

COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS IN \mathcal{M} – FUZZY METRIC SPACES

M. Jeyaraman*

Assistant Professor of Mathematics, H. H. The Rajah's College, Pudukkottai – 622 001, India

R. Dhanalakshmi

J. J. College of Arts and Science, Department of Mathematics, Pudukkottai – 622 422, India

(Received on: 31-03-13; Revised & Accepted on: 22-04-13)

ABSTRACT

In this paper we prove common fixed point theorems for generalized contraction mappings in complete \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

Keywords: Complete \mathcal{M} -Fuzzy metric space, \mathcal{M} -Fuzzy contraction mapping, Common fixed point.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The concept of fuzzy sets was introduced by Zadeh [14] in 1965. Since then, to use this concept in topology and analysis many authors have expansively developed the theory of fuzzy sets and applications. Fixed point theorems in fuzzy mathematics are emerging with vigorous hope and vital trust. It appears that Kramosil and Michalek's study of fuzzy metric spaces paves a way for very soothing machinery to develop fixed point theorems for contractive type maps. Kramosil and Michalek [6] introduced the concept of fuzzy metric space and modified by George and Veeramani [2]. Many authors [4, 7] have proved fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric space.

Recently Sedghi and Shobe [12] introduced D^* - metric space as a probable modification of the definition of D – metric introduced by Dhage [1], and prove some basic properties in D^* - metric spaces. Using D^* - metric concepts, Sedghi and Shobe define \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space and proved a common fixed point theorem in it. In this paper we prove common fixed point theorems for generalized contraction mappings in complete \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space.

Definition: 1.1 Let X be a nonempty set. A generalized metric (or D^* - metric) on X is a function $D^*: X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, that satisfies the following conditions for each $x, y, z, a \in X$

- i) $D^*(x, y, z) \geq 0$,
- ii) $D^*(x, y, z) = 0$ iff $x = y = z$,
- iii) $D^*(x, y, z) = D^*(p\{x, y, z\})$, (symmetry) where p is a permutation function,
- iv) $D^*(x, y, z) \leq D^*(x, y, a) + D^*(a, z, z)$.

The pair (X, D^*) , is called a generalized metric (or D^* - metric) space.

Example: 1.2 Examples of D^* - metric are

- a) $D^*(x, y, z) = \max \{d(x, y), d(y, z), d(z, x)\}$,
- b) $D^*(x, y, z) = d(x, y) + d(y, z) + d(z, x)$.

Definition: 1.3 A fuzzy set \mathcal{M} in an arbitrary set X is a function with domain X values in $[0, 1]$.

Definition: 1.4 A binary operation $*$: $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a continuous t-norm if it satisfies the following conditions

- i) $*$ is associative and commutative,
- ii) $*$ is continuous,
- iii) $a * 1 = a$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$,
- iv) $a * b \leq c * d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$, for each $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$

Examples for continuous t-norm are $a * b = \min \{a, b\}$.

Corresponding author: M. Jeyaraman*

Assistant Professor of Mathematics, H. H. The Rajah's College, Pudukkottai – 622 001, India

Definition: 1.5 A 3-tuple $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ is called \mathcal{M} – fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary non-empty set, $*$ is a continuous t – norm, and \mathcal{M} is a fuzzy set on $X^3 \times (0, \infty)$, satisfying the following conditions for each $x, y, z, a \in X$ and $t, s > 0$.

$$(FM - 1) \quad \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) > 0$$

$$(FM - 2) \quad \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = 1 \text{ iff } x = y = z$$

$$(FM - 3) \quad \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = \mathcal{M}(p\{x, y, z\}, t), \text{ where } p \text{ is a permutation function}$$

$$(FM - 4) \quad \mathcal{M}(x, y, a, t) * \mathcal{M}(a, z, s) \leq \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t + s)$$

$$(FM - 5) \quad \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1] \text{ is continuous}$$

$$(FM - 6) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = 1.$$

Example: 1.6 Let X be a nonempty set and D^* is the D^* - metric on X . Denote $a * b = a, b$ for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$. For each $t \in (0, \infty)$, define

$$\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = \frac{t}{t + D^*(x, y, z)}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, then $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ is a \mathcal{M} - fuzzy metric space. We call this \mathcal{M} - fuzzy metric induced by D^* - metric space. Thus every D^* - metric induces a \mathcal{M} - fuzzy metric.

Lemma: 1.7 ([12]) Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space. Then for every $t > 0$ and for every $x, y \in X$. We have $\mathcal{M}(x, x, y, t) = \mathcal{M}(x, y, y, t)$.

Lemma: 1.8 ([12]) Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space. Then $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t)$ is non-decreasing with respect to t , for all x, y, z in X .

Definition: 1.9 Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a \mathcal{M} - fuzzy metric space. For $t > 0$, the open ball $B_{\mathcal{M}}(x, r, t)$ with center $x \in X$ and radius $0 < r < 1$ is defined by $B_{\mathcal{M}}(x, r, t) = \{y \in X : \mathcal{M}(x, y, y, t) > 1 - r\}$. A subset A of X is called open set if for each $x \in A$ there exist $t > 0$ and $0 < r < 1$ such that $B_{\mathcal{M}}(x, r, t) \subseteq A$.

Definition: 1.10 Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X

- $\{x_n\}$ is said to be converges to a point $x \in X$ if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x, x, x_n, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$
- $\{x_n\}$ is called Cauchy sequence if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x_{n+p}, x_{n+p}, x_n, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$ and $p > 0$
- A \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent is said to be complete.

Remark: 1.11 Since $*$ is continuous, it follows from (FM – 4) that the limit of the sequence is uniquely determined.

Definition: 1.12 Let S and T be two self mappings of a \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$. Then the mappings S and T are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence points; that is, $Sx = Tx$ for some $x \in X$, then $STx = TSx$.

Definition: 1.13 Let A and B be two self mappings of a \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$. We say that A and B satisfy the property (E), if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(Ax_n, u, u, t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(Bx_n, u, u, t) = 1$ for some $u \in X$ and $t > 0$.

Example: 1.14: Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = \frac{t}{t + |x - y| + |y - z| + |x - z|}$ for every $x, y, z \in X$ and $t > 0$. Let A and

B defined by $Ax = 2x, Bx = x + 1$.

Consider the sequence $x_n = \frac{1}{n} + 1, n = 1, 2, 3 \dots$. Then we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(Ax_n, 2, 2, t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(Bx_n, 2, 2, t) = 1 \text{ for every } t > 0. \text{ Then } A \text{ and } B \text{ satisfy the property (E).}$$

Lemma: 1.15 ([10]) Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in a \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ with the condition (FM – 6). If there exists a number $k \in (0, 1)$ such that $\mathcal{M}(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, t) \geq \mathcal{M}(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n, \frac{t}{k})$. For all $t > 0$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$, then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Lemma: 1.16 ([10]) Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space with condition (FM–6). If there exists a number $k \in (0, 1)$ such that $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, kt) \geq \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t)$, for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $t > 0$, then $x = y = z$.

MAIN RESULTS

Theorem: 2.1 Let S and T be two self mappings of a complete \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$. If there exists a number $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$\mathcal{M}(Sx, Ty, Ty, kt) \geq \min \{ \mathcal{M}(x, y, y, t), \mathcal{M}(x, Sx, Sx, t), \mathcal{M}(y, Ty, Ty, t), \mathcal{M}(x, x, Sx, t), \mathcal{M}(y, y, Ty, t) \}$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$, then S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: Let $x_0 \in X$ be any arbitrary element.

Define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X as $x_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n}$ and $x_{2n+2} = Tx_{2n+1}$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Now we prove that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X. For $n \geq 0$, we have

$$\mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}, kt) = \mathcal{M}(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, kt)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, kt) &\geq \min \{ \mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, t), \mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, t), \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, t), \\ &\quad \mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, t), \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, t) \} \\ &= \min \{ \mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, t), \mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, t), \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}, t), \mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, t), \\ &\quad \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, t) \} \\ &= \min \{ \mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, t), \mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, t), \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}, t), \mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, t), \\ &\quad \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}, t) \} \\ &= \mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, t) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}, kt) \geq \mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, t)$

Also, $\mathcal{M}(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3}, x_{2n+3}, kt) = \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3}, kt)$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \mathcal{M}(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n+2}, kt) \\ &= \mathcal{M}(Sx_{2n+2}, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, kt) \\ &\geq \min \{ \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, t), \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+2}, Sx_{2n+2}, Sx_{2n+2}, t), \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, t), \\ &\quad \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}, Sx_{2n+2}, t), \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, t) \} \\ &= \min \{ \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, t), \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3}, x_{2n+3}, t), \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}, t), \\ &\quad \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3}, t), \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, t) \} \\ &= \min \{ \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, t), \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3}, x_{2n+3}, t), \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}, t), \\ &\quad \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3}, x_{2n+3}, t), \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, t) \} \\ &= \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}, t) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{M}(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3}, x_{2n+3}, kt) \geq \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}, t)$

Hence $\mathcal{M}(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}, kt) \geq \mathcal{M}(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n, t/k)$, for all $t > 0$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$, then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space X.

Since X is \mathcal{M} -fuzzy complete sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to a point $x \in X$. Now we prove that x is a common fixed point of S and T. Now consider,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(Sx, x, x, kt) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(Sx, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}, kt) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(Sx, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, kt) \\ &\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min \{ \mathcal{M}(x, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, t), \mathcal{M}(x, Sx, Sx, t), \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, t), \mathcal{M}(x, x, Sx, t), \\ &\quad \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, t) \} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min \{ \mathcal{M}(x, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, t), \mathcal{M}(x, Sx, Sx, t), \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}, t), \mathcal{M}(x, x, Sx, t), \\ &\quad \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, t) \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \min\{\mathcal{M}(x, x, x, t), \mathcal{M}(x, Sx, Sx, t), \mathcal{M}(x, x, x, t), \mathcal{M}(x, x, Sx, t), \mathcal{M}(x, x, x, t)\} \\
 &= \mathcal{M}(x, x, Sx, t) = \mathcal{M}(Sx, x, x, t)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathcal{M}(Sx, x, x, kt) \geq \mathcal{M}(Sx, x, x, t)$ for each $t > 0$.

Therefore by lemma 1.16, $Sx = x$.

Similarly, $Tx = x$. Hence x is a common fixed point of S and T .

Uniqueness: Let $(y \neq x)$ be another common fixed point of S and T .

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{M}(x, y, y, kt) &= \mathcal{M}(Sx, Ty, Ty, kt) \\
 &\geq \min\{\mathcal{M}(x, y, y, t), \mathcal{M}(x, Sx, Sx, t), \mathcal{M}(y, Ty, Ty, t), \mathcal{M}(x, x, Sx, t), \mathcal{M}(y, y, Ty, t)\} \\
 &= \min\{\mathcal{M}(x, y, y, t), \mathcal{M}(x, x, x, t), \mathcal{M}(y, y, y, t), \mathcal{M}(x, x, x, t), \mathcal{M}(y, y, y, t)\} \\
 &= \mathcal{M}(x, y, y, t)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathcal{M}(x, y, y, kt) \geq \mathcal{M}(x, y, y, t)$ for each $t > 0$.

Therefore by lemma 1.16, $x = y$. This completes the proof.

Corollary: 2.2 Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ complete \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space and let $S : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that $\mathcal{M}(Sx, Sy, Sy, kt) \geq \min\{\mathcal{M}(x, y, y, t), \mathcal{M}(x, Sx, Sx, t), \mathcal{M}(y, Sy, Sy, t), \mathcal{M}(x, x, Sx, t), \mathcal{M}(y, y, Sy, t)\}$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$, then S has a unique common fixed point.

Theorem: 2.3 Let S and T be two self mappings of a complete \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ with continuous t -norm $*$ defined by $a*b = \min\{a, b\}$ for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$. If there exists a number $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$\mathcal{M}(Sx, Sx, Ty, kt) \geq \min\{\mathcal{M}(x, y, y, t), \mathcal{M}(x, x, Sx, t) * \mathcal{M}(y, x, x, t), \mathcal{M}(y, y, Sx, t) * \mathcal{M}(y, y, Ty, t), \mathcal{M}(x, x, Ty, 2t)\}$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$, then S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: Let $x_0 \in X$ be any arbitrary element. Define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X as $x_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n}$ and $x_{2n+2} = Tx_{2n+1}$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Now we prove that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . For $n \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, kt) &= \mathcal{M}(Sx_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, kt) \\
 &\geq \min\{\mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, t), \mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, t) * \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, x_{2n}, t), \\
 &\quad \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n}, t) * \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, t), \mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, x_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, 2t)\} \\
 &= \min\{\mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, t), \mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, t) * \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, x_{2n}, t), \\
 &\quad \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, t) * \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, t), \mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}, 2t)\} \\
 &\geq \min\{\mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, t), \mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, t) * \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, x_{2n}, t), \\
 &\quad \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, t), \mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, t) * \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}, t)\} \\
 &= \mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, t) * \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}, t)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, kt) \geq \mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, t) * \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}, t)$

$$\mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, kt) \geq \mathcal{M}(x_{2n}, x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, t)$$

Similarly we prove that

$$\mathcal{M}(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3}, kt) \geq \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, t)$$

Hence $\mathcal{M}(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, kt) \geq \mathcal{M}(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}, t), \forall n$.

Therefore $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space x . Since x is \mathcal{M} -fuzzy complete sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to a point $x \in X$.

Now we prove that x is a common fixed of S and T .

Now consider, $\mathcal{M}(Sx, Sx, x, kt) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(Sx, Sx, x_{2n+2}, kt)$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(Sx, Sx, Tx_{2n+1}, kt) \\ &\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min \{ \mathcal{M}(x, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, t), \mathcal{M}(x, x, Sx, t) * \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x, x, t), \\ &\quad \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, Sx, t) * \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, t), \mathcal{M}(x, x, Tx_{2n+1}, 2t) \} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min \{ \mathcal{M}(x, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, t), \mathcal{M}(x, x, Sx, t) * \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x, x, t), \\ &\quad \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, Sx, t) * \mathcal{M}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, t), \mathcal{M}(x, x, x_{2n+2}, 2t) \} \\ &= \min \{ \mathcal{M}(x, x, x, t), \mathcal{M}(x, x, Sx, t) * \mathcal{M}(x, x, x, t), \mathcal{M}(x, x, Sx, t) * \mathcal{M}(x, x, x, t), \\ &\quad \mathcal{M}(x, x, x, 2t) \} \\ &= \min \{ 1, \mathcal{M}(x, x, Sx, t) * 1, \mathcal{M}(x, x, Sx, t) * 1, 1 \} \\ &= \mathcal{M}(x, x, Sx, t) \\ &= \mathcal{M}(x, Sx, Sx, t) \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}(Sx, Sx, X, t) \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathcal{M}(Sx, Sx, x, kt) \geq \mathcal{M}(Sx, Sx, x, t)$, for each $t > 0$. Therefore $Sx = x$.

Similarly, $Tx = x$. Hence x is a common fixed point of S and T .

UNIQUENESS: Let $(y \neq x)$ be another common fixed point of S and T .

Now consider,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(x, x, y, kt) &= \mathcal{M}(Sx, Sx, Ty, kt) \\ &\geq \min \{ \mathcal{M}(x, y, y, t), \mathcal{M}(x, x, Sx, t) * \mathcal{M}(y, x, x, t), \mathcal{M}(y, y, Sx, t) * \mathcal{M}(y, y, Ty, t), \mathcal{M}(x, x, Ty, 2t) \} \\ &= \min \{ \mathcal{M}(x, y, y, t), \mathcal{M}(x, x, x, t) * \mathcal{M}(y, x, x, t), \mathcal{M}(y, y, x, t) * \mathcal{M}(y, y, y, t), \mathcal{M}(x, x, y, 2t) \} \\ &= \min \{ \mathcal{M}(x, y, y, t), 1 * \mathcal{M}(y, x, x, t), \mathcal{M}(y, y, x, t) * 1, \mathcal{M}(x, x, y, 2t) \} \\ &= \min \{ \mathcal{M}(x, y, y, t), \mathcal{M}(y, x, x, t), \mathcal{M}(y, y, x, t), \mathcal{M}(x, x, y, 2t) \} \\ &= \mathcal{M}(x, x, y, t) \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathcal{M}(x, x, y, kt) \geq \mathcal{M}(x, x, y, t)$, for each $t > 0$

Therefore $x = y$. This completes the proof.

Corollary: 2.4 Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ complete \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space with continuous t-norm $*$ defined by $a*b = \min\{a, b\}$ for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$ and let $S : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that

$\mathcal{M}(Sx, Sx, Sy, kt) \geq \min \{ \mathcal{M}(x, y, y, t), \mathcal{M}(x, x, Sx, t) * \mathcal{M}(y, x, x, t), \mathcal{M}(y, y, Sx, t) * \mathcal{M}(y, y, Sy, t), \mathcal{M}(x, x, Sy, 2t) \}$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$, then S and T have a unique common fixed point.

REFERENCES

- [1] Dhage .B.C, Generalised metric spaces and mappings with fixed point, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., 84(4), (1992), 329-336.
- [2] George .A and Veeramani. P, On some results in fuzzy metric space, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 64 (1994), 395 – 399.

- [3] Grabiec .M, Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 27 (1998), 385 – 389.
- [4] Gregori .V and Sapena .A, On fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 125 (2002), 245 – 252.
- [5] Jin – Xuan Fang, On fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and System, 46 (1992), 107 – 113.
- [6] Kramosil.I and Michalek.J, Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, Kybernetika, 11 (1975), 326 – 334.
- [7] Mihet D, A Banach Contraction theorem in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 144 (2004), 431 – 439.
- [8] Naidu .S.V.R, Rao .K.P.R and Srinivasa .R.N, On the topology of D-metric spaces and the generation of D-metric spaces from metric space, Internat J. Math. Sci., 51 (2004), 2719 – 2740.
- [9] Naidu .S.V.R, Rao .K.P.R and Srinivasa .R.N, On the convergent sequences and fixed point theorems in D-metric spaces, Internat J. Math. Sci., 12 (2005), 1969 – 1988.
- [10] Park .J.H, Park .J.S and Kwun .Y.C, Fixed point in \mathcal{M} – fuzzy metric spaces, Optimization and Decision Making, 7 (2008), No.4, 305 – 315.
- [11] Schweizer .B and Sklar .A, Statistical metric spaces, Pacific. J. Math., 10 (1960), 314 – 334.
- [12] Sedghi .S and Shobe .N, Fixed point theorem in \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric spaces with property (E), Advances in Fuzzy Mathematics, Vol.1, No. 1 (2006), 55 – 65.
- [13] Veerapandi .T, Jeyaraman. M and Paul Raj Joseph .J, Some fixed point and coincident point theorem in generalized \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space, Int. Journal of Math. Analysis, Vol.3, (2009), 627 – 635.
- [14] Zadeh .L. A, Fuzzy sets, Information and Control, 8 (1965), 338 – 353.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared