

ALGEBRAIC DESCRIPTION OF TRIADIC LOGIC

NABILA M. BENNOUR*

University of Benghazi, Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics.

(Received On: 20-11-22; Revised & Accepted On: 04-01-23)

ABSTRACT

Algebraic description of triadic logic in terms of Boolean algebras of ternary relations is presented.

INTRODUCTION

Algebraization of logic was originated with G. Boole who introduced Boolean Algebra to represent classical propositional logic.

There are mainly two approaches to algebraize first order logic. They are done by Tarski [4] and Halmos [2]. Algebraic descriptions of monadic and dyadic logics are given in terms of Boolean algebras of unary and binary relations [1].

In this paper the algebraic description of triadic logic is presented in terms of Boolean algebra of ternary relations. The quantifiers are described by operators in terms of infimum and supremum.

1. TRIADIC LOGIC

Consider the complete Boolean algebra $(B, \wedge, \vee', 0, 1)$. Assume that X is a nonempty set. A ternary relation on X is a subset of X^3 . The set of all ternary relations is $R_3(X) = \{\alpha : \alpha \subseteq X^3\}$. Then $R_3(X) \equiv B^{X^3} = \{\alpha | \alpha : X^3 \rightarrow B\}$. Define the operations $\wedge, \vee', 0, 1$ on $R_3(X)$ pointwise. The order on $R_3(X)$ is also defined pointwise by $\alpha \leq \beta$ if $\alpha(x, y, z) \leq \beta(x, y, z)$ for any $(x, y, z) \in X^3$, where $\alpha, \beta \in R_3(X)$.

Theorem 1[3]: $(R_3(X), \wedge, \vee', 0, 1)$ is a complete Boolean algebra.

The quantifier operators \forall and \exists are defined on $R_3(X)$ in terms of infimum and supremum. They exist by theorem 1.

The triple (nested) operators on $R_3(X)$ are defined by iteration on three variables x, y and z . For example $(\forall x)(\exists y)(\forall z)$ is given by $(\forall x)(\exists y)(\forall z)\alpha(x, y, z) \equiv (\forall x)[(\exists y)[(\forall z)\alpha(x, y, z)]] \equiv \inf_x [\sup_y [\inf_z \alpha(x, y, z)]]$.

By [1, theorem 3.2] we have

Theorem 2:

- i) $\forall_i \forall_j = \forall_j \forall_i$ and $\exists_i \exists_j = \exists_j \exists_i$
- ii) $\exists_i \forall_j \leq \forall_j \exists_i$.

Corollary 3: For quantifiers Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n we get

$$Q_1 Q_2 \dots Q_n \leq \forall_1 \forall_2 \dots \forall_m \exists_1 \exists_2 \dots \exists_r.$$

There are $8 \times 3! = 48$ closed formulas of the form $Q_1 Q_2 Q_3 \alpha(x, y, z)$ of which one may form 18 equivalences and 12 implications.

Corresponding Author: Nabila M. Bennour*
University of Benghazi, Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics.

2. EQUIVALENCES

By theorem 2 the equivalences are organized as follows

$$\mathbf{E-I} \quad (\exists x)(\exists y)(\exists z)\alpha(x, y, z) \Leftrightarrow (\exists z)(\exists x)(\exists y)\alpha(x, y, z) \Leftrightarrow (\exists y)(\exists z)(\exists x)\alpha(x, y, z) \Leftrightarrow (\exists x)(\exists z)(\exists y)\alpha(x, y, z) \Leftrightarrow \\ (\exists z)(\exists y)(\exists x)\alpha(x, y, z) \Leftrightarrow (\exists y)(\exists x)(\exists z)\alpha(x, y, z)$$

E-II)

$$(\forall x)(\forall y)(\forall z)\alpha(x, y, z) \Leftrightarrow (\forall z)(\forall x)(\forall y)\alpha(x, y, z) \Leftrightarrow (\forall y)(\forall z)(\forall x)\alpha(x, y, z) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x)(\forall z)(\forall y)\alpha(x, y, z) \Leftrightarrow \\ (\forall z)(\forall y)(\forall x)\alpha(x, y, z) \Leftrightarrow (\forall y)(\forall x)(\forall z)\alpha(x, y, z)$$

E-III)

- i) $(\forall x)(\forall y)(\exists z)\alpha(x, y, z) \Leftrightarrow (\forall y)(\forall x)(\exists z)\alpha(x, y, z)$
- ii) $(\forall z)(\forall x)(\exists y)\alpha(x, y, z) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x)(\forall z)(\exists y)\alpha(x, y, z)$
- iii) $(\forall y)(\forall z)(\exists x)\alpha(x, y, z) \Leftrightarrow (\forall z)(\forall y)(\exists x)\alpha(x, y, z)$

E-IV)

- i) $(\forall x)(\exists y)(\exists z)\alpha(x, y, z) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x)(\exists z)(\exists y)\alpha(x, y, z)$
- ii) $(\forall z)(\exists x)(\exists y)\alpha(x, y, z) \Leftrightarrow (\forall z)(\exists y)(\exists x)\alpha(x, y, z)$
- iii) $(\forall y)(\exists x)(\exists z)\alpha(x, y, z) \Leftrightarrow (\forall y)(\exists x)(\exists z)\alpha(x, y, z)$

We prove the following equivalences

$$\mathbf{E-I} \quad (\exists x)(\exists y)(\exists z)\alpha(x, y, z) \Leftrightarrow (\exists x)(\exists z)(\exists y)\alpha(x, y, z)$$

Proof:

$$\alpha(x, y, z) \leq \text{sup}_y \alpha(x, y, z) \leq \text{sup}_z \text{sup}_y \alpha(x, y, z) \leq \text{sup}_x \text{sup}_z \text{sup}_y \alpha(x, y, z)$$

$$\text{sup}_z \alpha(x, y, z) \leq \text{sup}_x \text{sup}_z \text{sup}_y \alpha(x, y, z) \text{ then}$$

$$\text{sup}_y \text{sup}_z \alpha(x, y, z) \leq \text{sup}_x \text{sup}_z \text{sup}_y \alpha(x, y, z) \text{ therefore}$$

$$\text{sup}_x \text{sup}_y \text{sup}_z \alpha(x, y, z) \leq \text{sup}_x \text{sup}_z \text{sup}_y \alpha(x, y, z)$$

$$\text{Similarly } \text{sup}_x \text{sup}_z \text{sup}_y \alpha(x, y, z) \leq \text{sup}_x \text{sup}_y \text{sup}_z \alpha(x, y, z)$$

$$\therefore \text{sup}_x \text{sup}_z \text{sup}_y \alpha(x, y, z) = \text{sup}_x \text{sup}_y \text{sup}_z \alpha(x, y, z).$$

$$\text{Then } (\exists x)(\exists y)(\exists z)\alpha(x, y, z) \Leftrightarrow (\exists x)(\exists z)(\exists y)\alpha(x, y, z).$$

$\mathbf{E-II}$) Apply $(\mathbf{E-I})$ to $\alpha'(x, y, z)$. Then $(\exists x)(\exists y)(\exists z)\alpha'(x, y, z) \Leftrightarrow (\exists x)(\exists z)(\exists y)\alpha'(x, y, z)$. By using DeMorgan we get: $(\forall x)(\forall y)(\forall z)\alpha(x, y, z) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x)(\forall z)(\forall y)\alpha(x, y, z)$.

By theorem 2 we have the following two equivalences.

$$\mathbf{E-III} \text{ i) } (\forall x)(\forall y)(\exists z)\alpha(x, y, z) \Leftrightarrow (\forall y)(\forall x)(\exists z)\alpha(x, y, z) \text{ and}$$

$$\mathbf{E-IV} \text{ i) } (\forall x)(\exists y)(\exists z)\alpha(x, y, z) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x)(\exists z)(\exists y)\alpha(x, y, z).$$

The rest are similar.

3. IMPLICATIONS

By corollary 3 the implications are arranged as follows:

I-I)

- i) $(\forall x)(\exists y)(\forall z)\alpha(x, y, z) \Rightarrow (\forall x)(\forall z)(\exists y)\alpha(x, y, z)$
- ii) $(\forall z)(\exists y)(\forall x)\alpha(x, y, z) \Rightarrow (\forall z)(\forall x)(\exists y)\alpha(x, y, z)$
- iii) $(\forall z)(\exists x)(\forall y)\alpha(x, y, z) \Rightarrow (\forall z)(\forall y)(\exists x)\alpha(x, y, z)$
- iv) $(\forall x)(\exists z)(\forall y)\alpha(x, y, z) \Rightarrow (\forall x)(\forall y)(\exists z)\alpha(x, y, z)$
- v) $(\forall y)(\exists z)(\forall x)\alpha(x, y, z) \Rightarrow (\forall y)(\forall x)(\exists z)\alpha(x, y, z)$
- vi) $(\forall y)(\exists x)(\forall z)\alpha(x, y, z) \Rightarrow (\forall y)(\forall z)(\exists x)\alpha(x, y, z)$.

I-II)

- i) $(\exists x)(\forall y)(\exists z)\alpha(x, y, z) \Rightarrow (\forall y)(\exists x)(\exists z)\alpha(x, y, z)$
- ii) $(\exists z)(\forall y)(\exists x)\alpha(x, y, z) \Rightarrow (\forall y)(\exists z)(\exists x)\alpha(x, y, z)$
- iii) $(\exists x)(\forall z)(\exists y)\alpha(x, y, z) \Rightarrow (\forall z)(\exists x)(\exists y)\alpha(x, y, z)$
- iv) $(\exists y)(\forall z)(\exists x)\alpha(x, y, z) \Rightarrow (\forall z)(\exists y)(\exists x)\alpha(x, y, z)$
- v) $(\exists y)(\forall x)(\exists z)\alpha(x, y, z) \Rightarrow (\forall x)(\exists y)(\exists z)\alpha(x, y, z)$
- vi) $(\exists z)(\forall x)(\exists y)\alpha(x, y, z) \Rightarrow (\forall x)(\exists z)(\exists y)\alpha(x, y, z)$

We prove the following implications

$$\text{I-I i) } (\forall x)(\exists y)(\forall z)\alpha(x, y, z) \Rightarrow (\forall x)(\forall z)(\exists y)\alpha(x, y, z)$$

Proof:

$$\alpha(x, y, z) \leq \sup_y \alpha(x, y, z) \text{ then } \inf_z \alpha(x, y, z) \leq \inf_z \sup_y \alpha(x, y, z) \text{ then } \sup_y \inf_z \alpha(x, y, z) \leq \inf_z \sup_y \alpha(x, y, z).$$

$$\text{Therefore } \inf_x \sup_y \inf_z \alpha(x, y, z) \leq \inf_x \inf_z \sup_y \alpha(x, y, z). \text{ Then}$$

$$(\forall x)(\exists y)(\forall z)\alpha(x, y, z) \Rightarrow (\forall x)(\forall z)(\exists y)\alpha(x, y, z).$$

$$\text{I-II i) } (\exists x)(\forall y)(\exists z)\alpha(x, y, z) \Rightarrow (\forall y)(\exists x)(\exists z)\alpha(x, y, z)$$

Proof:

$$\alpha(x, y, z) \leq \sup_z \alpha(x, y, z) \text{ then } \sup_z \alpha(x, y, z) \leq \sup_z \alpha(x, y, z) \text{ then } \sup_z \alpha(x, y, z) \leq \sup_x \sup_z \alpha(x, y, z) \\ \text{then } \inf_y \sup_z \alpha(x, y, z) \leq \inf_y \sup_x \sup_z \alpha(x, y, z). \text{ Thus } \sup_x \inf_y \sup_z \alpha(x, y, z) \leq \inf_y \sup_x \sup_z \alpha(x, y, z).$$

$$\text{Then } (\exists x)(\forall y)(\exists z)\alpha(x, y, z) \Rightarrow (\forall y)(\exists x)(\exists z)\alpha(x, y, z).$$

The rest are similar.

4. COUNTER EXAMPLES

The following examples show that the reverse of the implications do not hold in general.

$$\text{I-I i) } (\forall x)(\exists y)(\forall z)\alpha(x, y, z) \Rightarrow (\forall x)(\forall z)(\exists y)\alpha(x, y, z)$$

Suppose that $\alpha(x, y, z) = 0$ if $y \neq z$, $\alpha(x, y, z) = 1$ if $y = z$.

$$\begin{aligned} (\forall x)(\forall z)(\exists y)\alpha(x, y, z) &= (\forall z)(\exists y)\alpha(a, y, z) \wedge (\forall z)(\exists y)\alpha(b, y, z) \wedge (\forall z)(\exists y)\alpha(c, y, z) \\ &= [(\exists y)\alpha(a, y, a) \wedge (\exists y)\alpha(a, y, b) \wedge (\exists y)\alpha(a, y, c)] \wedge \\ &\quad [(\exists y)\alpha(b, y, a) \wedge (\exists y)\alpha(b, y, b) \wedge (\exists y)\alpha(b, y, c)] \wedge [(\exists y)\alpha(c, y, a) \wedge (\exists y)\alpha(c, y, b) \wedge (\exists y)\alpha(c, y, c)] \\ &= [(\alpha(a, a, a) \vee \alpha(a, b, a) \vee \alpha(a, c, a)) \wedge (\alpha(a, a, b) \vee \alpha(a, b, b) \vee \alpha(a, c, b))] \wedge \\ &\quad (\alpha(a, a, c) \vee \alpha(a, b, c) \vee \alpha(a, c, c))] \wedge [(\alpha(b, a, a) \vee \alpha(b, b, a) \vee \alpha(b, c, a)) \wedge \\ &\quad (\alpha(b, a, b) \vee \alpha(b, b, b) \vee \alpha(b, c, b))] \wedge [(\alpha(b, a, c) \vee \alpha(b, b, c) \vee \alpha(b, c, c))] \wedge \\ &\quad [(\alpha(c, a, a) \vee \alpha(c, b, a) \vee \alpha(c, c, a)) \wedge (\alpha(c, a, b) \vee \alpha(c, b, b) \vee \alpha(c, c, b))] \wedge \\ &\quad (\alpha(c, a, c) \vee \alpha(c, b, c) \vee \alpha(c, c, c))] = 1 \\ (\forall x)(\exists y)(\forall z)\alpha(x, y, z) &= (\exists y)(\forall z)\alpha(a, y, z) \wedge (\exists y)(\forall z)\alpha(b, y, z) \wedge (\exists y)(\forall z)\alpha(c, y, z) \\ &= [(\forall z)\alpha(a, a, z) \vee (\forall z)\alpha(a, b, z) \vee (\forall z)\alpha(a, c, z)] \wedge [(\forall z)\alpha(b, a, z) \vee (\forall z)\alpha(b, b, z) \vee \\ &\quad (\forall z)\alpha(b, c, z)] \wedge [(\forall z)\alpha(c, a, z) \vee (\forall z)\alpha(c, b, z) \vee (\forall z)\alpha(c, c, z)] = [(\alpha(a, a, a) \wedge \\ &\quad \alpha(a, a, b) \wedge \alpha(a, a, c)) \vee (\alpha(a, b, a) \wedge \alpha(a, b, b) \wedge \alpha(a, b, c)) \vee (\alpha(a, c, a) \wedge \alpha(a, c, b) \wedge \\ &\quad \alpha(a, c, c))] \wedge [(\alpha(b, a, a) \wedge \alpha(b, a, b) \wedge \alpha(b, a, c)) \vee (\alpha(b, b, a) \wedge \alpha(b, b, b) \wedge \alpha(b, b, c)) \vee \\ &\quad (\alpha(b, c, a) \wedge \alpha(b, c, b) \wedge \alpha(b, c, c))] \wedge [(\alpha(c, a, a) \wedge \alpha(c, a, b) \wedge \alpha(c, a, c)) \vee (\alpha(c, b, a) \wedge \\ &\quad \alpha(c, b, b) \wedge \alpha(c, b, c))] \vee (\alpha(c, c, a) \wedge \alpha(c, c, b) \wedge \alpha(c, c, c))] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{I-II i) } (\exists x)(\forall y)(\exists z)\alpha(x, y, z) \Rightarrow (\forall y)(\exists x)(\exists z)\alpha(x, y, z)$$

Suppose that $\alpha(x, y, z) = 1$ if $x = y = z$, $\alpha(x, y, z) = 0$ otherwise.

$$\begin{aligned} (\forall y)(\exists x)(\exists z)\alpha(x, y, z) &= (\exists x)(\exists z)\alpha(x, a, z) \wedge (\exists x)(\exists z)\alpha(x, b, z) \wedge (\exists x)(\exists z)\alpha(x, c, z) \\ &= [(\exists z)\alpha(a, a, z) \vee (\exists z)\alpha(b, a, z) \vee (\exists z)\alpha(c, a, z)] \wedge [(\exists z)\alpha(a, b, z) \vee (\exists z)\alpha(b, b, z) \vee \\ &\quad (\exists z)\alpha(c, b, z)] \wedge [(\exists z)\alpha(a, c, z) \vee (\exists z)\alpha(b, c, z) \vee (\exists z)\alpha(c, c, z)] \\ &= [(\alpha(a, a, a) \vee \alpha(a, a, b) \vee \alpha(a, a, c)) \vee (\alpha(b, a, a) \vee \alpha(b, a, b) \vee \alpha(b, a, c)) \vee \\ &\quad (\alpha(c, a, a) \vee \alpha(c, a, b) \vee \alpha(c, a, c))] \wedge [(\alpha(a, b, a) \vee \alpha(a, b, b) \vee \alpha(a, b, c)) \vee \\ &\quad (\alpha(b, b, a) \vee \alpha(b, b, b) \vee \alpha(b, b, c))] \wedge [(\alpha(a, c, a) \vee \alpha(a, c, b) \vee \alpha(a, c, c)) \vee \\ &\quad (\alpha(b, c, a) \vee \alpha(b, c, b) \vee \alpha(b, c, c))] = 1 \\ (\exists x)(\forall y)(\exists z)\alpha(x, y, z) &= (\forall y)(\exists z)\alpha(a, y, z) \vee (\forall y)(\exists z)\alpha(b, y, z) \vee (\forall y)(\exists z)\alpha(c, y, z) \\ &= [(\exists z)\alpha(a, a, z) \wedge (\exists z)\alpha(a, b, z) \wedge (\exists z)\alpha(a, c, z)] \vee [(\exists z)\alpha(b, a, z) \wedge (\exists z)\alpha(b, b, z) \wedge \\ &\quad (\exists z)\alpha(b, c, z)] \vee [(\exists z)\alpha(c, a, z) \wedge (\exists z)\alpha(c, b, z) \wedge (\exists z)\alpha(c, c, z)] = [(\alpha(a, a, a) \wedge \\ &\quad \alpha(a, a, b) \wedge \alpha(a, a, c)) \wedge (\alpha(b, a, a) \wedge \alpha(b, a, b) \wedge \alpha(b, a, c)) \wedge (\alpha(c, a, a) \wedge \alpha(c, a, b) \wedge \\ &\quad \alpha(c, a, c))] \vee [(\alpha(b, b, a) \wedge \alpha(b, b, b) \wedge \alpha(b, b, c)) \wedge (\alpha(c, b, a) \wedge \alpha(c, b, b) \wedge \alpha(c, b, c))] \vee \\ &\quad [(\alpha(b, c, a) \wedge \alpha(b, c, b) \wedge \alpha(b, c, c)) \wedge (\alpha(c, c, a) \wedge \alpha(c, c, b) \wedge \alpha(c, c, c))] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

1. Kahtan H. Alzubaidy, Algebraic Description of Monadic and Dyadic Logics, International Journal of Mathematics And its Applications, 10(2)(2022),5-8.
2. P.R. Halmos, Algebraic Logic, Chelsea, New York, (1962).
3. P.R. Halmos, Lectures on Boolean Algebras, Princeton, New Jersey, (1963).
4. L. Henkin, J. D. Monk and A. Tarski, Cylindric Algebras, Part I, North-Holland, Amsterdam, (1971).

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared.

[Copy right © 2023. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]