

## Proximate (p, q) type of an entire function represented by Dirichlet series

Udai Veer Singh\* and Anupma Rastogi\*

Department of Mathematics and Astronomy, University of Lucknow, Lucknow-226007, India.

(Received On: 30-09-14; Revised & Accepted On: 31-10-14)

### ABSTRACT

In the present paper, we study proximate type and order of entire function with index pair  $(p, q)$   $p \geq (q+1) \geq 1$  and also with the help of means under certain condition for entire Dirichlet series.

**Keywords:** Entire function, proximate  $(p, q)$ - type,  $(p, q)$ -order, Dirichlet Series.

**MSC:** 30B50, 30D20, 54E05.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The notation of order and type of entire functions are classical in complex analysis. For the entire function of complex variable, G.Valiron [7] refined these growth scales by introducing comparison functions, called proximate order

In this paper our approach consists of using the extension of the classical notions of  $(p, q)$ -order  $(p, q)$  -type results of mean value of  $f(s)$  introduced by [1]. The concepts of  $(p, q)$ -order and  $(p, q)$  -type for entire function of complex variable were introduced by Juneja *et al.* [2,3]. The notion of proximate order was developed by Nandan *et al.* [8]. Later Kamthan [4] also studied the properties of proximate order. We defined the proximate  $(p, q)$  type of mean value  $N_{\delta,k}(\sigma)$ . This mean value was introduced by S.K. Vaish [6]

### PRELIMINARIES AND AUXILIARY RESULTS

Consider the Dirichlet series,

$$f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \exp(s\lambda_n)$$

where  $0 < \lambda_n < \lambda_{n+1} \rightarrow \infty$   $s = \sigma + it$   $\sigma, t$  being real variable  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is sequence of complex numbers. Set

$$M(\sigma) = \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |f(\sigma + it)|$$

is called maximum modulus of  $f(s)$ .

The concept of  $(p, q)$ - order, lower  $(p, q)$  -order,  $(p, q)$  -type, lower  $(p, q)$  -type of entire function  $f(s)$  is introduced by Juneja [2, 3].

Thus  $f(s)$  is said to be of  $(p, q)$  order  $\rho$  if

$$\limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M(\sigma)}{\log^{[q]} \sigma} = \rho(p, q) = \rho \quad (1.1)$$

Further,  $b < \rho < \infty$  then  $f(s)$  is said to be of  $(p, q)$ - type  $\tau$  and lower  $(p, q)$ - type  $\nu$  if

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sup \log^{[p-1]} M(\sigma)}{\inf (\log^{[q-1]} \sigma)^p} = \frac{\tau(p, q) = \tau}{\nu(p, q) = \nu} \quad (1.2)$$

**Corresponding Author: Anupma Rastogi\***

Department of Mathematics and Astronomy, University of Lucknow, Lucknow-226007, India.

where  $b=1$  if  $p=q+1$ ,  $b=0$  if  $p>q+1$  and  $\log^{[p]} x = \log \log^{[p-1]} x$ . An entire function is said to be of perfectly regular (p,q)- growth iff  $0 < \nu = \tau < \infty$

Mean value of  $f(s)$  is introduced by S.K.Vaish [6]

$$(I_{\delta}(\sigma))^{\delta} = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T |f(\sigma + it)|^{\delta} dt \quad \text{where } 0 < \delta < \infty \quad (1.3)$$

$$N_{\delta,k}(\sigma) = \exp \left\{ e^{-k\sigma} \int_0^{\infty} \log I_{\delta}(x) e^{kx} dx \right\}, \quad 0 < k < \infty \quad (1.4)$$

For an entire Dirichlet series (p, q) - order  $\rho$  (p, q) - type  $\tau$  and lower (p, q) - type  $\nu$  defined by Kamthan [4]. We can easily prove that

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sup_{\inf} \log^{[p-1]} I_{\delta}(\sigma)}{(\log^{[q-1]} \sigma)^{\rho}} = \frac{\tau}{\nu} \quad (1.5)$$

**Definition:** A real valued positive function  $\tau(\sigma)$  defined on  $[\sigma_0, \infty)$   $\sigma_0 > 0$  is said to be proximate type of an entire Dirichlet series with index (p,q) -order  $\rho$ , ( $b < \rho < \infty$ ) and (p, q)-type  $\tau$  ( $0 < \tau < \infty$ ), if for given constant  $\alpha$  ( $0 < \alpha < \infty$ )  $\tau(\sigma)$  satisfies the following conditions:

- i)  $\tau(\sigma)$  is continuous and piecewise differentiable for  $\sigma > \sigma_0$
- ii)  $\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \tau(\sigma) = \tau$
- iii)  $\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_{[q-1]}(\sigma) \tau'(\sigma) = 0$  where  $\tau'(\sigma)$  &  $\tau(\sigma)$  these are unequal

$$\text{iv) } \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-2]} M(\sigma)}{\exp \left\{ (\log^{[q-1]} \sigma)^{\rho} \tau(\sigma) \right\}} = \alpha$$

where  $\Lambda_{[q]} = \prod_{i=0}^q \log^{[i]} x$

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

We wish to prove the following

**Theorem 1:** If  $f(s)$  be an entire function of (p, q)- order  $\rho$  and (p, q)- type  $\tau$  and lower (p, q)- type  $\nu$  Then

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sup_{\inf} \log^{[p-1]} N_{\delta,k}(\sigma)}{(\log^{[q-1]} \sigma)^{\rho}} = \frac{\tau}{\nu} \quad (2.1)$$

**Lemma 1:** If  $f(s)$  be an entire function of (p,q)-order  $\rho$  and (p,q)- type  $\tau$  and lower (p, q)-type  $\nu$ . Then

$$\log I_{\delta}(\sigma) \sim \log N_{\delta,k}(\sigma). \quad (2.2)$$

The result is proved by A. Nautiyal [5]

**Proof of theorem 1:** we can easily prove that

$$\log^{[p-1]} I_{\delta}(\sigma) \sim \log^{[p-1]} N_{\delta,k}(\sigma) \quad (2.3)$$

Dividing (2.3) both sides by  $(\log^{[p-1]} \sigma)^{\rho}$  and taking limit  $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$ .

Then using (1.5) we get (2.1)

**Theorem 2:** If  $f(s)$  be an entire function with (p, q)- order  $\rho$  ( $b < \rho < \infty$ ), (p, q)- type  $\tau$  and lower (p, q)- type  $\nu$  where  $0 < \nu \leq \tau < \infty$ . Then

$$\liminf_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Lambda_{[q-2]}(\sigma) N'_{\delta,k}(\sigma)}{(\log^{[q-1]} \sigma)^{[\rho-1]} \Lambda_{[p-2]} N_{\delta,k}(\sigma)} \leq \rho \nu \leq \rho \tau \quad (2.4)$$

$$\leq \limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Lambda_{[q-2]}(\sigma) N'_{\delta,k}(\sigma)}{(\log^{[q-1]} \sigma)^{[\rho-1]} \Lambda_{[p-2]} N_{\delta,k}(\sigma)}$$

**Proof:** Let

$$\liminf_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Lambda_{[q-2]}(\sigma) N'_{\delta,k}(\sigma)}{(\log^{[q-1]} \sigma)^{[\rho-1]} \Lambda_{[p-2]} N_{\delta,k}(\sigma) \rho} = \beta \quad (2.5)$$

for  $\beta = 0$  the inequality (2.4) is trivially true. Let  $\beta > 0$  then for given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\sigma > \sigma_0$

$$\frac{N'_{\delta,k}(\sigma)}{\Lambda_{[p-2]}(N_{\delta,k}(\sigma))} > \frac{\rho(\beta - \varepsilon)(\log^{[q-1]} \sigma)^{\rho-1}}{\Lambda_{[q-2]}(\sigma)}$$

On integrating both side from  $\sigma$  to  $\sigma_0$

$$\log^{[p-1]}(N_{\delta,k}(\sigma)) + 0(1) > (\beta - \varepsilon)(\log^{[q-1]} \sigma)^\rho$$

Dividing by  $(\log^{[q-1]} \sigma)^\rho$  and taking limit both side, we get

$$\liminf_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]}(N_{\delta,k}(\sigma))}{(\log^{[q-1]} \sigma)^\rho} \geq \beta$$

Then

$$\liminf_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]}(N_{\delta,k}(\sigma))}{(\log^{[q-1]} \sigma)^\rho} \geq \liminf_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Lambda_{[q-2]}(\sigma) N'_{\delta,k}(\sigma)}{(\log^{[q-1]} \sigma)^{[\rho-1]} \Lambda_{[p-2]} N_{\delta,k}(\sigma) \rho}$$

$$\rho \nu \geq \liminf_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Lambda_{[q-2]}(\sigma) N'_{\delta,k}(\sigma)}{(\log^{[q-1]} \sigma)^{[\rho-1]} \Lambda_{[p-2]} N_{\delta,k}(\sigma) \rho} \quad (2.6)$$

Again let

$$\limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Lambda_{[q-2]}(\sigma) N'_{\delta,k}(\sigma)}{(\log^{[q-1]} \sigma)^{[\rho-1]} \Lambda_{[p-2]} N_{\delta,k}(\sigma) \rho} = \lambda$$

For  $\lambda = 0$  the inequality is trivially true, if  $\lambda > 0$  then for given

$$\varepsilon > 0 \text{ and } \sigma > \sigma_0.$$

Proceed in similar way we get

$$\rho \tau \leq \limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Lambda_{[q-2]}(\sigma) N'_{\delta,k}(\sigma)}{(\log^{[q-1]} \sigma)^{[\rho-1]} \Lambda_{[p-2]} N_{\delta,k}(\sigma)} \quad (2.7)$$

Thus from (2.6) & (2.7) we get

$$\liminf_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Lambda_{[q-2]}(\sigma) N'_{\delta,k}(\sigma)}{(\log^{[q-1]} \sigma)^{[\rho-1]} \Lambda_{[p-2]} N_{\delta,k}(\sigma)} \leq \rho \nu \leq \rho \tau$$

$$\leq \limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Lambda_{[q-2]}(\sigma) N'_{\delta,k}(\sigma)}{(\log^{[q-1]} \sigma)^{[\rho-1]} \Lambda_{[p-2]} N_{\delta,k}(\sigma)}$$

**Theorem 3:** If  $f(s)$  be an entire function of  $(p, q)$  - order  $\rho$  and  $(p, q)$ - type  $\tau$  ( $0 < \tau < \infty$ ). If we define the function

$$L(\sigma) = \frac{\log[\alpha^{-1} \log^{[p-2]} N_{\delta,k}(\sigma)]}{(\log^{[q-1]} \sigma)^\rho} \quad (3.1)$$

Then  $L(\sigma)$  is proximate type of  $f(s)$ , where  $\alpha$  is constant

**Proof:** For a given constant  $\alpha$ . We have defined (2.8). The function  $L(\sigma)$  is continuous for  $\sigma > \sigma_0$  since  $I_{\delta}(\sigma)$  is almost differentiable function  $\sigma$  with an increasing derivative. Then  $N_{\delta,k}(\sigma)$  is also almost differentiable everywhere.

$\log^{[p-1]} N_{\delta,k}(\sigma)$  is differentiable almost everywhere. Consequently  $L(\sigma)$  is piecewise differentiable we have  
 $\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} L(\sigma) = \tau$

Differentiating equation (3.1) w.r.t  $\sigma$  we get

$$L'(\sigma) = \frac{-\rho \log[\alpha^{-1} \log^{[p-2]} N_{\delta,k}(\sigma)]}{\Lambda_{[q-2]}(\sigma)(\log^{[q-1]} \sigma)^{\rho+1}} + \frac{N_{\delta,k}'(\sigma)}{\Lambda_{[p-2]}(N_{\delta,k}(\sigma))(\log^{[q-1]} \sigma)^{\rho}}$$

$$\Lambda_{[q-1]}(\sigma)L'(\sigma) = \frac{N_{\delta,k}'(\sigma)\Lambda_{[q-2]}(\sigma)}{\Lambda_{[q-2]}(N_{\delta,k}(\sigma))(\log^{[q-1]} \sigma)^{\rho-1}} - \frac{\rho \log^{[p-1]} N_{\delta,k}(\sigma)}{(\log^{[q-1]} \sigma)^{\rho}} + O(1)$$

by theorem (2) and (2.1) we get

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_{[q-1]}(\sigma)L'(\sigma) = 0$$

From definition of  $L(\sigma)$

$$\{\alpha^{-1} \log^{[p-2]} N_{\delta,k}(\sigma)\} = \exp \{L(\sigma)(\log^{[q-1]} \sigma)^{\rho}\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-2]} N_{\delta,k}(\sigma)}{\exp\{L(\sigma)(\log^{[q-1]} \sigma)^{\rho}\}} = \alpha$$

It follows that  $L(\sigma)$  satisfies all properties (i) to (iv). Hence  $L(\sigma)$  is a proximate type of  $f(s)$ .

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Authors are thankful to referee for this help in preparation of this paper.

## REFERENCES

1. P. K. Jain : .Revue Roumaine. De math (1970)
2. O.P. Juneja, K. Nandan, G.P. Kapoor: . TamKang J. math (1978).
3. O. P. Juneja, K. Kandan, G. P. Kapoor: . TamKang J. math (1980).
4. P.K Kamthan.: Port. Math (1966).
5. A. Nautiyal: Indian J. pure appl. Math. (1980).
6. S.K. Vaish: Bull. Math 26(74) n<sub>r</sub> 3, (1982).
7. G. Valiron, Lecture on the general theory of integral function, Private, Toulouse (1922).
8. K. Nandan, R.P. Doheray and R.S.L. Srivastava, Indian journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics (1963).

**Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared**

**[Copy right © 2014. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]**