Volume 9, No. 3, March - 2018 (Special Issue)

International Journal of Mathematical Archive-9(3), 2018, 199-205 MAAvailable online through www.ijma.info ISSN 2229 - 5046

OSCILLATORY AND ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF NEUTRAL NONLINEAR IMPULSIVE PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

V. SADHASIVAM¹, K. LOGAARASI² AND C. KAVITHA³

PG and Research Department of Mathematics, Thiruvalluvar Government Arts College, (Affiliated to Periyar University, Salem - 636 011), Rasipuram - 637 401, Namakkal (Dt), Tamil Nadu, India.

E-mail: ovsadha@gmail.com1, logajoni@gmail.com2 and cmallikakavi@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

In this article, we have discussed the oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of solutions of a class of neutral nonlinear impulsive partial differential equations. Some new sufficient conditions are derived by using Riccati transform and impulsive differential inequalities. Our results extend a number of results reported in the literature. An example is given to demonstrate the validity of our results.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 35B05, 35B40 35L70, 35R10, 35R12.

Key Words: Oscillation, Asymptotic, Impulse, Partial differential equations, Forcing term.

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of neutral delay impulsive differential equations, that is the impulsive equations in which the highest order derivative of the unknown function appears both with and without delays, are more appropriate to model the dynamical systems with discontinuities trajectories. These types of models are emerging in nonlinear mechanics dealing with the process in nonlinear oscillating system. Neutral delay differential equations appear in modeling of networks containing lossless transmission lines, in the study of vibrating masses attached to an elastic bar, Euler equation in some variational problems in the theory of automatic control and in neuromechanical systems in which the inertia plays an important role, we can refer in [4, 9].

The theory of impulsive differential equations is now being recognized to be not only richer than the corresponding theory of differential equations without impulses, but also represents a more natural framework for the mathematical modeling of many real world phenomena, see the monographs [1, 5, 10, 19, 20]. The problem of oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of neutral differential equations is of both theoretical and practical interest. In the last few decades, the oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of solutions of differential equations with impulses studied by many authors and the references [2, 3, 6–8, 11–17] cited therein. To the present time, it seems that only very little is known on the oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of neutral nonlinear impulsive partial differential equations. The above observation is motivated us to consider the following model whose governing equation is of the form

beer various is motivated us to consider the following model whose governing equation is of the form
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[r(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(u(x,t) + c(t)u(x,\tau(t)) \right) \right] + q(t)f\left(u(x,\rho(t)) \right) = a(t)\Delta u(x,t)$$

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i(t)\Delta u(x,\mu_i(t)) + F(x,t), \quad t \neq t_k, \quad (x,t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \equiv G$$

$$u(x,t_k^+) = \alpha_k \left(x, t_k, u(x,t_k) \right)$$

$$u_t(x,t_k^+) = \beta_k \left(x, t_k, u_t(x,t_k) \right), \quad k = 1,2,...$$
(1.1)

where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with a piecewise smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and Δ is the Laplacian in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^N and $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, +\infty)$.

Equation (1.1) is enhancement with Dirchlet boundary condition
$$u(x,t) = 0, \qquad (x,t) \in \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+$$
 (1.2)

International Journal of Mathematical Archive- 9(3), March – 2018

199

CONFERENCE PAPER

This work is planned as follows: In Section 2, we present the definitions and some lemmas that will be needed in the sequel. In Section 3, we discussed the oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of the problem (1.1) and (1.2). In Section 4, we present an example is to illustrate our main results.

2 PRELIMINARIES

In this paper, we assume that the following assumptions (A) hold:

- $(A_1) \ r(t) \in C'\big(\mathbb{R}_+, (0, +\infty)\big), \qquad q(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}), \qquad \tau(t), \qquad \rho(t), \ \mu_i(t) \in C'(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}), \qquad c(t) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+), \\ \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(s)} ds = \infty \ \text{and} \ \lim_{t \to +\infty} \tau(t) = \lim_{t \to +\infty} \rho(t) = \lim_{t \to +\infty} \mu_i(t) = +\infty, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$
- (A_2) $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ is convex in \mathbb{R}_+ with uf(u) > 0 and $\frac{f(u)}{u} \ge \epsilon > 0$ for $u \ne 0, F \in C(\overline{G}, \mathbb{R})$ with $\int_0^\infty F(x,t)dx < 0$.
- (A_3) a(t), $b_i(t) \in PC(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+)$ where PC represents the class of functions which are piecewise continuous in t with discontinuities of first kind only at $t = t_k$, and left continuous at $t = t_k$, k = 1, 2, ...
- (A_4) u(x,t) and its derivative $u_t(x,t)$ are piecewise continuous in t with discontinuities of first kind only at $t=t_k$, k=1,2,..., and left continuous at $t=t_k$, $u(x,t_k)=u(x,t_k^-)$, $u_t(x,t_k)=u_t(x,t_k^-)$, k=1,2,...
- (A_5) α_k , $\beta_k \in PC(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, k = 1, 2, ... and there exist positive constants a_k , a_k^* , b_k , b_k^* such that $a_k^* \le a_k \le b_k^* \le b_k$ for k = 1, 2, ...,

$$a_{k}^{*} \leq a_{k} \leq b_{k}^{*} \leq b_{k} \text{ for } k = 1, 2, ...,$$

$$a_{k}^{*} \leq \frac{\alpha_{k}(x, t_{k}, u(x, t_{k}))}{u(x, t_{k})} \leq a_{k}, \quad b_{k}^{*} \leq \frac{\beta_{k}(x, t_{k}, u_{t}(x, t_{k}))}{u_{t}(x, t_{k})} \leq b_{k}.$$

Definition 2.1: A solution u of the problem (1.1) and (1.2) is a function $u \in C^2(\bar{\Omega} \times [t_{-1}, +\infty), \mathbb{R}) \cap C(\bar{\Omega} \times [\hat{t}_{-1}, +\infty), \mathbb{R})$ that satisfies (1.1), where

$$t_{-1} := \min\{0, \min_{1 \le i \le n} \{\inf_{t \ge 0} \mu_i(t)\}, \{\inf_{t \ge 0} \tau(t)\}\}, \qquad \hat{t}_{-1} := \min\{0, \inf_{t \ge 0} \rho(t)\}.$$

Definition 2.2: The solution u of the problem (1.1) and (1.2) is said to be eventually positive (negative) if it is positive (negative) for all sufficiently large t. It is said to be oscillatory if it is neither eventually positive nor eventually negative. Otherwise it is non-oscillatory.

It is identified that [18], the smallest eigenvalue $\lambda_0 > 0$ of the eigenvalue problem

$$\Delta\omega(x) + \lambda\omega(x) = 0$$
, in Ω
 $\omega(x) = 0$, on $\partial\Omega$,

and the consequent eigenfunction $\Phi(x) > 0$ in Ω .

For convenience, we introduce the following notations:

$$v(t) = K_{\Phi} \int_{\Omega} u(x,t) \Phi(x) dx$$
, $K_{\Phi} = \left(\int_{\Omega} \Phi(x) dx \right)^{-1}$.

The following lemmas are useful for the main results.

Lemma 2.3: If X and Y are non negative, then

$$X^{\lambda} - \alpha X Y^{\lambda - 1} + (\lambda - 1) Y^{\lambda} \ge 0, \quad \lambda > 1$$

$$X^{\lambda} - \alpha X Y^{\lambda - 1} - (1 - \lambda) Y^{\lambda} \le 0, \quad 0 < \lambda < 1$$

where the equality holds if and only if X = Y.

Lemma 2.4: If u is a positive solution of the problem (1.1) - (1.2) in G, then the function z(t) satisfies the following impulsive differential inequality

$$(r(t)z'(t))' + cq(t)z(\tau(t)) \le 0, \quad t \ne t_k$$

$$a_k^* \le \frac{z(t_k^+)}{z(t_k)} \le a_k, \qquad b_k^* \le \frac{z'(t_k^+)}{z'(t_k)} \le b_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$
(2.1)

Proof: Let u be a positive solution of the problem (1.1) – (1.2) in G. We may assume that u(x,t) > 0, $(x,t) \in \Omega \times [t_0, +\infty)$, $t_0 \ge 0$. By assumption that there exists a $t_1 > t_0$ such that

$$u(x,\tau(t)) > 0$$
, $u(x,\rho(t)) > 0$ and $u(x,\mu_i(t)) > 0$, for $(x,t) \in \Omega \times [t_1,+\infty)$, $i = 1,2,...,n$.

For $t \ge t_0$, $t \ne t_k$, k = 1,2,..., multiplying both sides of Equation (1.1) by $K_{\Phi}\Phi(x) > 0$ and integrating with respect to x over the domain Ω , we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[r(t) \frac{d}{dt} \left(K_{\Phi} \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t) \, \Phi(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} + c(t) K_{\Phi} \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, \tau(t)) \, \Phi(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \right) \right] + K_{\Phi} \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{q}(t) f(\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, \rho(t))) \, \Phi(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \\
= a(t) K_{\Phi} \int_{\Omega} \Delta \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t) \, \Phi(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}(t) K_{\Phi} \int_{\Omega} \Delta \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, \mu_{i}(t)) \, \Phi(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} + K_{\Phi} \int_{\Omega} F(\mathbf{x}, t) \, \Phi(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}. \tag{2.2}$$

Using Green's formula and boundary condition (1.2), we see that

$$K_{\Phi} \int_{\Omega} \Delta u(x,t) \,\Phi(x) dx = K_{\Phi} \int_{\partial \Omega} \left[\Phi(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \gamma} - u(x,t) \frac{\partial \Phi(x)}{\partial \gamma} \right] dS + K_{\Phi} \int_{\Omega} u(x,t) \,\Delta \Phi(x) dx$$
$$= -\lambda_{0} v(t) \le 0 \tag{2.3}$$

and for i = 1, 2, ..., n, we have

$$K_{\Phi} \int_{\Omega} \Delta \mathbf{u}(x, \mu_{i}(t)) \, \Phi(x) dx = K_{\Phi} \int_{\partial \Omega} \left[\Phi(x) \frac{\partial u(x, \mu_{i}(t))}{\partial \gamma} - u(x, \mu_{i}(t)) \frac{\partial \Phi(x)}{\partial \gamma} \right] dS + K_{\Phi} \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}(x, \mu_{i}(t)) \, \Delta \Phi(x) dx$$

$$= -\lambda_{0} v(\mu_{i}(t)) \leq 0 \tag{2.4}$$

where dS is surface element on $\partial\Omega$. Applying Jensen's inequality, from (A_2) and assumptions, it follows that

$$K_{\Phi} \int_{\Omega} q(t) f(u(x, \rho(t))) \Phi(x) dx \ge \epsilon q(t) K_{\Phi} \int_{\Omega} u(x, \rho(t)) \Phi(x) dx. \tag{2.5}$$

In view of (2.2) - (2.5), we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left[r(t)\frac{d}{dt}\left(v(t)+c(t)v\left(\tau(t)\right)\right)\right]+\epsilon q(t)v\left(\tau(t)\right)\leq 0.$$

Let
$$z(t) = v(t) + c(t)v(\tau(t))$$
. Then
$$(r(t)z'(t))' + \epsilon q(t)v(\tau(t)) \le 0. \tag{2.6}$$

It is easy to obtain that z(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_1$. Next we prove that z'(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_2$. Assume the contrary that there exists $T \ge t_2$ such that $z'(T) \le 0$. $(r(t)z'(t))' \le 0$,

$$(r(t)z'(t))' \le 0, \qquad t \ge t_2.$$

From this we have $r(t)z'(t) \le r(T)z'(T) \le 0$, $t \ge T$. Thus

$$z(t) \le z(T) + r(T)z'(T) \int_T^t \frac{ds}{r(s)}, \quad t \ge T.$$

From the hypothesis (A_1) , we have $\lim_{t\to+\infty} z(t) = -\infty$. This contradicts that z(t) > 0 for $t \ge 0$. Thus z'(t) > 0, $\tau(t) \le t \text{ for } t \ge t_1$, we have

$$\overline{v}(t) = z(t) - c(t)v(\tau(t))
 v(t) \ge z(t)(1 - c(t))$$

$$v(t) \ge z(t)(1-c(t))$$

and

$$v(\tau(t)) \ge c_0 z(\tau(t)).$$

Therefore from (2.6), we have

$$(r(t)z'(t))' + c_0 \epsilon q(t)z(\tau(t)) \le 0$$
, $t \ge t_1$.
 $(r(t)z'(t))' + cq(t)z(\tau(t)) \le 0$, where $c = \epsilon c_0$.

For $t \ge t_0$, $t = t_k$, k = 1,2,3,..., multiplying both sides of the Equation (1.1) by $K_{\Phi}\Phi(x) > 0$, integrating with respect to x over the domain Ω , and from (A_5) , we obtain

$$a_k^* \leq \frac{u(x, t_k^+)}{u(x, t_k)} \leq a_k, \quad b_k^* \leq \frac{u_t(x, t_k^+)}{u_t(x, t_k)} \leq b_k.$$

From assumptions we have,

$$a_k^* \le \frac{v(t_k^+)}{v(t_k)} \le a_k, \ b_k^* \le \frac{v'(t_k^+)}{v'(t_k)} \le b_k$$

and

$$a_k^* \leq \frac{z(t_k^+)}{z(t_k)} \leq a_k, \qquad b_k^* \leq \frac{z^{'}(t_k^+)}{z^{'}(t_k)} \leq b_k.$$

Hence we obtain that z(t) is a solution of impulsive inequality (2.1). This completes the proof.

Lemma 2.5: Assume that conditions $(A_1) - (A_5)$ holds and let u(x,t) be a positive solution of (1.1) and (1.2). Then for sufficiently large t, either

(i)
$$z(t) > 0$$
, $z'(t) > 0$, $(r(t)z'(t))' < 0$ or

(ii)
$$z(t) > 0$$
, $z'(t) < 0$, $(r(t)z'(t))' < 0$.

Lemma 2.6: Assume that conditions $(A_1) - (A_5)$ holds and let u(x,t) be an eventually positive solution of (2.1) with z(t) satisfying case (ii) of Lemma 2.5. If

$$\int_{t_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(y)} \int_{y}^{\infty} cq(s)z(\tau(s)) ds dy = \infty$$
 (2.7)

then $\lim_{t\to\infty} z(t) =$

Proof: Let u(x,t) be an eventually positive solution of (1.1) and (1.2). Then z(t) satisfies the inequality (2.1) and $(r(t)z'(t))' \le -cq(t)z(\tau(t)) \le 0.$

By Lemma 2.5, there exists a constant l such that $\lim_{t\to\infty} z(t) = l < \infty$.

Integrating the above inequality from t to ∞ , we get

$$r(t)z'(t) \ge \int_{t}^{\infty} cq(s)z(\tau(s))ds$$
,
 $z'(t) \ge \frac{1}{r(t)} \int_{t}^{\infty} cq(s)z(\tau(s))ds$.

Again integrating from
$$t_1$$
 to ∞ , we obtain
$$z(t) \le -\int_{t_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(y)} \int_{y}^{\infty} cq(s) z(\tau(s)) ds dy,$$

which contradicts (2.7) and so we have l=0. Therefore $\lim_{t\to\infty} z(t)=0$. This complete the proof.

3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, by using Riccati transformation and impulsive differential inequality, we investigate the oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of all solutions of neutral nonlinear partial differential equations with impulse effects and obtained the following two theorems.

Theorem 3.1: Assume that $(A_1) - (A_5)$ holds and there exists $\phi(t) \in C'([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ such that for all sufficiently large Tand for $t_1 \geq T$,

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \int_{t_1}^{t} \prod_{t_0 \le t_k \le s} \left(\frac{b_k}{a_k^*} \right)^{-1} \left[c\phi(s) q(s) - \frac{(\phi'(s))^2}{4\tau'(s) r(s) \phi(s)} \right] ds = \infty$$
 (3.1)

then every solution u of (1.1) and (1.2) is either oscillatory or converges to zero as $t \to \infty$.

Proof: Let u(x,t) be a non oscillatory solution of (1.1) and (1.2). Without loss of generality, we may assume that there exists $t_1 \ge t_0$ such that u(x,t) > 0, $u(x,\tau(t)) > 0$ and $u(x,\rho(t)) > 0$ for $t \ge t_1$. Let

$$w(t) = \phi(t) \frac{r(t)z'(t)}{z(\tau(t))}$$

$$w'(t) \le -c\phi(t)q(t) - \frac{w^{2}(t)}{r(t)\phi(t)}\tau'(t) + \frac{\phi'(t)}{\phi(t)}w(t).$$
(3.2)

Also

$$w(t_k^+) \le \frac{b_k}{a_k^*} w(t_k). \tag{3.3}$$

Define

$$V(t) = \prod_{\substack{t_0 \le t_k \le t}} \left(\frac{b_k}{a_k^*}\right)^{-1} w(t).$$

In fact, w(t) is a continuous on each interval $(t_k, t_{k+1}]$ and it follows that for $t \ge t_0$,

$$V(t_k^+) = \prod_{t_0 \le t_j \le t_k} \left(\frac{b_k}{a_k^*}\right)^{-1} w(t_k^+) \le \prod_{t_0 \le t_j < t} \left(\frac{b_k}{a_k^*}\right)^{-1} w(t_k) = V(t_k)$$

and for all $t \ge t_0$

$$V(t_k^-) = \prod_{t_0 \le t_i \le t_{k-1}} \left(\frac{b_k}{a_k^*}\right)^{-1} w(t_k^-) \le \prod_{t_0 \le t_k < t} \left(\frac{b_k}{a_k^*}\right)^{-1} w(t_k) = V(t_k),$$

Which implies that V(t) is continuous on $[t_0, +\infty)$, from (3.2), we get

$$\prod_{t_0 \le t_k < t} \left(\frac{b_k}{a_k^*} \right) V'(t) \le -c\phi(t) q(t) - \prod_{t_0 \le t_k < t} \left(\frac{b_k}{a_k^*} \right)^2 \frac{\tau'(t) V^2(t)}{r(t) \phi(t)} + \frac{\phi'(t)}{\phi(t)} \prod_{t_0 \le t_k < t} \left(\frac{b_k}{a_k^*} \right) V(t)$$

$$V'(t) \le -\prod_{t_0 \le t_k < t} \left(\frac{b_k}{a_k^*}\right) \frac{\tau'(t)V^2(t)}{r(t)\phi(t)} + \frac{\phi'(t)}{\phi(t)}V(t) - c\prod_{t_0 \le t_k < t} \left(\frac{b_k}{a_k^*}\right)^{-1} \phi(t)q(t)$$
(3.4)

Applying Lemma 2.3, we have

$$X = \sqrt{\prod_{t_0 \le t_k < t} \left(\frac{b_k}{a_k^*}\right) \frac{\tau'(t)V(t)}{r(t)\phi(t)}} \quad and \quad Y = \frac{\phi'(t)}{2} \sqrt{\prod_{t_0 \le t_k < t} \left(\frac{b_k}{a_k^*}\right)^{-1} \frac{r(t)}{\phi(t)\tau'(t)}}$$

We have

$$\frac{\phi'(t)}{\phi(t)}V(t) - \prod_{t_0 \le t_k < t} \left(\frac{b_k}{a_k^*}\right) \frac{\tau'(t)V^2(t)}{r(t)\phi(t)} \le \prod_{t_0 \le t_k < t} \left(\frac{b_k}{a_k^*}\right)^{-1} \frac{(\phi'(t))^2}{4\tau'(t)r(t)\phi(t)}.$$

Thus

$$V'(t) \le -\prod_{t_0 \le t_k < t} \left(\frac{b_k}{a_k^*}\right)^{-1} \left[c\phi(t)q(t) - \frac{(\phi'(t))^2}{4\tau'(t)r(t)\phi(t)}\right].$$

Integrating both sides from t_1 to t, we have

$$V(t) \le V(t_1) - \int_{t_1}^t \prod_{t_n \le t_k \le s} \left(\frac{b_k}{a_k^*} \right)^{-1} \left[c\phi(s) q(s) - \frac{(\phi'(s))^2}{4\tau'(s) r(s) \phi(s)} \right] ds.$$

Letting $t \to \infty$, from (3.1), we have $\lim_{t \to \infty} V(t) = -\infty$, which is a contradiction. Then by Lemma 2.6, we have $\lim_{t \to \infty} z(t) = 0$. Since 0 < v(t) < z(t) on (t_1, ∞) , we get that $\lim_{t \to \infty} u(x, t) = 0$. The proof of the theorem is complete.

Next we obtain some new oscillatory and asymptotic results for (1.1) and (1.2), by using integral average condition of Philos type. Let $D = \{(t,s): t_0 \le s \le t\}$, $H \in C^1(D,\mathbb{R})$. If $H \in \mathcal{H}$, then H(t,t) = 0 and H(t,s) > 0 for t > s and $h \in L_{loc}(D,\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\frac{\partial H(t,s)}{\partial t} = h(t,s)\sqrt{H(t,s)}, \qquad \frac{\partial H(t,s)}{\partial s} = -h(t,s)\sqrt{H(t,s)}.$$

Theorem 3.2: Assume that conditions $(A_1) - (A_5)$ holds and there exist $\phi(t), \psi(t) \in C'([0, \infty), (0, +\infty))$, if

$$\limsup_{t \to +\infty} \frac{c}{H(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^{t} \prod_{t_0 \le t_k < s} \left(\frac{b_k}{a_k^*} \right)^{-1} \left\{ \phi(s) q(s) H(t, s) \psi(s) - \frac{d'(s)}{d'(s)} \sqrt{H(t, s)} \psi(s) - \frac{d'(s)}{d'(s)} \sqrt{H(t, s)} \psi(s) \right\}^{2} - \frac{r(s) \phi(s)}{4} \frac{[h(t, s) \psi(s) - \sqrt{H(t, s)} \psi'(s) - \frac{d'(s)}{d'(s)} \sqrt{H(t, s)} \psi(s)]^{2}}{\psi(s) \tau'(s)} \right\} ds = +\infty,$$
 (3.5)

then every solution of the boundary value problem (1.1) and (1.2) is oscillatory or converges to zero as $t \to \infty$.

Proof: Assume that the boundary value problem (1.1) and (1.2) has a non oscillatory solution u(x, t). Without loss of generality, assume that u(x, t) > 0, $(x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, +\infty)$. As in the proof of the Theorem 3.1, we obtain

$$V'(t) \leq -\prod_{t_0 \leq t_k < t} \left(\frac{b_k}{a_k^*}\right) \frac{\tau'(t)V^2(t)}{r(t)\phi(t)} + \frac{\phi'(t)}{\phi(t)}V(t) - c\prod_{t_0 \leq t_k < t} \left(\frac{b_k}{a_k^*}\right)^{-1}\phi(t)q(t).$$

Multiplying the above inequality by $H(t,s)\psi(s)$ for $t \ge s \ge T$, and integrating from T to t, we have

$$\int_{T}^{t} V'(s)H(t,s)\psi(s)ds \leq -\int_{T}^{t} \prod_{t_{0} \leq t_{k} < s} \left(\frac{b_{k}}{a_{k}^{*}}\right) \frac{\tau'(s)V^{2}(s)}{r(s)\phi(s)} H(t,s)\psi(s) ds + \int_{T}^{t} \frac{\phi'(s)}{\phi(s)} V(s)H(t,s)\psi(s) ds$$

$$-c \int_{T}^{t} \prod_{t_{0} \leq t_{k} < s} \left(\frac{b_{k}}{a_{k}^{*}}\right)^{-1} \phi(s)q(s)H(t,s)\psi(s) ds.$$

$$c \int_{T}^{t} \prod_{t_{0} \leq t_{k} < s} \left(\frac{b_{k}}{a_{k}^{*}}\right)^{-1} \phi(s)q(s)H(t,s)\psi(s) ds \leq V(t)H(t,T)\psi(T) - \int_{T}^{t} \{h(t,s)\sqrt{H(t,s)}\psi(s) - H(t,s)\psi(s)\}V(s) ds - \int_{T}^{t} \prod_{t_{0} \leq t_{k} < s} \left(\frac{b_{k}}{a_{k}^{*}}\right) \frac{\tau'(s)V^{2}(s)}{r(s)\phi(s)} H(t,s)\psi(s) ds$$

$$(3.6)$$

From this,

$$c\int_{T}^{t} \prod_{t_{0} \leq t_{k} < s} \left(\frac{b_{k}}{a_{k}^{*}}\right)^{-1} \left\{ \phi(s)q(s)H(t,s)\psi(s) - \frac{r(s)\phi(s)}{4} \frac{\left[h(t,s)\psi(s) - \sqrt{H(t,s)}\psi'(s) - \frac{\phi'(s)}{\phi(s)}\sqrt{H(t,s)}\psi(s)\right]^{2}}{\psi(s)\tau'(s)} \right\} ds$$

$$\leq V(T)H(t,T)\psi(T). \tag{3.7}$$

Letting $t \to \infty$, we have

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{c}{H(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^{t} \prod_{t_0 \le t_k < s} \left(\frac{b_k}{a_k^*}\right)^{-1} \left\{ \phi(s) q(s) H(t, s) \psi(s) - \frac{\phi'(s)}{\phi(s)} \sqrt{H(t, s)} \psi(s) - \frac{\phi'(s)}{\phi(s)} \sqrt{H(t, s)} \psi(s) \right\}^{2} - \frac{r(s) \phi(s)}{4} \frac{[h(t, s) \psi(s) - \sqrt{H(t, s)} \psi'(s) - \frac{\phi'(s)}{\phi(s)} \sqrt{H(t, s)} \psi(s)]^{2}}{\psi(s) \tau'(s)} \right\} ds < +\infty$$
(3.8)

which is a contradiction with (3.5). Then by Lemma 2.6, we have $\lim_{t\to\infty} z(t) = 0$. Since 0 < v(t) < z(t) on (t_1, ∞) , we get $\lim_{t\to\infty} u(x,t) = 0$. The proof of the theorem is complete.

4 EXAMPLE

In this section, we present an example to illustrate our results established in Section 3

Example 4.1: Consider the following impulsive neutral nonlinear partial differential equation is of the form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[t^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(u(x,t) + \frac{1}{9} u\left(x,t - \frac{1}{3}\right) \right) \right] + \frac{2}{(3t-1)^2} u\left(x,t - \frac{1}{3}\right) = 3\Delta u(x,t)
- \frac{2t^2 + 2}{(3t-1)^2} \Delta u\left(x,t - \frac{1}{3}\right) + \frac{3\sin x}{t} - \frac{2t\sin x}{(3t-1)^2}, \quad t \neq 2^k, (x,t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \equiv G
u(x,t_k^+) = \frac{k}{k+1} u(x,2^k)
u_t(x,t_k^+) = u_t(x,2^k), \quad k = 1,2,...,$$
(4.1)

for $(x, t) \in (0, \pi) \times \mathbb{R}_+$, with the boundary condition

$$u(0,t) = u(\pi,t) = 0, \ (x,t) \in \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_{+}.$$
 (4.2)

Here
$$r(t) = t^2$$
, $q(t) = \frac{1}{(3t-1)^2}$, $a(t) = 3$, $b_1(t) = \frac{2t^2+2}{(3t-1)^2}$, $c(t) = \frac{1}{9}$, $f(u) = 2u$, $\tau(t) = \rho(t) = \mu_1(t) = t - \frac{1}{3}$, $F(x,t) = \frac{3\sin x}{t} - \frac{2t\sin x}{(3t-1)^2}$. Let $\alpha_k = \alpha_k^* = \frac{k}{k+1}$, $\beta_k = \beta_k^* = 1$, $t_0 = 1$, $t_k = 2^k$. Here, it is easy to see that all conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. In fact $u(x,t) = \frac{\sin x}{t}$ is one such solution.

CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have studied the oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of solutions to impulsive neutral nonlinear partial differential equations. Our obtained results are essentially new and which generalize the results already existing in the literature. Moreover an example is also given to illustrate the effectiveness of our main results.

REFERENCES

- 1. Bainov D. D. and Simenov P. S., Impulsive Differential Equations: Periodic Solutions and Applications, Longman, Harlow, 1993.
- 2. Balachandran Y. and Purushothaman G., Asymptotic behavior of solutions for forced nonlinear delay impulsive differential equations, International Journal of Mathematical Trends and Technology, 9 (2014), 145-147.
- 3. Driver Dr., A mixed neutral systems, Appl. Math. Lett., 24 (2011), 1218-1224.
- 4. Guan K. Z. and Shen J. H., Asymptotic behavior of solutions of a first order impulsive neutral differential equation in Euler form, Appl. Math. Lett., 24 (2011), 1218-1224.
- 5. Hale J. K., Theory of Functional Differential Equations, New York, Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- 6. Jiang F. F. and Shen J. H., Asymptotic behaviors of nonlinear neutral impulsive delay differential equations with forced term, Kodai Math. J., 35 (2012), 126-137.
- 7. Jiang F. F. and Shen J. H., Asymptotic behavior of solutions for a nonlinear differential equation with constant impulsive jumps, Acta Math. Hungar, 138 (2013), 1-14.
- 8. Jiang F. F. and Sun J., Asymptotic behavior of neutral delay differential equation of Euler form with constant impulsive jumps, Appl. Math. Comput., 219 (2013), 9906-9913.
- 9. Jiao J., Chen L. and Li M., Asymptotic behavior of solutions of second order nonlinear impulsive differential equations, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 337 (2008), 458-463.
- 10. Lakshmikantham V., Bainov D. D. and Simeonov P. S., Theory of Impulsive Differential Equations, World Scientific, Singapore, 1989.
- 11. Liu X. Z. and Shen J. H., Asymptotic behavior of solutions of impulsive neutral differential equations, Appl. Math. Lett., 12 (1999), 51-58.
- 12. Luo J. and Debnath L., Asymptotic behavior of solutions of forced nonlinear neutral delay differential equations with impulses, J. Appl. Math. Computing, 12 (2003), 39-47.
- 13. Pandian S., Purushothaman G. and Balachandran Y., Asymptotic behavior of solutions of nonlinear neutral delay impulsive differential equations with positive and negative coefficients, Far East J. Math. Sci., 51 (2011), 165-178.
- 14. Samoilenko A. M. and Perestyuk N. A., Impulsive Differential Equations, World Scientific, Singapore, 1995.
- 15. Shen J. H., Liu Y. J. and Li J. L., Asymptotic behavior of solutions of nonlinear neutral differential equations with impulses, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 332 (2007), 179-189.
- 16. Shen J. H. and Wang Z., Oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of solutions of delay differential equation with impulses, Ann. Diff. Eqn., 10 (1994), 61-67.
- 17. Tang X. S., Asymptotic behavior of solutions of second order nonlinear delay differential equations with impulses, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 233 (2010), 2105-2111.
- 18. Vladimirov V. S., Equations of Mathematics Physics, Nauka, Moscow, 1981.
- 19. Wu J. H., Theory and Applications of Partial Functional Differential Equations, Springer, New York, 1996.
- 20. Yoshida N., Oscillation Theory of Partial Differential Equations, World Scientific, Singapore, 2008.

Source of support: National Conference on "New Trends in Mathematical Modelling" (NTMM - 2018), Organized by Sri Sarada College for Women, Salem, Tamil Nadu, India.