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ABSTRACT
A single server vacation queue with service breakdowns have been studied in fuzzy environment. The arrival rate during active service, arrival rate during vacation, service rate, breakdown rate and repair rate of server are all fuzzy numbers. We assume that there is no arrival during repair time. The membership function of the queue length is analyzed.

For this model we obtain some system characteristics such as, mean normal queue size. The \( \alpha \)-cut approach is used to transform fuzzy queues with an unreliable server to a family of crisp retrial queues with unreliable server. By means of the membership functions of the system characteristics, a set of parametric nonlinear programme is developed to describe the family of crisp queues with an unreliable server. Numerical example is also illustrated.
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INTRODUCTION
Queueing models have wider applications in service organizations as well as manufacturing firms, in that various types of customers are serviced by various types of servers according to specific queue discipline [4]. However, in many real-life situations the server may experience unpredictable breakdowns. Therefore, queueing models with server breakdowns provide a realistic representation of such systems. In traditional queueing theory, the inter arrival times, service times and inter retrial times are assumed to follow certain probability distributions with fixed parameters. But, in real life in many situations the parameter may only be characterized subjectively, that is, the system parameters are both possibilistic and probabilistic. Thus fuzzy analysis would be potentially much more useful and realistic than the commonly used crisp concepts.


DESCRIPTION OF THE SYSTEM
The basic queueing model of this paper is M/M/1 retrial queue with unreliable server. Customers join the retrial orbit if and only if they are interrupted by server breakdown. Retrial customers do not join the normal queue, but rather attempt to access the server directly at random intervals independently of arrivals or other retrial customers. However these interrupted customers can access to the server only when it is operational and idle and repeat service until they have been successfully processed. We allow for both active breakdowns which occur during a service cycle and idle breakdowns which occur while the server is not failed but idle. The server may not breakdown while under repair.
In this work, we have used five fuzzy variables, namely the fuzzified exponential arrival rate, retrial rate, service rate, failure rate and repair rate. Through the \( \alpha \)-cut and Zadeh's extension principle [9], we transform fuzzy queues with unreliable server to a family of crisp retrial queues with unreliable server. As \( \alpha \) value varies the family of crisp queues are then described and solved by parametric nonlinear programming (NLP). The solutions from NLP completely and successfully derive the membership functions of the system characteristics. The remainder of this paper is composed as follows: Section 2 describe the basic queueing model and section 3 gives and crisp queue results. In section 4, a mathematical programming approach is discussed for deriving the membership functions of these system characteristics. A numerical example is given in section 5. Conclusions are drawn in section 6.

**CRISP QUEUE RESULTS**

We consider a queueing model M/M/1 retrial queue with unreliable server. The system characteristics of interest are mean orbit size \( E[R] \), normal queue size \( E[Q] \) and system size \( E[N] \). The stability condition for retrial queue with unreliable server is:

\[
\rho = \frac{\lambda(\sigma + \beta)}{\beta \eta}
\]

(i) Probability that the server is idle \( (p_1) \)

\[
p_1 = \frac{\beta}{\beta + \sigma} - \frac{\lambda}{\eta}
\]  
(1)

(ii) Probability that the server is failure \( (p_f) \)

\[
p_f = \frac{\sigma}{\beta + \sigma}
\]  
(2)

(iii) Probability that the server is busy \( (p_b) \)

\[
p_b = \frac{\lambda}{\eta}
\]  
(3)

(iv) \( E[R] = \frac{\beta \lambda \sigma (y + \sigma - \lambda) + \lambda (\beta + \sigma)}{\eta [\beta (y + \sigma) - \lambda (\beta + \sigma)]} + \frac{\lambda \sigma (\beta + \sigma)}{\eta [\beta (y + \sigma) - \lambda (\beta + \sigma)]} \)  
(4)

(v) \( E[Q] = \frac{\lambda \sigma [\beta (y - \lambda) + \lambda (\beta + \sigma) y^2]}{\eta [\beta (y + \sigma) - \lambda (\beta + \sigma)]} \)  
(5)

(vi) \( E[N] = \frac{\lambda \sigma [\beta (y - \lambda) + \lambda (\beta + \sigma) y^2]}{\eta [\beta (y + \sigma) - \lambda (\beta + \sigma)]} + \frac{\lambda \sigma (\beta + \sigma)}{\eta [\beta (y + \sigma) - \lambda (\beta + \sigma)]} \)  
(6)

**FUZZY RETRIAL QUEUE WITH AN UNRELIABLE SERVER**

To ensure that the above system has wider applications, we extend it to the fuzzy environment. Suppose the arrival rate \( \lambda \), retrial rate \( \theta \), service rate \( \gamma \), failure rate \( \sigma \), repair rate \( \beta \) are approximately known and can be represented by the fuzzy sets respectively.

Then we have the following fuzzy sets.

\[
\tilde{\lambda} = \{ (x, \mu_\lambda(x), \ x \in X) \}
\]

\[
\tilde{\theta} = \{ (r, \mu_\theta(r), \ r \in R) \}
\]

\[
\tilde{\gamma} = \{ (s, \mu_\gamma(s), \ s \in S) \}
\]

\[
\tilde{\sigma} = \{ (u, \mu_\sigma(u), \ u \in U) \}
\]

\[
\tilde{\beta} = \{ (v, \mu_\beta(v), \ v \in V) \}
\]

where \( X,R,S,U,V \) are crisp universal sets of arrival rate, retrial rate, service rate, failure rate, repair rate respectively.

Based on Zadeh's extension principle [9], the membership function of the system characteristic \( f(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\beta}) \) is defined as

\[
\mu_{f(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\beta})} = \sup_{x \in X, r \in R, s \in S, u \in U, v \in V} \min\{ \mu_\lambda(x), \mu_\theta(r), \mu_\gamma(s), \mu_\sigma(u), \mu_\beta(v) \} / z = f(x,r,s,u,v)
\]  
(7)
Assume that the system characteristic of interest are expected number of customers in orbit $E[R]$, mean normal queue size $E[Q]$, and expected number of customers in the system $E[N]$.

From equations (1) and (7) the membership function of $p_1$ is

$$\mu_{p_1}(z) = \sup_{x \in X, r \in R, s \in S, u \in U, v \in V} \left\{ \min\{\mu_x(x), \mu_{\beta}(r), \mu_{\gamma}(s), \mu_{\sigma}(u), \mu_{\beta}(v)\} / z = \frac{v}{v+u} - \frac{x}{s} \right\} \quad (8)$$

Similarly from equations (2) and (7), the membership function of $p_2 \{F\}$ is

$$\mu_{p_2}(z) = \sup_{x \in X, r \in R, s \in S, u \in U, v \in V} \left\{ \min\{\mu_x(x), \mu_{\beta}(r), \mu_{\gamma}(s), \mu_{\sigma}(u), \mu_{\beta}(v)\} / z = \frac{u}{u+v} \right\} \quad (9)$$

Now from equations (3) and (7), the membership function of $p_3 \{B\}$ is

$$\mu_{p_3}(z) = \sup_{x \in X, r \in R, s \in S, u \in U, v \in V} \left\{ \min\{\mu_x(x), \mu_{\beta}(r), \mu_{\gamma}(s), \mu_{\sigma}(u), \mu_{\beta}(v)\} / z = \frac{x}{s} \right\} \quad (10)$$

From (4) and (7), the membership function of $E[R]$ is

$$\mu_{\hat{E}[R]}(z) = \sup_{x \in X, r \in R, s \in S, u \in U, v \in V} \left\{ \min\{\mu_x(x), \mu_{\beta}(r), \mu_{\gamma}(s), \mu_{\sigma}(u), \mu_{\beta}(v)\} / z = z_1 \right\} \quad (11)$$

where

$$z_1 = \frac{suv}{v + u - x} + \frac{ux(u+v)}{s(vs - x(u+v))[v(s + u) - x(u+v)]} + \frac{ux(u+v)}{r[vs - x(u+v)]}$$

From (5) and (7), the membership function of $E[Q]$ is

$$\mu_{\hat{E}[Q]}(z) = \sup_{x \in X, r \in R, s \in S, u \in U, v \in V} \left\{ \min\{\mu_x(x), \mu_{\beta}(r), \mu_{\gamma}(s), \mu_{\sigma}(u), \mu_{\beta}(v)\} / z = z_2 \right\} \quad (12)$$

where

$$z_2 = \frac{x[us(s + u) + x(u+v)]^2}{s(u+v)[v(s + u) - x(u+v)]}$$

From equations (6) and (7), the membership function of $E[N]$ is

$$\mu_{\hat{E}[N]}(z) = \sup_{x \in X, r \in R, s \in S, u \in U, v \in V} \left\{ \min\{\mu_x(x), \mu_{\beta}(r), \mu_{\gamma}(s), \mu_{\sigma}(u), \mu_{\beta}(v)\} / z = z_3 \right\} \quad (13)$$

where

$$z_3 = \frac{x[us + (u+v)]^2}{(u+v)[sv - x(u+v)]} + \frac{ux(u+v)}{r[vs - x(u+v)]}$$

The membership functions in (8), (9), (10), (11), (12), (13) are not in the usual forms for practical use and making it very difficult to imagine their shapes. In this paper we approach the problem using a mathematical programming technique. These parametric nonlinear programs are developed to find the $\alpha$-cuts of $f(\lambda, \theta, \gamma, \sigma, \beta)$ based on the extension principle.

**The parametric nonlinear programming approach**

One approach is to construct the membership function $\mu_{f(\hat{\lambda}, \hat{\theta}, \hat{\gamma}, \hat{\sigma}, \hat{\beta})}$ by deriving the $\alpha$-cuts of $\mu_{f(\lambda, \theta, \gamma, \sigma, \beta)}$.

The $\alpha$-cuts of $\lambda, \theta, \gamma, \sigma, \beta$ are defined respectively as follows.

$$\lambda(\alpha) = \{x \in X / \mu_{\lambda}(x) \geq \alpha\} \quad (14)$$

$$\theta(\alpha) = \{r \in R / \mu_{\theta}(r) \geq \alpha\} \quad (15)$$

$$\gamma(\alpha) = \{s \in S / \mu_{\gamma}(s) \geq \alpha\} \quad (16)$$

$$\sigma(\alpha) = \{u \in U / \mu_{\sigma}(u) \geq \alpha\} \quad (17)$$

$$\beta(\alpha) = \{v \in V / \mu_{\beta}(v) \geq \alpha\} \quad (18)$$
The fuzzy arrival rate $\tilde{\lambda}$, fuzzy retrial rate $\tilde{\theta}$, fuzzy service rate $\tilde{\gamma}$, fuzzy failure rate $\tilde{\sigma}$, fuzzy repair rate $\tilde{\beta}$ of the queueing system are fuzzy numbers. Therefore the $\alpha$-level sets of $\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\beta}$ defined in equations (14-18) are crisp intervals which can be expressed in the following forms.

\[
\lambda(\alpha) = [x_L, x_U] = [\min_{x} \{x / \mu_\lambda(x) \geq \alpha\}, \max_{x} \{x / \mu_\lambda(x) \geq \alpha\}]
\]
\[
\theta(\alpha) = [r_L, r_U] = [\min_{r} \{r / \mu_\theta(r) \geq \alpha\}, \max_{r} \{r / \mu_\theta(r) \geq \alpha\}]
\]
\[
\gamma(\alpha) = [s_L, s_U] = [\min_{s} \{s / \mu_\gamma(s) \geq \alpha\}, \max_{s} \{s / \mu_\gamma(s) \geq \alpha\}]
\]
\[
\sigma(\alpha) = [u_L, u_U] = [\min_{u} \{u / \mu_\sigma(u) \geq \alpha\}, \max_{u} \{u / \mu_\sigma(u) \geq \alpha\}]
\]
\[
\beta(\alpha) = [v_L, v_U] = [\min_{v} \{v / \mu_\beta(v) \geq \alpha\}, \max_{v} \{v / \mu_\beta(v) \geq \alpha\}]
\]

Fuzzy queues reduces to a family of crisp queues with deterministic inter arrival time, retrial time, service time, failure rate and repair rate for different $\alpha$-level sets.

\[
\begin{align*}
\{\lambda(\alpha) = 0 < x \leq 1\}, \{\theta(\alpha) = 0 < x \leq 1\}, \{\gamma(\alpha) = 0 < x \leq 1\}, \{\sigma(\alpha) = 0 < x \leq 1\}, \{\beta(\alpha) = 0 < x \leq 1\}
\end{align*}
\]

The $\alpha$-cut approach can be used to develop the membership functions. Based on Zadeh’s extension principle $\mu_\lambda(I)$ is the supremum and minimum over $\{\mu_\lambda(x), \mu_\theta(r), \mu_\gamma(s), \mu_\sigma(u), \mu_\beta(v)\}$

$\tilde{A}$ is any performance measures of interest and $z=f(x, r, s, u, v)$ satisfying $\mu_\lambda(z) = \alpha, 0 < \alpha \leq 1$

The following five cases:

Case-(i): $\{ \mu_\lambda(x) = \alpha, \mu_\theta(r) \geq \alpha, \mu_\gamma(s) \geq \alpha, \mu_\sigma(u) \geq \alpha, \mu_\beta(v) \geq \alpha \}$

Case-(ii): $\{ \mu_\lambda(x) \geq \alpha, \mu_\theta(r) = \alpha, \mu_\gamma(s) \geq \alpha, \mu_\sigma(u) \geq \alpha, \mu_\beta(v) \geq \alpha \}$

Case-(iii): $\{ \mu_\lambda(x) \geq \alpha, \mu_\theta(r) \geq \alpha, \mu_\gamma(s) \alpha, \mu_\sigma(u) \geq \alpha, \mu_\beta(v) \geq \alpha \}$

Case-(iv): $\{ \mu_\lambda(x) \geq \alpha, \mu_\theta(r) \geq \alpha, \mu_\gamma(s) \geq \alpha, \mu_\sigma(u) = \alpha, \mu_\beta(v) \geq \alpha \}$

Case-(v): $\{ \mu_\lambda(x) \geq \alpha, \mu_\theta(r) \geq \alpha, \mu_\gamma(s) \geq \alpha, \mu_\sigma(u) \geq \alpha, \mu_\beta(v) = \alpha \}$

The non-linear programming technique gives the lower and upper bounds of $\alpha$-cuts $\mu_\lambda$ for

Case (i) as

\[
\begin{align*}
(2)_L^\lambda &= \min_{(2)} \{f(x, r, s, u, v)\} \\
(2)_U^\lambda &= \max_{(2)} \{f(x, r, s, u, v)\}
\end{align*}
\]

$\Omega$ is the set defining the ranges of values of the variables $x, r, s, u, v$.

Similarly we calculate the lower and upper bounds of $\alpha$-cuts of $\mu_\lambda$ for case (ii),(iii),(iv),(v) such that $x_L \leq x \leq x_U$, $y_L \leq y \leq y_U$. The crisp interval $[z_L, z_U]$ represents the $\alpha$-cuts of $\tilde{z}$ both $(z)_L^\alpha$ and $(z)_U^\alpha$ are invertible w.r.t $\alpha$ then left shape function $L(z) = (I_L)^{-1}$ and right shape function $R(z) = (I_U)^{-1}$.

$\mu_\lambda(z)$ can be written as $\mu_\lambda(z) = \begin{cases} L(z) & \text{if } (A)_L^\alpha \leq \alpha \leq (A)_L^\alpha \\ 1 & \text{if } (A)_L^\alpha \leq \alpha \leq (A)_L^\alpha \\ R(z) & \text{if } (A)_L^\alpha \leq \alpha \leq (A)_L^\alpha \end{cases}$
Using the above technique the idle probability, failure probability, busy probability given by the lower and upper bounds of \( \alpha \)-cuts for 
\[
\begin{align*}
(\mu_{\text{Ip}})_{a}^{L} &= \min \left\{ \frac{v}{u + v} - \frac{x}{s} \right\} \\
(\mu_{\text{Ip}})_{a}^{U} &= \max \left\{ \frac{v}{u + v} - \frac{x}{s} \right\} \\
(\mu_{\text{Hp}})_{a}^{L} &= \min \left\{ \frac{u}{u + v} \right\} \\
(\mu_{\text{Hp}})_{a}^{U} &= \max \left\{ \frac{u}{u + v} \right\} \\
(\mu_{\text{p}})_{a}^{L} &= \min \left\{ \frac{x}{s} \right\} \\
(\mu_{\text{p}})_{a}^{U} &= \max \left\{ \frac{x}{s} \right\}
\end{align*}
\]

with \((x)_{a}^{L} \leq x \leq (x)_{a}^{U}\), \((v)_{a}^{L} \leq v \leq (v)_{a}^{U}\), \((s)_{a}^{L} \leq s \leq (s)_{a}^{U}\), \((u)_{a}^{L} \leq u \leq (u)_{a}^{U}\), \((v)_{a}^{L} \leq x \leq (v)_{a}^{U}\).

Similarly we obtain orbit size, waiting queue size, and system size, by lower and upper bounds of 
\[
\begin{align*}
(\mu_{\text{E[R]}})_{a}^{L} &= \min \left\{ \frac{xuv[s(s + u - x) + x(u + v)]}{s[v(s + u) - x(u + v)]} + \frac{ux(u + v)}{r[v(s + u) - x(u + v)]} \right\} \\
(\mu_{\text{E[R]}})_{a}^{U} &= \max \left\{ \frac{xuv[s(s + u - x) + x(u + v)]}{s[v(s + u) - x(u + v)]} + \frac{ux(u + v)}{r[v(s + u) - x(u + v)]} \right\} \\
(\mu_{\text{E(Q)}})_{a}^{L} &= \min \left\{ \frac{x[u(s + u) + x(u + v)^{2}]}{s(u + v)[v(s + u) - x(u + v)]} \right\} \\
(\mu_{\text{E(Q)}})_{a}^{U} &= \max \left\{ \frac{x[u(s + u) + x(u + v)^{2}]}{s(u + v)[v(s + u) - x(u + v)]} \right\} \\
(\mu_{\text{E[N]}})_{a}^{L} &= \min \left\{ \frac{x[u(s + u) + x(u + v)^{2}]}{(u + v)[sv - x(u + v)]} + \frac{ux(u + v)}{r[sv - x(u + v)]} \right\} \\
(\mu_{\text{E[N]}})_{a}^{U} &= \max \left\{ \frac{x[u(s + u) + x(u + v)^{2}]}{(u + v)[sv - x(u + v)]} + \frac{ux(u + v)}{r[sv - x(u + v)]} \right\}
\end{align*}
\]

From which the membership functions of 
\( \mu_{\text{Ip}}(z) \), \( \mu_{\text{p}}(z) \), \( \mu_{\text{p}}(z) \), can be constructed as

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu_{\text{Ip}}(z) &= \begin{cases} 
L(z) & \text{if } (L_{\text{Ip}})_{a=0}^{L} \leq z \leq (L_{\text{Ip}})_{a=1}^{L} \\
1 & \text{if } (L_{\text{Ip}})_{a=0}^{L} \leq z \leq (L_{\text{Ip}})_{a=1}^{U} \\
R(z) & \text{if } (L_{\text{Ip}})_{a=0}^{U} \leq z \leq (L_{\text{Ip}})_{a=1}^{L} \\
1 & \text{if } (L_{\text{Ip}})_{a=0}^{U} \leq z \leq (L_{\text{Ip}})_{a=1}^{U} \\
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu_{\text{p}}(z) &= \begin{cases} 
L(z) & \text{if } (L_{\text{p}})_{a=0}^{L} \leq z \leq (L_{\text{p}})_{a=1}^{L} \\
1 & \text{if } (L_{\text{p}})_{a=0}^{L} \leq z \leq (L_{\text{p}})_{a=1}^{U} \\
R(z) & \text{if } (L_{\text{p}})_{a=0}^{U} \leq z \leq (L_{\text{p}})_{a=1}^{L} \\
1 & \text{if } (L_{\text{p}})_{a=0}^{U} \leq z \leq (L_{\text{p}})_{a=1}^{U} \\
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu_{\text{p}}(z) &= \begin{cases} 
L(z) & \text{if } (L_{\text{pa}})_{a=0}^{L} \leq z \leq (L_{\text{pa}})_{a=1}^{L} \\
1 & \text{if } (L_{\text{pa}})_{a=0}^{L} \leq z \leq (L_{\text{pa}})_{a=1}^{U} \\
R(z) & \text{if } (L_{\text{pa}})_{a=0}^{U} \leq z \leq (L_{\text{pa}})_{a=1}^{L} \\
1 & \text{if } (L_{\text{pa}})_{a=0}^{U} \leq z \leq (L_{\text{pa}})_{a=1}^{U} \\
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]
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The membership functions of $\mu_{E[R]}$, $\mu_{E[Q]}$, and $\mu_{E[N]}$ can be constructed as

$$
\mu_{E[R]}(z_1) = \begin{cases} 
L(z_1) & \text{if } (L_{E[R]})_{a=0}^L \leq z_1 \leq (L_{E[R]})_{a=1}^L \\
1 & \text{if } (L_{E[R]})_{a=0}^L \leq z_1 \leq (L_{E[R]})_{a=1}^U \end{cases}

R(z_1) = \begin{cases} 
L(z_1) & \text{if } (L_{E[R]})_{a=0}^L \leq z_1 \leq (L_{E[R]})_{a=1}^L \\
1 & \text{if } (L_{E[R]})_{a=0}^U \leq z_1 \leq (L_{E[R]})_{a=1}^U \end{cases}
$$

$$
\mu_{E[Q]}(z_2) = \begin{cases} 
L(z_2) & \text{if } (L_{E[Q]})_{a=0}^L \leq z_2 \leq (L_{E[Q]})_{a=1}^L \\
1 & \text{if } (L_{E[Q]})_{a=0}^L \leq z_2 \leq (L_{E[Q]})_{a=1}^U \end{cases}

R(z_2) = \begin{cases} 
L(z_2) & \text{if } (L_{E[Q]})_{a=0}^L \leq z_2 \leq (L_{E[Q]})_{a=1}^L \\
1 & \text{if } (L_{E[Q]})_{a=0}^U \leq z_2 \leq (L_{E[Q]})_{a=1}^U \end{cases}
$$

$$
\mu_{E[N]}(z_3) = \begin{cases} 
L(z_3) & \text{if } (L_{E[N]})_{a=0}^L \leq z_3 \leq (L_{E[N]})_{a=1}^L \\
1 & \text{if } (L_{E[N]})_{a=0}^L \leq z_3 \leq (L_{E[N]})_{a=1}^U \end{cases}

R(z_3) = \begin{cases} 
L(z_3) & \text{if } (L_{E[N]})_{a=0}^L \leq z_3 \leq (L_{E[N]})_{a=1}^L \\
1 & \text{if } (L_{E[N]})_{a=0}^U \leq z_3 \leq (L_{E[N]})_{a=1}^U \end{cases}
$$

**NUMERICAL EXAMPLE**

If the arrival rate $\lambda$, the retrial rate $\theta$, the service rate $\gamma$, the failure rate $\sigma$, the repair rate $\beta$ are trapezoidal fuzzy numbers

$$
\tilde{\lambda} = [3,4,5,6], \tilde{\theta} = [14,15,16,17], \tilde{\gamma} = [25,26,27,28], \tilde{\sigma} = [36,37,38,39], \tilde{\beta} = [46,47,48,49].
$$

With the help of Matlab, we perform $\alpha$-cuts of arrival rate and service rate and fuzzy expected number of in queue at eleven distinct $\alpha$-levels: 0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9, 1. Crisp intervals for fuzzy expected number of customers in orbit ($E[R]$) at different possibilistic levels are presented in table. Similarly other performance measure such as expected number of customers in queue ($E[Q]$), number of customers in system ($E[N]$) also derived in the table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$E[R]$</th>
<th>$E[Q]$</th>
<th>$E[N]$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>[0.5695, 7.9924]</td>
<td>[0.0364, 0.2466]</td>
<td>[0.6226, 9.8357]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>[0.6126, 7.1131]</td>
<td>[0.0393, 0.2329]</td>
<td>[0.6697, 8.3270]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>[0.6591, 6.3495]</td>
<td>[0.0423, 0.2199]</td>
<td>[0.7203, 7.1660]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>[0.7091, 5.6841]</td>
<td>[0.0455, 0.2076]</td>
<td>[0.7745, 6.2457]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>[0.7633, 5.1022]</td>
<td>[0.0488, 0.1959]</td>
<td>[0.8328, 5.4990]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>[0.8218, 4.5917]</td>
<td>[0.0524, 0.1848]</td>
<td>[0.8954, 4.8817]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>[0.8854, 4.1426]</td>
<td>[0.0562, 0.1744]</td>
<td>[1.0360, 3.9227]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>[0.9473, 3.8137]</td>
<td>[0.0601, 0.1612]</td>
<td>[1.0980, 3.7040]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>[1.0297, 3.3953]</td>
<td>[0.0644, 0.1550]</td>
<td>[1.1149, 3.5437]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>[1.1118, 3.0840]</td>
<td>[0.0689, 0.1460]</td>
<td>[1.2004, 3.2187]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>[1.2015, 2.8070]</td>
<td>[0.0736, 0.1376]</td>
<td>[1.2933, 2.9354]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The $\alpha$-cut represent the possibility that these four performance measure will lie in the associated range. Specially, $\alpha = 0$ the range, the performance measures could appear and for $\alpha = 1$ the range, the performance measures are likely to be.

For example, while these four performance measures are fuzzy, the most likely value of $E[R]$ falls between 1.2015 and 2.8070 and its value is impossible to fall outside the range of 0.5695 and 7.9924;

It is definitely possible that the expected number of customers in queue $E[Q]$ falls between 0.0736 and 0.1376, and it will never fall below 0.0364 or exceed 0.2466; expected number of customers in the system $E[N]$ falls between 1.2933 and 2.9354, and it will never fall below 0.6226 or exceed 9.8357. The above information will be very useful for designing a queueing system.
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CONCLUSION

This paper applied the concept of α-cuts and Zadeh’s extension principle to transform a fuzzy queue with an unreliable server into a family of crisp queues that can be described by a set of parametric nonlinear programs (NLP). Due to the complexity of four fuzzy parameters, the closed form for the corresponding membership function can not be explicitly derived by taking the inverse of its α-cuts at different possibility levels. Numerical solutions for different α values were calculated to approximate the membership functions by NLP. These results are significant as well as useful for system designers.
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