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#### Abstract

In this paper, we prove that all commutators and associators are in the center of a prime weakly standard ring. By using these we prove that a primitive weakly standard ring is either commutative or associative.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

In [1] paul considered prime ring R satisfying ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ ) $=(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{y})$ with nucleus N and center C . He proved that if R has commutators in the middle nucleus then either R is associative or $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{C}$. San Soucie [2] proved that a prime ring is weakly standard if and only if it is either associative or commutative. In a weakly standard ring we have the identity (x, $\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})=-(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{x})$ and all commutators in the nucleus. Using these properties in this section we show that all commutators and associators are in the centre of a prime weakly standard ring. By using these we prove that a primitive weakly standard ring is either commutative or associative. At the end of this paper we give an example of a weakly standard ring which is not associative.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this paper we denote R as a nonassociative weakly standard ring. A nonassociative ring R is a weakly standard ring if it satisfies the following identities

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{x})=0,  \tag{1}\\
&  \tag{2}\\
& \\
& (\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{x}), \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})=0 \\
& \text { and } \quad(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}), \mathrm{z})=0,
\end{align*}
$$

for all $w, x, y, z \in R$. Hence all commutators are in the nucleus $N$ of $R$.
A linearization of flexible law (1) yields the identity $(x, y, z)=-(z, y, x)$.
We know that the nucleus N of R is the set of all elements n in R such that
$(n, R, R)=(R, n, R)=(R, R, n)=0$ and the center $C$ of $R$ is the set of all elements $c$ in $N$ such that $(c, R)=0$. If we define $S(x, y, z)=(x, y, z)+(y, z, x)+(z, x, y)$,
we have the following identities in any ring:

$$
\begin{align*}
& (w x, y, z)-(w, x y, z)+(w, x, y z)=w(x, y, z)+(w, x, y) z,  \tag{4}\\
& (x y, z)-x(y, z)-(x, z) y=(x, y, z)+(z, x, y)-(x, z, y),  \tag{5}\\
& (x y, z)+(y z, x)+(z x, y)=S(x, y, z)  \tag{6}\\
& ((x, y), z)+((y, z), x)+((z, x), y)=S(x, y, z)-S(x, z, y) \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

and
Putting $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{x}$ in (5) gives $(\mathrm{xy}, \mathrm{x})-\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{x})=(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{x})$.
That is $(x y, x)+x(x, y)=0$.
With $\mathrm{w}=\mathrm{n}$, where $\mathrm{n} \in \mathrm{N}$ in (4) we obtain $(\mathrm{nx}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})=\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})$.

Combining this with (2) yields
$(n x, y, z)=n(x, y, z)=(x n, y, z)$.
A ring $R$ is prime if whenever $A$ and $B$ are ideals of $R$ such that $A B=0$ then either $A=0$ or $B=0$. A ring $R$ is primitive if $R$ contains a regular maximal right ideal $E$ which contains no two sided ideal of $R$ other than the zero ideal. A ring $R$ is n - divisible if $\mathrm{nx}=0$ implies $\mathrm{x}=0$ for all x in R and n a natural number.

## 3. MAIN RESULTS

Lemma 1: If R be an arbitrary Primitive ring, then R is a prime ring.
Proof: Suppose E is a maximal right ideal of R such that ex-x is in E for all x in R and for some e in R. Let I and J be two ideals of $R$ such that $\mathrm{IJ}=0$ and assume that $\mathrm{I} \neq 0$. Then $\mathrm{I} \not \subset \mathrm{E}$ so $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{I}$ and $\mathrm{RJ}=\mathrm{EJ} \subset \mathrm{E}$. Hence $\mathrm{ej} \in \mathrm{E}$ and thus $-\mathrm{j} \in \mathrm{E}$, $\mathrm{J} \subset \mathrm{E}$ and $\mathrm{J}=0$.

Hence R is a prime ring.
Lemma 2: If R is a Prime weakly standard ring, then all commutators are in the center.
Proof: Forming associators of (8) and using (2)
We obtain $(x(y, x), r, s)=((x y, x), r, s)=0$.
That is $(x(y, x), r, s)=0$.
This implies $\mathrm{o}=(\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{x}), \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{s})=(\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}), \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{s})=((\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{s})$. By using this and (4) we get $((x, y) x, r, s)=(x, y)(x, r, s)$.

Therefore $(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{s})=0$.
Linearizing the above equation with $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{x}^{1}$,
We obtain $(x, y)\left(x^{1}, r, s\right)+\left(x^{1}, y\right)(x, r, s)=0$.
If we substitute a commutator $v$ for $\mathrm{x}^{1}$, we see that $(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})(\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{s})+(\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{y})(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{s})=0$.
That is $(\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{y})(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{s})=0$ using (2).
This can be restated as $((R, R), R)(R, R, R)=0$. But now the ideal generated by the double commutator $((R, R), R)$ annihilates the associator ideal. Since $R$ is prime and not associative, we conclude that $((R, R), R)=0$. Hence all commutators are in the center.

Lemma 3: If $R$ is a 2- divisible weakly standard ring, then $S(x, y, z)=0$.
Proof: By taking $y=x$ in (1), then $(x, x, x)=0$.
If we linearize $(x, x, x)=0$, we get

$$
S(x, y, z)+S(x, z, y)=0
$$

Using lemma (2) in (7) then we get $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})-\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{y})=0$.
By adding the above two equations, we obtain $2 S(x, y, z)=0$ and then $S(x, y, z)=0$, since $R$ is 2 - divisible. This proves the lemma.

Lemma 4: If $R$ is a 2- and 3- divisible weakly standard ring, then $((w, x, y), z)=0$ and $(v(x, y, z), w)=0$ for all $\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{R}$.

Hence all associators are in the center of R.
Proof: From (1), (x, z, y) $=-(y, z, x)$. Substituting this in (5), we get
$(x y, z)-x(y, z)-(x, z) y=(x, y, z)+(z, x, y)+(y, z, x)$, $(x y, z)-x(y, z)-(x, z) y=0$ using lemma (3).
That is $(x y, z)=x(y, z)+(x, z) y$.

Now we take $w, x, y, z \in R$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& ((w, x, y), z)=((w x \cdot y-w \cdot x y), z) . \text { Repeated use of the equation (10) we obtain } \\
& ((w, x, y), z)=w x(y, z)+(w x, z) y-w(x y, z)-(w, z) x y \\
& ((w, x, y), z)=w x(y, z)+w(x, z) \cdot y+(w, z) x \cdot y-w(x(y, z)+(x, z) y)-(w, z) x y, \\
& ((w, x, y), z)=(w, x,(y, z))+(w,(x, z), y)+((w, z), x, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

From (1), (2) and (3) we get commutator is in the nucleus. Hence using this property we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
((w, x, y), z)=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By taking $n=(v, x) \in R$ in $(n x, y, z)=n(x, y, z)$ we get

$$
(v, x)(x, y, z)=((v, x) x, y, z) \text {, using (11) we get }(v, x) x=(v x, x)
$$

Therefore ( $\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{x})(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})=((\mathrm{vx}, \mathrm{x}), \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})$.
Using this and (2) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{x})(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By linearization, this identity becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
(v, w)(x, y, z)=-(v, x)(w, y, z) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using flexibility (14), lemma (3), (13) and (1), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
(v, w)(x, y, y) & =-(v, w)(y, y, x), \\
& =(v, y)(w, y, x), \\
& =(v, y)(-(y, x, w)-(x, w, y)), \\
& =-(v, y)(y, x, w)-(v, y)(x, w, y) \\
& =-(v, y)(y, x, w)+(v, y)(y, w, x) \\
& =0 \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

That is $(v, w)(x, y, y)=0$.
By linearization of this identity, we get $(\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{w})((\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})+(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{y}))=0$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{w})((\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})-(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{x}))=0 \text { using (1). } \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is $(v, w)(x, y, z)=(v, w)(y, z, x)$.
From (15) and (1) we have (v, w) $(y, y, x)=0$.
Again by linearization we get $(\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{w})((\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{x})+(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{x}))=0$.
Then $(v, w)(y, z, x)=-(v, w)(z, y, x)$. Using this and (15) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
(v, w)(y, z, x)=(v, w)(z, x, y) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using lemma (3), (15), (16) and (17),
We obtain $(\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{w})((\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})+(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{x})+(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}))=0$.
So $3(v, w)(x, y, z)=0$.
Since R is 3 - divisible, (v, w) $(x, y, z)=0$.
Now from (11), (12) and (18) we have
$(\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}), \mathrm{w})=\mathrm{v}((\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}), \mathrm{w})+(\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{w})(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})=0$.
Therefore $(\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}), \mathrm{w})=0$.
If we substitute an associator $u$ for $x^{1}$ in (10) there we get

$$
(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{~s})+(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{y})(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{~s})=0
$$

Using (12) in the above equation we obtain $(x, y)(u, r, s)=0$, as in the proof of lemma $(2)(x, y) \neq 0$, hence $(u, r, s)=0$. Therefore an associator $u$ is in the left nucleus of R. Using (1) and (3), $u$ is in the nucleus of R. From (12) and (19) it follows that associators are in the center of R .

Lemma 5: If $R$ is a weakly standard ring, then $S=\{s \in R /(s, R)=0=(s R, R)\}$ is an ideal of $R$.
Proof: From (12), we have ((w, x, y), z) $=0$.
If we put $w=s$ in the above equation, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& ((s, x, y), z)=0, \\
& ((s x \cdot y-s \cdot x y), z)=0, \\
& (s x \cdot y, z)-(s \cdot x y, z)=0 . \text { By the definition of } S \text {, we obtain } \\
& (s . x y, z)=0 . \text { So (sx.y, z) }=0 \text {. Then } s x \in S . \text { So } S \text { is a right ideal of } R .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $(s, R)=0,(s, x)=0$. That is $s x-x s=0$.
Thus $s x=x s$. Then $x s \in S$. So $S$ is a left ideal of $R$.
Hence $S$ is an ideal of $R$.
Let A consists of all finite sums of elements of the form ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ ) or of the form $\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})$. This is an ideal in any arbitrary ring and is the smallest ideal modulo which the ring is associative. From (12), for any element a in A we have (a, R) $=0$.

Let B consists of all finite sums of elements of the form ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ ) or of the form ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ )z. In any arbitrary ring this set need not be an ideal. But by virtue of (2) and (11), it is an ideal. In addition it is also true that B is contained in the nucleus N . B is also the smallest ideal modulo which R is commutative.

From (18), for any element a in A and any element b in B we must have $\mathrm{ab}=0$.
Therefore $A B=0$. Suppose that $x$ is an element of $A \cap B$. Then since $A B=0, x^{2}=0$ implies that $x=0$.
Theorem 1: A 2- and 3- divisible weakly standard ring R is isomorphic to a subdirect sum of an associative ring and a commutative ring.

Proof: Consider the natural homomorphism from $R$ into $R / A \oplus R / B$. The Kernel of this homomorphism is $A \cap B=0$.
Hence $R$ is a subdirect sum of $R / A$ and $R / B$. We know that $R / A$ is associative and $R / B$ is commutative.
This completes the proof of this theorem.
Theorem 2: A 2- and 3- divisible primitive weakly standard ring R is either commutative or associative.
Proof: If R is a primitive weakly standard ring, then it contains regular maximal right ideal E which contains no twosided ideal of R other than zero ideal.

From lemma (1), we know that if $R$ is a primitive ring, then $R$ is a prime ring. From (18), the ideals A, B of $R$ have the property $A B=0$. Since $R$ is prime, then either $A=0$ or $B=0$. If $A=0$ then $R$ is associative or if $B=0$ then $R$ is commutative.

This completes the proof of the theorem.
Now we give an example of a weakly standard ring which is not associative.
Example: Consider the algebra with basis elements 1, a, b, c, d, e over a 6-divisible field, where 1 is the unit element, $e^{2}=1$, ea=b, ae=d, be=-b, de=-d, eb=b, ed=-b, $b^{2}=c$ and all other product of basis elements equal to zero. It is easily seen that this is a weakly standard ring. That is
(i) $(e, a, e)=$ ea.e - e.ae $=b e-e d=-b+b=0$.
(ii) $(e,(a, e), e)=(e, a e, e)-(e, e a, e)=0$
and (iii) $((a, e), e, e)=(a e, e, e)-(e a, e, e)=0$.
But this ring is not associative, since $(e, a, b)=c$.
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