially ordered set, Mixed monotone property.

1. INTRODUCTION

Fixed point has a large application in almost all fields like Biology, Computer science, Physics, Economics and many branches of engineering. In [1] Lakshmikantham *et al.* introduce the concept of coupled fixed points and proved some results satisfying mixed monotone property. Many authors proved many results on coupled fixed points [3-9]. In 2016 [2] Prajisha and Shaini Pulickkunnel introduced the notion of FG-coupled fixed point which is generalized form of coupled fixed.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we gave some definitions which are very useful in proving the results.

Definition 2.1: Let X be partially ordered metric space. Let $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. Then an element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is a coupled fixed point of the mapping F if $F(x, y) = x$, $F(y, x) = y$.

Definition 2.2: Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set and $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$. Then F has the mixed monotone property if $F(x, y)$ is monotonically non decreasing in x and is monotonically non increasing in y , that is for any $x, y \in X$

$$x_1, x_2 \in X, x_1 \leq x_2 \in F(x_1, y) \leq F(x_2, y) \text{ and}$$

$$y_1, y_2 \in X, y_1 \leq y_2 \in F(x, y_1) \geq F(x, y_2)$$

Definition 2.3: Let (X, \leq_{P_1}) and (Y, \leq_{P_2}) be two partially ordered metric spaces and $F : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ and $G : Y \times X \rightarrow Y$ be two functions. An element $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ is called an FG-coupled fixed point if $F(x, y) = x$ and $G(y, x) = y$.

Definition 2.4: Let (X, \leq_{P_1}) and (Y, \leq_{P_2}) be two partially ordered sets and $F : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ and $G : Y \times X \rightarrow Y$. Then F and G have mixed monotone property if F and G are monotone increasing in first variable and monotone decreasing in second variable, i.e., if for all $(x, y) \in X \times Y$,

$$x_1, x_2 \in X, x_1 \leq_{P_1} x_2 \Rightarrow F(x_1, y) \leq_{P_1} F(x_2, y) \text{ and } G(y, x_1) \geq_{P_2} G(y, x_2)$$

and $y_1, y_2 \in Y, y_1 \leq_{P_2} y_2 \Rightarrow F(x, y_1) \geq_{P_1} F(x, y_2) \text{ and } G(y_1, x) \leq_{P_2} G(y_2, x)$.

Corresponding Author: Hans Raj, Department of Mathematics,
Deenbandhu Chhotu Ram University of Science and Technology, Murthal, Sonapat, 131039, India.*

Some important Notes:

1. If $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ is an FG- coupled fixed point then the element $(y, x) \in Y \times X$ is GF- coupled fixed point.
2. The metric d on $X \times Y$ is defined as $d((x, y), (u, v)) = d_X(x, u) + d_Y(y, v)$ for all $(x, y), (u, v) \in X \times Y$.
3. Partial order relation \leq on $X \times Y$ is defined as for any $(x, y), (u, v) \in X \times Y$;

$$(u, v) \leq (x, y) \Leftrightarrow x \geq P_1 u, y \leq P_2 v.$$
4. $F_{n+1}(x, y) = F(F_n(x, y), G_n(y, x))$ and $G_{n+1}(y, x) = G(G_n(y, x), F_n(x, y))$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(x, y) \in X \times Y$.

3. MAIN RESULT

Theorem: Let (X, d_X, \leq_{p_1}) and (Y, d_Y, \leq_{p_2}) be partially ordered complete metric spaces. Also let $F : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ and $G : Y \times X \rightarrow Y$ be any functions which have mixed monotone property. Assume that there exists non negative reals A, B, C with $2A + 3B + 3C < 2$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} d_X(F(x, y), F(u, v)) &\leq \frac{A}{2}[d_X(x, u) + d_Y(y, v)] \\ &+ \frac{B}{2}[d_X(x, F(x, y)) + d_X(y, F(u, v)) + d_Y(y, v)] \\ &+ \frac{C}{2}[d_X(x, F(u, v)) + d_X(y, F(x, y)) + d_Y(y, v)] \\ &\text{for all } x \geq p_1 u, y \leq p_2 v \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d_Y(G(y, x), G(v, u)) &\leq \frac{A}{2}[d_X(x, u) + d_Y(y, v)] \\ &+ \frac{B}{2}[d_Y(y, F(y, x)) + d_Y(v, G(v, u)) + d_X(x, y)] \\ &+ \frac{C}{2}[d_Y(y, G(v, u)) + d_Y(v, G(y, x)) + d_X(u, y)] \\ &\text{for all } x \leq p_1 u, y \geq p_2 v \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

If there is $(x_0, y_0) \in X \times Y$ with the condition $x_0 \leq_{p_1} F(x_0, y_0)$ and $y_0 \geq_{p_2} G(y_0, x_0)$, then there is an element $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ such that $x = F(x, y)$ and $y = G(y, x)$.

i.e. F and G have a unique FG -coupled fixed point.

From the hypothesis there is $(x_0, y_0) \in X \times Y$ such that $x_0 \leq_{p_1} F(x_0, y_0) = x_1$ (say) and $y_0 \geq_{p_2} G(y_0, x_0) = y_1$ (say).

Now for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ we define $x_{n+1} = F(x_n, y_n)$ and $y_{n+1} = G(y_n, x_n)$, then we get $x_{n+1} = F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0)$ and $y_{n+1} = G^{n+1}(y_0, x_0)$, since

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= F(x_n, y_n) \\ &= F(F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), G(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1})) \\ &= F^2(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}) \\ &= F^3(x_{n-2}, y_{n-2}) \\ &\vdots \\ &= F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have $y_{n+1} = G^{n+1}(y_0, x_0)$.

Now, by the principle of mathematical induction and mixed monotone property of F and G we can easily prove that $\{x_n\}$ is an increasing sequence in X and $\{y_n\}$ is a decreasing sequence in Y . For this, we have.

$$x_0 \leq_{p_1} x_1 \text{ and } y_0 \geq_{p_2} y_1.$$

We want to show that

$$x_n \leq_{p_1} x_{n+1} \text{ and } y_n \geq_{p_2} y_{n+1} \text{ for all } n \in N.$$

Suppose for $x = 1$, $x_2 = F(x_1, y_1) \geq p_1 F(x_0, y_1) \geq p_1 F(x_0, y_0) = x_1$ and

$$y_2 = G(y_1, x_1) \leq p_2 G(y_1, x_0) \leq p_2 G(y_0, x_0) = y_1.$$

Assume that the result holds for $m = n$

$$\text{i.e. } x_{m+1} \geq p_1 x_m \text{ and } y_{m+1} \leq p_2 y_m$$

Now consider

$$x_{m+2} = F(x_{m+1}, y_{m+1}) \geq p_1 F(x_m, y_{m+1}) \geq p_1 F(x_m, y_m) = x_{m+1}$$

$$y_{m+2} = G(y_{m+1}, x_{m+1}) \leq p_2 G(y_{m+1}, x_m) \leq p_2 G(y_m, y_m) = y_{m+1}$$

Hence the result is true for all $x \in N$.

i.e. $\{x_n\}$ is an increasing sequence in X and $\{y_n\}$ is a decreasing sequence in Y .

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} d_X(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= d_X(F^n(x_0, y_0), F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0)) \\ &= d_X[F(F^{n-1}(x_0, y_0), G^{n-1}(y_0, x_0)), F(F^n(x_0, y_0), G^n(y_0, x_0))] \\ &\leq \frac{A}{2}[d_X(F^{n-1}(x_0, y_0), F^n(x_0, y_0)) + d_Y(G^n(y_0, x_0), G^n(y_0, x_0))] \\ &\quad + \frac{B}{2}[d_X(F^{n-1}(x_0, y_0), F(F^{n-1}(x_0, y_0), G^{n-1}(y_0, x_0))) \\ &\quad + d_X(F^n(x_0, y_0), F(F^n(x_0, y_0), G^n(y_0, x_0))) + d_Y(G^{n-1}(y_0, x_0), G^n(y_0, x_0))] \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{2}[d_X(F^{n-1}(x_0, y_0), F(F^n(x_0, y_0), G^n(y_0, x_0))) \\ &\quad + d_X(F^n(x_0, y_0), F(F^{n-1}(x_0, y_0), G^{n-1}(y_0, x_0))) + d_Y(G^{n-1}(y_0, x_0), G^n(y_0, x_0))] \\ &= \frac{A}{2}[d_X(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d_Y(y_{n-1}, y_n)] + \frac{B}{2}[d_X(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d_X(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d_Y(y_{n-1}, y_n)] \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{2}[d_X(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + d_X(x_n, x_n) + d_Y(y_{n-1}, y_n)] \\ &= \left(\frac{A}{2} + \frac{B}{2}\right)d_X(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \left(\frac{A+B+C}{2}\right)d_Y(y_{n-1}, y_n) \\ &\quad + \frac{B}{2}d_X(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \frac{C}{2}d_X(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) \\ &= \left(\frac{A}{2} + \frac{B}{2}\right)d_X(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \left(\frac{A+B+C}{2}\right)d_Y(y_{n-1}, y_n) \\ &\quad + \frac{B}{2}d_X(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \frac{C}{2}d_X(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \frac{C}{2}d_X(x_n, x_{n+1}) \\ &\Rightarrow \left(\frac{2-B-C}{2}\right)d_X(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \left(\frac{A+B+C}{2}\right)[d_X(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d_Y(y_{n-1}, y_n)] \\ &\Rightarrow d_X(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \left(\frac{A+B+C}{2-B-C}\right)[d_X(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d_Y(y_{n-1}, y_n)] \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we obtain

$$d_Y(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq \frac{A+B+C}{2-B-C}[d_X(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d_Y(y_{n-1}, y_n)]$$

Adding (3) and (4), we get

$$d_X(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d_Y(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq 2 \left(\frac{A+B+C}{2-B-C} \right) [d_X(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d_Y(y_{n-1}, y_n)]$$

Assume $\frac{2(A+B+C)}{(2-B-C)} = \lambda < 1$ as $2A+3B+3C < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow d_X(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d_Y(y_n, y_{n+1}) &\leq \lambda [d_X(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d_Y(y_{n-1}, y_n)] \\ &\leq \lambda^2 [d_X(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + d_Y(y_{n-2}, y_{n-1})] \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq \lambda^n [d_X(x_0, x_1) + d_Y(y_0, y_1)] \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider $m > n$, as $0 < \lambda < 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow d_X(x_n, x_m) + d_Y(y_n, y_m) &\leq d_X(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d_Y(y_n, y_{n+1}) \\ &\quad + d_X(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + d_Y(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\quad + d_X(x_{m-1}, x_m) + d_Y(y_{m-1}, y_m) \\ &\leq \lambda^n (d_X(x_0, x_1) + d_Y(y_0, y_1)) \\ &\quad + \lambda^{n+1} [d_X(x_0, x_1) + d_Y(y_0, y_1)] \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\quad + \lambda^{m-1} [d_X(x_0, x_1) + d_Y(y_0, y_1)] \\ &= (\lambda^n + \lambda^{n+1} + \dots + \lambda^{m-1}) [d_X(x_0, x_1) + d_Y(y_0, y_1)] \\ &= \frac{\lambda^n}{1-\lambda} (d_X(x_0, x_1) + d_Y(y_0, y_1)) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ since } \lambda < 1 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get that $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences in X and Y respectively. Since X and Y are complete metric spaces there exists $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $y_n \rightarrow y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\text{i.e. } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F^n(x_0, y_0) = x, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G^n(y_0, x_0) = y.$$

Now, we prove $F(x, y) \neq x$ and $G(y, x) = y$.

If F and G are continuous functions. Then

$$x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(x_n, y_n) = F(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n) = F(x, y)$$

and

$$y = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(y_n, x_n) = G(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n) = G(y, x)$$

Thus (x, y) is an FG -coupled fixed point of F and G .

If F and G are not continuous mappings then prove that they have a FG -coupled fixed point.

For this suppose $F(x, y) \neq x$ and $G(y, x) \neq y$.

$$d_X(F(x, y), x) > 0 \text{ and } d_Y(G(y, x), y) > 0.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_X(F(x, y), x) &\leq d_X(F(x, y), x_{n+2}) + d_X(x_{n+2}, x) \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{d_X(F(F^n(x_0, y_0), G^n(y_0, x_0)), F^{n+2}(x_0, y_0)) + d_X(F^{n+2}(x_0, y_0), F^n(x_0, y_0))\} \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{d_X(F(F^n(x_0, y_0), G^n(y_0, x_0)), F(F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0), G^{n+1}(y_0, x_0))) \\
 &\quad + d_X(F(F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0), G^{n+1}(y_0, x_0)), F(F^{n-1}(x_0, y_0), G^{n-1}(y_0, x_0)))\} \\
 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{A}{2} [d_X(F^n(x_0, y_0), F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0)) + d_Y(G^n(y_0, x_0), G^{n+1}(y_0, x_0))] \right. \\
 &\quad + d_X(F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0), F(F^n(x_0, y_0), G^n(y_0, x_0))) + d_Y(G^n(y_0, x_0), G^{n+1}(y_0, x_0)) \\
 &\quad + \frac{A}{2} [d_X(F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0), F^{n-1}(x_0, y_0)) + d_Y(G^{n+1}(y_0, x_0), G^{n-1}(y_0, x_0))] \\
 &\quad + \frac{B}{2} [d_X(F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0), F(F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0), G^{n+1}(y_0, x_0))) \\
 &\quad + d_X(F^{n-1}(x_0, y_0), F(F^{n-1}(x_0, y_0), G^{n-1}(y_0, x_0))) + d_Y(G^{n+1}(y_0, x_0), G^{n-1}(y_0, x_0))] \\
 &\quad + \frac{C}{2} [d_X(F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0), F(F^{n-1}(x_0, y_0), G^{n-1}(y_0, x_0))) \\
 &\quad + d_X(F^{n-1}(x_0, y_0), F(F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0), G^{n+1}(y_0, x_0))) + d_Y(G^{n+1}(y_0, x_0), G^{n-1}(y_0, x_0))] \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{A}{2} [d_X(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d_Y(y_n, y_{n+1})] + \frac{B}{2} [d_X(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d_X(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + d_Y(y_n, y_{n+1})] \right. \\
 &\quad + \frac{C}{2} [d_X(x_n, x_{n+2}) + d_X(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + d_Y(y_n, y_{n+1})] \\
 &\quad + \frac{A}{2} [d_X(x_{n+1}, x_{n-1}) + d_Y(y_{n+1}, y_{n-1})] + \frac{B}{2} [d_X(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + d_X(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d_Y(y_{n+1}, y_{n-1})] \\
 &\quad + \frac{C}{2} [d_X(x_{n+1}, x_n) + d_X(x_{n-1}, x_{n+2}) + d_Y(y_{n+1}, y_{n-1})] \\
 &\quad \left. \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow d_X(F(x, y), x) \leq 0$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_X(F(x, y), x) &= 0 \\
 \Rightarrow F(x, y) &= x
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly $G(y, x) = y$.

Thus we get that (x, y) is a FG -coupled fixed point of the functions F and G .

Now we shall prove the uniqueness part of the theorem.

Let us suppose that there are two FG -coupled fixed points of F and G say (x, y) and (x', y')

i.e. $F(x, y) = x$, $G(y, x) = y$ and $F(x', y') = x'$, $G(y', x') = y'$.

Case-I: If (x, y) and (x', y') are comparable.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_X(x, x') &= d_X[F(x, y), F(x', y')] \\
 &\leq \frac{A}{2}[d_X(x, x') + d_Y(y, y')] + \frac{B}{2}[d_X(x, F(x, y)) + d_X(x', F(x', y')) + d_Y(y, y')] \\
 &\quad + \frac{C}{2}[d_X(x, F(x', y')) + d_X(x', F(x, y)) + d_Y(y, y')] \\
 &= \frac{A}{2}[d_X(x, x') + d_Y(y, y')] + \frac{B}{2}[d_X(x, x) + d_X(x', x') + d_Y(y, y')] \\
 &\quad + \frac{C}{2}[d_X(x, x') + d_X(x', x) + d_Y(y, y')] \\
 d_X(x, x') &\leq \left(\frac{A+2C}{2}\right)d_X(x, x') + \left(\frac{A+B+C}{2}\right)d_Y(y, y')
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\Rightarrow d_Y(y, y') \leq \frac{A+2C}{2}d_Y(y, y') + \frac{A+B+C}{2}d_X(x, x')$$

Adding (6) and (7) we obtain

$$d_X(x, x') + d_Y(y, y') \leq \frac{A+B+C}{2-A-2C}[d_X(x, x') + d_Y(y, y')]$$

which is a contradiction as $\frac{A+B+C}{2A-2C} < 1$.

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_X(x, x') + d_Y(y, y') &= 0 \\
 \Rightarrow d_X(x, x') &= 0 \text{ and } d_Y(y, y') = 0 \\
 \Rightarrow x = x' \text{ and } y &= y'
 \end{aligned}$$

Case-II: If (x, y) and (x', y') are not comparable. Then $\exists (u, v) \in X \times Y$ such that (u, v) is comparable to both (x, y) and (x', y') .

We define two sequences $\{u_n\}$ and $\{v_n\}$ such that $u_0 = u$, $v_0 = v$ and $u_{n+1} = F(u_n, u_n)$, $v_{n+1} = G(v_n, u_n)$

Since, $(u, v) /$ is comparable with (x, y) .

We may choose $(x, y) \geq (u, v) = (u_0, u_0)$.

By the Principle of mathematical induction, it is easy to prove that

$$(x, y) \geq (u_n, v_n) \text{ for all } n.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_X(x, u_{n+1}) &= d_X(F(x, y), F(u_n, v_n)) \\
 &\leq \frac{A}{2}[d_X(x, u_n) + d_Y(y, v_n)] + \frac{B}{2}[d_X(x, F(x, y)) + d_X(u_n, F(u_n, v_n)) + d_Y(y, v_n)] \\
 &\quad + \frac{C}{2}[d_X(x, F(u_n, v_n)) + d_X(u_n, F(x, y)) + d_Y(y, v_n)]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{A}{2}[d_X(x, u_n) + d_Y(y, v_n)] + \frac{B}{2}[d_X(x, x) + d_X(u_n, u_{n+1}) + d_Y(y, v_n)] \\
&\quad + \frac{C}{2}[d_X(x, u_{n+1}) + d_X(x, u_n) + d_Y(y, v_n)] \\
\Rightarrow \left(1 - \frac{C}{2}\right)d_X(x, u_{n+1}) &\leq \left(\frac{A+C}{2}\right)d_X(x, u_n) + \frac{A+B+C}{2}d_Y(y, v_n) + \frac{B}{2}d_X(u_n, u_{n+1}) \\
d_X(x, u_{n+1}) &\leq \frac{A+C}{2-C}d_X(x, u_n) + \frac{A+B+C}{2-C}d_Y(y, v_n) + \frac{B}{2}d_X(u_n, u_{n+1})
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get

$$d_Y(y, v_{n+1}) \leq \frac{A+C}{2-C}d_Y(y, v_n) + \frac{A+B+C}{2-C}d_X(x, u_n) + \frac{B}{2}d_Y(v_n, v_{n+1})$$

Adding (8) and (9), we obtain

$$d_X(x, u_{n+1}) + d_Y(y, v_{n+1}) \leq \frac{2A+B+2C}{2-C}[d_X(x, u_n) + d_Y(y, v_n)] + \frac{B}{2}[d_X(u_n, u_{n+1}) + d_Y(v_n, v_{n+1})]$$

Let $h = \frac{2A+B+2C}{2-C} < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow d_X(x, u_{n+1}) + d_Y(y, v_{n+1}) &\leq h[d_X(x, u_n) + d_Y(y, v_n)] + \frac{B}{2}[d_X(u_n, u_{n+1}) + d_Y(v_n, v_{n+1})] \\
&\leq h^2[d_X(x, u_{n-1}) + d_Y(y, v_{n-1})] + \frac{B}{2}[d_X(u_n, u_{n+1}) + d_Y(v_n, v_{n+1})] \\
&\vdots \\
&\leq h^n[d_X(x, u_0) + d_Y(y, v_0)] + \frac{B}{2}[d_X(u_n, u_{n+1}) + d_Y(v_n, v_{n+1})] \\
&\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ since } h < 1.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow d_X(x, u_{n+1}) + d_Y(y, v_{n+1}) &= 0 \\
\Rightarrow d_X(x, u_{n+1}) = 0 \text{ and } d_Y(y, v_{n+1}) &= 0 \\
\Rightarrow x = u_{n+1} \text{ and } y = v_{n+1}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can get

$$x' = u_{n+1} \text{ and } y' = v_{n+1}$$

Hence $x = x'$ and $y = y'$.

This proves the uniqueness of the result.

Corollary: In the hypothesis of last theorem, if we take $F = G$ and $X = Y$. Then we have a unique coupled fixed point of F instead of FG -coupled fixed point.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank the reviewers for their suggestions for improving the contents of the paper.

REFERENCES

1. T. Gnana Bhasakar, V. Lakshmikantham; Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications, Nonlinear Anal. 65 (7) (2006) 1379-1393.
2. E. Prajisha, P. Shaini; FG- coupled fixed point theorems for various contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1602.00543>
3. V. Lakshmikantham, L. Ćirić; Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces; Nonlinear Analysis 70 (2009) 4341-4349.
4. E. Karapinar, Couple fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in cone metric spaces, Comput. Math. Appl. 59 (2010), 3656-3668.
5. D. Guo, V. Lakshmikantham; Coupled fixed points of non-linear operators with applications; Non-linear Analysis Theory, Methods and Applications; Vol.11; No.5; 623-632; 1987.
6. V. Berinde; Generalized coupled fixed point theorems for mixed monotone mappings in partially ordered metric spaces; Nonlinear Analysis 74 (2011) 7347-7355.
7. F. Sabetghadam, H.P. Masiha, A.H. Sanatpour, Some coupled fixed point theorems in cone metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory. Appl.(2009); doi:10.1155/2009/125426. Art. ID 125426.
8. N. V. Luong, N. X. Thuan, Coupled fixed points in partially ordered metric spaces and application, Nonlinear Anal. 74 (2011), 983-992.
9. W. Shatanawi, Partially ordered cone metric spaces and coupled fixed point results, Comput. Math. Appl. 60 (2010), 2508- 2515.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared.

[Copy right © 2017. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]