

ON SOME TOPOLOGIES INDUCED  
 BY  $BI^+$  OPEN SETS IN SIMPLE EXTENSION IDEAL TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

<sup>1</sup>T. Noiri

<sup>1</sup>869-5142, Shiokita-cho, Hinagu, Yatsushiro-shi, Kumamoto-ken, Japan

<sup>2</sup>I. Arockiarani

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Nirmala College for women, Coimbatore, India

<sup>3</sup>F. Nirmala Irudayam\*

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics with Computer Applications, Nirmala College for Women, Coimbatore, India

(Received on: 25-10-12; Accepted on: 18-01-13)

ABSTRACT

This paper aims at extending the idea of  $BI$  open sets in Simple Extension ideal topological spaces. Here we introduce the new concept of  $\Omega_b^{+*}$  and  $\mathcal{U}_b^{+*}$  sets via  $BI^+$  open and  $BI^+$  closed sets in simple extension ideal topological spaces. Further  $\Omega_b^{+*}$  and  $\mathcal{U}_b^{+*}$  functions are defined and their results are discussed.

1. INTRODUCTION

A new class of generalized open sets called  $b$ - open sets in topological spaces was defined by Andrijevic [8]. This type of sets was discussed by El Atik [13] under the name of  $\gamma$  open sets. The class of all  $b$  open sets generates the same topology as the class of all pre-open sets. In 1986, Maki [24] introduced the concept of generalized  $\Lambda$  sets and defined the associated closure operators by using the work of Levine [22] and Dunham [12]. Caldas and Dontchev [9] introduced  $\Lambda_s$  sets,  $\vee_s$  sets,  $g\vee_s$  sets and  $g\Lambda_s$  sets. Ganster and et al. [14] introduced the notion of pre  $\Lambda$  sets and pre  $\vee$  sets and obtained new topologies via these sets. M.E. Abd El-Monsef *et al.* [3] defined  $b\Lambda$  sets and  $b\vee$  sets on a topological space and proved that it forms a topology. In 1963 Levine [23] introduced the concept of a simple extension of a topology  $\tau$  as  $\tau(B) = \{(B \cap O) \cup O' / B \notin \tau\}$ . The concept of  $I$  open sets in ideal topological spaces were introduced by Jankovic and Hamlett [18], [19]. Further Abd El-Monsef *et al.* [2] investigated  $I$  open sets and  $I$  continuous functions. Dontchev [11] introduced the notion of pre  $I$  open sets and obtained a decomposition of  $I$  continuity. The notion of semi  $I$  open sets to obtain decomposition of continuity was introduced by Hatir and Noiri [16], [17]. In addition to this, Casku Guler and Aslim [10] have introduced the concept of  $BI$  sets and  $BI$  continuous functions and further research was done by Metin Akdag [28] on these sets. Nirmala and I. Arockiarani [30] have introduced the concept of  $BI$  open sets in the light of simple expansion topology. Using the above defined  $BI^+$  sets in simple extended ideal topological space (SEITS), we introduce the notion of  $\Omega_b^{+*}$  sets and  $\mathcal{U}_b^{+*}$  sets in SEITS and study their properties. We also introduce  $\Omega_b^{+*}$  functions and  $\mathcal{U}_b^{+*}$  functions and investigate some of its properties.

2. PRELIMINARIES

All through the paper the space  $X$  is a SEITS in which no separation axioms are assumed unless and otherwise stated. For any subset  $A$  of  $X$ , the interior of  $A$  is the same as the interior in usual topology and the closure of  $A$  is newly defined as a combination of the local function [30] in ideal topology and simple extension. In SEITS the new local function [30] is defined as  $A^{+*} = \{x \in X / U \cap A \neq \emptyset \text{ for each neighbourhood } U \text{ of } x \text{ in } \tau^+\}$  and  $cl^+(A) = A \cup A^{+*}$ . Also  $\tau^{+*} = \{V / cl^+(X \setminus V) = X \setminus V\}$ , where  $\tau^+ \subseteq \tau^{+*}$ .

**Definition 2.1:** A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $X$  is said to be

- (1)  $\alpha$ - open [29] if  $A \subseteq \text{int}(cl(\text{int}(A)))$ ,
- (2) semi-open [22] if  $A \subseteq cl(\text{int}(A))$ ,
- (3) preopen [25] if  $A \subseteq \text{int}(cl(A))$ ,
- (4)  $\beta$ -open [26] if  $A \subseteq cl(\text{int}(cl(A)))$ ,
- (5)  $b$ -open [8][13] if  $A \subseteq \text{int}(cl(A)) \cup cl(\text{int}(A))$ .

Corresponding author: <sup>3</sup>F. Nirmala Irudayam\*

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics with Computer Applications, Nirmala College for Women, Coimbatore, India

The class of all semi-open (resp. pre-open,  $\alpha$ -open) sets in  $X$  are denoted by  $SO(X, \tau)$  (resp.  $PO(X, \tau)$ ,  $\alpha O(X, \tau)$ )

**Definition 2.2:** A subset  $A$  of  $X$  in SEITS  $(X, \tau^+, I)$  is said to be

- (1)  $\alpha I^+$  open [30] if  $A \subseteq \text{int}(cl^{+*}(\text{int}(A)))$ ,
- (2)  $\text{semi}I^+$  open [30] if  $A \subseteq cl^{+*}(\text{int}(A))$ ,
- (3)  $\text{pre}I^+$  open [30] if  $A \subseteq \text{int}(cl^{+*}(A))$ ,
- (4)  $\beta I^+$  open [30] if  $A \subseteq cl^{+*}(\text{int}(cl^{+*}(A)))$ ,
- (5)  $bl^+$  open [30] if  $A \subseteq \text{int}(cl^{+*}(A)) \cup cl^{+*}(\text{int}(A))$ .

The class of all  $\text{semi}I^+$  open (resp.  $\text{pre}I^+$ -open,  $\alpha I^+$  open) sets in  $X$  are denoted by  $SI^+O(X, \tau^+, I)$  (resp.  $PI^+O(X, \tau^+, I)$ ,  $\alpha I^+O(X, \tau^+, I)$ )

The complements of these sets are called  $\text{semi}I^+$  closed (resp.  $\text{pre}I^+$ -closed,  $\alpha I^+$  closed) sets in  $X$  and are denoted by  $SI^+C(X, \tau^+, I)$  (resp.  $PI^+C(X, \tau^+, I)$ ,  $\alpha I^+C(X, \tau^+, I)$ )

**Definition 2.3:** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be resolvable [15] if there is a subset  $A$  of  $X$  such that  $A$  and  $(X-A)$  are both dense in  $X$ .

### 3. $\Omega_b^{+*}$ SETS

In this section we introduce the new idea of  $\Omega_b^{+*}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{U}_b^{+*}$ ) sets via the concept of  $bl$  open sets under simple extension topology.

**Definition 3.1:** Let  $(X, \tau^+, I)$  be a simple extension ideal topological space (SEITS) and  $A$  a subset of  $X$ . We define  $\Omega_b^{+*}(A)$  and  $\mathcal{U}_b^{+*}(A)$  as follows,

- a)  $\Omega_b^{+*}(A) = \bigcap \{G : A \subseteq G, G \in BI^+O(X, \tau^+, I)\}$ ,
- b)  $\mathcal{U}_b^{+*}(A) = \bigcup \{F : F \subseteq A, F \in BI^+C(X, \tau^+, I)\}$ .

The class of all  $bl^+$  open (resp.  $bl^+$  closed) sets of a SEITS  $(X, \tau^+, I)$  is denoted by  $BI^+O(X, \tau^+, I)$  (resp.  $BI^+C(X, \tau^+, I)$ ).

**Example 3.2:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$ ,  $I = \{\phi, \{b\}\}$  and  $B = \{b\}$ . Then  $\tau^+(B) = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ ,  $\tau^{+*} = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}$ .

Here  $BI^+O(X, \tau^+, I) = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}$  and  $BI^+C(X, \tau^+, I) = \{X, \phi, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}\}$ .

Here  $\Omega_b^{+*}(a) = \{a\}$ ;  $\Omega_b^{+*}(b) = \{a, b\}$ ;  $\Omega_b^{+*}(c) = \{a, c\}$ ;  $\Omega_b^{+*}(a, b) = \{a, b\}$ ;  $\Omega_b^{+*}(a, c) = \{a, c\}$ ;  $\Omega_b^{+*}(b, c) = X$ . Also  $\mathcal{U}_b^{+*}(a) = \{\phi\}$ ;  $\mathcal{U}_b^{+*}(b) = \{b\}$ ;  $\mathcal{U}_b^{+*}(c) = \{c\}$ ;  $\mathcal{U}_b^{+*}(a, b) = \{b\}$ ;  $\mathcal{U}_b^{+*}(a, c) = \{c\}$ ;  $\mathcal{U}_b^{+*}(b, c) = \{b, c\}$ .

**Lemma 3.3:** For subsets  $A, B$  and  $A_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) of a space  $(X, \tau^+, I)$ , the following properties hold:

- i)  $A \subseteq \Omega_b^{+*}(A)$ ,
- ii) If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $\Omega_b^{+*}(A) \subseteq \Omega_b^{+*}(B)$ ,
- iii)  $\Omega_b^{+*}(\Omega_b^{+*}(A)) = \Omega_b^{+*}(A)$ ,
- iv) If  $A \in BI^+O(X, \tau^+, I)$ , then  $A = \Omega_b^{+*}(A)$ ,
- v)  $\Omega_b^{+*}(\bigcup \{A_i : i \in I\}) = \bigcup \{\Omega_b^{+*}(A_i) : i \in I\}$ ,
- vi)  $\Omega_b^{+*}(\bigcap \{A_i : i \in I\}) \subseteq \bigcap \{\Omega_b^{+*}(A_i) : i \in I\}$ ,
- vii)  $\Omega_b^{+*}(X \setminus A) = X \setminus \mathcal{U}_b^{+*}(A)$ .

**Proof:** (i), (ii), (iv), (vi), (vii): These are immediate consequences of the Definition 3.1(a).

(iii): We know from Definition 3.1(a)  $\Omega_b^{+*}(A) \subseteq \Omega_b^{+*}(\Omega_b^{+*}(A))$ . Now we prove the converse inclusion  $\Omega_b^{+*}(\Omega_b^{+*}(A)) \subseteq \Omega_b^{+*}(A)$ . Let us consider  $x \notin \Omega_b^{+*}(A)$ , then there exists a  $G \in BI^+O(X, \tau^+, I)$  such that  $A \subseteq G$ , and  $x \notin G$ . By (ii) and (iv),  $\Omega_b^{+*}(A) \subseteq \Omega_b^{+*}(G) = G$ . Since  $\Omega_b^{+*}(\Omega_b^{+*}(A)) = \bigcap \{G : \Omega_b^{+*}(A) \subseteq G, G \in BI^+O(X, \tau^+, I)\}$ , consequently we have  $x \notin \Omega_b^{+*}(\Omega_b^{+*}(A))$ . Therefore, we have  $\Omega_b^{+*}(\Omega_b^{+*}(A)) \subseteq \Omega_b^{+*}(A)$  and hence  $\Omega_b^{+*}(\Omega_b^{+*}(A)) = \Omega_b^{+*}(A)$ .

(v): Let  $A = \bigcup \{A_i : i \in I\}$ . Since  $A_i \subseteq A$ , by (ii) we have  $\Omega_b^{+*}(A_i) \subseteq \Omega_b^{+*}(A)$  and hence  $\bigcup \{\Omega_b^{+*}(A_i) : i \in I\} \subseteq \Omega_b^{+*}(A)$ .

Conversely, if  $x \notin \bigcup \{\Omega_b^{+*}(A_i) : i \in I\}$ , then for each  $i \in I$ , there exists  $G_i \in BI^+O(X, \tau^+, I)$  such that  $A_i \subseteq G_i$ , and  $x \notin G_i$ . If  $G = \bigcup \{G_i : i \in I\}$ , then  $G \in BI^+O(X, \tau^+, I)$  such that  $A \subseteq G$  and  $x \notin G$ . Hence  $x \notin \Omega_b^{+*}(A)$  and hence (v) holds.

By using Lemma 3.3 (vii), we can easily verify the next result.

**Lemma 3.4:** Let  $(X, \tau^+, I)$  be a SEITS. Let  $A, B$  and  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  be subsets of  $X$ . Then the following properties hold:

- i)  $\bar{\Omega}_b^{+*}(A) \subseteq A$ ,
- ii) If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $\bar{\Omega}_b^{+*}(A) \subseteq \bar{\Omega}_b^{+*}(B)$ ,
- iii)  $\bar{\Omega}_b^{+*}(\bar{\Omega}_b^{+*}(A)) = \bar{\Omega}_b^{+*}(A)$ ,
- iv) If  $A \in BI^+C(X, \tau^+, I)$ , then  $A = \bar{\Omega}_b^{+*}(A)$ ,
- v)  $\bar{\Omega}_b^{+*}(\bigcap \{A_i : i \in I\}) = \bigcap \{\bar{\Omega}_b^{+*}(A_i) : i \in I\}$ ,
- vi)  $\bigcup \{\bar{\Omega}_b^{+*}(A_i) : i \in I\} \subseteq \bar{\Omega}_b^{+*}(\bigcup \{A_i : i \in I\})$ .

**Remark 3.5:** In general, for any subsets  $A, B \in (X, \tau^+, I)$ ,  $\Omega_b^{+*}(A \cap B) \neq \Omega_b^{+*}(A) \cap \Omega_b^{+*}(B)$  and  $\bar{\Omega}_b^{+*}(A \cup B) \neq \bar{\Omega}_b^{+*}(A) \cup \bar{\Omega}_b^{+*}(B)$  as noted in the following example.

**Example 3.6:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$ ,  $I = \{\phi, \{b\}\}$  and  $B = \{b\}$ , then  $\tau^+(B) = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ . Let  $A = \{a\}$  and  $B = \{c\}$ , here  $\Omega_b^{+*}(A \cap B) \neq \Omega_b^{+*}(A) \cap \Omega_b^{+*}(B)$ . When  $A = \{a\}$ ;  $B = \{b, c\}$ , then  $\bar{\Omega}_b^{+*}(A \cup B) \neq \bar{\Omega}_b^{+*}(A) \cup \bar{\Omega}_b^{+*}(B)$ .

**Definition 3.7:** A subset  $A$  of a SEITS  $(X, \tau^+, I)$  is called an  $\Omega_b^{+*}$  set (resp.  $\bar{\Omega}_b^{+*}$  set) if  $A = \Omega_b^{+*}(A)$  [resp.  $A = \bar{\Omega}_b^{+*}(A)$ ]. The family of all  $\Omega_b^{+*}$  sets (resp.  $\bar{\Omega}_b^{+*}$  sets) is denoted as  $\Omega_b^{+*}$  [resp.  $\bar{\Omega}_b^{+*}$ ].

**Example 3.8:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$ ,  $I = \{\phi, \{b\}\}$  and  $B = \{b\}$ . Then  $\tau^+(B) = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ ,  $\Omega_b^{+*} = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b\}\}$  and  $\bar{\Omega}_b^{+*} = \{X, \phi, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}\}$ .

**Proposition 3.9:** In a SEITS  $(X, \tau^+, I)$ ,  $\Omega_b^{+*}$  (resp.  $\bar{\Omega}_b^{+*}$ ) is a topology for  $X$ .

**Proof:** It is obvious from Definition 3.1 that  $X$  and  $\phi$  are  $\Omega_b^{+*}$  sets. Let  $A_i \in \Omega_b^{+*}$  for each  $i \in I$ . By Lemma 3.3,  $\Omega_b^{+*}(\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i) \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} \Omega_b^{+*}(A_i) = \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$  and hence  $\Omega_b^{+*}(\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i) = \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$ . Therefore  $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \in \Omega_b^{+*}$ . Let  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  be a family of  $\Omega_b^{+*}$  sets in  $(X, \tau^+, I)$ . Then by Lemma 3.3,  $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i = \bigcup_{i \in I} \Omega_b^{+*}(A_i) = \Omega_b^{+*}(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i)$ .

This implies that the union of  $\Omega_b^{+*}$  sets is also an  $\Omega_b^{+*}$  set.

Hence the family of  $\Omega_b^{+*}$  sets forms a topology for  $X$ .

**Proposition 3.10:** In a space  $(X, \Omega_b^{+*})$  the following statements are verified.

- 1) If every subset  $A$  of  $X$  is nowhere dense in  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $\Omega_b^{+*} = \Omega_s^{+*}$ , where  $\Omega_s^{+*}(A) = \{A \subset X : \Omega_s^{+*}(A) = A\}$  and  $\Omega_s^{+*}(A) = \bigcap \{G : A \subseteq G, G \in SI^+O(X, \tau^+, I)\}$ .

- 2) If  $(X, \tau^+, I)$  is an indiscrete space, then each  $\Omega_b^{+*}$  set is a  $preI^+ \Omega$  set but not a  $semiI^+ \Omega$  set.

**Proof:** 1) Since every subset  $A$  is nowhere dense in  $(X, \tau)$ , we have  $Int(cl^{+*}(A)) = \phi$  for all  $A$ . Then  $BI^+O(X, \tau^+, I) = SI^+O(X, \tau^+, I)$  and hence  $\Omega_b^{+*}(A) = \Omega_s^{+*}(A)$  for every  $A$  of  $X$ . Hence  $\Omega_b^{+*} = \Omega_s^{+*}$ .

- 2) This is obvious, since each  $bl^+$  open set in indiscrete space is a  $preI^+$  open set but not a  $semiI^+$  open set.

**Definition 3.11:** A space  $(X, \tau^+, I)$  is called a  $b^{+*}T_1$  space if for each pair of distinct points  $x$  and  $y$  of  $X$ , there exist two  $bl^+$  open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $x \in U$ ,  $y \notin U$  and  $y \in V$ ,  $x \notin V$ .

**Theorem 3.12:** For a space  $(X, \tau^+, I)$ , the following properties are equivalent:

- 1)  $(X, \tau^+, I)$  is  $b^{+*}T_1$ ;
- 2) For each  $x \in X$ ,  $\{x\}$  is  $bl^+$  closed;
- 3) For each  $x \in X$ ,  $\{x\}$  is an  $\Omega_b^{+*}$  set;
- 4) For each subset  $A$  of  $X$ ,  $A$  is an  $\Omega_b^{+*}$  set.

**Proof: (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2):** Let  $y$  be any point of  $X - \{x\}$ . There exists a  $bl^+$  open set  $V_y$  such that  $x \notin V_y$  and  $y \in V_y$ .

Hence  $X - \{x\} = \cup \{V_y; y \in X - \{x\}\}$  and hence  $X - \{x\}$  is  $bl^+$  open.

Therefore,  $\{x\}$  is  $bl^+$  closed.

**(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3):** Let  $x$  be any point of  $X$  and  $y \in X - \{x\}$ . By (2),  $X - \{y\}$  is  $bl^+$  open and  $x \in X - \{y\}$ . By Lemma 3.3,  $\Omega_b^{+*}(\{x\}) \subset X - \{y\}$  and hence  $\Omega_b^{+*}(\{x\}) = \{x\}$ . Therefore,  $\{x\}$  is an  $\Omega_b^{+*}$  set.

**(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4):** Let  $A$  be any subset of  $X$ . By (3) and Lemma 3.3,  $\Omega_b^{+*}(A) = \Omega_b^{+*}(\cup \{x/x \in A\}) = \cup \{ \Omega_b^{+*}\{x\}/x \in A \} = \cup \{ x / x \in A \} = A$ . Therefore,  $A$  is an  $\Omega_b^{+*}$  set.

**(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1):** Let  $x$  and  $y$  be any distinct points. Then  $y \notin \Omega_b^{+*}(\{x\}) = \{x\}$  and there exists a  $bl^+$  open set  $U_x$  such that  $y \notin U_x$  and  $x \in U_x$ . Similarly  $x \notin \Omega_b^{+*}(\{y\})$  and there exists a  $bl^+$  open set  $U_y$  such that  $y \in U_y$  and  $x \notin U_y$ . This shows that  $(X, \tau^+, I)$  is  $b^{+*}T_1$ .

**Proposition 3.13:** A SEITS  $(X, \tau^+, I)$  is  $b^{+*}T_1$  if and only if  $(X, \Omega_b^{+*})$  is a discrete space.

**Proof:** Let  $(X, \tau^+, I)$  be  $b^{+*}T_1$  and  $x \in X$ . Then, by Theorem 3.12,  $\{x\}$  is an  $\Omega_b^{+*}$  set and  $\{x\}$  is open in  $(X, \Omega_b^{+*})$ . Therefore  $(X, \Omega_b^{+*})$  is a discrete space. Conversely, suppose that  $(X, \Omega_b^{+*})$  is a discrete space. For any point  $x \in X$ ,  $\{x\}$  is an  $\Omega_b^{+*}$  set. By Theorem 3.12,  $(X, \tau^+, I)$  is  $b^{+*}T_1$ .

**Definition 3.14:** The space  $(X, \tau^+, I)$  is said to be resolvable in SEITS if it is the union of two disjoint dense subsets.

**Proposition 3.15:** If  $(X, \tau^+, I)$  is resolvable in SEITS, then  $(X, \Omega_b^{+*})$  and  $(X, \mathcal{O}_b^{+*})$  are discrete.

**Proof:** We shall show that  $(X, \tau^+, I)$  is  $b^{+*}T_1$ . Consider  $(X, \tau^+, I)$  to be resolvable in SEITS

i.e.:  $X = D \cup E$ , where  $D$  and  $E$  are disjoint dense subsets of  $(X, \tau^+, I)$ .

Let  $x \in X$ , say  $x \in D$  then  $X \setminus \{x\} = E \cup [D \setminus \{x\}]$  is dense in  $(X, \tau^+, I)$ . Hence  $X - \{x\}$  is a  $prel^+$  open and hence  $\{x\}$  is  $prel^+$  closed. Since  $\{x\}$  is  $bl^+$  closed, by Theorem 3.12  $(X, \tau^+, I)$  is  $b^{+*}T_1$ . By proposition 3.13,  $(X, \Omega_b^{+*})$  and  $(X, \mathcal{O}_b^{+*})$  are discrete.

**Proposition 3.16:** If  $(X, \Omega_b^{+*})$  is connected, then  $(X, \tau^+, I)$  is  $bl^+$  connected ie)  $X$  cannot be represented as a disjoint union of non empty  $bl^+$  open subsets of  $(X, \tau^+, I)$

**Proof:** Since every  $bl^+$ -open set is an  $\Omega_b^{+*}$  set, the proof is obvious.

#### 4. $L\Omega_b^{+*}$ - CLOSED SETS

**Definition 4.1:** A subset  $A$  of a SEITS  $(X, \tau^+, I)$  is said to be  $L\Omega_b^{+*}$  - closed if  $A = L \cap F$ , where  $L$  is an  $\Omega_b^{+*}$  - set and  $F$  is a closed set in  $(X, \tau^+)$ .

**Remark 4.2:** Every  $\Omega_b^{+*}$  -set and every closed set in  $(X, \tau^+)$  are  $L\Omega_b^{+*}$  -closed.

**Proposition 4.3:** For a subset  $A$  of a SEITS  $(X, \tau^+, I)$ , the following properties are equivalent:

- (1)  $A$  is  $L\Omega_b^{+*}$  -closed,
- (2)  $A = L \cap cl^{+*}(A)$ , where  $L$  is an  $\Omega_b^{+*}$  set,
- (3)  $A = \Omega_b^{+*}(A) \cap cl^{+*}(A)$ .

**Proof: (1)  $\rightarrow$  (2):** Let  $A$  be  $L\Omega_b^{+*}$  - closed. Then  $A = L \cap F$ , where  $L$  is an  $\Omega_b^{+*}$  set and  $F$  is closed in  $(X, \tau^+)$ . Since  $A \subseteq F$ , we have  $cl^{+*}(A) \subseteq cl^{+*}(F) = F$ . Therefore  $A \subseteq L \cap cl^{+*}(A) \subseteq L \cap F = A$  and hence  $A = L \cap cl^{+*}(A)$ .

**(2)  $\rightarrow$  (3):** Let  $A = L \cap cl^{+*}(A)$ , where  $L$  is an  $\Omega_b^{+*}$  set. Since  $A \subseteq L$ , we have  $\Omega_b^{+*}(A) \subseteq \Omega_b^{+*}(L) = L$ . And hence  $A \subseteq \Omega_b^{+*}(A) \cap cl^{+*}(A) \subseteq L \cap cl^{+*}(A) = A$ .

Thus we have obtained  $A = \Omega_b^{+*}(A) \cap cl^{+*}(A)$ .

**(3)  $\rightarrow$  (1):** Since  $\Omega_b^{+*}(A)$  is an  $\Omega_b^{+*}$  set, the proof is obvious.

## 5. $\Omega_b^{+*}$ and $\mathfrak{U}_b^{+*}$ MAPPINGS

**Definition 5.1:** Let  $(X, \tau^+, I)$  and  $(Y, \sigma^+, J)$  be SEITS. A map  $f: (X, \tau^+, I) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma^+, J)$  is said to be

- (i)  $\Omega_b^{+*}$  map if  $f(U) \in BI^+C(Y, \sigma^+, J)$  for all  $U \in \Omega_b^{+*}$ ,
- (ii)  $\mathfrak{U}_b^{+*}$  map if  $f(U) \in BI^+O(Y, \sigma^+, J)$  for all  $U \in \mathfrak{U}_b^{+*}$ .

**Theorem 5.2:** For a map  $f: (X, \tau^+, I) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma^+, J)$ , the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $f$  is  $\Omega_b^{+*}$  map,
- (ii) For each  $A \subseteq Y$  and each  $F \in \mathfrak{U}_b^{+*}$  with  $f^{-1}(A) \subseteq F$ , there exists  $G \in BI^+O(Y, \sigma^+, J)$  such that  $A \subseteq G$  and  $f^{-1}(G) \subseteq F$ .

**Proof: (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii):** For each  $A \subseteq Y$  and each  $F \in \mathfrak{U}_b^{+*}$  with  $f^{-1}(A) \subseteq F$ , let  $G = Y - f(X-F)$ .

Since  $f$  is  $\Omega_b^{+*}$  map,  $f(X-F) \in BI^+C(Y, \sigma^+, J)$  and hence  $G \in BI^+O(Y, \sigma^+, J)$ .

Since  $f^{-1}(A) \subseteq F$ , we have  $X-F \subseteq X-f^{-1}(A) = f^{-1}(Y-A)$  and  $f(X-F) \subseteq Y-A$ .

Taking complements we have  $A \subseteq Y - f(X-F) = G$ .

Moreover  $f^{-1}(G) = f^{-1}(Y - f(X-F)) = f^{-1}(Y) - f^{-1}(f(X-F)) \subseteq X - (X-F) = F$ .

**(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i):** Let  $A \in \Omega_b^{+*}$ ,  $y \in Y \setminus f(A)$  and let  $F = X \setminus A$ . Since  $F \in \mathfrak{U}_b^{+*}$  and  $f^{-1}(y) \subset F$ , by (ii) there exists  $O_y \in BI^+O(Y, \sigma^+, J)$  with  $y \in O_y$  and  $f^{-1}(O_y) \subseteq F$ . Since  $F = X - A$ ,  $y \in O_y \subseteq Y \setminus f(A)$ . Hence  $Y \setminus f(A) = \cup \{O_y : y \in Y \setminus f(A)\}$ .

Thus  $f(A) \in BI^+C(Y, \sigma^+, J)$ . Therefore  $f$  is  $\Omega_b^{+*}$  map.

**Theorem 5.3:** For a map  $f: (X, \tau^+, I) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma^+, J)$ , the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $f$  is  $\mathfrak{U}_b^{+*}$  map,
- (ii) For each  $A \subseteq Y$  and each  $F \in \Omega_b^{+*}$  with  $f^{-1}(A) \subseteq F$ , there exists  $G \in BI^+C(Y, \sigma^+, J)$  with  $A \subseteq G$  with  $f^{-1}(G) \subseteq F$ .

**Proof:** The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 5.2.

**Theorem 5.4:** If  $f: (X, \tau^+, I) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma^+, J)$  is a surjective  $\Omega_b^{+*}$  map and  $(X, \tau^+, I)$  is  $b^{+*}T_1$ , then  $(Y, \sigma^+, J)$  is  $b^{+*}T_1$ .

**Proof:** Let  $y$  be any point of  $Y$ . Since  $f$  is surjective, there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $f(x) = y$ . Since  $(X, \tau^+, I)$  is  $b^{+*}T_1$ , by Theorem 3.12,  $\{x\}$  is an  $\Omega_b^{+*}$  set and hence  $f(\{x\})$  is  $bl^+$  closed. Therefore,  $\{y\}$  is  $bl^+$  closed and hence by Theorem 3.12  $(Y, \sigma^+, J)$  is  $b^{+*}T_1$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] M.E Abd El-Monsef, S. N El-Deeb and R.A. Mahmoud, " $\beta$ -open sets and  $\beta$ -continuous mapping", Bull. Fac. Assuit Univ., 12(1983),77-90.
- [2] M.E Abd El-Monsef, E. F Lashien and A. A. Nasef, "On I open sets and I continuous functions", Kyungpook Math. J., 32 (1992), 21-30.
- [3] M.E. Abd El-Monsef, A.A El- Atik and M.M. El-Sharkasy, "Some topologies induced by b - open sets", Kyunpook Math. J., 45(2005), 539-547.
- [4] P.Alexandroff, "Discrete Raume", Mat.Sb. 2(1937), 501-508
- [5] D.Andrijević, "Some properties of the topology of  $\alpha$ -sets", Mat. Vesnik, 36(1984), 1- 10.
- [6] D. Andrijević, "Semi-preopen sets", Mat. Vesnik, 38(1986), 24-32.
- [7] D. Andrijević, "On the topology generated by pre-open sets", Mat. Vesnik, 39(1987), 367- 376.
- [8] D. Andrijević, "On b-open sets", Mat. Vesnik, 48, (1996), 59-64.
- [9] M. Caldas and J. Dontchev, " $GL_s$ - sets and  $GA_s$  -sets", Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Math., 21(2000), 21-30.

- [10] A. Caksu Guler and G. Aslim, “ $bl$  open sets and decomposition of continuity via idealization”, Proc. Inst. Math. and Mech., Nat. Acad Sci. Azerbaijan., 22(2005), 27-32.
- [11] J.Dontchev, “On pre  $I$ - open sets and a decomposition of  $I$ - continuity”, Banyan Math. J., 2 (1996).
- [12] W.A Dunham, “New closure operator for non  $T_1$  topologies”, Kyunpook Math. J., 22(1982), 55-60.
- [13] A.A El-Atik, “A study of some type of mappings on topological spaces”, M. Sc thesis, Tanta University, Tanta, Eygpt, 1997.
- [14] M. Ganster, S. Jafari and T. Noiri, “On pre- $\Lambda$ -sets and pre- $V$ - sets”, Acta. Math. Hungar., 95(4)(2002),337-343.
- [15] M. Ganster, “Preopen sets and presolvable spaces”, Kyunpook Math. J., 27(1987), 135-143.
- [16] E. Hatir and T. Noiri, “On decompositions of continuity via idealization”, Acta Math. Hungar, 96 (2002), 341-349.
- [17] E. Hatir and T. Noiri, “On Semi- $I$ -open sets and semi- $I$ -continuous functions,” Acta Math. Hungar.107(2005), 345-353.
- [18] D. Jankovic and T. R Hamlett, “New topologies from old via ideals”, Amer. Math. Monthly, 97(1990), 295-310.
- [19] D. Jankovic and T. R Hamlett, “Compatible extensions of ideals”, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B. Serie VII, .6(1992), 453 - 465.
- [20] E. Khalimsky, R. Kopperman and P.R Meyer, “Computer graphics and connected topologies on finite ordered sets”, TopologyAppl.,36(1)(1990),1-17.
- [21] N. Levine, “Generalized closed sets in topology” Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2), 19(1970), 89-96.
- [22] N. Levine, “Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces” Amer. Math. Monthly, 70 (1963), 36-41.
- [23] N. Levine , “Simple extension of topology”, Amer. Math. Monthly,71(1964), 22-105.
- [24] H. Maki, “Generalized  $\Lambda$ -sets and the associated closure operator”, The Special Issue in commemoration of Prof. Kazusada Ikeda’s retirement, 1.Oct.(1986),139-146.
- [25] A. S. Mashhour, M. E. Abd El Monsef and S. N. El-Deeb, “On precontinuous and weak precontinuous mappings”, Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Eygpt, 53(1982), 47-53.
- [26] A. S. Mashhour I.A Hasanein and S. N. El-Deeb, “ $\alpha$ -continuous and  $\alpha$ -open mappings”, Acta Math. Hungar., 41 (1983), 213-218.
- [27] A. S. Mashhour, M. E. Abd El Monsef, I.A Hasanein and T. Noiri, “Strongly compact spaces”, Delta. J. Sci., 8 (1984), 30-46.
- [28] Metin Adkag, “On  $bl$  open sets and  $bl$  continuous functions”, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., Vol 2007, Article ID 75721, 13 pages.
- [29] O. Njastad , “On some classes of nearly open sets”, Pacific J.Math.,15(1965), 961-970.
- [30] F. Nirmala Irudayam and Sr. I. Arockiarani, “A note on the weaker form of  $bl$  set and its generalization in SEITS”, International Journal of Computer Application, Issue 2, Vol.4 (Aug 2012), 42-54.

**Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared**