



FIXED POINTS FOR NON-SELF MAPPINGS ON CONVEX VECTOR METRIC SPACES

Sushanta Kumar Mohanta*

Department of Mathematics, West Bengal State University, Barasat, 24 Parganas(North),
Kolkata 700126, West Bengal, India
E-mail: smwbes@yahoo.in

(Received on: 13-09-11; Accepted on: 05-10-11)

ABSTRACT

We introduce the concept of convex structure on a vector metric space and obtain some fixed point theorems for a class of non-self mappings satisfying certain contractive conditions in the setting of convex vector metric spaces.

Keywords and phrases: Convex vector metric space, non-self mapping, fixed point.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54H25, 54C60.

1. INTRODUCTION:

Deterministic fixed point theorems are generally proved for self mappings only. In 1970, W. Takahashi [5] had introduced the concept of convexity in a metric space and obtained some important fixed point theorems previously proved for Banach spaces. Afterwards, Gajić [2] obtained an important fixed point theorem for a class of non-self mappings in Takahashi convex metric spaces. In this paper, our attempt is to introduce the notion of convex structure on a vector metric space with relevant definitions with their properties. Finally, we prove some fixed point theorems for a class of non-self mappings over a subset of a convex vector metric space.

Let S be the vector space of all real sequences $\alpha = \{a_n\}$ and θ stands for the zero vector $\{0\}$. We can define a partial ordering \leq on S by $\alpha \leq \beta$ (equivalently, $\beta \geq \alpha$) if and only if $a_n \leq b_n$ for all n , where $\alpha = \{a_n\}, \beta = \{b_n\} \in S$. We write $\alpha < \beta$ (equivalently, $\beta > \alpha$) if and only if $a_n < b_n$ for all n . An element $\alpha \in S$ is called non-negative if $\alpha \geq \theta$. An element $\alpha = \{a_n\} \in S$ is called positive if $a_n > 0$ for all n . Also for any $\alpha = \{a_n\} \in S$ and any real number t we define $t\alpha = \{ta_n\}$. If $\alpha = \{a_n\}, \beta = \{b_n\} \in S$ then $\alpha + \beta = \{a_n + b_n\}$ and $\alpha = \beta$ if $a_n = b_n$ for all n .

For $\alpha^i = \{a_n^i\} \in S; i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, we define

$$\max \{\alpha^i : i = 1, 2, \dots, k\} = \left\{ \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} (a_1^i), \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} (a_2^i), \dots, \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} (a_n^i), \dots \right\}.$$

Clearly, $\max \{\alpha^i : i = 1, 2, \dots, k\} \in S$.

2. DEFINITIONS AND BASIC FACTS:

In this section, we recall some basic definitions and important results for vector metric spaces that will be needed in the sequel.

Definition: 2.1 [4] Let X be a non empty set. Then a function $V : X \times X \rightarrow S$ is called a vector metric on X if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $V(x, y) \geq \theta$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $V(x, y) = \theta$ if and only if $x = y$,
- (ii) $V(x, y) = V(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$,
- (iii) $V(x, y) \leq V(x, z) + V(z, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

***Corresponding author: Sushanta Kumar Mohanta*, *E-mail: smwbes@yahoo.in**

The pair (X, V) is called a vector metric space. We may verify that $V(x, y)$ is a continuous function of its arguments.

Theorem: 2.2[4] If $V(x, y) = \{d_n(x, y)\}$ be a vector metric then each $d_n(x, y)$ is a quasi metric function; conversely if each $d_n(x, y)$ is a quasi metric and the relations $d_n(x, y) = 0$ for all n imply $x = y$, then $V(x, y) = \{d_n(x, y)\}$ is a vector metric.

Remark: 2.3 If (X, d) is a metric space and if $V(x, y) = \{d(x, y), d(x, y), \dots\}$, then (X, V) is a vector metric space. So any metric space is a vector metric space and for the converse we can say from Theorem 2.2 that a vector metric space is a quasi metric space.

Example: 2.4 Let $X = R^n$. If we define V on $X \times X$ by

$$V(x, y) = \{|x_1 - y_1|, |x_2 - y_2|, \dots, |x_n - y_n|, 0, \dots\}$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in X$, then (X, V) is a vector metric space.

Example: 2.5[3] Let $X = [0, 1]$. If we define V on $X \times X$ by

$$V(x, y) = \left\{ |x - y|, 0, \frac{|x - y|}{1 + |x - y|}, 0, 2|x - y|, 0, \frac{2|x - y|}{1 + 2|x - y|}, 0, \dots \right\}, \text{ then } (X, V) \text{ is a vector metric}$$

space.

Definition: 2.6[4] Let (X, V) be a vector metric space. A sequence $\{x_k\}$ in X is said to converge to an element $x \in X$ i.e. $\lim_k x_k = x$ if $V(x_k, x) \rightarrow \theta$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ which is interpreted as

$$d_n(x_k, x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \text{ for all } n \text{ where } V(x_k, x) = \{d_n(x_k, x)\}.$$

Definition: 2.7[3] A sequence $\{x_k\}$ in (X, V) is said to be a vector Cauchy sequence if $V(x_k, x_{k+p}) \rightarrow \theta$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for each p , i.e. $d_n(x_k, x_{k+p}) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for each p and for each n .

In other words, a sequence $\{x_k\}$ in (X, V) is said to be a vector Cauchy sequence if $V(x_k, x_j) \rightarrow \theta$ as $k, j \rightarrow \infty$, i.e. $d_n(x_k, x_j) \rightarrow 0$ as $k, j \rightarrow \infty$ and for each n .

Definition: 2.8[3] A vector metric space (X, V) is said to be complete if every vector Cauchy sequence in X converges to an element in X . Otherwise X is called incomplete.

It is to be noted that every complete metric space may be considered as a complete vector metric space.

Definition: 2.9 Let (X, V) be a vector metric space and $A \subset X$. Then A is called closed if and only if every sequence $\{x_n\}$ in A with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ implies that $x \in A$.

It is easy to verify that every closed subset of a complete vector metric space is complete.

Definition: 2.10 Let (X, V) be a vector metric space, $x_0 \in X$ and r be a positive member of S . Then the set denoted by $B(x_0, r) = \{x \in X : V(x_0, x) < r\}$ is called an open ball centered at x_0 and radius r in X .

Definition 2.11 Let (X, V) be a vector metric space and $A \subset X$. A point $x \in X$ is called a boundary point of A if every open ball centered at x intersects both A and $X - A$. The set of all boundary points of A , denoted by ∂A , is called the boundary of A .

Definition: 2.12 A subset A of a vector metric space (X, V) is called bounded if there exists a positive member K in S such that $V(x, y) \leq K$ for all $x, y \in A$.

Definition: 2.13 Diameter of a bounded set A in a vector metric space (X, V) , denoted by $Diam(A)$ or $\delta(A)$, is defined as

$$Diam(A) = \sup_{x, y \in A} V(x, y) = \left\{ \sup_{x, y \in A} a_1(x, y), \sup_{x, y \in A} a_2(x, y), \dots, \sup_{x, y \in A} a_n(x, y), \dots \right\}$$

where $V(x, y) = \{a_i(x, y)\}$ and for each i , $\sup_{x, y \in A} a_i(x, y) < +\infty$ as A is bounded.

In this case we write $\delta(A) < +\infty$.

3. MAIN RESULTS:

Let (X, V) be a vector metric space, and I denote the closed unit interval $[0, 1]$ of reals.

Definition: 3.1 A mapping $W : X \times X \times I \rightarrow X$ is said to be a convex structure on X if for all $x, y, u \in X, \lambda \in I$;

$$V(u, W(x, y, \lambda)) \leq \lambda V(u, x) + (1 - \lambda) V(u, y).$$

Then X together with a convex structure W is called a convex vector metric space.

Remark: 3.2 It is observed that $W(x, y, 1) = x$ and $W(x, y, 0) = y$.

Remark: 3.3 Any convex subset of a normed linear space is a convex vector metric space with convex structure $W(x, y, \lambda) = \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y$.

Definition: 3.4 Let (X, V) be a convex vector metric space with a convex structure W . For $x, y \in X$, we define

$$Seg [x, y] = \{W(x, y, \lambda) : \lambda \in [0, 1]\}.$$

Clearly $x, y \in Seg [x, y]$, since $x = W(x, y, 1)$, $y = W(x, y, 0)$.

Proposition: 3.5 If (X, V) is a convex vector metric space with convex structure W , then for every $x, y \in X$ and every $\lambda \in [0, 1]$,

$$V(x, y) = V(x, W(x, y, \lambda)) + V(W(x, y, \lambda), y).$$

Proof: For every $x, y \in X$ and every $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} V(x, y) &\leq V(x, W(x, y, \lambda)) + V(W(x, y, \lambda), y) \\ &\leq \lambda V(x, x) + (1 - \lambda) V(x, y) + \lambda V(y, x) + (1 - \lambda) V(y, y) \\ &= V(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $V(x, y) = V(x, W(x, y, \lambda)) + V(W(x, y, \lambda), y)$.

Proposition: 3.6 Let K be a non-empty closed subset of the convex vector metric space (X, V) with convex structure W continuous on third variable. Let $x \in K$ and $y \notin K$. Then there exists a $\lambda^* \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$W(x, y, \lambda^*) \in Seg [x, y] \cap \partial K$$

where ∂K is the boundary of K .

Proof: Let us consider the set $A = \{q : q \geq 0, W(x, y, \eta) \in K \text{ for all } q \leq \eta \leq 1\}$. Then A is non-empty, since $W(x, y, 1) = x \in K$. We put $\lambda = \inf_{q \in A} q$ and let $\{q_n\}_{n \in N} \subset A$ be a sequence satisfying $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n = \lambda$. Then, for $n \in N$, $W(x, y, q_n) \in K$. By using the continuity of W on the third variable and since K is closed, we have

$$W(x, y, \lambda) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} W(x, y, q_n) \in K.$$

Clearly $\lambda > 0$ since $W(x, y, 0) = y \notin K$.

Now we prove that $W(x, y, \lambda) \in \partial K$. For any positive member $\varepsilon \in S$, $B(W(x, y, \lambda), \varepsilon) \cap K \neq \Phi$. So we have to show that for any positive member $\varepsilon \in S$, $B(W(x, y, \lambda), \varepsilon) \cap (X - K) \neq \Phi$.

Since $\lambda > 0$, we can find $\lambda_1 \in (0, 1)$ such that $\lambda_1 < \lambda$ and by definition of λ , $W(x, y, \lambda_1) \notin K$.

Using continuity of W on third variable, we obtain

$$V(W(x, y, \lambda_1), W(x, y, \lambda)) < \varepsilon.$$

Thus $W(x, y, \lambda_1) \in B(W(x, y, \lambda), \varepsilon) \cap (X - K)$.

This completes the proof.

Theorem: 3.7 Let (X, V) be a complete convex vector metric space with convex structure W which is continuous on third variable, C be a non-empty closed subset of X and $T : C \rightarrow X$ be a non-self mapping satisfying the contractive type condition

$$V(T(x), T(y)) \leq q \max \{V(x, y), V(x, T(x)), V(y, T(y)), V(x, T(y)), V(y, T(x))\}$$

for all $x, y \in C$ and $0 < q < 1$. If T has the additional property $T(\partial C) \subset C$ where ∂C is the boundary of C , then T has a unique fixed point in C .

Proof: For $x \in \partial C$, we put $x_0 = x$. Then $T(\partial C) \subset C$ implies that $T(x_0) \in C$. Let us put $x_1 = T(x_0)$. We define $y_2 = T(x_1)$. If $y_2 \in C$, let us take $x_2 = y_2$. If $y_2 \notin C$, then by Proposition 3.6, there exists $x_2 \in \partial C \cap \text{Seg}[x_1, y_2]$.

Continuing in this way we can obtain a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} x_n &= T(x_{n-1}), \text{ if } T(x_{n-1}) \in C, \\ x_n &\in \partial C \cap \text{Seg}[x_{n-1}, y_n], \text{ if } y_n = T(x_{n-1}) \notin C. \end{aligned}$$

We show that the sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{T(x_n)\}$ are bounded.

For $n \in N$, we define

$$A_n = \{x_i\}_{i=0}^{n-1} \cup \{T(x_i)\}_{i=0}^{n-1} \text{ and } \alpha_n = \text{diam } A_n.$$

We shall show that $\alpha_n = \max \{V(x_0, T(x_i)) : 0 \leq i \leq n-1\}$.

We now discuss the following possible cases.

Case I: Suppose $\alpha_n = \max \{V(x_i, T(x_j)) : 0 \leq i, j \leq n-1\}$. The case $i = 0$ is trivial. So we suppose that $i \geq 1$.

(1) If $x_i = T(x_{i-1}) \in C$, then

$$V(T(x_{i-1}), T(x_j)) \leq q \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} &V(x_{i-1}, x_j), V(x_{i-1}, T(x_{i-1})), V(x_j, T(x_j)), \\ &V(x_{i-1}, T(x_j)), V(x_j, T(x_{i-1})) \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$$\leq q \alpha_n$$

So, $\alpha_n = \max \{V(x_i, T(x_j)) : 0 \leq i, j \leq n-1 \text{ and } i \geq 1\} \leq q \alpha_n < \alpha_n$, a contradiction.

(2) If $x_i \neq T(x_{i-1})$ i.e., $T(x_{i-1}) \notin C$, then

$$x_i \in \partial C \cap \text{Seg} [x_{i-1}, T(x_{i-1})].$$

So $x_i = W(x_{i-1}, T(x_{i-1}), \lambda)$ for some $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and $x_{i-1} = T(x_{i-2})$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} V(x_i, T(x_j)) &= V(W(x_{i-1}, T(x_{i-1}), \lambda), T(x_j)) \\ &\leq \lambda V(x_{i-1}, T(x_j)) + (1-\lambda) V(T(x_{i-1}), T(x_j)) \\ &\leq \lambda V(T(x_{i-2}), T(x_j)) + (1-\lambda) V(T(x_{i-1}), T(x_j)) \\ &\leq \lambda q \alpha_n + (1-\lambda) q \alpha_n \\ &= q \alpha_n. \end{aligned}$$

So, $\alpha_n = \max \{V(x_i, T(x_j)) : 0 \leq i, j \leq n-1 \text{ and } i \geq 1\} \leq q \alpha_n < \alpha_n$, a contradiction.

Case II: Suppose $\alpha_n = \max \{V(x_i, x_j) : 0 \leq i, j \leq n-1\}$.

If $x_j = T(x_{j-1})$, we have Case I again.

If $x_j \neq T(x_{j-1})$, then $x_j \in \partial C \cap \text{Seg} [x_{j-1}, T(x_{j-1})]$, $j \geq 2$ and $x_{j-1} = T(x_{j-2})$, so this is similar to Case I(2).

By an argument similar to that used above, the case

$$\alpha_n = \max \{V(T(x_i), T(x_j)) : 0 \leq i, j \leq n-1\}$$

is also impossible. Thus, it must be the case that

$$\alpha_n = \max \{V(x_0, T(x_i)) : 0 \leq i \leq n-1\}.$$

If $\alpha_n = \theta$, then x_0 is the fixed point of T , so we can suppose that $\alpha_n \neq \theta$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Further, for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} V(x_0, T(x_i)) &\leq V(x_0, T(x_0)) + V(T(x_0), T(x_i)) \\ &\leq V(x_0, T(x_0)) + q \alpha_n. \end{aligned}$$

So, $\alpha_n = \max \{V(x_0, T(x_i)) : 0 \leq i \leq n-1\} \leq V(x_0, T(x_0)) + q \alpha_n$

$$\text{i.e.,} \quad \alpha_n \leq \frac{1}{1-q} V(x_0, T(x_0)) \quad (3.1)$$

If $\alpha_n = \{\alpha_n^k\}_k$ and $V(x_0, T(x_0)) = \{d_k(x_0, T(x_0))\}_k$, then it follows from (3.1) that

$$\alpha_n^k \leq \frac{1}{1-q} d_k(x_0, T(x_0)) \text{ for all } k.$$

For fixed k , $\{\alpha_n^k\}_n$ is non-decreasing, so there exists $c_k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$c_k = \lim_n \alpha_n^k \text{ and } c_k \leq \frac{1}{1-q} d_k(x_0, T(x_0)).$$

So there exists $c = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k, \dots) \in S$ such that

$$c \leq \frac{1}{1-q} V(x_0, T(x_0)).$$

We define

$B_n = \{x_i\}_{i \geq n} \cup \{T(x_i)\}_{i \geq n}$, and $\beta_n = \text{diam } B_n$ for integer $n \geq 2$. Then by the same technique as given above, one can show that $\beta_n = \sup\{V(x_n, T(x_j)) : j \geq n\}$ and that if $\beta_n = \{\beta_n^k\}_k$, then for fixed k , $\{\beta_n^k\}_n$ is non-increasing and bounded. So there exists a limit and it must be zero (see [2]). Therefore, $\lim_n \beta_n = \theta$. So $\{x_n\}$ and $\{T(x_n)\}$ are vector Cauchy sequences. Since (X, V) is a complete vector metric space and C is closed, there exists $z \in C$ such that $z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$.

Again, $V(x_n, T(x_n)) \leq \beta_n$, $\beta_n \rightarrow \theta$, $n \rightarrow \infty$ implies that

$$V(x_n, T(x_n)) \rightarrow \theta \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now

$$V(T(x_n), z) \leq V(T(x_n), x_n) + V(x_n, z) \rightarrow \theta \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

gives that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(x_n) = z$.

Assume that $z \neq T(z)$. Then

$$V(T(x_n), T(z)) \leq q \max \{V(x_n, z), V(x_n, T(x_n)), V(z, T(z)), V(x_n, T(z)), V(z, T(x_n))\}.$$

For $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$V(z, T(z)) \leq q V(z, T(z)) < V(z, T(z)),$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore $z = T(z)$. The uniqueness follows from contractive condition.

The following example shows that the contractive type condition in Theorem 3.7 can not be relaxed in order that Theorem 3.7 is true.

Example: 3.8 Let $X = [0, \infty)$ with usual metric d . Then (X, d) is a complete metric space. So (X, V) is a complete vector metric space where $V(x, y) = \{d(x, y), d(x, y), \dots\}$. We define $W : X \times X \times I \rightarrow X$ by

$$W(x, y, \lambda) = \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $\lambda \in I = [0, 1]$.

For $x, y, u \in X$ and $\lambda \in I$, it is easy to check that

$$V(u, W(x, y, \lambda)) \leq \lambda V(u, x) + (1 - \lambda) V(u, y).$$

Thus (X, V) is a complete convex vector metric space with convex structure W which is continuous on the third variable.

Let $C = [0, 1]$ be the closed unit interval of reals. Then $C \subset X$ and let $T : C \rightarrow X$ be a non-self mapping defined by

$$T(x) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ for } 0 \leq x \leq 1 \text{ except } x = \frac{1}{70}, \frac{1}{2^i} (i = 1, 2, 3, \dots) \\ = 0 \text{ for } x = \frac{1}{70},$$

$$\text{and } T\left(\frac{1}{2^i}\right) = 2^{i+1} \text{ for } i \geq 1.$$

Then $T(\partial C) \subset C$, but T has no fixed point in C .

We now verify that for $x = \frac{1}{3}$, $y = \frac{1}{70}$, T does not satisfy the contractive condition

$$V(T(x), T(y)) \leq q \max \{V(x, y), V(x, T(x)), V(y, T(y)), V(x, T(y)), V(y, T(x))\} \text{ for } 0 < q < 1.$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Now, } V(T(x), T(y)) &= \{d(T(x), T(y)), d(T(x), T(y)), \dots\} = \left\{d\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right), d\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right), \dots\right\} \\ &= \left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \dots\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}V(x, T(x)) &= \left\{\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \dots\right\}; \\ V(y, T(y)) &= \left\{\frac{1}{70}, \frac{1}{70}, \dots\right\}; \\ V(x, y) &= \left\{\frac{67}{210}, \frac{67}{210}, \dots\right\}; \\ V(x, T(y)) &= \left\{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots\right\}; \\ V(y, T(x)) &= \left\{\frac{17}{35}, \frac{17}{35}, \dots\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Therefore, } q \max \{V(x, y), V(x, T(x)), V(y, T(y)), V(x, T(y)), V(y, T(x))\} \\ &= q \left\{\frac{17}{35}, \frac{17}{35}, \dots\right\} \\ &< \left\{\frac{17}{35}, \frac{17}{35}, \dots\right\} \\ &= \left\{\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{34}{35}, \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{34}{35}, \dots\right\} \\ &< \left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \dots\right\} \\ &= V(T(x), T(y)), \text{ for } 0 < q < 1.\end{aligned}$$

Theorem: 3.9 Let (X, V) be a complete convex vector metric space with convex structure W which is continuous on third variable, C be a non- empty closed subset of X and $T_i : C \rightarrow X$ be a non-self mapping satisfying the condition

$$V(T_i(x), T_i(y)) \leq q \max \{V(x, y), V(x, T_i(x)), V(y, T_i(y)), V(x, T_i(y)), V(y, T_i(x))\}$$

for all $x, y \in C$ and $0 < q < 1$ with the additional property $T_i(\partial C) \subset C$. If u_i is the fixed point of T_i for $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and $T(x) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} T_i(x)$ for all $x \in C$ with $T(\partial C) \subset C$, then T has a unique fixed point u in C iff $u = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} u_i$.

Proof: We have $T(x) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} T_i(x)$ for $x \in C$. For $x, y \in C$, we have

$$V(T_i(x), T_i(y)) \leq q \max \{V(x, y), V(x, T_i(x)), V(y, T_i(y)), V(x, T_i(y)), V(y, T_i(x))\} \text{ where } 0 < q < 1.$$

As $i \rightarrow \infty$,

$$V(T(x), T(y)) \leq q \max \{V(x, y), V(x, T(x)), V(y, T(y)), V(x, T(y)), V(y, T(x))\} \text{ where } 0 < q < 1.$$

Hence by Theorem 3.7, T has a unique fixed point, say $u \in C$. By hypothesis $u_i = T_i(u_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$.

Now for $0 < q < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} V(u, u_i) &= V(T(u), T_i(u_i)) \\ &\leq V(T(u), T_i(u)) + V(T_i(u), T_i(u_i)) \\ &\leq V(T(u), T_i(u)) + q \max \left\{ V(u, u_i), V(u, T_i(u)), V(u_i, T_i(u_i)), \right. \\ &\quad \left. V(u, T_i(u_i)), V(u_i, T_i(u)) \right\} \\ &\leq V(T(u), T_i(u)) + q \max \{ V(u, u_i), V(u, T_i(u)), V(u, u_i) + V(u, T_i(u)) \} \\ &\leq V(T(u), T_i(u)) + q \{ V(u, u_i) + V(T(u), T_i(u)) \}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that,

$$V(u, u_i) \leq \left(\frac{1+q}{1-q} \right) V(T(u), T_i(u)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, $u = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} u_i$.

Conversely, let $u = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} u_i$, then

$$V(T_i(u), T_i(u_i)) \leq q \max \left\{ V(u, u_i), V(u, T_i(u)), V(u_i, T_i(u_i)), \right. \\ \left. V(u, T_i(u_i)), V(u_i, T_i(u)) \right\}$$

which implies that,

$$V(T_i(u), u_i) \leq q \max \{ V(u, u_i), V(u, T_i(u)), V(u_i, T_i(u)) \}.$$

Taking limit as $i \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$V(T(u), u) \leq q V(u, T(u)).$$

So, $(1-q) V(u, T(u)) \leq 0$ which gives that $T(u) = u$.

We now prove a fixed point theorem for multivalued mappings. For this purpose we need the following notations.

Notations: Let (X, V) be a vector metric space and let A, B be any two subsets of X . We denote

$$D(A, B) = \inf_{x \in A, y \in B} V(x, y) = \left(\inf_{x \in A, y \in B} a_1(x, y), \inf_{x \in A, y \in B} a_2(x, y), \dots, \inf_{x \in A, y \in B} a_n(x, y), \dots \right),$$

$$\rho(A, B) = \sup_{x \in A, y \in B} V(x, y) = \left(\sup_{x \in A, y \in B} a_1(x, y), \sup_{x \in A, y \in B} a_2(x, y), \dots, \sup_{x \in A, y \in B} a_n(x, y), \dots \right)$$

where $V(x, y) = \{a_i(x, y)\}$.

$$BN(X) = \{A : \Phi \neq A \subset X \text{ and } \delta(A) < +\infty\}.$$

Theorem: 3.10 Let (X, V) be a complete convex vector metric space with convex structure W which is continuous on third variable, C be a non-empty closed subset of X and $F : C \rightarrow BN(X)$ be a multivalued mapping satisfying the condition

$$\rho(F(x), F(y)) \leq q \max \{ V(x, y), \rho(x, F(x)), \rho(y, F(y)), D(x, F(y)), D(y, F(x)) \} \quad (3.2)$$

for all $x, y \in C$ and $0 < q < 1$. If F has the additional property $F(\partial C) \subset C$ where $F(\partial C) = \cup \{F(x) : x \in \partial C\}$, then F has a unique fixed point u in C and $F(u) = \{u\}$.

Proof: Take $0 < a < 1$ and define a single valued mapping $T : C \rightarrow X$ as follows: For each $x \in C$, let $T(x)$ be a point of $F(x)$, which satisfies the condition

$$V(x, T(x)) \geq q^a \rho(x, F(x)).$$

Then T has the property $T(\partial C) \subset C$ since $F(\partial C) \subset C$.

Now for every $x, y \in C$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} V(T(x), T(y)) &\leq \rho(F(x), F(y)) \\ &\leq q \max\{V(x, y), \rho(x, F(x)), \rho(y, F(y)), D(x, F(y)), D(y, F(x))\} \\ &= q q^{-a} \max\left\{q^a V(x, y), q^a \rho(x, F(x)), q^a \rho(y, F(y)), \right. \\ &\quad \left. q^a D(x, F(y)), q^a D(y, F(x))\right\} \\ &\leq q^{1-a} \max\left\{V(x, y), V(x, T(x)), V(y, T(y)), \right. \\ &\quad \left. V(x, T(y)), V(y, T(x))\right\} \end{aligned}$$

for $0 < q < 1$.

Hence by Theorem 3.7, T has a unique fixed point in C . Let $u \in C$ be such that $u = T(u)$.

Clearly $u = T(u)$ implies $u \in F(u)$. Since F satisfies (3.2), $u \in F(u)$ implies

$$\rho(F(u), F(u)) \leq q \rho(u, F(u)).$$

This may happen only if $F(u) = \{u\}$. Therefore, $u \in C$ is a fixed point of T iff u is a fixed point of F . Thus F has a unique fixed point u in C and $F(u) = \{u\}$.

REFERENCES:

- [1] A. Branciari, A fixed point theorem of Banach-Caccioppoli type on a class of generalized metric spaces, Publ. Math. Debrecen, **57** (2000), 31-37.
- [2] Ljiljana Gajić, Quasi-contractive non-self mappings on Takahashi convex metric spaces, Novi Sad J. Math., **30** (2000), 41-46.
- [3] B. K. Lahiri and Pratulananda Das, Banach's fixed point theorem in a vector metric space and in a generalized vector metric space, Journal of Calcutta Mathematical Society, **1** (2004), 69-74.
- [4] T. K. Sreenivasan, Some properties of distance functions, Jour. Indian Math. Soc., **11**(1947), 38-43.
- [5] W. Takahashi, A convexity in metric space and non-expansive mappings, I, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep., **22** (1970), 142-149.
