

SPLITTING AND ADMISSIBLE TOPOLOGIES DEFINED ON THE SET OF CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS BETWEEN BITOPOLOGICAL SPACES

N. E. MUTURI*, J. M. KHALAGAI AND G. P. POKHARIYAL

School of Mathematics, University of Nairobi, P. O. Box 00100-30197, Nairobi, Kenya.

(Received On: 09-12-17; Revised & Accepted On: 02-01-18)

ABSTRACT

In this paper, p -splitting, p -admissible, s -splitting and s -admissible topologies on the sets $p-C(Y, Z)$ and $s-C(Y, Z)$ are defined and their properties explored. exponential functions are introduced in function spaces and s -splitting and s -admissible topologies defined on $s-C(Y, Z)$ compared using these mappings.

2010 AMS Classification: 54A10, 54C35, 54E55.

Keywords: p -splitting, p -admissible, s -splitting and s -admissible topologies.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X, Y and Z be topological spaces, the set of all continuous functions from Y to Z is denoted by $C(Y, Z)$. This set when given a topology τ forms the function space $C_\tau(Y, Z)$. For any function $h : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ which is continuous in Y for each fixed $x \in X$, there is an associated map $h^* : X \rightarrow C_\tau(Y, Z)$. The function h^* is defined as follows, $h^*(x) = h_x$, where $h_x(y) = h(x, y)$ for every $y \in Y$ (Fox [3]). Arens and Dugundji [1] defines a topology τ defined on $C(Y, Z)$ to be splitting, if the continuity of the mapping h implies the continuity of the mapping h^* . Topology τ defined on $C(Y, Z)$ is said to be admissible, if the continuity of the mapping h^* implies the continuity of the mapping h . The latter is also defined, if the evaluation mapping $e : C_\tau(Y, Z) \times Y \rightarrow Z$ defined by $e(f, y) = f(y)$ is continuous. For the bitopological spaces (Y, τ_1, τ_2) and (Z, δ_1, δ_2) introduced by Kelly [4], the following sets of continuous functions have been defined. The set $i-C(Y, Z)$ of all i -continuous functions for $i=1,2$, the set $p-C(Y, Z)$ of all pairwise continuous functions and the set $s-C(Y, Z)$ of all supremum continuous functions (Muturi *et.al* [6] and Dvalishvili [2]). In this paper, we generalize bitopological concepts to function spaces defined on bitopological space and introduce p -splitting, p -admissible, s -splitting and s -admissible topologies on the set $p-C(Y, Z)$ and $s-C(Y, Z)$. exponential functions are also defined on function spaces and s -splitting and s -admissible topologies defined on the set $s-C(Y, Z)$ compared.

2. PRELIMINARIES

The following definition are important in this work.

Definition 2.1: (Pervin [5]). A function $f : (Y, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_1, \delta_2)$, is said to be pairwise continuous (p -continuous) if the induced functions $f : (Y, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_1)$ and $f : (Y, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_2)$ are continuous.

Definition 2.2: (Muturi *et al.* [6]). A subset A of a bitopological space $(Y, \tau_1 \vee \tau_2)$ is called a supremum-open set or simply s -open set if $A = U_1 \cup U_2$, where $U_1 \in \tau_1$ and $U_2 \in \tau_2$.

Definition 2.3: (Muturi *et al.* [6]). A function $f : (Y, \tau_1 \vee \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_1 \vee \delta_2)$, is said to be s -continuous, if the inverse image of each s -open subset of Z is s -open in Y .

Definition 2.4: The set of all pairwise continuous functions from the bitopological space (Y, τ_1, τ_2) to the bitopological space (Z, δ_1, δ_2) is denoted by $p-C(Y, Z)$, and the set of all supremum continuous function from the bitopological space $(Y, \tau_1 \vee \tau_2)$ to the bitopological space $(Z, \delta_1 \vee \delta_2)$ is denoted by $s-C(Y, Z)$.

Definition 2.5: The sets of the form $S((U, V), (A, B))_p = \{f \in p-C(Y, Z) : f(U) \subset V \text{ and } f(A) \subset B\}$ for U open in τ_1 , V open in δ_1 , A open in τ_2 and B open in δ_2 , defines the subbasis for the open-open topology on the set $p-C(Y, Z)$.

Corresponding Author: N. E. Muturi*,
School of Mathematics, University of Nairobi, P. O. Box 00100-30197, Nairobi, Kenya.

3. PAIRWISE SPLITTING AND PAIRWISE ADMISSIBLE TOPOLOGIES DEFINED ON THE SET $p-C(Y, Z)$

In this section, we explore pairwise splitting and pairwise admissible topologies defined on the set $p-C(Y, Z)$.

Proposition 3.1: *The function $h : (X, \sigma) \times (Y, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ is pairwise continuous in Y for each fixed $x \in X$, if the functions $h : (X, \sigma) \times (Y, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_1)$ and $h : (X, \sigma) \times (Y, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_2)$ are continuous in Y for each fixed $x \in X$.*

Proof: Let $h : (X, \sigma) \times (Y, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_1)$ and $h : (X, \sigma) \times (Y, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_2)$ be continuous functions in Y for each fixed $x \in X$, then the functions $h_x : (Y, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_1)$ and $h_x : (Y, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_2)$ are continuous. By definition of pairwise continuity, the function $h_x : (Y, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ is continuous for each $x \in X$. Since $h_x(y) = h(x, y)$ and $h(x)(y) = h(x, y)$, then $h_x(y) = h(x)(y)$, implying that the function $h : (X, \sigma) \times (Y, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ is continuous in Y for each fixed $x \in X$.

Proposition 3.2: *The function $h^* : (X, \sigma) \rightarrow p-C_\omega(Y, Z)$ is pairwise continuous, if the functions $h^* : (X, \sigma) \rightarrow 1-C_\zeta(Y, Z)$ and $h^* : (X, \sigma) \rightarrow 2-C_\zeta(Y, Z)$ are continuous, where $h : (X, \sigma) \times (Y, \tau_i) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$.*

Proof: Let $h^* : (X, \sigma) \rightarrow 1-C_\zeta(Y, Z)$ and $h^* : (X, \sigma) \rightarrow 2-C_\zeta(Y, Z)$ be continuous functions. Then for each fixed $x \in X$, the functions $h_x : (Y, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_1)$ and $h_x : (Y, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_2)$ are continuous. By definition of pairwise continuity, the function $h_x : (Y, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ is continuous for each $x \in X$. Since $h_x = h^*(x)$, then the function $h^* : (X, \sigma) \rightarrow p-C_\omega(Y, Z)$ is continuous.

From the above propositions, we introduce the following definitions.

Definition 3.3: *A topology ω on $p-C(Y, Z)$ is said to be pairwise splitting (p -splitting) if the continuity of the functions $h : (X, \sigma) \times (Y, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_1)$ and $h : (X, \sigma) \times (Y, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_2)$ in Y for each fixed $x \in X$, implies that of $h^* : (X, \sigma) \rightarrow p-C_\omega(Y, Z)$.*

Definition 3.4: *A topology ω on $p-C(Y, Z)$ is said to be pairwise admissible (p -admissible) if the continuity of the functions $h^* : (X, \sigma) \rightarrow 1-C_\zeta(Y, Z)$ and $h^* : (X, \sigma) \rightarrow 2-C_\zeta(Y, Z)$ implies that of $h : (X, \sigma) \times (Y, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ in Y for each fixed $x \in X$.*

Theorem 3.5: *Let $h : (X, \sigma) \times (Y, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_1)$ and $h : (X, \sigma) \times (Y, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_2)$ be continuous functions, then the compact open topology ω defined on $p-C(Y, Z)$ is pairwise splitting.*

Proof: Let $h : (X, \sigma) \times (Y, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_1)$ and $h : (X, \sigma) \times (Y, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_2)$ be continuous functions in Y for each fixed $x \in X$, and let $x_0 \in X$ such that $h^*(x_0) \in S((U, V)(A, B))_p$, where $S((U, V)(A, B))_p$ is open in $p-C(Y, Z)$. Then $h^*(x_0) \in S(U, V)_1$ and $h^*(x_0) \in S(A, B)_2$, implying that $x_0 \times U \subset h^{-1}(V)$ and $x_0 \times A \subset h^{-1}(B)$. Since U and A are compact, then by tube lemma there exist an open set W neighbourhood of x_0 such that $W \times U \subset h^{-1}(V)$ and $W \times A \subset h^{-1}(B)$, this implies that $h^*(W) \subset S(U, V)_1$ and $h^*(W) \subset S(A, B)_2$, implying further that $h^* : (X, \sigma) \rightarrow 1-C_\zeta(Y, Z)$ and $h^* : (X, \sigma) \rightarrow 2-C_\zeta(Y, Z)$ are continuous functions. By proposition 3.2, the function $h^* : (X, \sigma) \rightarrow p-C_\omega(Y, Z)$ is continuous and by definition 3.3, topology ω is pairwise splitting on $p-C(Y, Z)$.

Theorem 3.6: *Let $h^* : (X, \sigma) \rightarrow 1-C_\zeta(Y, Z)$ and $h^* : (X, \sigma) \rightarrow 2-C_\zeta(Y, Z)$ be continuous functions, then the compact open topology ω defined on $p-C(Y, Z)$ is pairwise admissible for locally compact spaces (Y, τ_1) and (Y, τ_2) .*

Proof: Let ς and ζ be compact open topologies on $1-C(Y, Z)$ and $2-C(Y, Z)$ respectively such that the evaluation functions $e : 1-C_\varsigma(Y, Z) \times Y \rightarrow Z$ and $e : 2-C_\zeta(Y, Z) \times Y \rightarrow Z$ are continuous. Let $h^* : (X, \sigma) \rightarrow 1-C_\varsigma(Y, Z)$ and $h^* : (X, \sigma) \rightarrow 2-C_\zeta(Y, Z)$ be continuous functions and $i : (Y, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1)$ and $i : (Y, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$ be identity functions, then $e \circ (h^* \times i) : (X, \sigma) \times (Y, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_1)$ and $e \circ (h^* \times i) : (X, \sigma) \times (Y, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_2)$ are continuous functions. By proposition 3.1, the function $e \circ (h^* \times i) : (X, \sigma) \times (Y, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ is continuous in Y for each fixed $x \in X$ and by definition 3.4, topology ω defined on $p-C(Y, Z)$ is pairwise admissible.

Remark 3.7: *From theorem 3.5 and theorem 3.6, we conclude that τ on $p-C(Y, Z)$ is p -splitting or p -admissible topology if ς and ζ are splitting or admissible topologies on $1-C(Y, Z)$ and $2-C(Y, Z)$ respectively.*

4. SUPREMUM SPLITTING AND SUPREMUM ADMISSIBLE TOPOLOGIES DEFINED ON THE SET $s-C(Y, Z)$

In this section, supremum splitting and supremum admissible topologies are introduced on the set $s-C(Y, Z)$.

Definition 4.1: A topology τ on $s-C(Y, Z)$ is said to be supremum splitting (s -splitting) if the continuity of the functions $f: (X, \sigma) \times (Y, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_1)$ and $f: (X, \sigma) \times (Y, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_2)$ in Y for each fixed $x \in X$, implies that of $f^*: (X, \sigma) \rightarrow s-C_\tau(Y, Z)$.

Definition 4.2: A topology τ on $s-C(Y, Z)$ is said to be supremum admissible (s -admissible) if the continuity of the functions $f^*: (X, \sigma) \rightarrow 1-C_\zeta(Y, Z)$ and $f^*: (X, \sigma) \rightarrow 2-C_\zeta(Y, Z)$, implies that of $f: (X, \sigma) \times (Y, \tau_1 \vee \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_1 \vee \delta_2)$ in Y for each fixed $x \in X$.

Proposition 4.3: The function $f: (X, \sigma) \times (Y, \tau_1 \vee \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_1 \vee \delta_2)$ is continuous if the functions $f: (X, \sigma) \times (Y, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_1)$ and $f: (X, \sigma) \times (Y, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_2)$ are continuous.

Proof: Let the functions $f: (X, \sigma) \times (Y, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_1)$ and $f: (X, \sigma) \times (Y, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_2)$ be continuous in Y for each fixed $x \in X$. then the associated functions $f_x: (Y, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_1)$ and $f_x: (Y, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_2)$ defined by $f_x(y) = f(x, y)$, are continuous $\forall x \in X$. From theorem 3.1 [6], it follows that the function $f_x: (Y, \tau_1 \vee \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_1 \vee \delta_2)$ is s -continuous $\forall x \in X$. Since $f_x(y) = f(x, y)$ and $f(x)(y) = f(x, y)$, then $f_x(y) = f(x)(y)$ and hence $f: (X, \sigma) \times (Y, \tau_1 \vee \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_1 \vee \delta_2)$ is continuous in Y for each fixed $x \in X$.

Proposition 4.4: The function $f^*: (X, \sigma) \rightarrow s-C_\tau(Y, Z)$ is continuous if the functions $f^*: (X, \sigma) \rightarrow 1-C_\zeta(Y, Z)$ and $f^*: (X, \sigma) \rightarrow 2-C_\zeta(Y, Z)$ are continuous.

Proof: Let $f^*: (X, \sigma) \rightarrow 1-C_\zeta(Y, Z)$ and $f^*: (X, \sigma) \rightarrow 2-C_\zeta(Y, Z)$ be a continuous functions, then for the functions $f: (X, \sigma) \times (Y, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_1)$ and $f: (X, \sigma) \times (Y, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_2)$, the associated functions $f_x: (Y, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_1)$ and $f_x: (Y, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_2)$ defined by $f_x = f^*(x)$, $\forall x \in X$ are continuous. From theorem 3.1 [6], it follows that the function $f_x: (Y, \tau_1 \vee \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_1 \vee \delta_2)$ is s -continuous $\forall x \in X$. Since $f_x = f^*(x)$, then the function $f^*: (X, \sigma) \rightarrow s-C(Y, Z)$ is continuous.

Theorem 4.5: A compact open topology τ is s -splitting if the continuity of the functions $f: (X, \sigma) \times (Y, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_1)$ and $f: (X, \sigma) \times (Y, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_2)$ implies continuity of the function $f^*: (X, \sigma) \rightarrow s-C_\tau(Y, Z)$.

Proof: Let $f: (X, \sigma) \times (Y, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_1)$ and $f: (X, \sigma) \times (Y, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_2)$ be continuous functions in Y for each fixed $x \in X$. Then from proposition 4.3, the function $f: (X, \sigma) \times (Y, \tau_1 \vee \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_1 \vee \delta_2)$ is continuous. Let $x_0 \in X$ and $S(U, V)_s$ be open in $s-C_\tau(Y, Z)$, then $f^*(x_0) \in S(U, V)_s$, implying that $x_0 \times U \subset f^{-1}(V)$. Since U is compact, then by tube lemma, there exist an open set W neighbourhood of x_0 such that $W \times U \subset f^{-1}(V)$. This implies that $f^*(W) \subset S(U, V)_s$, implying further that $f^*: (X, \sigma) \rightarrow s-C_\tau(Y, Z)$ is continuous functions. By definition 4.1, topology τ is s -splitting on $s-C(Y, Z)$.

Theorem 4.6: Let $f^*: (X, \sigma) \rightarrow 1-C_\zeta(Y, Z)$ and $f^*: (X, \sigma) \rightarrow 2-C_\zeta(Y, Z)$ be continuous functions, then the compact open topology τ defined on $s-C(Y, Z)$ is s -admissible for locally compact spaces (Y, τ_1) and (Y, τ_2) .

Proof: Let ζ and ζ' be compact open topologies on $1-C(Y, Z)$ and $2-C(Y, Z)$ respectively, and let $f^*: (X, \sigma) \rightarrow 1-C_\zeta(Y, Z)$ and $f^*: (X, \sigma) \rightarrow 2-C_{\zeta'}(Y, Z)$ be a continuous functions, then by proposition 4.4, the function $f^*: (X, \sigma) \rightarrow s-C_\tau(Y, Z)$ is continuous. Let $i: (Y, \tau_1 \vee \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1 \vee \tau_2)$ be an identity function and let $e: s-C_\tau(Y, Z) \times (Y, \tau_1 \vee \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_1 \vee \delta_2)$ be an evaluation mapping. Since τ is compact open topology, then the evaluation mapping e is continuous and the composite mapping $e \circ (f^* \times i): (X, \sigma) \times (Y, \tau_1 \vee \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \delta_1 \vee \delta_2)$ is also continuous in Y for each fixed $x \in X$. By definition 4.2, topology τ is s -admissible.

Remark 4.7: From theorem 4.5 and theorem 4.6, we note that if ζ and ζ' are splitting or admissible topologies on $1-C(Y, Z)$ and $2-C(Y, Z)$ respectively, then τ on $s-C(Y, Z)$ is s -splitting or s -admissible topology.

5. EXPONENTIAL MAPPINGS DEFINED ON FUNCTION SPACES

Let (X, σ) , $(Z, \delta_1 \vee \delta_2)$ be arbitrary spaces and let $(Y, \tau_1 \vee \tau_2)$ be locally compact Hausdorff space.

Definition 5.1: Consider the exponential mapping $\Lambda: C(X \times Y, Z) \rightarrow C(X, s-C_\phi(Y, Z))$, defined by $\Lambda(f)(x)(y) = f(x, y)$ for each $f \in C(X \times Y, Z)$, $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. A topology ϕ on $s-C(Y, Z)$ is called s -splitting topology if Λ is a continuous function with respect to ϕ .

Definition 5.2: Consider the exponential mapping $\Lambda^{-1}: C(X, s-C_\phi(Y, Z)) \rightarrow C(X \times Y, Z)$, defined by $\Lambda^{-1}((g)(x, y)) = g(x)(y)$ where $g \in C(X, s-C_\phi(Y, Z))$ for each $(x, y) \in X \times Y$. A topology ϕ on $s-C(Y, Z)$ is called s -admissible topology if the function Λ^{-1} is continuous with respect to ϕ .

Proposition 5.3: The function $\Lambda^{-1} \circ \Lambda : C(X \times Y, Z) \rightarrow C(X \times Y, Z)$ is continuous.

Proof: Observe that $(\Lambda^{-1} \circ \Lambda(f))(x, y) = \Lambda^{-1}(\Lambda(f))(x, y) = \Lambda(f)(x)(y) = f(x, y)$. Implying that $\Lambda^{-1} \circ \Lambda(f) = f$, hence $\Lambda^{-1} \circ \Lambda$ is an identity function.

Proposition 5.4: The function $\Lambda \circ \Lambda^{-1} : C(X, s-C_\phi(Y, Z)) \rightarrow C(X, s-C_\phi(Y, Z))$ is continuous.

Proof: Observe that $(\Lambda \circ \Lambda^{-1}(f))(x)(y) = \Lambda(\Lambda^{-1}(f))(x)(y) = \Lambda^{-1}(f)(x, y) = f(x)(y)$. Implying that $\Lambda \circ \Lambda^{-1}(f) = f$, hence $\Lambda \circ \Lambda^{-1}$ is an identity function.

Remark 5.5: From proposition 5.3 and proposition 5.4, it follows that Λ is a homeomorphism.

Proposition 5.6: The function $i : C(X, s-C_{\phi_1}(Y, Z)) \rightarrow C(X, s-C_{\phi_2}(Y, Z))$ is continuous if and only if $\phi_2 \subset \phi_1$.

Proof: The function i is continuous if and only if $S(W, S(U, V)) \in \phi_2$ implies that $i^{-1}(S(W, S(U, V))) \in \phi_1$, but i is an identity function, therefore $i^{-1}(S(W, S(U, V))) = S(W, S(U, V))$. Hence i is continuous if and only if $S(W, S(U, V)) \in \phi_2$ implies $S(W, S(U, V)) \in \phi_1$.

Theorem 5.7: The following statements are true;

- (i) Let ϕ_1 be s -splitting topology on $s-C(Y, Z)$ and let $\phi_2 \subset \phi_1$, then ϕ_2 is also s -splitting topology on $s-C(Y, Z)$.
- (ii) Let ϕ_1 be s -admissible topology on $s-C(Y, Z)$ and let $\phi_1 \subset \phi_2$, then ϕ_2 is also s -admissible topology on $s-C(Y, Z)$.
- (iii) Let ϕ_1 be s -splitting topology on $s-C(Y, Z)$ and let ϕ_2 be admissible topology on $s-C(Y, Z)$, then $\phi_1 \subset \phi_2$.

Proof:

- (i) Let ϕ_1 be s -splitting topology, then by definition 5.1 the function $\Lambda : C(X \times Y, Z) \rightarrow C(X, s-C_{\phi_1}(Y, Z))$, defined by $\Lambda(f)(x)(y) = f(x, y)$ for each $f \in C(X \times Y, Z)$, $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$, is continuous with respect to ϕ_1 . Let ϕ_2 be any other topology such that $\phi_2 \subset \phi_1$, then by proposition 5.6, the function $i : C(X, s-C_{\phi_1}(Y, Z)) \rightarrow C(X, s-C_{\phi_2}(Y, Z))$ is continuous. Now the composite function $i \circ \Lambda : C(X \times Y, Z) \rightarrow C(X, s-C_{\phi_2}(Y, Z))$ is continuous with respect to ϕ_2 , implying that ϕ_2 is also s -splitting topology.
- (ii) Let ϕ_1 be s -admissible topology, then by definition 5.2 the function $\Lambda^{-1} : C(X, s-C_{\phi_1}(Y, Z)) \rightarrow C(X \times Y, Z)$ defined by $\Lambda^{-1}((g)(x, y)) = g(x)(y)$ where $g \in C(X, s-C_{\phi_1}(Y, Z))$ for each $(x, y) \in X \times Y$, is continuous with respect to ϕ_1 . Let $\phi_1 \subset \phi_2$, then by proposition 5.6, the function $i : C(X, s-C_{\phi_2}(Y, Z)) \rightarrow C(X, s-C_{\phi_1}(Y, Z))$ is continuous. Now the composite function $\Lambda^{-1} \circ i : C(X, s-C_{\phi_2}(Y, Z)) \rightarrow C(X \times Y, Z)$ is continuous with respect to ϕ_2 . Hence ϕ_2 is also s -admissible topology.
- (iii) Let ϕ_1 be s -splitting topology, then by definition 5.1 the function $\Lambda : C(X \times Y, Z) \rightarrow C(X, s-C_{\phi_1}(Y, Z))$, defined by $\Lambda(f)(x)(y) = f(x, y)$ for each $f \in C(X \times Y, Z)$, $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$, is continuous with respect to ϕ_1 . Let ϕ_2 be s -admissible topology, then by definition 5.2 the function $\Lambda^{-1} : C(X, s-C_{\phi_1}(Y, Z)) \rightarrow C(X \times Y, Z)$ defined by $\Lambda^{-1}((g)(x, y)) = g(x)(y)$ where $g \in C(X, s-C_{\phi_1}(Y, Z))$ for each $(x, y) \in X \times Y$, is continuous with respect to ϕ_1 . Now the composite function $\Lambda \circ \Lambda^{-1} : C(X, s-C_{\phi_1}(Y, Z)) \rightarrow C(X \times Y, Z)$ is continuous by proposition 5.6, implying that $\phi_1 \subset \phi_2$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Arens, R. F. and Dugundji J., *Topologies for function space*, Pacific J. Math. **1** (1951), 5-31.
- [2] Dvalishvili B. P., *Bitopological Spaces, Theory, Relations with Generalized Algebraic Structures, and Applications*, Elsevier Ltd, San Diego, USA, 2005.
- [3] Fox R. H., *On topologies for function spaces*, American Mathematical Society **27** (1945), 427-432.
- [4] Kelly J. C., *Bitopological Spaces.*, Proc. London Math Soc. **13** (1963), 71- 89.
- [5] Pervin W. J., *Connectedness in Bitopological Spaces*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A70, Indag. Math. **29** (1967) 369-372.
- [6] Muturi N. E., Pokhariyal G.P. and Khalaghai J. M., *Continuity of functions on function spaces defined on bitopological spaces*. Journal of Advanced Studies in Topology **8** (2) (2017), 130-134.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared.

[Copy right © 2018. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]