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#### Abstract

In this paper we will establish the qualitative behavior of the dynamic equation of the form $(x(t)-h(t) x(t-\tau))^{\Delta}-b(t) \mathrm{f}(x(t-\sigma))=0, t \in T$ on the time Scale $T$ using Fixed Point Theorem Example is inserted to illustrate the result.


Keywords: Dynamic equation, Time Scale, Qualitative Behavior, First order, Fixed Point Theorem
2010MSC: 74G55, 34N05,

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The theory of time scales, which provides new tools for exploring connections between the traditionally separated fields, has been developing rapidly and has received much attention. Dynamic equations can not only unify the theories of differential equation and difference equations, but also extend these classical cases to cases "in between", e.g. to socalled q-difference equations The theory of dynamic equations on time scales is an adequate mathematical apparatus for the stimulation of processes and phenomena observed to biotechnology, chemical technology economic, neural networks, physics, social science etc. [1-3]. Motivated by this observation, in this paper we are concerned with first order nonlinear dynamic equation using Fixed Point Theorem

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x(t)-h(t) x(t-\tau))^{\Delta}-b(t) f(x(t-\sigma))=0, t \in T \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where T is a time scale. Throughout this paper we assume the following conditions without further mention:
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right): \tau, \sigma$ are fixed nonnegative constants such that the delay functions $\tau(t)=t-\tau<t$ and $\sigma(t)=t-\sigma<t$ satisfy $\tau(t): T \rightarrow T$ and $\sigma(t): T \rightarrow T$ for all $t \in T$;
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right): h(t)$ is real valued rd-continuous positive functions defined on $T$;
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right): b(t)$ is a positive and rd-continuous function on $T$ such that $0 \leq b(t)<1$.
By a solution of equation (1), we mean a nontrivial real- valued function which has the properties $\left(x(t)-h(t) x(t-\tau) \in C_{r d}^{\prime}\left[t_{y}, \infty\right)\right.$ and $(x(t)-h(t) x(t-\tau))^{\Delta} \in C_{r d}^{\prime}\left[t_{y}, \infty\right), t_{y} \geq t_{0}$ and satisfying equation (1.1) for all $t \geq t_{y}$. A solution $x(t)$ of equation (1) is said to be oscillatory if it is neither eventually positive nor eventually negative. Otherwise it is called non oscillatory. Since we are interested in qualitative behavior of solutions, we will assume that the time scale $T$ under considerations is not bounded above and therefore the time scale is assumed in the form $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)_{T}=\left[t_{0}, \infty\right) \cap T$.
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We note that if $T=\mathbb{R}$ we have $\sigma(t)=t, \mu(t)=0, f^{\Delta}(t)=f^{\prime}(t)$. then equation (1) becomes
$(x(t)-h(t) x(t-\tau))^{\prime}-b(t) f(x(t-\sigma))=0, t \in \mathbb{R}$ If $T=\mathbb{N}$

We have $\sigma(n)=n+1, \mu(n)=1, y^{\Delta}(n)=\Delta y(n)=y(n+1)-y(n)$ then equation (1) becomes $\Delta(x(t)-h(t) x(t-\tau)-b(t) f(x(t-\delta))=0, n \in \mathbb{N}$
If $T=h \mathbb{N}, h>0$, we have $\sigma(t)=t+h, \mu(t)=h, y^{\Delta}(\mathrm{t})=\Delta_{h}(t)=\frac{\mathrm{y}(t+h)-\mathrm{y}(t)}{h}$ then equation (1.1) becomes $\Delta_{h}(x(t)-h(t) x(t-\tau))-b(t) f(x(t-\sigma))=0, t \in h \mathbb{N}$

If $T=q^{\mathbb{N}}=\left\{t: t=q^{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}, q>1, \quad$ we have $\sigma(t)=q(t), \mu(t)=(q-1), y^{\Delta}(\mathrm{t})=\Delta_{q}(t) .=\frac{\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{q} t)-\mathrm{y}(t)}{h}$ then equation (1) becomes the second order q-neutral difference equations.
$\Delta_{q}\left(x(t)-h(t) x(t-\tau)-b(t) f(x(t-\sigma))=0, t \in q^{\mathbb{N}}\right.$
If $T=\mathbb{N}^{2}=\left\{t^{2}: t \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$, we have $\sigma(t)=(\sqrt{t}+1)^{2}, \mu(t)=1+2 \sqrt{t}, y^{\Delta}(\mathrm{t})=\Delta_{N}(t) .=\frac{\mathrm{y}\left((\sqrt{t}+1)^{2}\right)-\mathrm{y}(t)}{1+2 \sqrt{t}}$ then equation (1) becomes $\Delta_{N}\left(x(t)-h(t) x(t-\tau)-b(t) f(x(t-\sigma))=0, t \in \mathbb{N}^{2}\right.$

If $T=\left\{t_{n}: \mathrm{n} \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$, where $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ is the set if harmonic numbers defined by the ( $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$ harmonic number is the sum of the reciprocals of the first $n$ natural numbers) $t_{0}=0, t_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, we have
$\sigma\left(t_{n}\right)=t_{n+1}, \mu\left(t_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{n+1}, y^{\Delta}(\mathrm{t})=\Delta t_{n} \mathrm{y}\left(t_{n}\right)=(n+1) \Delta \mathrm{y}\left(t_{n}\right)$ then equation (1.1) becomes
$\Delta t_{n}\left(x\left(t_{n}\right)-h\left(t_{n}\right) x\left(t_{n}-\tau\right)-b\left(t_{n}\right) f\left(x\left(t_{n}-\sigma\right)\right)=0, t \in T\right.$

## 2. MAIN RESULT

To prove our main results, we will use the following Theorem which called Krasnoselskii's Fixed Point Theorem.
Theorem: 2.1 ([6, 12]). (Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem) Let $X$ be a Banach Spaces. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded closed convex subset of $X$ and Let $M_{1}, M_{2}$ be maps of $\Omega$ into $X$ such that $M_{1} x+M_{2} y \in \Omega$ for every $x, y \in \Omega$. If $M_{1}$ is contractive and $M_{2}$ is completely continuous, then the equations $M_{1} x+M_{2} x=x$ has a solution in $\Omega$

Now we state and prove our main results:
Theorem: 2.2. With respected to the Dynamic Equation (1) Assume that the functions $u$ and $v \in C_{r d}^{\prime}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty]\right)$ constants $\mathrm{c}>0, \mathrm{~K}_{2}>\mathrm{K}_{1} \geq 0, \mathrm{t}_{1} \geq \mathrm{t}_{0}+\mathrm{m} \quad \mathrm{m}=\max \{\tau, \sigma\}$ such that the following conditions(2)-(4) holds: $\mathrm{u}(\mathrm{t}) \leq \mathrm{v}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{t} \geq \mathrm{t}_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{t})-\mathrm{v}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}\right)-\mathrm{u}(\mathrm{t})+\mathrm{u}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}\right) \geq 0, \mathrm{t}_{0} \leq \mathrm{t} \leq \mathrm{t}_{1} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\frac{1}{u(t-\tau)}\left(u(t)-K_{1}+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} b(s) f(v(s-\sigma)) \Delta s\right) \leq a(t) \leq \frac{1}{v(t-\tau)}\left(v(t)-K_{2}+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} b(s) f(u(s-\sigma)) \Delta s\right) \leq c<1 . t \geq t_{1}$
Then Eq. (1) has uncountably many positive solutions which are bounded by the functions $u, v$.
Proof: Let $C_{r d}^{\prime}\left(\left[t_{0} \infty\right), R\right)$ be the set of all continuous bounded functions with the nom $\|x\|=\sup _{t \geq t_{0}}|x(t)|$. Then $C_{r d}^{\prime}\left(\left[t_{0} \infty\right), R\right)$ is a Banach Space. We define a closed, bounded and convex subset $\Omega$ of $C_{r d}^{\prime}\left(\left[t_{0} \infty\right), R\right)$ as follows:

$$
\Omega=\left\{\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t}) \in \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{rd}}^{\prime}\left(\left[\mathrm{t}_{0} \infty\right), \mathrm{R}\right): \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{t}) \leq \mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t}) \leq \mathrm{v}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{t} \geq \mathrm{t}_{0}\right\} .
$$

For $\mathrm{K} \in\left[\mathrm{K}_{1}, \mathrm{~K}_{2}\right]$ we define two maps $\mathrm{M}_{1}, \mathrm{M}_{2}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{rd}}^{\prime}\left(\left[\mathrm{t}_{0} \infty\right), \mathrm{R}\right)$ as follows

$$
\left(M_{1} x\right)\left(t_{1}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{K}+\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t}-\tau), \mathrm{t} \geq \mathrm{t}_{1}  \tag{5}\\
\left(\mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{x}\right)\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}\right), \quad \mathrm{t}_{0} \leq \mathrm{t} \leq \mathrm{t}_{1}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

$\left(M_{2} x\right)\left(t_{2}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} b(s) f(s(t-\sigma)) \Delta s, t \geq t_{1} \\ \left(M_{2} x\right)\left(t_{2}\right)+v(t)-v\left(t_{1}\right), \quad t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{1}\end{array}\right\}$
We first show that for any $x, y \in \Omega$., $M_{1} x+M_{2} y \in \Omega$. In fact for every $x, y \in \Omega$. and $t \geq t_{1}$ with using eq. (4), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(M_{1} x\right)(t)+\left(M_{2} y\right)(t) & =K+h(t) x(t-\tau)-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} b(s) f(x(s-\sigma)) \Delta s \\
& \leq K+h(t) v(t-\tau)-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} b(s) f(u(s-\sigma)) \Delta s \\
& \leq K+v(t)-K_{2} \leq v(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $t \in\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right]$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(M_{1} x\right)\left(t_{1}\right)+\left(M_{2} y\right)\left(t_{2}\right) & =\left(M_{1} x\right)\left(t_{1}\right)+\left(M_{2} y\right)\left(t_{2}\right)+v(t)-v\left(t_{1}\right) \\
& \leq v\left(t_{1}\right)+v(t)-v\left(t_{1}\right)=v(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $t \geq t_{1}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(M_{1} x\right)(t)+\left(M_{2} y\right)(t) & \geq K+h(t) u(t-\tau)-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} b(s) f(v(s-\sigma)) \Delta s \\
& \geq K+u(t)-K_{1} \geq u(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\mathrm{t} \in\left[\mathrm{t}_{0}, \mathrm{t}_{1}\right]$ with regard to (3), we get
$\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{t})-\mathrm{v}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{u}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}\right) \geq \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{t}_{0} \leq \mathrm{t} \leq \mathrm{t}_{1}$
Then, for $t \in\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right]$ and any $x, y \in \Omega$., we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(M_{1} x\right)(t)+\left(M_{2} y\right)(t) & =\left(M_{1} x\right)\left(t_{1}\right)+\left(M_{2} y\right)\left(t_{2}\right)+v(t)-v\left(t_{1}\right) \\
& \geq u\left(t_{1}\right)+v(t)-v\left(t_{1}\right) \geq u(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we have proved that $M_{1} x+M_{2} y \in \Omega$ for any $x, y \in \Omega$.
Next we shall show that $M_{1}$ is a contraction mapping on $\Omega$. Indeed for any $x, y \in \Omega$., and $t \leq t_{1}$, we have $\left|\left(M_{1} \mathrm{x}\right)(\mathrm{t})-\left(\mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{y}\right)(\mathrm{t})\right|=|\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{t})| \mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t}-\tau)-\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{t}-\tau) \mid \leq \mathrm{c}\|\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}\|$

This implies that
$\left\|M_{1} x-M_{2} y\right\| \leq c\|x-y\|$
Since $\mathrm{t} \in\left[\mathrm{t}_{0}, \mathrm{t}_{1}\right]$ We conclude that $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ is a contraction mapping on $\Omega$

Now we show that $M_{2}$ is completely continuous. First, we will show that $M_{2}$ is continuous. Let $x_{k}=x_{k}(t) \in \Omega$ be such that $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{t}) \rightarrow \mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t})$ as $\mathrm{k} \rightarrow \infty$. Because $\Omega$ is closed, $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t}) \in \Omega$. For $\mathrm{t} \leq \mathrm{t}_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(M_{2} x_{k}\right)(t)-\left(M_{2} x\right)(t)\right| & \leq \int_{t_{0}}^{t} b(s)\left[f\left(x_{k}(s-\sigma)\right)-f(x(s-\sigma))\right] \Delta s \mid \\
& \leq \int_{t_{0}}^{t} b(s)\left[f\left(x_{k}(s-\sigma)\right)-f(x(s-\sigma))\right] \Delta s
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdot \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \mathrm{~b}(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{~s}-\sigma)) \Delta \mathrm{s}<\infty \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mid\left[f\left(x_{k}(s-\sigma)\right)-f(x(s-\sigma))\right] \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, by applying the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we conclude that
$\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(M_{2} x_{k}\right)(t)-\left(M_{2} x\right)(t)\right\|=0$
This means that $M_{2}$ is continuous.
We now show that $M_{2} \Omega$ is relatively compact. by (8), for $x \in \Omega$ and any $\varepsilon>0$, there exist $t^{*} \geq t_{1}$ large enough so that
$\int_{i}^{\infty} b(s) f(x(s-\sigma)) \Delta s<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$.
Then, form $\mathrm{x} \in \Omega, \mathrm{T}_{2}>\mathrm{T}_{1} \geq \mathrm{t}^{*}$, we have
$\left|\left(M_{2} x\right)\left(T_{2}\right)-\left(M_{2} x\right)\left(T_{1}\right)\right| \leq \int_{T_{2}}^{\infty} b(s) f(x(s-\sigma)) \Delta s+\int_{T_{1}}^{\infty} b(s) f(x(s-\sigma)) \Delta s<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}=\varepsilon$.
For $\mathrm{x} \in \Omega, \quad \mathrm{t}_{1} \leq \mathrm{T}_{1}<\mathrm{T}_{2} \leq \mathrm{t}^{*}$, we get
$\left|\left(M_{2} x\right)\left(T_{2}\right)-\left(M_{2} x\right)\left(T_{1}\right)\right| \leq \int_{T_{1}}^{T_{2}} b(s) f(x(s-\sigma)) \Delta s \leq \max _{t_{1} \leq s \leq t}\{b(s) f(x(s-\sigma))\}\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right)$.
Thus there exists $\delta_{1}=\frac{\varepsilon}{\mathrm{A}}$ where $\mathrm{A}=\max _{\mathrm{t}_{1} \leq \mathrm{ssi} i}\{\mathrm{~b}(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{s}-\sigma))\}$, such that
$\left|\left(M_{2} x\right)\left(T_{2}\right)-\left(M_{2} x\right)\left(T_{1}\right)\right|<\varepsilon$ if $0<T_{2}-T_{1}<\delta_{1}$
Finally, for any $x \in \Omega, \quad t_{0} \leq T_{1}<T_{2} \leq t_{1}$, there exists a $\delta_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(M_{2} x\right)\left(T_{2}\right)-\left(M_{2} x\right)\left(T_{1}\right)\right| & =\left|v\left(T_{1}\right)-v\left(T_{2}\right)\right|=\left|\int_{T_{1}}^{T_{2}} v^{\Delta}(s) \Delta s\right| \\
& \leq \max _{t_{0} \leq s s_{1}}\left\{\mathrm{v}^{\Delta}(\mathrm{s}) \mid\right\}\left(\mathrm{T}_{2}-\mathrm{T}_{1}\right)<\varepsilon \text { if } 0<\mathrm{T}_{2}-T_{1}<\delta_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\left\{M_{2} \mathrm{X}: \mathrm{x} \in \Omega\right\}$ is uniformly bounded and equicontinous on $\left[\mathrm{t}_{0}, \infty\right)$ and hence $\mathrm{M}_{2} \Omega$ is a relatively compact subset of $C_{r d}^{\prime}\left(\left[t_{0} \infty\right), R\right)$. By Theorem (2.1) there is an $x_{0} \in \Omega$ such that $M_{1} x_{0}+M_{2} x_{0}=x_{0}$. We concluded that $x_{0}(t)$ is a positive solution of (1).

Next we show that Eq.(1) has uncountably many bounded positive solutions in $\Omega$. Let the constant $\tilde{K} \in\left[\mathrm{~K}_{1}, \mathrm{~K}_{2}\right]$ be such that $\widetilde{K} \neq K$. We infer similarly that there exist mappings $\widetilde{M_{1}}, \widetilde{M}_{2}$ satisfying (5), (6), where $K, M_{1}, M_{2}$ are replaced by $\widetilde{K}, \widetilde{M}_{1}, \widetilde{M}_{2}$ respectively. We assume that $x, y \in \Omega, M_{1} x+M_{2} x=x, \widetilde{M_{1}} y+\widetilde{M_{2}} y=y$, which are the bounded positive solutions of Equation (1) that is
$x(t)=K+h(t) x(t-\tau)-\int_{t}^{t_{1}} b(s) f(x(s-\sigma)) \Delta s, t \geq t_{1}$.
$y(t)=\tilde{K}+h(t) y(t-\tau)-\int_{t}^{t} b(s) f(y(s-\sigma)) \Delta s, t \geq t_{1}$
From condition (8) it follows that there exists as $t_{2}>t_{1}$, satisfying
$\int_{t}^{t_{1}} b(s)[f(x(s-\sigma))+f(y(s-\sigma))] \Delta s<|K-\widetilde{K}|$.
In order to prove that the set of bounded positive solutions of Eq. (1) is uncountable, it is sufficient to verify that $x \neq y$ for $t \geq t_{2}$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
|x(t)-y(t)| & =\left|K+h(t) x(t-\tau)-\int_{t}^{t_{2}} b(s) f(x(s-\sigma)) \Delta s-\widetilde{K}-h(t) y(t-\tau)+\int_{t}^{t_{2}} b(s) f(y(s-\sigma)) \Delta s\right| \\
& \geq\left|K-\widetilde{K}+h(t)[x(t-\tau)-y(t-\tau)]-\int_{t}^{t_{2}} b(s)[f(x(s-\sigma))+f(y(s-\sigma))] \Delta s\right| \\
& \geq|K-\widetilde{K}|-h(t)\|x-y\|-\int_{t}^{t_{2}} b(s)[f(x(s-\sigma))+f(y(s-\sigma))] \Delta s \mid \\
& \geq|K-\widetilde{K}|-C\|x-y\|-\int_{t}^{t_{2}} b(s)[f(x(s-\sigma))+f(y(s-\sigma))] \Delta s
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have

$$
(1+C)\|x-y\| \geq|K-\widetilde{K}|-\int_{t}^{t_{2}} b(s)[f(x(s-\sigma))+f(y(s-\sigma))] \Delta s, t \geq t_{2}
$$

From eq.(9) we get that $x \neq y$. Since the interval $\widetilde{K} \in\left[K_{1}, K_{2}\right]$ contains uncountably man constants, the Eq. (1) has uncountably many positive solutions which are bounded by the functions $u(t), v(t)$. This completes the proof.

Corollary: 2.3. With respected to the Dynamic Equation (1) Assume that the functions $u$ and $v \in \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{rd}}^{\prime}\left(\left[\mathrm{t}_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty]\right)$ constants $\mathrm{c}>0, \mathrm{~K}_{2}>\mathrm{K}_{1} \geq 0, \mathrm{t}_{1} \geq \mathrm{t}_{0}+\mathrm{m} \quad \mathrm{m}=\max \{\tau, \sigma\}$ such that:
$v^{\Delta}(\mathrm{t})-\mathrm{u}^{\Delta}(\mathrm{t}) \leq 0, \mathrm{t}_{0} \leq \mathrm{t} \leq \mathrm{t}_{1}$
Then Eq.(1) has uncountably many positive solutions which are bounded by the functions $u, v$.
Proof: We need to prove that condition (10) implies (3). Let $t \in\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right]$ and set $H(t)=v(t)-v\left(t_{1}\right)-u(t)+u\left(t_{1}\right)$
Then, from eq. (10) it follows that
$H(t)=v^{\Delta}(t)-u^{\Delta}(t) \leq 0, t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{1}$ since $H\left(t_{1}\right)=0$ and $H^{\Delta}(t) \leq 0$ for $t \in\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right]$
This implies that

$$
\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{t})-\mathrm{v}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}\right)-\mathrm{u}(\mathrm{t})+\mathrm{u}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}\right) \geq 0, \mathrm{t}_{0} \leq \mathrm{t} \leq \mathrm{t}_{1}
$$

Thus all the conditions of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied.
Examples: Consider the nonlinear dynamic equation
$[\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t})-\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{s}) \mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t}-2)]^{\Delta}=\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{x}^{3}(\mathrm{t}-1), \mathrm{t} \geq \mathrm{t}_{0}$,
where $p(t)=e^{-t}$. We will show that the conditions of corollary 2.3 satisfied. The function $u(t)=0.5, v(t)=2$ satisfy (2) and also condition (10) for $t \in\left[\mathrm{t}_{0}, \mathrm{t}_{1}\right]=[0,4]$.

For the constants $\mathrm{K}_{1}=0.5, \mathrm{~K}_{2}=1$, condition (4) has the form
$16 \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{t}} \leq \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{t}) \leq \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{16} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{t}}, \mathrm{t} \geq \mathrm{t}_{1}=4$
If the function $h(t)$ satisfies (12), Then Eq. (1) has uncountably many positive solutions which are bounded by the functions $\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{V}$.
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