

FIXED POINT THEOREMS IN TRI-D-METRIC SPACES

Dr. A. D. KADAM*

AES Arts, Commerce & Science College, Hingoli - (M.S.)-431513, India.

(Received On: 31-08-17; Revised & Accepted On: 26-09-17)

ABSTRACT

In this some fixed point theorems for the contraction mappings in a D-metric space with three D-metrics called Tri –D-metric space on the lines initiated by Maia [6] and Dhage [1] have been established.

1. INTRODUCTION

Dhage [1], [2], [3] has given the foundation of a new structure of D-metric space and proved some basic results concerning topology, completeness and compactness etc, of the D- metric space.

Definition1.1: A function D on X x X x X into R is said to be a D-metric on nonempty set X if it satisfies the following properties

 $\begin{array}{l} (M1): D(x, y, z) \geq 0; \mbox{ for all } x, y, z \in X \mbox{ (Non negativity)} \\ (M2): D(x, y, z) = 0 \mbox{ if and only if } x = y = z \\ (M3): D(x, y, z) = D(x, z, y) = \dots \mbox{ (Symmetry)} \\ (M4): D(x, y, z) \leq D(x, y, a) + D(x, a, z) + D(a, y, z), \mbox{ for all } x, y, z, a \in X \mbox{ (Rectangle inequality),} \end{array}$

A nonempty set X together with a D- metric is called generalized metric space or Dhage metric space or D-metric space and is denoted by (X, D).

We give some examples of D-metrics paces

Example 1.1: Define a function D_1 on X By

 $D_1(x, y, z) = \max\{d(x, y), d(y, z), d(x, z)\}$ for x, y, z $\in X$, and d is an ordinary metric on X. Then D_1 is D-metric and (X,D) is D-metric space.

Example 1.2: Define a function D_2 : X x X xX \rightarrow R by

 $D_2(x, y, z) = d(x, y) + d(y, z) + d(x, z)$, for x, y, z $\in X$ and d is ordinary metric on X. Then (X, D_2) is D- metric space

2. D-CONTRACTION PRINCIPLE

The fundamental and most interesting result in D- metric spaces is D-contraction principle due to Dhage [2] & it is proved by same author that the Banach contraction principle is the particular case of this theorem. Dhage [2] proved the following fixed point theorem for D-contraction mapping in D-metric spaces called D-contraction principle.

Theorem 2.1: Let f be a self mapping of a complete and bounded D-metric space X satisfying	
$D(fx, fy, fz) \le \alpha D(x, y, z)$	(2.1.1)
for all x, y, z $\in X$ and $\alpha < 1$. Then f has unique fixed point.	

The following lemma and theorem of [1] is useful to prove main result.

Lemma 2.1: Let $\{x_r\}$ be a sequence of bounded D-metric space X such that $D(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \le q D(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1})$ (2.1.2) for all $n \in N$, where $0 \le q < 1$. Then $\{x_r\}$ is D- cauchy.

> Corresponding Author: Dr. A. D. Kadam* AES Arts, Commerce & Science College, Hingoli - (M.S.)-431513, India.

Theorem 2.2: Let f be a self-map of a complete and bounded D-metric space X satisfying $D(fx, fy, fz) \le \alpha \max \{D(x, fx, fy), D(y, fy, fz)\}$ (2.1.3)

For all x, y, $z \in X$ and $0 \le q < 1$. Then f has a unique fixed point.

3. MAIN RESULT

Theorem 3.1: Let X be a Tri-D-metric space with three D-metrics D, D₁, D₂. Let f: $X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping and suppose that the following conditions hold in X.

- (i) X is bounded w.r.to D
- (ii) $D_2(x, y, z) \le D_1(x, y, z) \le D(x, y, z)$ for all x, y, z $\in X$
- (iii) X is complete w. r. to D_1
- (iv) X is continuous w.r. to $D_{2} \\$
- (v) f satisfies the condition (2.1.3) w. r. to D. Then X has a unique fixed point.

Proof: Suppose $x = x_0 \in X$ is an arbitrary point and consider a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X defined by $x_0 = x, x_{n+1} = f x_n, n \in N \cup \{0\}$ (3.1.1) where N denotes the set of natural numbers.

If $x_r = x_{r+1}$ for some $r \in N$ then $x_r = u$ is a fixed point of f. Therefore we assume that $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ for each $n \in N$, we show that $\{x_n\}$ be a D-Cauchy sequence in X.

Let $x = x_0$, $y = x_1$, $z = x_2$ then by condition (2.1.3) we get $D(fx_0, fx_1, fx_2) \le \alpha \max \{D(x_0, fx_1, fx_1, fx_2)\}$	
i.e, $D(x_1, x_2, x_3) \le \alpha \max \{D(x_0, x_1, x_2), D(x_1, x_2, x_3)\}$	
since, $\begin{split} D(x_1,x_2,x_3) &\leq \alpha \mbox{ max } D(x_1,x_2,x_3) \mbox{ is not possible, we have} \\ D(x_1,x_2,x_3) &\leq \alpha D(x_0,x_1,x_2) \end{split}$	(3.1.2)
Similarly letting $x = x_1$, $y = x_2$, $z = x_3$ in condition (2.1.3) we obtain $D(fx_1, fx_2, fx_3) \le \alpha \max\{D(x_1, fx_1, fx_2), D(x_2, fx_2, fx_3)$ i.e, $D(x_2, x_3, x_4) \le \alpha \max\{D(x_1, x_2, x_3), D(x_2, x_3, x_4)\}$	
since, $\begin{split} D(x_2,x_3,x_4) &\leq \alpha \; \max \; D(x_2,x_3,x_4) \text{ is not possible, we have} \\ D(x_2,x_3,x_4) &\leq \alpha \; D(x_1,x_2,x_3) \end{split}$	(3.1.3)
Proceeding in this way by induction we obtain $D(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \le \alpha D(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1})$ for all n, n=1, 2, Then by Lemma (2.1.1) {x _n } is D- Cauchy sequence. i.e, lim D(x _n , x _m , x _p) = 0 m, n, n $\rightarrow \infty$	(3.1.4)
The hypothesis (ii) implies that $\lim_{n \to \infty} D(x_n, x_m, x_p) \le \lim_{m \to \infty} D(x_m, x_n, x_p) \rightarrow 0$ m, n, p $\in \infty$ m, n, p $\rightarrow \infty$	
This shows that $\{x_n\}$ is a D-cauchy sequence w,r,t. D_1 , there is a point $u \in X$ such that $\lim D_1(x_m, x_n, u) = 0$ i.e., $\lim x_n = 0$	
$\begin{array}{c} m, n, \rightarrow \infty n \in \infty \\ \text{w.r.to } D_1. \ Again \ D_2 \leq D_1 \text{on} X^3, \text{ we get } x_n \not \rightarrow u \text{w.r.to} D_2. \\ u = \lim x_{n+1} = \lim f x_n = f \lim x_n = f u \\ n \rightarrow \infty n \rightarrow \infty n \rightarrow \infty \end{array}$	
showing that u is a fixed point of f.	
To prove uniqueness, let $v \neq u$ be another fixed point of f then by condition (2.1.3) we obtain D(u, u, v) = D(fu, fu, fv) $\leq \alpha \max\{D(u fu, fu), D(u, fu, fv)\}$ $= \alpha \max\{D(u, u, u), D(u, u, v)\}$ $= \alpha \max\{0, D(u, u, v)\}$	

 $D(u, u, v) \leq \alpha D(u, u, v)$

Dr. A. D. Kadam* / Fixed Point Theorems in Tri-D-Metric Spaces / IJMA- 8(10), Oct.-2017.

Which is contradiction since $\alpha < 1$. Hence u = v. Therefore f has a unique fixed point.

Corollary 3.1: Let X be a Tri-D- metric space with three D-metrics D, D_1 , D_2 . Let f: X \rightarrow X be a mapping and suppose that following conditions are satisfied.

- (i) The conditions (i) (iv) of Theorem 3.1
- (ii) There exists a positive intiger p such that f^p satisfies condition $D(f^px, f^py, f^pz) \le \alpha \max\{D(x, f^px, f^py), D(y, f^py, f^pz)$ (3.1.5)

For all x, y, $z \in X$ and $0 \le p \le 1$.

Then f has a unique fixed point.

Proof: Let $T = f^{p}$, then T is continuous no X w.r. to D₂, and since f and consequently f^{p} is continuous on X w.r. to D₂.

Now by an application of Theorem 3.1 implies that T has a unique fixed point, say u in X. i. e, it is a point such that $Tu = f^{p}u = u$

But $fu = f(f^pu) = f^p(fu) = T(fu)$, which shows that fu is again a fixed point of T. By uniqueness of u, we get fu = u. Again the uniqueness of u follows from the condition (2.1.3).

This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- 1. B.C.Dhage: Generalised metric spaces and mapping with fixed point, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. (1992), 329-326.
- 2. B.C.Dhage: Generalised metric spaces and Topological structureI, Anannestin, Univ. Alituiasi.Math46 [1] (2000), 3-24, Rumania.
- 3. B.C.Dhage: Generalised metric spaces and Topological structure II Pure. Appi.Math. Sci.(4) (1994)
- 4. B.C.Dhage: On a fixed point theorem of Barada Ray .Math .Student 52 (1984), (151-154)
- 5. B.C.Dhage: A fixed point theorem, Acta Cincia Indica 7(4) (1991), 771-774.
- 6. M. G. Maia: On osscravazions sulle contrazoni Metrche Rend. Sem. Math.Padova, 40(1968), 139-143.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared.

[Copy right © 2017. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]