# ON A COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM OF WEAK\*\* COMMUTING OPERATORS SUIATHA KURAKULA\*

Research Scholor, Department of Mathematics, Hyderabad-500044, Telangana State, India.

(Received On: 05-05-18; Revised & Accepted On: 11-06-18)

#### **ABSTRACT**

In this present research article, we prove the existence of a common fixed point for three self mappings defined on a complete 2- metric space through weak \*\*commutativity and Rotativity of maps. The result is an extension from metric space to 2-metric space settings.

AMS Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

**Key words:** fixed point, 2- metric space, weak\*\* commuting mapping, Rotativity of maps.

## INTRODUCTION

The notion of 2-metric space was introduced by *Gahler* [1] in 1963 as a generalization of area function for Euclidean triangles. Many fixed point theorems were established by various authors like *Brouwer*, *Banach*, *Schauder* etc. A point  $x \in X$  is said to be a *fixed point* of a self-map  $f: X \to X$  if f(x) = x, where X is a non-empty set. Theorems concerning fixed points of self-maps are known as fixed point theorems. Most of the fixed point theorems were proved for contraction mappings. It is well known that every contraction on a metric space is continuous. The converse is not necessarily true. The identity mapping on [0, 1] simply serves the counter example.

In this present work we consider Weak \*\* Commuting and Rotative self maps on a 2-metric space. Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be two mappings from a metric space (X,d) into itself.  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are said to commute if  $T_1T_2x = T_2T_1x$ , for all x in X. Sessa [5] introduced the concept of weak commutativity in metric spaces. In subsequent years the condition of weak commutativity was again made weaker. Weak\* commutativity was introduced in metric space. In recent years weak\*\* commutativity has been introduced and some theorems have been established. The existence of fixed point for weak\*\*commutative self maps in 2-metric space are studied.

In this research article we present the concepts of weak\*\* commutativity and Rotativity maps in 2-metric space.

# 1. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we define weak\*\* commutativity, Idempotent maps and Rotative.

**Definition-1.1:** Two self maps A and S of a 2-metric space (X, d) are called *weak\*\* commutative* if

$$(1)A(x) \subset S(x)$$
 and

$$(2)d(A^2S^2x, S^2A^2x, a) \le d(A^2S xS Ax, a) \le d(AS^2x, S^2Ax, a) \le d(AS xS Ax, a) \le d(A^2x, S^2x, a)$$
  
For all x ,a in X

**Definition-1.2:** A map  $T: X \to X$  is called *idempotent*, if  $T^2 = T$ . We note that if the mappings are idempotent i.e.  $A^2 = A$ ,  $S^2 = S$  then our definition of weak\*\* commutating reduces to weak commutating pair of mappings  $\{S, A\}$ .

Corresponding Author: Sujatha Kurakula\* Research Scholor, Department of Mathematics, Hyderabad-500044, Telangana State, India. **Definition-1.3:** Let X be a 2-metric space and let T and I be mapping of X into itself.

The map T is called rotative with respect to I if  $d(Tx, I^2x, a) \le d(Ix, T^2x, a)$  for all x in X and every a in X. Clearly if T and I are Idempotent maps, then definition is obvious.

## 2. COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR A WEEK \*\* COMMUTING PAIR OF MAPPINGS

In this section, we have some results on common fixed points for Three self maps of a 2- complete metric space using the concept of week \*\*commuting maps and Rotativity of maps.

**Theorem 2.1:** Let S, T and I be three Self mapping of complete 2-metric space (X,d) with d continuous such that for all x, y, a in X either

$$(1)d(S^{2}x,T^{2}y,a) \leq \frac{d(I^{2}x,S^{2}x,a)d(I^{2}y,T^{2}y,a) + \beta d(I^{2}x,T^{2}y,a)d(I^{2}y,S^{2}x,a)}{d(I^{2}x,S^{2}x,a) + d(I^{2}y,T^{2}y,a)}$$

if 
$$d(I^2x, S^2x, a) + d(I^2y, T^2y, a) \neq 0$$

Where  $1 \prec \alpha \prec 2$  and  $\beta \geq 0$  or

$$(2)d(S^2x,T^2y,a) = 0$$
 if  $d(I^2x,S^2x,a) + d(I^2y,T^2y,a) = 0$ 

Suppose that the range of  $I^2$  contains the range of  $S^2$  and  $T^2$ . If either

- $(A_1)$   $I^2$  is continuous, I is weak\*\*commutating with S and T is rotative with respect to I or,
- $(A_2)$   $I^2$  is continuous, I is weak\*\*commutating with T and S is rotative with respect to I or,
- $(A_3)$   $S^2$  is continuous, S is weak\*\*commutating with I and T is rotative with respect to S or,
- $(A_4)$   $T^2$  is continuous, T is weak\*\*commutating with I and S is rotative with respect to T. Then S, T and I have a unique common fixed point z. further z is the unique common point of S and I and T and I.

Since the range of  $I^2$  contains the range of  $S^2$ .

**Proof:** Let  $x_0$  be an arbitrary point in X.

Let  $x_1$  be a point in X Such that  $S^2x_0 = I^2x_1$ .

Since the range of  $I^2$  contains the range of  $T^2$ 

We can choose a point  $x_2$  in X such that  $T^2x_1 = I^2x_2$ .

In general, having chosen the point  $x_{2n}$  such that

$$T^{2}x_{2n+1} = I^{2}x_{2n+2}$$
$$S^{2}x_{2n} = I^{2}x_{2n+1}$$

For n = 0, 1, 2, 3...

$$\text{Put } d_{2n-1} = d\left(T^2 x_{2n-1}, S^2 x_{2n}, a\right) \text{ and } d_{2n} = d\left(S^2 x_{2n}, T^2 x_{2n+1}, a\right)$$

For n = 1, 2, ....

Now we distinguish the three cases:

Case-I: Let  $d_{2n-1} \neq 0$  and  $d_{2n} \neq 0$  for n = 1, 2 ...then we have,

$$d_{2n-1} + d_{2n} = d\left(T^2 x_{2n-1}, S^2 x_{2n}, a\right) + d\left(S^2 x_{2n}, T^2 x_{2n+1}, a\right) \neq 0 \text{ for n=1, 2,....}$$

Using inequality (1) we then have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{2n} &= d\left(S^2 x_{2n}, T^2 x_{2n+1}, a\right) \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha d\left(T^2 x_{2n-1}, S^2 x_{2n}, a\right) d\left(S^2 x_{2n}, T^2 x_{2n+1}, a\right) + \beta d\left(T^2 x_{2n-1}, T^2 x_{2n+1}, a\right) d\left(S^2 x_{2n}, S^2 x_{2n}, a\right)}{d\left(T^2 x_{2n-1}, S^2 x_{2n}, a\right) + d\left(S^2 x_{2n}, T^2 x_{2n+1}, a\right)} \\ d_{2n} &= \frac{\alpha d_{2n-1} \cdot d_{2n}}{d_{2n-1} + d_{2n}} \end{aligned}$$

Then 
$$\frac{d_{2n}}{d_{2n}} \le \frac{\alpha d_{2n-1}}{d_{2n-1} + d_{2n}}$$

$$\Rightarrow d_{2n} < \alpha d_{2n-1} - d_{2n-1}$$

$$= (\alpha - 1)d_{2n-1}$$

$$= cd_{2n-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow d_{2n} \le cd_{2n-1}$$

So, 
$$d\left(S^2x_{2n}, T^2x_{2n+1}, a\right) = \left\{S^2x_0, T^2x_1, S^2x_2, \dots, T^2x_{2n-1}, S^2x_{2n}, T^2x_{2n+1}, \dots\right\}$$
 (3)

For n = 1, 2... where  $c = (\alpha - 1)$ 

Similarly it can be proved that

$$d\left(T^2x_{2n-1}, S^2x_{2n}, a\right) = d_{2n-1} \le cd_{2n-2} = cd\left(S^2x_{2n-1}, T^2x_{2n-1}, a\right) \text{ for } n = 1, 2...$$

and since  $0 \prec c \prec 1$ . it follows that the sequence

$$\left\{ S^2 x_0, T^2 x_1, S^2 x_2, \dots, T^2 x_{2n-1}, S^2 x_{2n}, T^2 x_{2n+1}, \dots \right\}$$
(4)

is a Cauchy sequence in the complete 2-metric space and so has a limit u in X.

Hence the sequence

 $\{S^2x_{2n}\}=\{I^2x_{2n+1}\}$  and  $\{T^2x_{2n-1}\}=\{I^2x_{2n}\}$  Converge to the point u because they are subsequence of the

Suppose first of all that  $I^2$  is continuous, then the sequence  $\{I^4x_n\}$  and  $\{I^2S^2x_{2n}\}$ 

Converge to point  $I^2u$ .

if I weak\*\*commutes with S, we have

$$d\left(S^{2}I^{2}x_{2n}, I^{2}u, a\right) \leq d\left(S^{2}I^{2}x_{2n}, I^{2}u, I^{2}S^{2}x_{2n}\right) + d\left(S^{2}I^{2}x_{2n}, I^{2}S^{2}x_{2n}, a\right) + d\left(I^{2}S^{2}x_{2n}, I^{2}u, a\right)$$

$$\leq d\left(S^{2}I^{2}x_{2n}, I^{2}u, I^{2}u\right) + d\left(S^{2}I^{2}x_{2n}, I^{2}u, a\right) + d\left(I^{2}u, I^{2}u, a\right)$$

Which implies on letting n tends to infinity that the sequence  $\{S^2I^2x_{2n}\}$  also converges to  $I^2u$ .

Now we claim that  $T^2u = I^2u$ . Supposed not, then we have  $d(I^2u, T^2u, a) > 0$  and using inequality (1), we obtain

$$d\left(S^{2}I^{2}x_{2n},T^{2}u,a\right) \leq \frac{\alpha d\left(I^{4}x_{2n},S^{2}I^{2}x_{2n},a\right) d\left(I^{2}u,T^{2}u,a\right) + \beta d\left(I^{4}x_{2n},T^{2}u,a\right) d\left(T^{2}u,S^{2}I^{2}x_{2n},a\right)}{d\left(I^{4}x_{2n},S^{2}I^{2}x_{2n},a\right) + d\left(I^{2}u,T^{2}u,a\right)}$$

Letting  $n \to \infty$  we deduce that  $d(I^2u, T^2u, a) \le 0$ , a contradiction,

Now suppose that  $S^2u \neq T^2u$ , then

$$d(S^{2}u,T^{2}u,a) \leq (\alpha+\beta) \frac{d(I^{2}u,S^{2}u,a).d(I^{2}u,T^{2}u,a)}{d(I^{2}u,S^{2}u,a)+d(I^{2}u,T^{2}u,a)} = 0$$

A contradiction.

Thus  $I^2 u = S^2 u = T^2 u$ .

A similar conclusion is obtained if I is weak\*\*commute with T.

Let us suppose that  $S^2$  is continuous instead of  $I^2$ . Then the sequence  $\{S^4x_{2n}\}$  and  $\{S^2I^2x_{2n}\}$  converge to a point  $S^2u$ .

Since S weak\*\*commute with I. we have that the sequence  $\{I^2 S^2 x_{2n}\}$  also converges to  $S^2 u$ .

Since the range of  $I^2$  contains the range of  $S^2$ , there exists a point  $u_1$  such that  $I^2u_1=S^2u$ . Then If

$$T^2u \neq S^2u = I^2u_1$$
, we have

$$d\left(S^{4}x_{2n},T^{2}u_{1},a\right) \leq \frac{\alpha d\left(I^{2}S^{2}x_{2n},S^{2}S^{2}x_{2n},a\right) d\left(I^{2}u,T^{2}u_{1},a\right) + \beta d\left(I^{2}S^{2}x_{2n},T^{2}u_{1},a\right) d\left(I^{2}u_{1},S^{2}S^{2}x_{2n},a\right)}{d\left(I^{2}S^{2}x_{2n},S^{2}S^{2}x_{2n},a\right) + d\left(I^{2}u_{1},T^{2}u_{1},a\right)}$$

When  $n \to \infty$  we have

$$d\left(S^{2}u, T^{2}u_{1}, a\right) \leq \frac{\beta d\left(I^{2}u, T^{2}u_{1}, a\right) d\left(I^{2}u_{1}, S^{2}u, a\right)}{d\left(I^{2}u_{1}, T^{2}u_{1}, a\right)}$$

Which implies that  $d(S^2u, T^2u_1, a) \le 0$ , a contradiction.

Thus 
$$S^2 u = T^2 u_1 = I^2 u_1$$
.

Now suppose that

$$S^2 u_1 \neq T^2 u_1 = I^2 u_1$$
, then

We have

$$d\left(S^{2}u_{1},T^{2}u_{1},a\right) \leq \frac{\left(\alpha+\beta\right)d\left(I^{2}u_{1},S^{2}u_{1},a\right)d\left(I^{2}u_{1},T^{2}u_{1},a\right)}{d\left(I^{2}u_{1},S^{2}u_{1},a\right)+d\left(I^{2}u_{1},T^{2}u_{1},a\right)} = 0,$$

A contradiction and so  $S^2u_1 = T^2u_1 = I^2u_1$ .

A similar conclusion is achieved if one assumes that  $T^2$  is continuous and T is weak\*\*commutating with I.

Case-II: Let  $d_{2n-1} = 0$  for some n.

Then 
$$I^2 x_{2n} = T^2 x_{2n-1} = S^2 x_{2n}$$
.

We claim that 
$$I^2 x_{2n} = T^2 x_{2n}$$
.

Since otherwise if 
$$d(I^2x_{2n}, T^2x_{2n}, a) \succ 0$$

Inequality (1) implies

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\prec d\left(I^{2}x_{2n}, T^{2}x_{2n}, a\right) = d\left(S^{2}x_{2n}, T^{2}x_{2n}, a\right) \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha d\left(I^{2}x_{2n}, S^{2}x_{2n}, a\right) d\left(I^{2}x_{2n}, T^{2}x_{2n}, a\right) + \beta d\left(I^{2}x_{2n}, T^{2}x_{2n}, a\right) d\left(I^{2}x_{2n}, S^{2}x_{2n}, a\right)}{d\left(I^{2}x_{2n}, S^{2}x_{2n}, a\right) + d\left(I^{2}x_{2n}, T^{2}x_{2n}, a\right)} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

A contradiction.

Thus 
$$I^2 x_{2n} = S^2 x_{2n} = T^2 x_{2n}$$
.

**Case-III:** Let 
$$d_{2n} = 0$$
 for some n. then  $I^2 x_{2n+1} = S^2 x_{2n} = T^2 x_{2n+1}$ .

And reasoning as in *case (II)*, 
$$I^2 x_{2n+1} = S^2 x_{2n+1} = T^2 x_{2n+1}$$

Therefore in all cases it follows, there exists a point u such that  $I^2u = S^2u = T^2u$ .

If I week\*\*commutes with S, we have

$$d\left(S^{2}Iu, IS^{2}u, a\right) \leq d\left(SI^{2}u, I^{2}Su, a\right) \leq d\left(SIu, ISu, a\right) \leq d\left(S^{2}u, I^{2}u, a\right) = 0,$$

which implies that

$$S^{2}Iu = IS^{2}u, SI^{2}u = I^{2}Su, SIu = ISu \text{ and so } I^{2}Su = S^{3}u$$
 (5).  
Thus  $d(I^{2}Su, S^{2}Su, a) + d(I^{2}u, T^{2}u, a) = 0$ 

And using Condition (II), we deduce that

$$I^2u = S^2Su = SI^2u = T^2u.$$

It follows  $I^2u = z$  is a fixed point of S.

Further 
$$d(I^2Iu, S^2Iu, a) + d(I^2u, T^2u, a) = 0$$

And using (II), we deduce that  $Iz = S^2 Iu = IS^2 u = T^2 u = z$ 

Using inequality (I), on the assumption that

$$T^2 \tau \neq \tau$$

We have 
$$d(z,T^2z,a) = d(S^2z,T^2z,a)$$
  

$$\leq \frac{(\alpha+\beta)d(I^2z,S^2z,a)d(I^2z,T^2z,a)}{d(I^2z,S^2z,a)+d(I^2z,T^2z,a)} = 0$$

A contradiction,

So, 
$$T^2z=z$$
.

Now using the rotativity of T with respect to I (or with respect to S)

We have 
$$d(Tz, z, a) = d(Tz, I^2z, a) \le d(Iz, T^2z, a) = d(z, z, a) = 0$$

And so z is a common fixed point of I, S and T.

Similarly it can be proved if we assumed that I week\*\*commutes with T and rotativity of S with respect to I (or with respect to T).

Now suppose that  $z_1$  is another common fixed point of I and S, then

$$d\left(I^2z, S^2z_1, a\right) + d\left(I^2z, T^2z, a\right) = 0 \text{ and condition (2) implies that}$$

$$z_1 = S_1 = zS^2z_1 = T^2z = z.$$

We can similarly prove that z is the unique common fixed point of I and T.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Gahler, S., 2-metrische Raumeand ihre Topologische structure, Math Natch, Vol- 26, pp.115 –148,1963.
- 2. Jungk, G.: commutating maps and fixed points . AmerMat. Monthly 83 (1976), pp. 261-263.
- 3. KubaikTomas: Common fixed points of pairwise commutating mappings. Math.Nachr.118 (1984) 123-127.
- 4. Sarkar, A.K.: Extension of a common fixed point theorem for four Maps on a metric space. Bull. cal.math. soc.83 (1991) 559-564.
- 5. Sessa, S.: On a weak commutativity condition of mappings in a fixed point considerations. publ. inst. Math 32 (46) (1982), 149-153.
- 6. Uday Dolas: On weak\*\* Commutativity and Rotativity conditions of Mappings in common fixed point Consideration.

## Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared.

[Copy right © 2018. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]