

FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR WEAK C-CONTRACTIONS AND WEAKLY COMPATIBLE MAPPINGS IN 2-METRIC SPACE

^{1,*}KRISHNADHAN SARKAR AND ²KALISHANKAR TIWARY

¹Department of Mathematics,
Raniganj Girls' College, Raniganj-713358, West Bengal, India.

²Department of Mathematics,
Raiganj University, Raiganj-733134, West Bengal, India.

(Received On: 15-03-18; Revised & Accepted On: 11-04-18)

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this paper is to study a common fixed point theorem on 2-metric space using weak C-contraction and weakly compatibility. We mainly generalize the result of Dung and Hang [6], which unifies and generalizes many results in the literature.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

Key Words: 2-metric space, weak C contraction, weak compatible maps, Fixed point.

INTRODUCTION

The concept of 2-metric space is a natural generalization of a metric space. It has been investigated initially by Gahler [7]. Then many researchers like Iseki [8], Rhoades [13], Simoniya [14] etc. prove many fixed points in this space. Gahler [7] introduce 2-metric space as

Let X be a non-empty set and let $d: X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be such that

- (i) For every pair of distinct point x, y in X with $x \neq y$ there exists a point z in X such that $d(x, y, z) \neq 0$.
- (ii) $d(x, y, z) = 0$ when at least two of the three points are equal.
- (iii) For any x, y, z in X , $d(x, y, z) = d(x, z, y) = d(y, z, x)$.
- (iv) For any x, y, z, w in X , $d(x, y, z) \leq d(x, y, w) + d(x, w, z) + d(w, y, z)$.

Then d is called a 2-metric [4] and (X, d) is called a 2-metric space [4].

A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called a Cauchy sequence [7] when $d(x_n, x_m, a) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$

A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be converge [7] to an element x in X when $d(x_n, x, a) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

A 2-metric space (X, d) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in X converges to a point of X .

Naidu and Prasad [12] proved that every convergent sequence need not be a Cauchy sequence in 2-metric space. Chatterjea [2] introduced the notion of a C-contraction.

Definition: [2] Let (X, d) be a metric space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a map. Then T is called a C-contraction if there exists $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$ such that for all $x, y \in X$,

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha[d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)].$$

C-contraction was generalized to weak C-contraction by Choudhury [4] as

Definition: [4] Let (X, d) be a metric space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a map. Then T is called a weak C-contraction if there exists $\psi: [0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ which is continuous and $\psi(s, t) = 0$ if and only if $s = t = 0$ such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \frac{1}{2}[d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)] - \psi(d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Choudhury [4] proved that if X is a complete metric space, then every weak C-contraction has a fixed point. Dung and Hang [6] proved a fixed point theorem for weak C-contraction in partially ordered 2-metric space for one mapping.

Corresponding Author: ^{1,*}Krishnadhan Sarkar,

¹Department of Mathematics, Raniganj Girls' College, Raniganj-713358, West Bengal, India.

In this paper, we will prove common fixed point theorem for four mappings in 2-metric space with the help of weak C-contraction and weakly compatible mappings by using $\psi(a, b) = \frac{1}{2} \min\{a, b\}$.

MAIN RESULTS

Theorem: Let, (X, d) be a complete 2-metric space, and F, G, S , and T be self maps of X satisfying $S(X) \subseteq F(X)$, $T(X) \subseteq G(X)$ and weak C contraction such that

$$d(Sx, Ty, u) \leq \frac{1}{2} [d(Gx, Ty, u) + d(Fy, Sx, u)] - \psi(d(Gx, Ty, u), d(Fy, Sx, u)) \quad (1)$$

for all x, y in X and $\psi: [0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ which is continuous and $\psi(s, t) = 0$ if and only if $s = t = 0$ and $F(X)$ and $G(X)$ are closed subsets of X . (T, F) and (S, G) are weakly compatible. Then, F, G, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: Let x_0 be any point in X and as $S(X) \subseteq F(X)$, $T(X) \subseteq G(X)$ then there exists x_1, x_2 in X such that $Sx_0 = Fx_1$, $Tx_1 = Gx_2$

Inductively, we can construct sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X such that $y_n = Sx_n = Fx_{n+1}$ and $y_{n+1} = Tx_{n+1} = Gx_{n+2}$, $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_n, y_{n+1}, u) &= d(Sx_n, Tx_{n+1}, u) \leq \frac{1}{2} [d(Gx_n, Tx_{n+1}, u) + d(Fx_{n+1}, Sx_n, u)] - \psi(d(Gx_n, Tx_{n+1}, u), d(Fx_{n+1}, Sx_n, u)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [d(y_{n-1}, y_{n+1}, u) + d(y_n, y_n, u)] - \psi(d(y_{n-1}, y_{n+1}, u), d(y_n, y_n, u)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} d(y_{n-1}, y_{n+1}, u) - \psi(d(y_{n-1}, y_{n+1}, u), 0) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} d(y_{n-1}, y_{n+1}, u) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

$$\text{Now, if we put } u = y_{n-1} \text{ in (3) then we get, } d(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n-1}) \leq 0. \quad (4)$$

Now, from (3) and (4) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_n, y_{n+1}, u) &\leq \frac{1}{2} d(y_{n-1}, y_{n+1}, u) \leq \frac{1}{2} [d(y_{n-1}, y_{n+1}, y_n) + d(y_{n-1}, y_n, u) + d(y_n, y_{n+1}, u)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [d(y_{n-1}, y_n, u) + d(y_n, y_{n+1}, u)]. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$$\text{Which gives that, } d(y_n, y_{n+1}, u) \leq d(y_{n-1}, y_n, u) \quad (6)$$

So, $\{d(y_n, y_{n+1}, u)\}$ is a non-negative decreasing sequence and hence it is convergent.

$$\text{Let, } d(y_n, y_{n+1}, u) = r \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Taking } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{ in (4) and using (6) we get, } r &\leq \frac{1}{2} d(y_{n-1}, y_{n+1}, u) \leq \frac{1}{2}(r+r) = r \\ \text{i.e., } d(y_{n-1}, y_{n+1}, u) &= 2r \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Taking } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{ in (2) and using (7) and (8) we get, } r &\leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2r - \psi(0, 2r) \\ \text{i.e., } \psi(0, 2r) &\leq 0 \text{ which shows that } r = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So, from (7) we get, } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, y_{n+1}, u) = 0 \quad (9)$$

Now, we will prove that $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } d(y_n, y_{n+2}, u) &\leq d(y_n, y_{n+2}, y_{n+1}) + d(y_n, y_{n+1}, u) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, u) \\ &= d(y_n, y_{n+2}, y_{n+1}) + \sum_{r=0}^1 d(y_{n+r}, y_{n+r+1}, u). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } d(y_n, y_{n+2}, y_{n+1}) &= d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, y_n) = d(Sx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+2}, y_n) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} [d(Gx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+2}, y_n) + d(Fx_{n+2}, Sx_{n+1}, y_n)] - \psi(d(Gx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+2}, y_n), d(Fx_{n+2}, Sx_{n+1}, y_n)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [d(y_n, y_{n+2}, y_n) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, y_n)] - \psi(d(y_n, y_{n+2}, y_n), d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, y_n)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [0+0] - \psi(0, 0) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

$$\text{Putting the value of (11) in (10) we get, } d(y_n, y_{n+2}, u) \leq \sum_{r=0}^1 d(y_{n+r}, y_{n+r+1}, u)$$

Similarly proceeding as above we will get,

$$d(y_n, y_{n+p}, u) \leq \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} d(y_{n+r}, y_{n+r+1}, u)$$

Taking $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$ on the above inequality we get, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, y_{n+p}, u) = 0$ (by (9))

Which shows that $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X.

Since, $G(X)$ is complete then $\{y_n\}$ converges to a point z in $G(X)$. i.e., $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = z$.

Since, $T(X) \subset G(X)$, then there exists a point q in X such that $Gq = z$ (12)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } d(Sq, y_{n+1}, u) &= d(Sq, Tx_{n+1}, u) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} [d(Gq, Tx_{n+1}, u) + d(Fx_{n+1}Sq, u)] - \psi(d(Gq, Tx_{n+1}, u), d(Fx_{n+1}, Sq, u)) \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$ on the above inequality and using (12) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} d(Sq, z, u) &= d(Sq, z, u) \leq \frac{1}{2} [d(z, z, u) + d(z, Sq, u)] - \psi(d(z, z, u), d(z, Sq, u)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} d(z, Sq, u) - \psi(0, d(z, Sq, u)) \leq \frac{1}{2} d(z, Sq, u) \end{aligned}$$

i.e, $d(z, Sq, u) \leq 0$ i.e., $d(z, Sq, u) = 0$

So, $Sq = z$. (13)

From (12) & (13) we get, $Gq = z = Sq$ (14)

Again, (S, G) are weakly compatible so $SGq = GSq$ i.e., $Sz = Gz$ (by (14)) (15)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } d(Sz, y_{n+1}, u) &= d(Sz, Tx_{n+1}, u) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} [d(Gz, Tx_{n+1}, u) + d(Fx_{n+1}Sz, u)] - \psi(d(Gz, Tx_{n+1}, u), d(Fx_{n+1}, Sz, u)) \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$ on the above inequality and using (15) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} d(Sz, z, u) &\leq \frac{1}{2} [d(Sz, z, u) + d(z, Sz, u)] - \psi(d(Sz, z, u), d(z, Sz, u)) \text{ (by (15))} \\ &= d(Sz, z, u) - \psi(d(Sz, z, u), d(z, Sz, u)) \end{aligned}$$

i.e, $\psi(d(Sz, z, u), d(z, Sz, u)) \leq 0$

So, $Sz = z$

From (15) we get, $Sz = z = Gz$ (16)

Since, $S(X) \subset F(X)$, then there exists a point p in X such that $Fp = z$. (17)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } d(y_n, Tp, u) &= d(Sx_n, Tp, u) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} [d(Gx_n, Tp, u) + d(Fp, Sx_n, u)] - \psi(d(Gx_n, Tp, u), d(Fp, Sx_n, u)) \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$ on the above inequality and using (12) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, Tp, u) &\leq \frac{1}{2} [d(z, Tp, u) + d(z, z, u)] - \psi(d(z, Tp, u), d(z, z, u)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} d(z, Tp, u) - \psi(d(z, Tp, u), 0) \leq \frac{1}{2} d(z, Tp, u) \end{aligned}$$

i.e, $d(z, Tp, u) \leq 0$ i.e., $d(z, Tp, u) = 0$

So, $Tp = z$ (18)

From (17) and (18) we get, $Fp = z = Tp$ (19)

As (T, F) are weakly compatible then, $TFp = FTp$ i.e., $Tz = Fz$ (by (19)) (20)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } d(y_n, Tz, u) &= d(Sx_n, Tz, u) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} [d(Gx_n, Tz, u) + d(Fz, Sx_n, u)] - \psi(d(Gx_n, Tz, u), d(Fz, Sx_n, u)) \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$ on the above inequality and using (20) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, Tz, u) &\leq \frac{1}{2} [d(z, Tz, u) + d(Tz, z, u)] - \psi(d(z, Tz, u), d(Tz, z, u)) \text{ (by (20))} \\ &= d(z, Tz, u) - \psi(d(z, Tz, u), d(Tz, z, u)) \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $\psi(d(z, Tz, u), d(Tz, z, u)) \leq 0$

By the property of ψ it is only possible when, $d(Tz, z, u) = 0$ i.e., $Tz = z$

So, from (20) we get, $Tz = z = Fz$

(21)

From (16) & (20) we get, $Tz = Fz = z = Sz = Gz$

(22)

So, z is a common fixed point of S, F, G and T .

Now, we will prove that z is a unique fixed point.

If possible let, $w(\neq z)$ is also a fixed point of S, G, F and T .

Now, $d(z, w, u) = d(Sz, Tw, u)$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} [d(Gz, Tw, u) + d(Fw, Sz, u)] - \psi(d(Gz, Tw, u), d(Fw, Sz, u))$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} [d(z, w, u) + d(w, z, u)] - \psi(d(z, w, u), d(w, z, u))$$

$$= d(z, w, u) - \psi(d(z, w, u), d(w, z, u))$$

i.e., $\psi(d(z, w, u), d(w, z, u)) \leq 0$

By the property of ψ it is only possible when, $d(z, w, u) = 0$ i.e., $z = w$

So, z is a unique fixed point of S, G, F and T .

CONCLUSION

In this paper we prove the main theorem for four mappings with the help of weak C-contraction and weakly compatible mappings. Dung and Hang [6] prove their main theorem for only one mapping with the help of weak C-contraction. So, this paper is a generalization of [6]. Changing the condition of $\psi(a, b)$ we will get many generalization of this result.

REFERENCES

1. A. Branciari, A fixed point theorem of Banach-Caccioppoli type on a class of generalized metric spaces, Pub.Math.(Debr.),57 (2000),31-37.
2. S K Chatterjea, Fixed point theorems, C.R.Acad.Bulgare Sci., 25 (1972), 727-730.
3. Y.J.Cho, B.K.Sharma & D.R.Sahu, Semi Compatiblity and Fixed Points, Math.Japon, 42(1) (1995), 91-98.
4. B.S.Choudhury, Unique Fixed Point Theorem for weakly C-contractive mappings, Katmandu Univ. J. Sci. Eng. Technol., 5 (2009),6-13.
5. B.S.Choudhury, A.Kundu, (ψ, α, β) -weak contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, Appl. Math. Lett., 25(1) (2012),6-10.
6. N.V.Dung, V.T.Hang, Fixed point theorems for weak c-contractions in partially ordered 2-metric spaces, Fixed point theory and applications, 2013 (2013):161.
7. S.Gahler, 2-metrische Raume und Iher Topogosche Struktur, Math.nache., 26 (1963), 115-148.
8. K.Iseki, Fixed point theorems in 2-metric Spaces. Math.Sem. Notes. Kobe. Univ., 3 (1975), 133-136.
9. G.Jungck, Compatible Mappings and Common Fixed Points, Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci., 9 (1986), 771-779.
10. G.Jungck, Common Fixed Points for Commuting and Compatible Mappings on Compacts, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 103 (1988), 977-985.
11. G.Jungck and B.E.Rhodes, Fixed Point Theorems for Compatible Mappings, Internat. J. Matha and Math. Sci., (3) 16 (1993), 417-428.
12. S.V.R.Naidu and J.Rajendra Prasad, Fixed Point in 2-metric Spaces, Ind. J. Pure and Appl. Math., 17(8) (1986), 974-993.
13. B.E.Rhoades, Contraction Type Mapping On 2-metric Spaces, Math.Nacher,91 (1979), 151-155.
14. N.S.Simoniya, An Approach to Fixed point Theorem in a 2-metric apace, The Math. Education, 27 (1993), 8-10.
15. B.Singh & S.Jain, Semi Compatiblity and Fixed Point Theorems in Fuzzy Metric Spaces using implicit relation, Int. Math.Sci., 16 (2005), 2617-2629.
16. B.Singh & S.Jain, Semi Compatiblity and Fixed Point Theorems in Menger Spaces. Journal of the Chungcheong Mathematica Society, 17(1) (2004), 1-17.
17. Kalishankar Tiwary, T.Basu, S.Sen, Some Common Fixed Point Theorems in Complete Metric spaces, 21(4) (1995), 451-459.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared.

[Copy right © 2018. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]