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#### Abstract

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a simple, finite and undirected graph. A dominator coloring of a graph $G$ is a proper coloring in which every vertex of $G$ dominates every vertex of at least one color class. The dominator chromatic number $\chi_{d}(G)$ is the minimum number of colors required for a dominator coloring of $G$. The shadow graph $D_{2}(G)$ of a connected graph $G$ is constructed by taking two copies of $G$ say $G^{\prime}$ and $G^{\prime \prime}$ and joining each vertex $v^{\prime}$ in $G^{\prime}$ to the neighbours of the corresponding vertex $v^{\prime \prime}$ in $G^{\prime \prime}$. In this paper, we obtain dominator chromatic number of shadow graphs of some interesting classes of graphs and also find the dominator chromatic number of path union of graphs.
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## 1. PRELIMINARIES

All graphs considered are simple, finite and undirected graphs. The order and size of $G$ are denoted by $n$ and $m$ respectively. For graph theoretic terminology, we refer to Harary [4].

A subset D of V is called a dominating set of G if every vertex in $\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{D}$ is adjacent to at least one vertex in D . A dominating set D is called a minimal dominating set if no proper subset of D is a dominating set. The domination number $\gamma(\mathrm{G})$ of a graph G is the minimum cardinality of a minimal dominating set in G .

A proper coloring of a graph $G$ is an assignment of colors to the vertices of $G$ in such a way that no two adjacent vertices receive the same color. The chromatic number $\chi(\mathrm{G})$, is the minimum number of colors required for a proper coloring of G. A color class is the set of vertices, having the same color. The color class corresponding to the color i is denoted by $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}$

A dominator coloring of a graph $G$ is a proper coloring in which every vertex of $G$ dominates every vertex of at least one color class. The convention is that if $\{\mathrm{v}\}$ is a color class, then v dominates the color class $\{\mathrm{v}\}$. The dominator chromatic number $\chi_{d}(G)$ is the minimum number of colors required for a dominator coloring of $G[1,3]$.

The shadow graph $D_{2}(G)$ of a connected graph $G$ is constructed by taking two copies of $G$ say $G^{\prime}$ and $G^{\prime \prime}$ and joining each vertex $v^{\prime}$ in $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ to the neighbours of the corresponding vertex $\mathrm{v}^{\prime \prime}$ in $\mathrm{G}^{\prime \prime}[2,6]$.

Let $G_{1}, G_{2} \ldots G_{n}, n \geq 2$ be $n$ copies of a graph $G$. The graph $G^{\prime}$ obtained by adding an edge between $G_{i}$ and $G_{i+1}$ for $i=$ $1,2 \ldots \mathrm{n}-1$ is called path union of G [5].
2. DOMINATOR COLORING ON SHADOW GRAPHS OF SOME CLASSES OF GRAPHS

In this section, dominator coloring on shadow graphs of path, cycle, complete, wheel, star graph and bistar graphs are consider and their corresponding chromatic numbers are obtained.
Theorem 2.1: For path $P_{n}$ of order $n \geq 2, \chi_{d}\left[D_{2}\left(P_{n}\right)\right]=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}\lceil 2 n / 3\rceil & \text { when } n \leq 11 \\ \lceil n / 2\rceil+3 & \text { when } n=4 k+2, k \geq 3 \\ \lceil n / 2\rceil+2 & \text { otherwise. }\end{array}\right.$

Proof: Let $G=D_{2}\left(P_{n}\right)$ be the shadow graph of path $P_{n}$. Let $v_{1}{ }^{\prime}, v_{2}{ }^{\prime}, \ldots, v_{n}{ }^{\prime}$ be the vertices of $P_{n}{ }^{\prime}$, the first copy of $P_{n}$ and let $\mathrm{v}_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{v}_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ be the vertices of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime \prime}$, second copy of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$.

Let $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{D}_{2}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$. Here we note that $|\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{G})|=2 \mathrm{n}$ and $|\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{G})|=4 \mathrm{n}-4$.
We construct a dominator coloring $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{G}) \rightarrow\left\{1,2, \ldots, \chi_{\mathrm{d}}\left[\mathrm{D}_{2}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right]\right\}$ as follows:
Case 1: When $\mathrm{n} \leq 11$
When $\mathrm{n}=3 \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k} \geq 2$, for each $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{i}=3 \mathrm{j}+1$, where $0 \leq \mathrm{j} \leq \mathrm{k}-1$, $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}+2}{ }^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}+2}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=\lceil 2 \mathrm{i} / 3\rceil$ and let $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\prime}\right)=$ $f\left(v_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\lceil 2 \mathrm{i} / 3\rceil$, for each $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{i}=3 \mathrm{j}-1,1 \leq \mathrm{j} \leq \mathrm{k}$. When $\mathrm{n}=3 \mathrm{k}+1, \mathrm{k} \geq 2$, for each $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{i}=3 \mathrm{j}+1$, where $0 \leq \mathrm{j} \leq \mathrm{k}-2, \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime}\right)=$ $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}+2^{\prime}}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}+2^{\prime \prime}}\right)=\lceil 2 \mathrm{i} / 3\rceil$; for each $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{i}=3 \mathrm{j}-1,1 \leq \mathrm{j} \leq \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=\lceil 2 \mathrm{i} / 3\rceil$ and let $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}-3^{\prime}}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}-3^{\prime \prime}}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}-}\right.$ $\left.4^{\prime}\right)+2=f\left(v_{n}{ }^{\prime}\right)=f\left(v_{n}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $f\left(v_{n-1}{ }^{\prime}\right)=f\left(v_{n-1}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=f\left(v_{n-2}{ }^{\prime}\right)+1$. When $n=3 k+2$, $k \geq 1$, for each $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{i}=3 \mathrm{j}+1$, where $0 \leq \mathrm{j} \leq \mathrm{k}-1$, $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}+2^{\prime}}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}+2^{\prime \prime}}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=\lceil 2 \mathrm{i} / 3\rceil$ and let $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=\lceil 2 \mathrm{i} / 3\rceil$; for each $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{i}=3 \mathrm{j}-1,1 \leq \mathrm{j} \leq \mathrm{k}$ and let $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}-1}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ $=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}-1}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}-3} \mathbf{3}^{\prime}\right)+1$ and $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}-1}{ }^{\prime}\right)+1$.

It can be easily verified that $\chi_{d}\left[D_{2}\left(P_{n}\right)\right]=\lceil 2 n / 3\rceil$ for $n=2,3$ or 4. Hence $\chi_{d}\left[D_{2}\left(P_{n}\right)\right]=\lceil 2 n / 3\rceil$ for $n \leq 11$.
Case 2: When $\mathrm{n} \geq 12$
Case 2a: When $n=4 k, k \geq 3$
Let $f\left(v_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right)=f\left(v_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=1$. For each $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{i}=4 \mathrm{j}$, where $1 \leq \mathrm{j} \leq \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=1$; for each $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{i}=4 \mathrm{j}+1$, where $1 \leq \mathrm{j} \leq \mathrm{k}-1$, $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ $=f\left(v_{i}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=2$ and let $f\left(v_{i}{ }^{\prime}\right)=f\left(v_{i}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=(i / 2)+2$ and for each $i, i=4 j-2$, where $1 \leq j \leq k, f\left(v_{i+1}{ }^{\prime}\right)=f\left(v_{i+1}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=(i / 2)+3$.

Case 2b: When $\mathrm{n}=4 \mathrm{k}+1, \mathrm{k} \geq 3$
Let $f\left(v_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right)=f\left(v_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=1=f\left(v_{n}{ }^{\prime}\right)=f\left(v_{n}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)$. For each $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{i}=4 \mathrm{j}$, where $1 \leq \mathrm{j} \leq \mathrm{k}-1, \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=1$; for each $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{i}=4 \mathrm{j}+1$, where $1 \leq \mathrm{j} \leq \mathrm{k}-1, \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=2$ and let $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=(\mathrm{i} / 2)+2$ and for each $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{i}=4 \mathrm{j}-2$, where $1 \leq \mathrm{j} \leq \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}+1}{ }^{\prime}\right)=$ $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}+1}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=(\mathrm{i} / 2)+3$ and let $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}-1}{ }^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}-1}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}-2}{ }^{\prime}\right)+1$.

Case 2c: When $n=4 k+2, k \geq 3$
Let $f\left(v_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right)=f\left(v_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=1$. For each $i, i=4 j$, where $1 \leq j \leq k, f\left(v_{i}{ }^{\prime}\right)=f\left(v_{i}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=1$; for each $i$, $i=4 j+1$, where $1 \leq j \leq k-1$, $f\left(v_{i}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ $=f\left(v_{i}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=2$ and let $f\left(v_{i}{ }^{\prime}\right)=f\left(v_{i}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=(i / 2)+2$ and for each $i, i=4 j-2$, where $1 \leq j \leq k, f\left(v_{i+1}{ }^{\prime}\right)=f\left(v_{i+1}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=(i / 2)+3$ and let $\left.\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}-1}{ }^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}-1}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}-3^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}\right)+1$ and $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}-1}{ }^{\prime}\right)+1$.

Case 2d: When $\mathrm{n}=4 \mathrm{k}+3, \mathrm{k} \geq 3$
Let $f\left(v_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right)=f\left(v_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=1$. For each $i, i=4 j$, where $1 \leq j \leq k, f\left(v_{i}{ }^{\prime}\right)=f\left(v_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)=1$; for each $i, i=4 j+1$, where $1 \leq j \leq k, f\left(v_{i}{ }^{\prime}\right)=$ $f\left(v_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)=2$ and for each $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{i}=4 \mathrm{j}-2$, where $1 \leq \mathrm{j} \leq \mathrm{k}+1$, $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=(\mathrm{i} / 2)+2$ and $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}+1}{ }^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}+1}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=(\mathrm{i} / 2)+3$.

Each vertex labeled 1 or 2 dominates some uniquely colored neighbor and each vertex colored $k$ for $3 \leq k \leq 3+\lceil n / 2\rceil$ dominates its own color class.
Hence $\chi_{d}\left[D_{2}\left(P_{n}\right)\right]=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}\lceil 2 n / 3\rceil & \text { when } n \leq 11 \\ \lceil n / 2\rceil+3 & \text { when } n=4 k+2, k \geq 3 \\ \lceil n / 2\rceil+2 & \text { otherwise. }\end{array}\right.$
Theorem 2.2: The cycle $C_{n}, n \geq 3$ has $\chi_{d}\left[D_{2}\left(C_{n}\right)\right]=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}n & \text { when } n=3 \\ \lceil n / 3\rceil & \text { when } n=4 \\ \lceil 2 n / 3\rceil & \text { when } n=5,6 \text { or } 7 \\ \lceil 2 n / 3\rceil+1 & \text { when } n=3 k+1, k \geq 3 \\ \lceil 2 n / 3\rceil+2 & \text { otherwise. }\end{array}\right.$
Proof: The verification of cases $3 \leq n \leq 8$ is straightforward. We construct a dominator coloring $f: V\left[D_{2}\left(C_{n}\right)\right] \rightarrow\{1,2$, $\ldots, \chi_{d}\left[D_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right]$ \}as follows.
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Case (i): When $n=3 k, k \geq 3$
For each $\mathrm{i}, 0 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{k}-1, \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{3 \mathrm{i}+1^{\prime}}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{3 \mathrm{i}+1}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=1$; for each $\mathrm{i}, 0 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{k}-1$, $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{3 \mathrm{i}+2^{\prime}}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{3 \mathrm{i}+2}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=2$; for each $\mathrm{i}, 1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{3 \mathrm{i}}\right.$ $\left.{ }^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{i}+2$, and for each $\mathrm{i}, 1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{3 \mathrm{i}}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime}\right)+\mathrm{i}$.

Case (ii): When $\mathrm{n}=3 \mathrm{k}+1, \mathrm{k} \geq 3$
For each $\mathrm{i}, 0 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{k}-1, \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{3 \mathrm{i}+1^{\prime}}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{3 \mathrm{i}+1}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=1$; for each $\mathrm{i}, 0 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{k}-1, \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{3 \mathrm{i}+2^{\prime}}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{3 \mathrm{i}+2}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=2$; for each $\mathrm{i}, 1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{3 \mathrm{i}}\right.$ $\left.{ }^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{i}+2$, let $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}-1}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}-1}{ }^{\prime}\right)$, let $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}-1}{ }^{\prime}\right)+1$ and let $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Let $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{3 \mathrm{i}}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime}\right)+\mathrm{i}$, for each $\mathrm{i}, 1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{k}-1$.

Case (iii): When $n=3 k+2, k \geq 3$
For each $\mathrm{i}, 0 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{3 \mathrm{i}+1^{\prime}}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{3 \mathrm{i}+1}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=1$; for each $\mathrm{i}, 0 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{k}-1, \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{3 i}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{3 \mathrm{i}+2}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=2$; for each $\mathrm{i}, 1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{3 i}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ $=\mathrm{i}+2$ and let $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}-2}{ }^{\prime}\right)+1$ and $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}-1}{ }^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}-1}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and for each $\mathrm{i}, 1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{3 i}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime}\right)+\mathrm{i}$ and let $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}-}\right.$ $\left.2^{\prime \prime}\right)+1$.

For $\mathrm{n} \geq 8$, each vertex labeled 1 or 2 dominates some uniquely colored neighbor and each vertex colored k for $3 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq$ $2+\lceil 2 \mathrm{n} / 3\rceil$ dominates its own color class.

Hence $\chi_{d}\left[D_{2}\left(C_{n}\right)\right]=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}n & \text { when } n=3 \\ \lceil n / 3\rceil & \text { when } n=4 \\ \lceil 2 n / 3\rceil & \text { when } n=5,6 \text { or } 7 \\ \lceil 2 n / 3\rceil+1 & \text { when } n=3 k+1, k \geq 3 \\ \lceil 2 n / 3\rceil+2 & \text { otherwise. }\end{array}\right.$
Example: 2.3 The dominator coloring of $\mathrm{D}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right)$ is shown in the following figure 1.


Figure: 1
The color classes of $D_{2}\left(C_{8}\right)$ are $C_{1}=\left\{\mathrm{v}_{1}{ }^{\prime}, \mathrm{v}_{4}{ }^{\prime}, \mathrm{v}_{7}{ }^{\prime}, \mathrm{v}_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{v}_{4}{ }^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{v}_{7}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right\}, \mathrm{C}_{2}=\left\{\mathrm{v}_{2}{ }^{\prime}, \mathrm{v}_{5}{ }^{\prime}, \mathrm{v}_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{v}_{5}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right\}, \mathrm{C}_{3}=\left\{\mathrm{v}_{3}{ }^{\prime}\right\}, \mathrm{C}_{4}=\left\{\mathrm{v}_{6}{ }^{\prime}\right\}, \mathrm{C}_{5}=\left\{\mathrm{v}_{8}{ }^{\prime}\right\}$, $C_{6}=\left\{\mathrm{v}_{3}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right\}, \mathrm{C}_{7}=\left\{\mathrm{v}_{6}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{8}=\left\{\mathrm{v}_{8}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right\}$. Therefore $\chi_{\mathrm{d}}\left[\mathrm{D}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right)\right]=8$.
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Theorem: 2.4 The wheel graph $W_{1, n}, n \geq 3$ has $\chi_{d}\left[D_{2}\left(W_{1, n}\right)\right]= \begin{cases}4 & \text { if } n \text { is odd } \\ 3 & \text { if } n \text { is even. }\end{cases}$
Proof: Let $\mathrm{D}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~W}_{1, \mathrm{n}}\right)$ be a shadow graph of wheel. Let the vertices of $\mathrm{D}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~W}_{1, \mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{n} \geq 3$ be labeled as follows. Let $\mathrm{v}_{1}{ }^{\prime}, \mathrm{v}_{2}{ }^{\prime}$, $\ldots, v_{n}{ }^{\prime}$ be the vertices of $W_{1, n}{ }^{\prime}$, the first copy of $W_{1, n}$ and let $v_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{v}_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ be the vertices of $\mathrm{W}_{1, \mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime \prime}$, second copy of $\mathrm{W}_{1, \mathrm{n}}$, where the vertices at the centre of two copies of $\mathrm{W}_{1, \mathrm{n}}$ are labeled by $\mathrm{v}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{v}_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}$. The vertices on the rim of $\mathrm{W}_{1, \mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{1, \mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ be labeled consecutively by $\mathrm{v}_{2}{ }^{\prime}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{v}_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime \prime}$.

A dominator coloring of $\mathrm{D}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~W}_{1, \mathrm{n}}\right)$ is by coloring the centre vertices $\mathrm{v}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{v}_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ by color 1 and coloring the vertices in the rim alternatively by 2 and 3 from the vertex $\mathrm{v}_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{v}_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$. When n is odd, the vertices $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}-2}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}-2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ receive color 2, the vertices $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}-1}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}-1}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ receive color 3 and the vertices $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ receive color 4 respectively. When n is even, the vertices $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}-1}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}-1}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ receive color 2 and the vertices $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ receive color 3 respectively. The centre vertices $\mathrm{v}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{v}_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ dominate themselves, the remaining vertices on the rim of $\mathrm{W}_{1, \mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{1, \mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ dominate the color class 1 . Hence
$\chi_{d}\left[D_{2}\left(W_{1, n}\right)\right]= \begin{cases}4 & \text { if } n \text { is odd } \\ 3 & \text { if } n \text { is even. }\end{cases}$
Theorem: 2.5
(1) The star $\mathrm{K}_{1, \mathrm{n}}$ has $\chi_{\mathrm{d}}\left[\mathrm{D}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{1, \mathrm{n}}\right)\right]=2$ for all $\mathrm{n} \geq 2$.
(2) The bistar $B_{m, n}$ has $\chi_{d}\left[D_{2}\left(B_{m, n}\right)\right]=3$ for all $m, n \geq 1$.
(3) The complete graph $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}$ has $\chi_{\mathrm{d}}\left[\mathrm{D}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right]=\mathrm{n}$ for all $\mathrm{n} \geq 2$.

## Proof:

(1) Since $\chi_{d}\left[D_{2}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{1, n}\right)\right] \geq \chi\left[\mathrm{D}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{1, \mathrm{n}}\right)\right]$, the result follows.
(2) Let $G=D_{2}\left(B_{m, n}\right)$ be a shadow graph of bistar. Let $V(G)=X_{1} \cup X_{2} \cup Y_{1} \cup Y_{2}$, where $X_{1}=\left\{u_{1}, u_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right\}, Y_{1}=\left\{u_{2}, u_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right\}$,
$\mathrm{X}_{2}=\left\{\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{v}_{1}{ }^{\prime}, \mathrm{v}_{2}{ }^{\prime}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{\prime}\right\}$ and $\mathrm{Y}_{2}=\left\{\mathrm{w}_{1}, \mathrm{w}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{w}_{1}{ }^{\prime}, \mathrm{w}_{2}{ }^{\prime}, \ldots, \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime}\right\}$. Consider a proper coloring of G in which $V_{1}=X_{2} \cup Y_{2}, V_{2}=X_{1}$ and $V_{3}=Y_{1}$. Each vertex in the set $X_{2}$ dominates the color class $V_{2}$, each vertex in the set $Y_{2}$ dominate the color class $V_{3}$, each vertex in the set $X_{1}$ dominate the color class $V_{3}$ and each vertex in the set $Y_{1}$ dominate the color class $V_{2}$. Therefore this is a dominator coloring and $\chi_{d}\left[D_{2}\left(B_{m, n}\right)\right] \leq 3$.

Now we construct a different proper coloring in which $V_{1}=Y_{1} \cup X_{2}$ and $V_{2}=X_{1} \cup Y_{2}$. This is not a dominator coloring, since, for example, the vertex $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}, 1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{m}$ does not dominate a color class. But this is the only proper coloring of $G$ using two colors. Thus $\chi_{\mathrm{d}}\left[\mathrm{D}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}}\right)\right]>2$, hence the result.
(3) A dominator coloring of $D_{2}\left(K_{n}\right)$ is by coloring its vertices by colors $1,2, n$ respectively.Therefore $\chi_{d}\left[D_{2}\left(K_{n}\right)\right]=n$.

## 3. DOMINATOR COLORING ON PATH UNION OF GRAPHS

In this section, dominator chromatic number of path union of graphs is obtained.
Theorem: 3.1 Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n$. Then $\chi_{d}[P(m G)]=m+n-1$ if and only if $G=K_{n}$ for $n \in N$, where $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{mG})$ denotes the path union of m copies of G .

Proof: Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n$ with $\chi_{d}[P(m G)]=m+n-1$. Assume the contrary that $G \neq K_{n}$. Thus, there are at least two non-adjacent vertices, say $x$ and $y$. Define a coloring of $G$ such that $x$ and $y$ receive the same color, and each of the remaining vertices receive unique color. This is a dominator coloring, and so $\chi_{d}[\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{G})]<\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{n}-1$, which is a contradiction.

Thus $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}$.
The converse part is obvious.
Example: 3.2 In figure 3, Path union of 3 copies of $\mathrm{K}_{5}$ is depicted with a dominator coloring.
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Figure: 3
The color classes of $\mathrm{P}\left(3 \mathrm{~K}_{5}\right)$ are $\mathrm{C}_{1}=\left\{\mathrm{u}_{1}\right\}, \mathrm{C}_{2}=\left\{\mathrm{u}_{2}, \mathrm{v}_{2}, \mathrm{w}_{2}\right\}, \mathrm{C}_{3}=\left\{\mathrm{u}_{3}, \mathrm{v}_{3}, \mathrm{w}_{3}\right\}, \mathrm{C}_{4}=\left\{\mathrm{u}_{4}, \mathrm{v}_{4}, \mathrm{w}_{4}\right\}, \mathrm{C}_{5}=\left\{\mathrm{u}_{5}, \mathrm{v}_{5}, \mathrm{w}_{5}\right\}, \mathrm{C}_{6}$ $=\left\{\mathrm{v}_{1}\right\}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{7}=\left\{\mathrm{w}_{1}\right\}$.Therefore $\chi_{\mathrm{d}}\left[\mathrm{P}\left(3 \mathrm{~K}_{5}\right)\right]=7$.

Theorem 3.3: Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n$. Then $\chi_{d}[P(m G)] \leq m\left[\chi_{d}(G)\right]$, where $G \neq K_{n}, n \in N$ and $P(m G)$ denotes the path union of $m$ copies of $G$.

Proof: Let $G$ be a connected graph with $\chi_{\mathrm{d}^{-}}$colors. In $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{mG})$, let the m copies of G be colored with disjoint $\chi_{\mathrm{d}^{-}}$colors. This coloring in $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{mG})$ with $\mathrm{m}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$ is already a dominator coloring. Therefore dominator chromatic number of $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{mG})$, which is the minimum number of colors required dominator coloring, is less than or equal to $m\left(\chi_{d}\right)$.

The bound in the above Theorem is sharp, because:
(i) $\chi_{d}\left[P\left(\mathrm{mC}_{4}\right)\right]=\mathrm{m}\left[\chi_{\mathrm{d}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right)\right]=2 \mathrm{~m}$.
(ii) $\quad \chi_{d}\left[\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{m}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{e}\right)\right)\right]<\mathrm{m} \chi_{\mathrm{d}}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{e}\right), \mathrm{n} \geq 2$.

## OBSERVATION

For a connected graph $G, n \geq 2, \chi_{d}\left[D_{2}(G)\right] \geq \chi_{d}(G) \geq \chi(G)$.
Strict inequality as well as equality in observation is possible. As by Theorem $2.2, \chi_{d}\left[D_{2}\left(C_{n}\right)\right]>\chi_{d}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)>\chi\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$, where $\mathrm{n} \geq 8$ and $\chi_{\mathrm{d}}\left[\mathrm{D}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{1, \mathrm{n}}\right)\right]=\chi_{\mathrm{d}}\left(\mathrm{K}_{1, \mathrm{n}}\right)=\chi\left(\mathrm{K}_{1, \mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{n} \geq 2$. Therefore the bound in the observation is sharp.
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