Volume 9, No. 1, Jan. - 2018 (Special Issue) International Journal of Mathematical Archive-9(1), 2018, 214-217 MAAvailable online through www.ijma.info ISSN 2229 - 5046

A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF VARIOUS DISTANCE MEASURES IN INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY SETS AND THEIR EXTENSIONS

SYED SIDDIQUA BEGUM* & R. SRINIVASAN[†]

*Full-Time Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Islamiah College (Autonomous), Vaniyambadi – 635 752, Tamil Nadu, India.

†Department of Mathematics, Islamiah College (Autonomous), Vaniyambad I - 635 752, Tamil Nadu, India.

E-mail: srinivasanmaths@yahoo.com[†]

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we define the Hamming distance measure and normalized Hamming distance measure of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets of Second Type and Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets of Third Type. Also the comparison is made between the measures.

Keywords: Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set (IFS), Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set of Second Type (IFSST), Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets of Third Type (IFSTT), Hamming distance, normalized Hamming distance.

2010AMS Subject Classification: 03E72.

1. INTRODUCTION

After inspired from K.T. Atanassov Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set^[1], the present authors defined IFSTT^[11] which is an extension of IFS. Many researchers studied the application of IFS and their extension in real life situations, like pattern recognition, medical diagnosis, career determination, electoral system and market prediction by using distance measures ^[3,4,5,6]. In this research article, we define the Hamming distance and normalized Hamming distance measures of IFSST and IFSTT, and also the comparison is made with the existing measure on IFS. The rest of the paper is designed as follows: Insection2, we give the definitions of IFS and their extensions. In section3, we define the distance measures of IFSST and IFSTT with suitable example. The paper concludes in section4.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we give some definitions of IFS and their extensions.

Definition 2.1: [1] Let X be a non-empty set. An Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set (IFS) A in X is defined as an object of the form

 $A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle \colon x \in X \},\$

where $\mu_A(x): X \to [0,1]$ and $\nu_A(x): X \to [0,1]$ denote the degree of membership and non-membership functions of *A* respectively, and

$$0 \le \mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) \le 1$$
, for each $x \in X$.

Definition 2.2: [1] The degree of non-determinacy (uncertainty) of an element $x \in X$ in the IFS *A* is defined by $\pi_A(x) = 1 - \mu_A(x) - \nu_A(x)$.

Definition 2.3: [7] Let X be a non-empty set. An Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets of Second Type (IFSST) A in X is defined as an object of the form

 $A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle \colon x \in X \},\$

International Journal of Mathematical Archive- 9(1), Jan. – 2018

CONFERENCE PAPER

214

International Conference dated 08-10 Jan. 2018, on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets and Systems (ICIFSS - 2018), Organized by Vellalar College for Women (Autonomous), Erode, Tamil Nadu, India.

Syed Siddiqua Begum*, R. Srinivasan† /

A Comparative Study of Various Distance Measures in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets and their.... / IJMA- 9(1), Jan.-2018, (Special Issue)

where $\mu_A(x): X \to [0,1]$ and $\nu_A(x): X \to [0,1]$ denote the degree of membership and non-membership functions of A respectively, and

$$0 \le \mu_A^2(x) + \nu_A^2(x) \le 1$$
, for each $x \in X$.

Definition 2.4: [7] The degree of non-determinacy (uncertainty) of an element $x \in X$ in the IFSST *A* is defined by $\pi_A(x) = \sqrt{1 - \mu_A^2(x) - \nu_A^2(x)}$.

Definition 2.5: [8–14] Let X be the non-empty set. An Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets of Third Type (IFSTT) A in X is defined as an object of the form

 $A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle \colon x \in X \},\$

where $\mu_A(x): X \to [0,1]$ and $\nu_A(x): X \to [0,1]$ denote the membership and non-membership functions of A, respectively, and

$$0 \le \mu_A^3(x) + \nu_A^3(x) \le 1$$
, for each $x \in X$.

Definition 2.6: [11] The degree of non-determinacy (uncertainty) of an element $x \in X$ in the IFSTT *A* is defined by $\pi_A(x) = \sqrt[3]{1 - \mu_A^3(x) - \nu_A^3(x)}$.

Definition 2.7: [15] Let A, B, C be the IFSs in X, then the distance measure d between A and B is a mapping $d: X \times X \to [0,1]$ satisfying the following axioms:

(a) $0 \le d(A, B) \le 1$ (boundedness)

(b) d(A,B) = d(B,A)(symmetric)

(c) d(A,B) = 0 if and only if A = B

- (d) $d(A, C) + d(C, B) \ge d(A, B)$ (triangle inequality)
- (e) if $A \subseteq B \subseteq C$, then $d(A, C) \ge d(A, B)$ and $d(A, C) \ge d(B, C)$.

Definition 2.8: [15] Let

$$A = \{ \langle x_i, \mu_A(x_i), \nu_A(x_i) \rangle : x_i \in X \}, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and

$$B = \{ \langle x_i, \mu_B(x_i), \nu_B(x_i) \rangle : x_i \in X \}, \forall i = 1, 2, ..., n,$$

be two IFSs in *X*. Then the distance measures between *A* and *B* is defined by:

The Hamming Distance:

$$d_H(A,B) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (|\mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i)| + |\nu_A(x_i) - \nu_B(x_i)| + |\pi_A(x_i) - \pi_B(x_i)|)$$

The normalized Hamming Distance:

$$d_{n-H}(A,B) = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (|\mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i)| + |\nu_A(x_i) - \nu_B(x_i)| + |\pi_A(x_i) - \pi_B(x_i)|)$$

Example: Let $X = \{1,2,3,4,5,6,7\}$. Let $A = \{(1,0.7,0.3), (2,0.2,0.8), (4,0.6,0.4), (5,0.5,0.5), (6,1,0)\}$, and $B = \{(1,0.2,0.8), (4,0.6,0.4), (5,0.8,0.2), (7,1,0)\}$ be two IFSs in X.

Using the definition 2.8, we get the hamming distance between *A* and *B* is $d_H(A,B) = 3$ and the normalized hamming distance is $d_{n-H}(A,B) = 0.428571$

3. DISTANCEMEASUREOFIFSSTANDIFSTT

In this section, we define the Hamming and normalized Hamming distance measures of IFSST and IFSTT with suitable example. Also, the comparison is made between the measures.

Definition 3.1: Let *A*, *B*, *C* be the IFSSTs in *X*, then the distance measure *d* between *A* and *B* is a mapping $d: X \times X \rightarrow [0,1]$ satisfying the following axioms:

(a) $0 \le d(A, B) \le 1$ (boundedness)

© 2018, IJMA. All Rights Reserved

215

CONFERENCE PAPER International Conference dated 08-10 Jan. 2018, on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets and Systems (ICIFSS - 2018), Organized by Vellalar College for Women (Autonomous), Erode, Tamil Nadu, India.

Syed Siddiqua Begum*, R. Srinivasan† /

A Comparative Study of Various Distance Measures in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets and their.... / IJMA- 9(1), Jan.-2018, (Special Issue)

- (b) d(A,B) = d(B,A)(symmetric)
- (c) d(A,B) = 0 if and only if A = B
- (d) $d(A,C) + d(C,B) \ge d(A,B)$ (triangle inequality)
- (e) if $A \subseteq B \subseteq C$, then $d(A, C) \ge d(A, B)$ and $d(A, C) \ge d(B, C)$.

Definition 3.2: Let

$$A = \{ \langle x_i, \mu_A(x_i), \nu_A(x_i) \rangle : x_i \in X \}, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and

$$B = \{ \langle x_i, \mu_B(x_i), \nu_B(x_i) \rangle : x_i \in X \}, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n \}$$

be two IFSSTs in X. Then the distance measures between A and B is defined by:

The Hamming Distance:

$$d_H(A,B) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (|\mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i)|^2 + |\nu_A(x_i) - \nu_B(x_i)|^2 + |\pi_A(x_i) - \pi_B(x_i)|^2)}$$

n.

The normalized Hamming Distance:

$$d_{n-H}(A,B) = \frac{1}{2n} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (|\mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i)|^2 + |\nu_A(x_i) - \nu_B(x_i)|^2 + |\pi_A(x_i) - \pi_B(x_i)|^2)}$$

Example: Let $X = \{1,2,3,4,5,6,7\}$. Let $A = \{(1,0.7,0.3), (2,0.2,0.8), (4,0.6,0.4), (5,0.5,0.5), (6,1,0)\}$, and $B = \{(1,0.2,0.8), (4,0.6,0.4), (5,0.8,0.2), (7,1,0)\}$ be two IFSSTs in X.

Using the definition 3.2, we get the hamming distance between *A* and *B* is $d_H(A, B) = 1.12991$ and the normalized hamming distance is $d_{n-H}(A, B) = 0.161416$

Definition 3.3: Let A, B, C be the IFSTTs in X, then the distance measure d between A and B is a mapping $d: X \times X \rightarrow [0,1]$ satisfying the following axioms:

- (a) $0 \le d(A, B) \le 1$ (boundedness)
- (b) d(A,B) = d(B,A)(symmetric)
- (c) d(A,B) = 0 if and only if A = B
- (d) $d(A,C) + d(C,B) \ge d(A,B)$ (triangle inequality)
- (e) if $A \subseteq B \subseteq C$, then $d(A, C) \ge d(A, B)$ and $d(A, C) \ge d(B, C)$.

Definition 3.4: Let

$$A = \{ \langle x_i, \mu_A(x_i), \nu_A(x_i) \rangle : x_i \in X \}, \, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

and

$$B = \{ (x_i, \mu_B(x_i), \nu_B(x_i)) : x_i \in X \}, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

be two IFSTTs in X. Then the distance measures between A and B is defined by:

The Hamming Distance:

$$d_H(A,B) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (|\mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i)|^3 + |\nu_A(x_i) - \nu_B(x_i)|^3 + |\pi_A(x_i) - \pi_B(x_i)|^3)}$$

The normalized Hamming Distance:

$$d_{n-H}(A,B) = \frac{1}{2n} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (|\mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i)|^3 + |\nu_A(x_i) - \nu_B(x_i)|^3 + |\pi_A(x_i) - \pi_B(x_i)|^3)}$$

© 2018, IJMA. All Rights Reserved

CONFERENCE PAPER

International Conference dated 08-10 Jan. 2018, on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets and Systems (ICIFSS - 2018), Organized by Vellalar College for Women (Autonomous), Erode, Tamil Nadu, India.

216

Syed Siddiqua Begum*, R. Srinivasan† /

A Comparative Study of Various Distance Measures in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets and their.... / IJMA- 9(1), Jan.-2018, (Special Issue)

Example: Let $A = \{(1, 0.7, 0.3), (2, 0.2, 0.8), (4, 0.6, 0.4), (5, 0.5, 0.5), (6, 1, 0)\}$, and $B = \{(1, 0.2, 0.8), (4, 0.6, 0.4), 5, 0.8, 0.2, 7, 1, 0 \text{ be two IFSTTs in } X.$

Using the definition 3.4, we get the hamming distance between A and B is

 $d_H(A, B) = 0.843573$ and the normalized hamming distance is $d_{n-H}(A, B) = 0.12051$

The following table gives the comparison between the distance measures among IFS and their extensions.

Measures	IFS	IFSST	IFSTT
Hamming Distance	3	1.12991	0.843573
Normalized Hamming Distance	0.428571	0.161416	0.12051

4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have defined the Hamming and normalized Hamming distance measures of IFSST and IFSTT and the comparison is made with the suitable example. It is concluded from the table that the normalized hamming distance measure of IFSTT gives the shortest value among all the measures. It is open to check the application of these measures in real life situations.

REFERENCES

- 1. Atanassov K. T., Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets Theory and Applications, Springer Verlag, New York, (1999).
- 2. Ejegwa P. A., Akubo A. J., and Joshua O. M., Intuitionistic fuzzy sets in career determination, J. of Inf. And
- a. Comp. Sci., 9(4), (2014), 285 288.
- Ejegwa P. A., Onoja A. M., and Emmanuel I. T., A note on some models of intuitionistic fuzzy sets in real life situations, J. of Glob. Res.in Math. Arch., 2(5), (2014), 42–50.
- 4. Ejegwa P. A., Akubo A. J., Joshua O. M., Intuitionistic fuzzy set and its application in career determination, J. of Inf. And Comp. Sci., 9(4), (2014), 285–288.
- 5. Ejegwa P. A., Uleh B. S., and Onwe E., Intuitionistic fuzzy sets in electoral system, Int. J. of Sci. and Tech., 3(4), (2014), 241–243.
- 6. Kozae A. M., Elshenawy, Assem Omran, Manar, Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set and Its Application in Selecting Specialization: A Case Study for Engineering Students, Int. J. of Math. Analy. and App. 2(6), (2015), 74–78.
- Parvathi R., and Palaniappan N., Some Operations on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets of Second type, Notes on IFS, 2, (2004), 1 – 19.
- 8. Srinivasan R., and Syed Siddiqua Begum, Some Properties on Intuitionistic Fuzzy sets of Third Type, Adv. In Fuzzy Math., 12(2), (2017), 189 195.
- 9. Srinivasan R., and Syed Siddiqua Begum, Some Properties of Intuitionistic Fuzzy sets of Third Type, Int. J. of Sci. and Human., 1(1), (2015), 53–58.
- 10. Srinivasan R., and Syed Siddiqua Begum, Some Properties on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets of Third Type, Ann. of Fuzzy Math. and Inf., 10(5), (2015), 799–804.
- 11. Srinivasan R., and Syed Siddiqua Begum, A Study on Intuitionistic Fuzzy sets of Third Type, Rec. Tre. In Math., 1(1), (2015), 230–234.
- 12. Srinivasan R., and Syed Siddiqua Begum, A Study on Properties of Intuitionistic Fuzzy sets of Third Type, Int. J.of Multi. Res. And Mod. Edu. (2), (2016), 329–334.
- 13. Srinivasan R., and Syed Siddiqua Begum, A Study on Properties of Intuitionistic Fuzzy sets of Third Type, Int. J. of Math.and itsApp., 4(2-B),(2016),59–64.
- 14. Srinivasan R., and Syed Siddiqua Begum, Properties on Intuitionistic Fuzzy sets of Third Type, 978–1–5090–4492–4/16 at IEEE (2016).
- 15. Szmidt E., Kacprzyk J., Distances between intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Sys., 114(3), (2000), 505-518.
- 16. Zadeh L. A., Fuzzy sets, Inf. and Cont., 8, (1965), 338–353.

Source of support: Proceedings of UGC Funded International Conference on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets and Systems (ICIFSS-2018), Organized by: Vellalar College for Women (Autonomous), Erode, Tamil Nadu, India.

© 2018, IJMA. All Rights Reserved

CONFERENCE PAPER

International Conference dated 08-10 Jan. 2018, on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets and Systems (ICIFSS - 2018), Organized by Vellalar College for Women (Autonomous), Erode, Tamil Nadu, India.